

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Point Estimation | 2 |
| 1.1 | Method of Moments | 2 |
| 2 | Maximum Likelihood | 4 |
| 3 | Decision Theory | 6 |
| 3.1 | Bayesian Estimation | 6 |
| 3.2 | Conjugate Priors | 7 |
| 3.3 | Bayes Rules | 7 |
| 4 | Jan 29 | 8 |
| 5 | Best Unbiased Estimation | 9 |
| 5.1 | Cramer-Rao Lower Bound | 10 |
| 6 | Constructing MVUEs using Completeness and Sufficiency | 12 |
| 6.1 | Sufficiency | 13 |
| 7 | Feb 10 | 13 |
| 8 | Feb 12 | 14 |
| 9 | Completeness | 15 |

1 Point Estimation

Definition: A point estimator is any scalar (or vector) -valued function of the sample. $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim f(x|\theta)$

A point estimator for $\tau(\theta)$ is a statistic $T(x)$ with the purpose of approximating $\tau(\theta)$

1.1 Method of Moments

The k-th moment of a r.v. X is $\mu_k(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta(X^k) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} x^k f(x|\theta) dx$

Given an iid sample $x_1, \dots, x_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x|\theta)$ we have sample moments: $\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$

Suppose $\theta \in \Theta \in \mathbb{R}^P$, and that $\mu_k(\theta)$ exists and is finite for $k = 1, \dots, p$.

Definition: The method of moments estimator of θ is the solution to the system of equations:

$$\mu_1(\theta) = \hat{\mu}_1 \quad (1)$$

$$\cdot \quad (2)$$

$$\cdot \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_p(\theta) = \hat{\mu}_p \quad (4)$$

We call it $\hat{\theta}_{MM}$

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta), \theta = (\alpha, \beta)$

$$\mu_1(\theta) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$$

$$\mu_2(\theta) = \text{Var}_\theta(x_1) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}\right)^2 = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} = \hat{\mu}_1, \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} = \hat{\mu}_2$$

$\beta = \left(\frac{1 - \hat{\mu}_1}{\hat{\mu}_1}\right) \alpha$ from the first equation. Plug this into the second expression and solve:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{MM} = \hat{\mu}_1 \left[\frac{\hat{\mu}_1(1 - \hat{\mu}_1)}{\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2} - 1 \right]$$

$$\implies \hat{\beta}_{MM} = \frac{(1 - \hat{\mu}_1)}{\hat{\mu}_1} \hat{\alpha}_{MM}$$

Example: $x_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$\mu_1(\theta) = \hat{\mu}_1, \mu_1(\theta) = \mu, \implies \hat{\mu}_{MM} = \mu$$

$$\mu_2(\theta) = \text{Var}_\theta(x_1) + \mu_1^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2 = \hat{\mu}_2$$

$$\sigma_{MM}^2 = \hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} s^2$$

Note: If μ, σ^2 are the mean/variance of any family, their MM estimators are $\overline{X}, \frac{n-1}{n} s^2$.

For families where parameters are not just the mean and variance, you can find it via two ways: use mean/variance in MM, then calculate parameters, or use parameters in MM then calculate mean/variance. Both yield same results.

Fact: MM estimators are invariant of re-parameterizations.

Let $\eta = \eta(\theta)$ be a 1:1 mapping (invertible). Then, $\hat{\eta}_{MM} = \eta(\hat{\theta}_{MM})$

Let's say $x_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and we want to estimate $\tau(\theta) = \frac{\mu}{\sigma}$. This isn't 1:1. What can we do? We can do the 'Transformations' method and create a second value τ_2 , etc. We can also just plug in the estimators.

Definition: The MM estimator for a parametric function $\tau(\theta)$ is just $\hat{\tau}_{MM}(\theta) = \tau(\hat{\theta}_{MM})$

Properties:

- MM equations may have a unique solution, no solution, or many solutions
- Often, MM estimators are used as initial values for another estimation technique (ie. a root finding method)
- Why should it work? Let's say θ^* is the true value of θ . Then Law of Large Numbers says: $\hat{\mu}_k \xrightarrow{P} \mu_k(\theta^*)$. Then we are solving $\mu_k(\theta) = \hat{\mu}_k \approx \mu_k(\theta^*)$

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bin}(m, \theta), i = 1, \dots, n$ (m is known)

Find the MM estimator of $\tau(\theta) = \ln \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$

1. Find MM for θ ($\hat{\theta}_{MM}$)
2. Plug in ($\hat{\tau}_{MM}(\theta) = \tau(\hat{\theta}_{MM})$)
3. $\hat{\tau}_{MM}(\theta) = \ln \frac{\bar{X}/m}{1-\bar{X}/m}$

2 Maximum Likelihood

$X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim f(x|\theta), \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$

Notation: $f(x; \theta)$: function of x indexed at θ . Basically, given some set value of the θ .

Likelihood Function: The likelihood function is: $L(\theta; x) = f(x|\theta)$

Notes:

- L is a function of θ for each $x \in \mathbb{X}$ (in sample space)
- Plugging in X for x gives $L(\theta; X)$, a stochastic process (ie. plug in a random X makes this a random function for θ)
- The log-likelihood function is $l(\theta; x) = \ln[L(\theta; x)]$
- If $x_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x_i|\theta)$ (f is marginal dist.), then $l(\theta; x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln[f(x_i|\theta)]$ (because $x_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim}$, the sum is just a transformation and we can apply LLN, CLT, etc.)

Maximum Likelihood Estimate: If $x \in \mathbb{X}$ is observed, a maximum likelihood estimate of $\theta, \hat{\theta}(x)$, is any value $\theta \in \Theta$ that maximizes $L(\theta|x)$.

$$\hat{\theta}(x) = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} [L(\theta|x)]$$

This is a function of observed data (an estimate, not an estimator).

Maximum Likelihood Estimator: A maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) is $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(X)$

If an ML estimate exists, then $\hat{\theta}(x) = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} [l(\theta; x)]$. This is because $\ln(x)$ is a strictly increasing function.

Why does maximum likelihood work? Can we show that $\hat{\theta} \approx \theta_0$ (true parameter)?

Assume $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x_i|\theta)$. $l(\theta|x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln[f(x_i|\theta)]$

$$\frac{1}{n} l(\theta|x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln[f(x_i|\theta)] \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(\ln[f(x|\theta)]) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \ln[f(x|\theta)] f(x|\theta_0) dx$$

It would make sense that $\hat{\theta}(x) = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} [l(X|\theta)] \approx \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(\ln[f(X|\theta)])$ which we hope $= \theta_0$.

Define $D(\theta; \theta_0) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(\ln[f(X|\theta)])$. We will show that $D(\theta_0; \theta_0) - D(\theta; \theta_0) \geq 0 \forall \theta$.

Kullback-Liebler Divergence: Let f_0 and f_1 be any two PDFs/PMFs. The Kullback-Liebler divergence from f_0 to f_1 is $K(f_0, f_1) = -\mathbb{E}_{f_0}[\ln \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)}]$

$$D(\theta_0; \theta_0) - D(\theta; \theta_0) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[\ln(f(X|\theta_0)) - \ln(f(X|\theta))] = -\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}[\ln \frac{f(X|\theta)}{f(X|\theta_0)}].$$

Lemma: For any two PDFs/PMFs f_0, f_1 , $K(f_0, f_1) \geq 0$, with equality iff $f_0 \equiv f_1$.

Remember Jensen's Inequality: When $g(x)$ is convex (happy), $\mathbb{E}[g(x)] \geq g(\mathbb{E}[x])$.

Proof (Discrete Case): Suppose $X \sim f_0$ and set $Z = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)}$. Let $S_j = \{x : f_j(x) > 0\}$

Since $g(z) = -\ln(z)$ is convex and $\mathbb{E}_{f_0}(z) = \sum_{x \in S_0} \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)} f_0(x) = \sum_{x \in S_0} f_1(x) \leq 1$.

By Jensen's Inequality: $K(f_0, f_1) = -\mathbb{E}_{f_0}[\ln(Z)] = \mathbb{E}_{f_0}[g(z)] \underset{1}{\geq} g(\mathbb{E}_{f_0}(z)) \underset{2}{\geq} 0$.

This is only 'equal' when g is linear. Since $g(z)$ is not linear, equality in 1 only happens iff $Z = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0} = c \neq 0, \forall x \in S_0, [S_0 \subset S_1]$. Equality in 2 only happens iff $\sum_{x \in S_0} f_1(x) = 1, [S_1 \subset S_0]$.

Suppose 1 and 2 are equalities. $1 = \sum_{x \in S_1} f_1(x) = \sum_{x \in S_1} c f_0(x) = c \sum_{x \in S_1} f_0(x) = c \sum_{x \in S_0} f_0(x) = c$

3 Decision Theory

3.1 Bayesian Estimation

$$x_1, \dots, x_n \sim f(x|\theta), \theta \in \Theta$$

Definition: A priori distribution π for θ is a PDF/PMf over Θ : $\int_{\Theta} \pi(\theta) d\theta = 1, \pi(\theta) \geq 0, \theta \in \Theta$

Main Idea: π tells us what θ s are "important". $\pi(\theta|x)$ tells us which are important after knowing x .

Definition: If we observed $x \in X$, the posterior distribution is: $\pi(\theta|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta) d\theta}$

Here, $m(x) = \int_{\Theta} f(\theta|x)\pi(\theta) d\theta$ is the "marginal" distribution of x .

Some logical things to do with $\pi(\theta|x)$:

1. Estimate θ using a measure of 'center':

$$2. \hat{\theta} = \mathbb{E}(\theta|x) = \int_{\Theta} \theta \pi(\theta|x) d\theta$$

$$3. 0.5 = \int_0^{\hat{\theta}} \pi(\theta|x) d\theta$$

$$4. \hat{\theta}(x) = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} [\pi(\theta|x)]$$

Example: $x_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(\theta), \theta \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ (the common prior for the Bernoulli is the Beta: also defined on 0:1)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\theta) &= \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1}, 0 < \theta < 1 \\ &\propto \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{1-x_i} = \theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i}$$

$$\pi(\theta|x) = \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta) \int_0^1 \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum x_i} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} d\theta}$$

Denominator is just a function of x (integrating out θ) and some constants.

$$\implies \pi(\theta|x) \propto \theta^{\alpha+\sum x_i-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta+n-\sum x_i-1}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \theta|x \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha + \sum x_i, \beta + n - \sum x_i)$$

$$\text{The posterior mean is } \hat{\theta}(x) = \frac{\alpha + \sum x_i}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$

Take-aways from this example:

1. Both $\pi(\theta)$ and $\pi(\theta|x)$ were Beta distributions. We say then that the Beta is conjugate for the Bernoulli likelihood
2. We did not need to compute the $m(x)$. In practice, usually can't compute it and instead rely on sampling (MCMC, etc.)

3. The usual frequentist estimate is \bar{x} (this would be the limiting case of $\alpha = \beta = 0$). In fact, $\hat{\theta}_B(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta+n} + \frac{\sum x_i}{\alpha+\beta+n} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha+\beta+n}\right) + \bar{X} \left(\frac{n}{\alpha+\beta+n}\right)$. That is, the posterior mean is a weighted average of the prior mean and the MLE. As α or β go to infinity, result is dominated by prior. As n goes to infinity, get more weight on the \bar{X}

3.2 Conjugate Priors

Definition: Let $f(x|\theta)$ be a family of PMFs/PDFs indexed by $\theta \in \Theta$. A family of distributions is $\Pi = \{\pi(\theta)\}$ is said to be conjugate for $f(x|\theta)$ if $\pi \in \Pi \implies \pi(\theta|x) \in \Pi$.

Note: Number of parameters in conjugate family is always going to be 1 more than the base distribution.

If $f(x|\theta)$ is an exponential family, $f(x|\theta) = (\pi h(x_i)) e^{\sum_{j=1}^k T_j(x) w_j(\theta) + n \ln[c(\theta)]}$, $T_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_j(x_i)$

A conjugate family with hyperparameter $t \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ is $\pi_t(\theta) \propto e^{\sum_{j=1}^k t_j w_j(\theta) + t_{k+1} \ln[c(\theta)]}$

where t must satisfy $\int_{\theta} e^{\sum_{j=1}^k t_j w_j(\theta) + t_{k+1} \ln[c(\theta)]} < \infty$

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2)$, σ^2 is known

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\theta) &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} e^{1/(2\sigma^2) \sum (x_i - \theta)^2} \\ &= h(x) e^{\frac{n\bar{x}}{\sigma^2} \theta - \frac{n}{2\sigma^2} \theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the conjugate prior has the form $\pi_t(\theta) \propto e^{t_1 \theta + t_2 \theta^2}$. This is e to a quadratic which must be a Normal. We know t_2 must be negative so the tails die out.

Since this must be a normal distribution, find the mean and variance in terms of t_1 and t_2 :

Let ν, τ^2 represent mean and variance that correspond to (t_1, t_2) . $t_2 = \frac{-1}{2\tau^2}, t_1 = \frac{\nu}{\tau^2}$

$$\pi_t(\theta) \propto e^{\frac{n\bar{x}}{\sigma^2} \theta - \frac{n}{2\sigma^2} \theta^2} e^{t_1 \theta + t_2 \theta^2} = e^{(t_1 + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\sigma^2}) \theta + (t_2 - \frac{n}{2\sigma^2}) \theta^2} = e^{t_1^* \theta + t_2^* \theta^2}$$

$$\implies \theta|x \sim N(\nu^*, \tau^{*2}) \text{ where } \tau^{*2} = -\frac{1}{2t_2^*} = \frac{\sigma^2 \tau^2}{n\tau^2 + \sigma^2}, \nu^* = \frac{\tau^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2/n} \bar{X} + \frac{\sigma^2/n}{\tau^2 + \sigma^2/n} \nu$$

3.3 Bayes Rules

Definition: A Bayes rule for a loss function $l(t|\theta)$ and a prior π is an estimator W^* for which the Bayes risk is minimized: $r_{\pi}(W^*) \leq r_{\pi}(W) \forall W$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_\pi(W) &= \int_{\Theta} R(\theta; W) \pi(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \int_{\Theta} \mathbb{E}[l(W(x), \theta) | \theta] \pi(\theta) d\theta \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{X}} \mathbb{E}[l(W(x), \theta) | X = x] m(x) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{X}} r_\pi(W(x) | x) m(x) dx
\end{aligned}$$

If $r_\pi(W^*(x) | x) \leq r_\pi(W(x) | x)$, then W^* is the Bayes Rule

Example: $l(t, \theta) = (t - \theta)^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_\pi(w(x) | x) &= \mathbb{E}[(w(x) - \theta)^2 | X = x] \\
&= \int_{\Theta} (w(x) - \theta)^2 \pi(\theta | x) d\theta \\
&= \int_{\Theta} (w^2(x) - 2w(x)\theta + \theta^2) \pi(\theta | x) d\theta \\
&= w^2(x) - 2w(x) \mathbb{E}[\theta | X = x] + \mathbb{E}[\theta^2 | X = x] \implies w^*(x) \\
&= \frac{2 \mathbb{E}[\theta | X = x]}{2(1)} \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\theta | X = x]
\end{aligned}$$

When using squared-error loss, the Bayes Rule is the posterior mean, when using absolute value squared-error loss it's the posterior median.

4 Jan 29

REVIEW

$$r_\pi(w) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} r_\pi(W(x) | x) m(x) dx$$

$$r_\pi(w(x) | x) = \mathbb{E}[l(W(x), \theta) | X = x]$$

If $l(t, \theta) = (t - \theta)^2 \implies$ The Bayes Rule is $\mathbb{E}[\theta | X = x]$

If $l(t, \theta) = |t - \theta| \implies w^*(x)$ is the posterior median.

A reasonable loss smight be realted to SEL or AEL (Squared/Absolute Error Loss): $l(t, \theta) = g(\theta)(t - \theta)^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_\pi(w(x)|x) &= \int_{\Theta} l(t, \theta) \pi(\theta|x) d\theta \\
&= \int_{\Theta} g(\theta) (t - \theta)^2 \pi(\theta|x) d\theta \\
&= \int_{\Theta} (t - \theta)^2 [g(\theta) \pi(\theta|x)] d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Provided $\int_{\Theta} [g(\theta) \pi(\theta|x)] d\theta$ is finite (integrable), it becomes the Kernel of another function: define: $\tilde{\pi}(\theta|x) \propto g(\theta) \pi(\theta|x)$

Then $r_\pi(w(x)|x) \propto \int_{\Theta} (t - \theta)^2 \tilde{\pi}(\theta|x) d\theta = r_{\tilde{\pi}}(w(x)|x)$ (under SEL) $\implies w^*(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{\pi}}(\theta|X = x)$

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\theta)$

$\pi(\theta)$ is the $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$

$$l(t, \theta) = \left(\frac{t}{\theta} - 1\right)^2 = \frac{(t - \theta)^2}{\theta^2} \implies g(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

$g(\theta) \pi(\theta|x) \propto \frac{1}{\theta^2} \theta^n e^{\theta \sum x_i} \theta^{\alpha-1} e^{-\theta/\beta} = \theta^{\alpha+n-3} e^{-\theta(\sum x_i + \beta^{-1})}$ which is a $\text{Gamma}(\alpha + n - 2, (\sum x_i + \beta^{-1})^{-1})$ (note that we need $n \geq 2$ to have valid parameters).

The Bayes Rule is $w^*(x) = \frac{\alpha+n-2}{\sum x_i + \beta^{-1}}$

5 Best Unbiased Estimation

Recall: $MSE_\theta(w) = \mathbb{E}[(w - \theta)^2]$ (this is a risk function, so common it has its own name!)

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$1. w(x) = \bar{x}, MSE_{\mu, \sigma^2}(\bar{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu, \sigma^2}[(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]$$

Since $\bar{x} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$, $MSE_{\mu, \sigma^2}(\bar{x}) = \text{Var}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$

$$2. \text{ Let } s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{n-1} \chi_{n-1}^2$$

$$MSE_{\mu, \sigma^2}(s^2) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu, \sigma^2}[(s^2 - \sigma^2)^2] = \text{Var}(s^2) = \frac{\sigma^4}{(n-1)^2} 2(n-1) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}$$

In general:

$$\begin{aligned}
MSE_\theta(w) &= \mathbb{E}_\theta[(w - \theta)^2] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\theta[(w - \mathbb{E}_\theta[w] + \mathbb{E}_\theta[w] - \theta)^2] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_\theta[(w - \mathbb{E}_\theta[w])^2] + (\mathbb{E}_\theta[w] - \theta)^2 + 2 \mathbb{E}_\theta[(w - \mathbb{E}_\theta[w])(\mathbb{E}_\theta[w] - \theta)] \\
&= \text{Var}_\theta(w) + \text{Bias}_\theta^2(w), \text{Bias}_\theta(w) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[w] - \theta
\end{aligned}$$

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \text{ (MLE/MM)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
MSE_{\hat{\sigma}^2}(\hat{\sigma}^2) &= Var_{\hat{\sigma}^2}(\hat{\sigma}^2) + Bias_{\hat{\sigma}^2}^2(\hat{\sigma}^2) \\
&= Var_{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}s^2\right) + Bias_{\sigma^2}^2\left(\frac{n-1}{n}s^2\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} + [\mathbb{E}_{\sigma^2}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}s^2\right) - \sigma^2]^2 \\
&= \frac{2\sigma^4(n-1)}{n^2} + \left[\frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2 - \sigma^2\right]^2 \\
&= \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2} \\
&= \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}\left[\frac{(n-1/2)(n-1)}{n^2}\right] < MSE_{\sigma^2}(s^2)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau(\theta)$ be some estimand:

Define: w is said to be unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$ if $\mathbb{E}_\theta(w) = \tau(\theta) \forall \theta \in \Theta$

Definition: An estimator w^* is said to be a (uniformly) minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) of $\tau(\theta)$ if:

1. w^* is unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$
2. For any w unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, $Var(w) \geq Var_\theta(w^*)$

Example: $x_1, \dots, x_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathbb{E}[x_i] = \theta$

Let $w_1 = x_1, w_2 = \bar{x}$. Both are unbiased, but \bar{x} has smaller variance. To prove the MVUE, we'd have to compare w_2 to all other w s

Example: $x_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$

Both \bar{x}, s^2 are unbiased. \bar{x} is simpler, and also, $Var(\bar{x}) = \frac{\lambda}{n} < Var_\lambda(s^2)$

Two ways to establish that an estimator is an MVUE:

1. Find a lower bound $L(\theta)$ such that $Var_\theta(w) \geq L(\theta)$ for all unbiased w . Then, $Var_\theta(w^*) = L(\theta)$, w^* is MVUE
2. Show that the MVUE has characteristic properties and construct w^* with those properties

5.1 Cramer-Rao Lower Bound

The score function is $\psi(x; \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln(f(x|\theta))$

CR Condition: For any statistic W with finite expectation -

$$(|\mathbb{E}_\theta[W]| < \infty \forall \theta), \quad \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbb{E}_\theta[W] = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{\mathbb{X}} W(x) f(x|\theta) dx = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} W(x) f(x|\theta) dx$$

$$\text{Notice: } \frac{\partial f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta)} = \frac{\partial \ln(f(x|\theta))}{\partial \theta} f(x|\theta) = \psi(x; \theta) f(x|\theta)$$

$$\text{Thus: } \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbb{E}_\theta[W] = \int_{\mathbb{X}} W(x) \psi(x; \theta) f(x|\theta) dx$$

Definition: The Information Number (Fisher Information) is:

$$I_n(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[\psi^2(x; \theta)] \text{ (Scalar)}$$

$$I_n(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[\psi(x; \theta)\psi(x; \theta)^\top] \text{ (Vector)}$$

Fact: If CR condition holds, then the Fisher Information is the variance of the score:

$$I_n(\theta) = \text{Var}_\theta(\psi(x; \theta)) \text{ (note: this means that } \mathbb{E}_\theta[\psi(x; \theta)] = 0)$$

Theorem: CRLB

Suppose the CR Condition holds and $0 < I_n(\theta) < \infty$. If W is a statistic satisfying:

- i) $\mathbb{E}_\theta[W] = \tau(\theta), |\tau(\theta)| < \infty$ (unbiased estimator for $\tau(\theta)$) and
- ii) $\text{Var}_\theta(W) < \infty \forall \theta$

$$\text{then } \text{Var}_\theta(W) \geq \frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{I_n(\theta)} \text{ (for scalar } \theta)$$

Remember: Cauchy-Schwartz: $|x^\top y| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \implies |\mathbb{E}[xy]| \leq \mathbb{E}[x^2]^{1/2} \mathbb{E}[y^2]^{1/2}$. Equality only happens when $x = ay$

Proof: CRLB

Apply CS inequality to $Y_\theta = W - \tau(\theta), z_\theta = \psi(x; \theta)$

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau'(\theta)| &= \left| \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbb{E}_\theta[W] \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{X}} W(x) \psi(x; \theta) f(x|\theta) dx \right| = |\mathbb{E}_\theta[W \psi(x; \theta)]| \text{ (here, because } \psi \text{ has mean zero we can add constant on } W) \\ &= |\mathbb{E}_\theta[Y_\theta z_\theta]| \leq [\text{Var}_\theta(Y_\theta) \text{Var}_\theta(z_\theta)]^{1/2} = [\text{Var}_\theta(W) I_n(\theta)]^{1/2} \implies \text{Var}_\theta(W) \geq \frac{(\tau'(\theta))^2}{I_n(\theta)} \end{aligned}$$

Comments:

- 1) To apply this result, recall that we have equality in the CRLB iff $W(X) - \tau(\theta) = k(\theta)\psi(x; \theta)$ (some constant times the score, refer to Cauchy Schwartz again). **THIS IS VERY IMPORTANT!!!!**
- 2) In the iid case, let $I_1(\theta) = \text{Var}_\theta(\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln(f(x_1|\theta)))$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(\theta) &= \text{Var}_\theta\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \ln(f(\mathbf{x}|\theta))\right) \\ &= \text{Var}_\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln(f(\mathbf{x}|\theta))\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}_\theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln(f(x_i|\theta))\right) \\ &= nI_1(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

$$3) \text{ If } \frac{d}{d\theta} \mathbb{E}_\theta[\psi(x; \theta)] = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [\psi(x; \theta) f(x|\theta)] dx \text{ then: } I_n(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}_\theta\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi(x; \theta)\right] \text{ (when iid:)} = -n \mathbb{E}_\theta\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln(f(x_1|\theta))\right]$$

$$4) \text{ If } \tau(\theta) \text{ is a vector, } \text{Var}_\theta(W) \succeq \tau'(\theta)^\top I_n^{-1}(\theta) \tau'(\theta) \text{ (} A \succeq B \text{ means } A - B \text{ is Positive Definite)}$$

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\theta), i = 1, \dots, n$

- a) Consider $\tau(\theta) = \theta$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(x; \theta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln[e^{-n\theta} \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!}] \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [-n\theta + \ln(\theta) \sum x_i - \sum \ln(x_i!)] \\
&= -n + \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta} \\
&= \frac{n}{\theta} (\bar{x} - \theta) \\
&\implies \frac{\theta}{n} \psi(x; \theta) \\
&= \bar{x} - \theta \implies \bar{x} \text{ is the MVUE of } \theta.
\end{aligned}$$

To find $Var_\theta(\bar{x})$, we know that $Var_\theta(\bar{x}) = \frac{\theta}{n}$. We can also use the CRLB since it's an equality: $\tau'(\theta) = 1, I_n(\theta) = nI_1(\theta) = n(-\mathbb{E}_\theta[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln(f(x_1|\theta))]) = -n \mathbb{E}_\theta[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (-1 + \frac{x_1}{\theta})] = n \mathbb{E}_\theta[\frac{x_1}{\theta^2}] = \frac{n\theta}{\theta^2} = \frac{n}{\theta} \implies CRLB = \frac{1}{n/\theta} = \frac{\theta}{n}$

b) Consider $\tau(\theta) = \theta^2$

Done in class on paper: \bar{x}^2 is a biased estimator: $\mathbb{E}[\bar{x}^2] = Var(\bar{x}) + \mathbb{E}[\bar{x}]^2 = Var(\bar{x}) + \theta^2$. The bias is $Var(\bar{x}) = \theta/n$

Example: $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2), \theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$I_n(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} n/\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & n/(2\sigma^4) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\tau(\theta) = \mu, \tau'(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

If W is unbiased for μ , $Var_\theta(W) \geq [\tau'(\theta)]^\top I_n^{-1}(\theta) \tau'(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2/n & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma^4/n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^2/n \implies \bar{x} \text{ is MVUE.}$

$$\tau(\theta) = \sigma^2 \implies \tau'(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If W is unbiased for σ^2 : $Var_\theta(W) \geq 2\sigma^2/n$

$$Var_\theta(s^2) = 2\sigma^4/(n-1) > 2\sigma^4/n$$

Example: (CR Condition Fails)

$X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Unif(0, \theta)$ (implicitly assuming $\tau(\theta) = \theta$)

$$\psi(x; \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln[f(x|\theta)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln[\theta^{-n} I(0 < X_{(n)} \leq \theta)]$$

We can still compute $\mathbb{E}_\theta[\psi^2(x; \theta)] = \mathbb{E}_\theta[(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (-n \ln(\theta)))^2] = \frac{n^2}{\theta^2} \implies$ The CRLB would be: $\frac{1}{n^2/\theta^2} = \frac{\theta^2}{n^2}$

$W(x) = \frac{n+1}{n} X_{(n)}$ is unbiased for θ . However, $Var_\theta(W) = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)} < \frac{\theta^2}{n^2}$. This is possible because the CR Condition didn't hold in the first place, so the CRLB wasn't actually a valid representation of the lower bound.

6 Constructing MVUEs using Completeness and Sufficiency

Let W be an unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ and T be any statistic. Consider $\mathbb{E}_\theta[W|T]$ as a new random variable.

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[\mathbb{E}_\theta(W|T)] = \mathbb{E}_\theta[W]$$

If I condition in a way that I still get a statistic, it remains an unbiased estimator.

$$\text{Var}_\theta(W) = \text{Var}_\theta(\mathbb{E}_\theta[W|T]) + \mathbb{E}_\theta[\text{Var}_\theta(W|T)] \geq \text{Var}_\theta(\mathbb{E}_\theta[W|T])$$

Questions moving forward:

1. How can we choose T so that $\mathbb{E}_\theta[W|T]$ doesn't depend on θ ? (sufficiency)
2. How can we choose T to maximally reduce the variance? (completeness)

6.1 Sufficiency

Because W is a statistic, if $x|T$ does not depend on θ , then neither will W .

Definition: A statistic T is sufficient if the distribution of $X|T$ does not depend on θ .

Interpretation: If T is sufficient, then two data points x, y should lead to the same inference if $T(x) = T(y)$.

Obvious Sufficient Statistics include:

- $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- $(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(n)})$

Fact: If I know $T(x)$ I can generate a new dataset Y with the exact same distribution as X and $T(x) = T(y)$.

Example: $x_1, \dots, x_n \sim \text{Bern}(\theta)$. Then $\sum x_i$ is sufficient.

Generate Y using $\sum x_i$ such that $Y_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(\theta)$

$$P(Y = y | \sum x_i = t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \sum y_i \neq t \\ \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}} & \sum y_i = t \end{cases}$$

$$P_\theta(Y = y) = \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{1}{\binom{n}{t}} I(\sum y_i = t) P_\theta(\sum x_i = t) = \sum_{t=0}^n I(\sum y_i = t) \binom{n}{t} \theta^t (1-\theta)^{n-t} = \theta^{\sum y_i} (1-\theta)^{n-\sum y_i}$$

7 Feb 10

Sufficiency: If we take an unbiased estimator, and condition it with a sufficient statistic, it will stay an unbiased estimator that does not depend on θ .

$$\text{If } T \text{ is sufficient (discrete case), } P_\theta(X = x | T(X) = t) = \frac{P_\theta(X=x \text{ and } T(X)=t)}{P_\theta(T(X)=t)} = \frac{P_\theta(X=x) I(t=T(x))}{P_\theta(T(X)=T(x))} = \begin{cases} 0 & t \neq T(x) \\ \frac{p(x|\theta)}{q(T(x)|\theta)} & t = T(x) \end{cases}$$

Theorem: Factorization

A statistic $T(X)$ is sufficient iff there are functions $h(X)$ and $g(t, \theta)$ such that $f(X|\theta) = h(X)g(T(x), \theta)$.

Proof (Discrete):

If T is sufficient, take $g(t, \theta) = q(t|\theta)$, $h(x) = \frac{p(x|\theta)}{q(T(x)|\theta)}$. That yields the same as above.

$$\text{Now, assume the factorization holds: } q(t|\theta) = P_\theta(T(X) = t) = \sum_{y: T(y)=t} p(y|\theta) = \sum_{y: T(y)=t} h(y)g(T(y), \theta) = \left[\sum_{y: T(y)=t} h(y) \right] g(t, \theta).$$

Now, $\frac{p(x|\theta)}{q(T(x)|\theta)} = \frac{h(x)g(T(x),\theta)}{\sum_{y:T(y)=T(x)} h(y)g(T(x),\theta)} = \frac{h(x)}{\sum_{y:T(y)=T(x)} h(y)}$ which does not involve θ .

Example:

$$X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\theta, 1)$$

$$f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_i - \theta)^2} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp(-1/2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2)$$

Example factorizations

1. $(2\pi)^{-n/2} : h(x)$ or could be absorbed into g (doesn't really matter)

$g(T(x), \theta)$ is the exponential: $T(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$2. (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp(-1/2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2)$$

$$T(x) = (x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(n)})$$

$$3. (2\pi)^{-n/2} \exp(-1/2 \sum x_i^2) \exp(n\bar{x}\theta - \frac{n\theta^2}{2})$$

$$\implies T(X) = \sum x_i \text{ or } T(X) = \bar{x} \text{ are sufficient}$$

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2), \theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$f(x|\theta) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sigma^{-n} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum x_i^2 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \sum x_i - \frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

$T(x) = (\sum x_i, \sum x_i^2)$ is sufficient.

Note: Any 1:1 transformation of a sufficient statistic is sufficient!

Set $t_1 = \sum x_i, t_2 = \sum x_i^2$: $\bar{x} = \frac{t_1}{n}, s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} (\sum x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2) = \frac{1}{n-1} (t_2 - \frac{t_1^2}{n}) \implies (\bar{x}, s^2)$ is also sufficient.

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Unif}(\theta, \theta + 1)$

$$f(x|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n I(x_i > \theta) I(x_i < \theta + 1) = I(X_{(1)} > \theta) I(X_{(n)} < \theta + 1) \implies T(X) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)}) \text{ is sufficient.}$$

Example (Exponential Families)

$$f(x|\theta) = (\prod h(x_i)) \exp[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k w_j(\theta) t_j(x_i) + n \ln(c(\theta))] = \tilde{h}(x) \exp[\sum_{j=1}^k w_j(\theta) T_j(x) + n \ln(c(\theta))]$$

$$\implies (T_1(x), \dots, T_k(x)) \text{ is a sufficient statistic.}$$

8 Feb 12

Definition: A sufficient statistic is said to be minimal sufficient if it is a function of any other sufficient statistic.

Note: a function $g(x)$ is a function of $f(x)$ iff $f(x) = f(y) \implies g(x) = g(y)$

Let $T(X)$ be any sufficient statistic. Then by the factorization theorem, $f(x|\theta) = h(x)g(T(x), \theta)$. Therefore, when $T(x) = T(y)$, $\frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(y|\theta)} = \frac{h(x)g(T(x), \theta)}{h(y)g(T(y), \theta)} = \frac{h(x)}{h(y)}$: doesn't depend on θ . When minimally sufficient, this implies the ratio will not have a

dependence on θ . We also want this to go the other way.

Theorem: A sufficient statistic is minimal sufficient if $\frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(y|\theta)}$ is independent of θ implies $T(x) = T(y)$.

Proof: Define T^* to be any sufficient statistic, and T satisfies the above theorem condition. If $T^*(x) = T^*(y)$ then by factorization theorem, $\frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(y|\theta)} = \frac{h^*(x)g^*(T^*(x),\theta)}{h^*(y)g^*(T^*(y),\theta)} = \frac{h^*(x)}{h^*(y)}$ which doesn't depend on θ .

By the above theorem, $T(x) = T(y)$, so $T(x)$ is minimal sufficient.

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

1) σ^2 known: $f(x|\mu) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2} \exp(1\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{n\mu\bar{x}}{\sigma^2} - \frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2})$

$\frac{f(x|\mu)}{f(y|\mu)} = \exp(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} [\sum x_i^2 - \sum y_i^2]) \exp(\frac{n\mu}{\sigma^2} (\bar{x} - \bar{y}))$. The only way to have independence from μ is if $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$, so $T(x) = \bar{x}$ is minimal sufficient.

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Unif}(0, 1)$

$f(x|\theta) = I(\theta < x_{(1)}) I(\theta + 1 > x_{(n)})$

$\frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(y|\theta)} = \frac{I(\theta < x_{(1)}) I(\theta + 1 > x_{(n)})}{I(\theta < y_{(1)}) I(\theta + 1 > y_{(n)})}$. For this to be independent of θ , the corresponding indicators must 'change' at the same values, meaning $x_{(1)} = y_{(1)}$ and $x_{(n)} = y_{(n)}$. Therefore, $T = (x_{(1)}, x_{(n)})$ is minimal sufficient.

In this family, $T'(x) = (\frac{x_{(n)} - 1 + x_{(1)}}{2}, x_{(n)} - x_{(1)})$ is minimal sufficient. Notice $X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} = (X_{(n)} - \theta) - (X_{(1)} - \theta) \stackrel{D}{=} z_{(n)} - z_{(1)}$, $Z_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ meaning that part of the minimal statistic does not depend on θ : but the minimal statistic is supposed to be the minimal information to describe θ : uh oh

Definition: A statistic S is ancillary to θ if its distribution does not depend on θ .

Finding Ancillary Statistics:

1. Location Families: $f(x|\theta) = g(x - \theta)$. $X_i - \theta \stackrel{D}{=} Z \sim g \implies X_i - X_j$ is ancillary. Or $X_{(i)} - X_{(j)}$, etc. Spacing between the data is ancillary in a location family

2. Scale Families: $f(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} g(x/\theta), \theta > 0$. $\frac{X_i}{\theta} \stackrel{D}{=} Z \sim g \implies \frac{X_i}{X_j}, \frac{X_{(i)}}{X_{(j)}}$.

9 Completeness

Want statistics that are "completely about θ "

Definition: A statistic T is complete for θ if, for a transformation $g(T)$, $\mathbb{E}_\theta[g(T)] = 0 \implies g(T) = 0$ with probability 1.

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(x_i|\theta)$

$T(X) = X$ is not complete. Let $g(T) = x_1 - x_2$. Then $P(g(T) \neq 0) > 0$, but $\mathbb{E}_\theta[g(T)] = \mathbb{E}_\theta[x_1] - \mathbb{E}_\theta[x_2] = 0$.

Example: $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Unif}(\theta, \theta + 1)$

$T = (x_{(1)}, x_{(n)})$ is not complete. Let $g(T) = x_{(n)} - x_{(1)} - \mathbb{E}[X_{(n)} - x_{(1)}]$. Since $P(g(T) \neq 0) > 0$, $\mathbb{E}_\theta(g(T)) = 0$, T is not complete.