

Part 1: Problem Set 5

- (1) Write a simple program to perform $Z = A + B + C - (D \times E)$

```
AREA                LabTwo, CODE, READONLY
ENTRY

MOV                 r0, #5
MOV                 r1, #2
MOV                 r2, #2
MOV                 r3, #2
MOV                 r4, #3
ADD                 r0, r0, r1
ADD                 r0, r0, r2
MUL                 r5, r3, r4
SUB                 r5, r0, r5

END
```

- (2) Assume A, B, C, D, and E are 16-bit values in memory.

```
AREA                LabTwo, CODE, READONLY
ENTRY

LDR                 r0, A
LDR                 r1, B
LDR                 r2, C
LDR                 r3, D
LDR                 r4, E
ADD                 r0, r0, r1
ADD                 r0, r0, r2
MUL                 r5, r3, r4
SUB                 r5, r0, r5

A                   DCD      4
B                   DCD      12
C                   DCD     -2
D                   DCD      2
E                   DCD      3

END
```

- (3) Write a program that has deliberate syntax errors, and then debug it.

The syntax errors we did was not put whitespace before the opcode in part 1 and then we put whitespace before the directive when it needs to be next to the margin. We were getting an A1163E error.

```
AREA                LabTwo, CODE, READONLY
ENTRY

LDR                 r0, A
LDR                 r1, B
```

```

        LDR        r2, C
        LDR        r3, D
        LDR        r4, E
        ADD        r0, r0, r1
        ADD        r0, r0, r2
        MUL        r5, r3, r4
        SUB        r5, r0, r5

A        DCD        4
B        DCD        12
C        DCD        -2
D        DCD        2
E        DCD        3

        END

```

Part 2: Examination of compiler output

Palindrome Checking

C function

```

/* C */
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>

int main(void){
    char str[4] = "mom", rev[4];
    int i, j, k;

    for(i = strlen(str)-1 , j = 0; i >= 0; i--, j++){
        rev[j] = str[i];
    }
    rev[j] = '\0';

    if(strcmp(rev, str)){
        k = 0;
    }else{
        k = 1;
    }

    return 0;
}

```

O0

```

;## -O0 ##
main:
    push    {r7, lr}
    sub     sp, sp, #24
    add     r7, sp, #0
    movw    r3, #:lower16:__stack_chk_guard
    movt    r3, #:upper16:__stack_chk_guard

```

```

    ldr    r3, [r3, #0]
    str    r3, [r7, #20]
    movw   r3, #28525
    movt   r3, 109
    str    r3, [r7, #12]
    add    r3, r7, #12
    mov    r0, r3
    bl     strlen
    mov    r3, r0
    add    r3, r3, #-1
    str    r3, [r7, #0]
    mov    r3, #0
    str    r3, [r7, #4]
    b      .L2
.L3:
    add    r2, r7, #12
    ldr    r3, [r7, #0]
    adds   r3, r2, r3
    ldrb   r2, [r3, #0]
    add    r1, r7, #16
    ldr    r3, [r7, #4]
    adds   r3, r1, r3
    strb   r2, [r3, #0]
    ldr    r3, [r7, #0]
    add    r3, r3, #-1
    str    r3, [r7, #0]
    ldr    r3, [r7, #4]
    add    r3, r3, #1
    str    r3, [r7, #4]
.L2:
    ldr    r3, [r7, #0]
    mvn    r3, r3
    lsr    r3, r3, #31
    uxtb   r3, r3
    cmp    r3, #0
    bne    .L3
    add    r2, r7, #16
    ldr    r3, [r7, #4]
    adds   r3, r2, r3
    mov    r2, #0
    strb   r2, [r3, #0]
    add    r2, r7, #16
    add    r3, r7, #12
    mov    r0, r2
    mov    r1, r3
    bl     strcmp
    mov    r3, r0
    cmp    r3, #0
    beq    .L4
    mov    r3, #0
    str    r3, [r7, #8]

```

@ zero_extendqisi2

```

        b        .L5
.L4:
        mov     r3, #1
        str     r3, [r7, #8]
.L5:
        mov     r3, #0
        mov     r0, r3
        movw    r3, #:lower16:__stack_chk_guard
        movt    r3, #:upper16:__stack_chk_guard
        ldr     r2, [r7, #20]
        ldr     r3, [r3, #0]
        cmp     r2, r3
        beq     .L6
        bl      __stack_chk_fail
.L6:
        add     r7, r7, #24
        mov     sp, r7
        pop     {r7, pc}

```

O1

```

;## -O1 ##
main:
        push    {lr}
        sub     sp, sp, #12
        movw    r3, #:lower16:__stack_chk_guard
        movt    r3, #:upper16:__stack_chk_guard
        ldr     r3, [r3, #0]
        str     r3, [sp, #4]
        movw    r3, #28525
        movt    r3, 109
        add     r0, sp, #8
        str     r3, [r0, #-8]!
        mov     r0, sp
        bl      strlen
        subs    r0, r0, #1
        bmi     .L2
.L5:
        subs    r0, r0, #1
        bpl     .L5
.L2:
        mov     r0, #0
        movw    r3, #:lower16:__stack_chk_guard
        movt    r3, #:upper16:__stack_chk_guard
        ldr     r2, [sp, #4]
        ldr     r3, [r3, #0]
        cmp     r2, r3
        beq     .L4
        bl      __stack_chk_fail
.L4:
        add     sp, sp, #12
        pop     {pc}

```

O2

```
### -O2 ##  
main:  
    movs    r0, #0  
    bx      lr
```

O3

```
### -O3 ##  
main:  
    movs    r0, #0  
    bx      lr
```

Os

```
### -Os ##  
main:  
    movs    r0, #0  
    bx      lr
```

Discussion

- O0 In the -O0 assembly version of a palindrome checker, there is significantly more code used here than in the version written for the homework assignment. One of the most notable differences is the amount of keywords used for values. The other difference is that the assembly written for the homework assignment is much easier to follow. The other difference is that the C version is written assuming a working operating system in place, instead of bare bones access to the CPU itself, as well as library interaction.
- O1 In the -O1 assembly version, there was a significant drop in the length of code being executed. Following the code is still much harder to do because there are still non-documented offsets, variables, and jump locations not directly documented in the segment of code. But there is much more code reuse in this version. there is also the same difference in the C version vs. this one in that the C version assumes there are libraries already available, the the homework version assumes no libraries available.
- O2 In this optimization level, there seems to be an error not reported through the web interface, as there are only 2 operations performed. This type of unexpected behaviour can occur when utilizing optimization levels. However, the amount of failure in this situation signals that an error has occurred somewhere else.
- O3 Again, this optimization level only shows 2 operations when translated to assembly; just as in the -O2 case. This amount of errors could mean that in the ARM version of the string.h libraries could behave incorrectly when any optimization level above 1 is used.
- O2 This optimization level is the most surprising with the results. This optimization level would logically seem like it should work, however the results showed -Os was broken as well. With this amount of broken compiled code, it would seem as if the way the palindrome checking was written is not the best possible algorithm possible and could be quite fragile.