



```

name:  mainlog
log:   C:\Users\Conor\Documents\Conor\Grad School\TA Work\Econ 103 - Econometric
> s\STATA Work\Week 10\wk10_section_log.smcl
log type:  smcl
opened on: 12 Mar 2018, 20:13:16

1 .
2 . // Demonstration STATA code for week 10
3 . // Principles of Econometrics 4th Edition
4 . // Covered Problems: 8.22
5 .
6 . set more off

7 . clear all

8 . use lasvegas.dta, clear

9 .
10. ////////////////////////////////////////////
> //////////////////////////////////////////// Question 8.22 ////////////////////////////////////////////
> ////////////////////////////////////////////
>
11. *****
12. *Part A: Estimate the linear probability model (regression) using the model
13. * explaining DELINQUENT as a function of the remaining variables. Use the White
14. * test with cross-product terms included to test for heteroskedasticity. Why did
15. * we include the cross-product terms?
16. *****
17.
18. // Run OLS
19. qui reg delinquent lvr ref insur rate amount credit term arm

20.
21. // For the White test, we can use the command: estat imtest, white
22. // We are interested in the output before the table with a longer decomposition
23. estat imtest, white

White's test for Ho: homoskedasticity
    against Ha: unrestricted heteroskedasticity

      chi2(40)    =    219.97
      Prob > chi2 =    0.0000

Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

```

Source	chi2	df	p
Heteroskedasticity	219.97	40	0.0000
Skewness	164.83	8	0.0000
Kurtosis	45.66	1	0.0000
Total	430.46	49	0.0000

```

24.
25. *****
26. *Part B: Use the estimates from (a) to estimate the error variances for each
27. * observation. How many of these estimates are at least one? How many are at

```

```

28. * most zero? How many are less than 0.01?
29. *****
30.
31. // Generate regression fitted values
32. predict yHat, xb

33.
34. // Generate variance using yHat*(1-yHat)
35. // Note, this variance comes from our model, and is different from eHat^2
36. gen varHat = yHat*(1-yHat)

37.
38. // Generate varHat_tab for easy presentation of the three conditions described
39. gen varHat_tab = "(3) other" // default value = "other"

40. replace varHat_tab = "(1) Less than zero" if varHat < 0
    variable varHat_tab was str9 now str18
    (135 real changes made)

41. replace varHat_tab = "(4) Greater than 1" if varHat >= 1
    (0 real changes made)

42. replace varHat_tab = "(2) Between 0 and 0.01" if varHat <= 0.01 & varHat >= 0
    variable varHat_tab was str18 now str22
    (23 real changes made)

43.
44. // Tabulate results
45. tab varHat_tab

```

varHat_tab	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
(1) Less than zero	135	13.50	13.50
(2) Between 0 and 0.01	23	2.30	15.80
(3) other	842	84.20	100.00
Total	1,000	100.00	

```

46.
47. *****
48. *Part C: Prepare a table containing estimates and standard errors from
49. * estimating the linear probability model in each of the following ways:
50. *
51. * (i) Least squares with conventional standard errors
52. * (ii) Least squares with heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors.
53. * (iii) Generalized least squares omitting observations with variance less than
54. * 0.01
55. * (iv) Generalized least squares with variances less than 0.01 changed to 0.01
56. * (v) Generalized least squares with variances less than 0.00001 changed to
57. * 0.00001
58. *
59. * Discuss and compare the results.
60. *****
61.
62. // Construct weights for the GLS in 4-5
63. gen wgt_4 = 1/varHat

64. replace wgt_4 = 1/0.01 if varHat < 0.01
    (158 real changes made)

```

```

65. gen wgt_5 = 1/varHat
66. replace wgt_5 = 1/0.00001 if varHat < 0.00001
    (135 real changes made)
67.
68. // Run the regressions separately, and store results using eststo
69. qui reg delinquent lvr ref insur rate amount credit term arm

70. eststo ols

71.
72. qui reg delinquent lvr ref insur rate amount credit term arm, robust

73. eststo ols_RSE

74.
75. qui reg delinquent lvr ref insur rate amount credit term arm [aweight = 1/varHat] if
    > varHat > 0.01

76. eststo gls_omit

77.
78. qui reg delinquent lvr ref insur rate amount credit term arm [aweight = wgt_4]

79. eststo gls_t01

80.
81. qui reg delinquent lvr ref insur rate amount credit term arm [aweight = wgt_5]

82. eststo gls_t0001

83.
84. // Prepare table for results from the 5 models
85. esttab, b(%7.4f) se(%7.4f) stats(F N) star compress mtitles

```

	(1) ols	(2) ols_RSE	(3) gls_omit	(4) gls_t01	(5) gls_t0001
lvr	0.0016* (0.0008)	0.0016* (0.0007)	0.0016 (0.0008)	0.0009* (0.0004)	0.0005* (0.0002)
ref	-0.0593* (0.0238)	-0.0593* (0.0240)	-0.0571** (0.0211)	-0.0327* (0.0146)	-0.0267* (0.0105)
insur	-0.4816*** (0.0236)	-0.4816*** (0.0304)	-0.5016*** (0.0292)	-0.4770*** (0.0297)	-0.5127 (0.4086)
rate	0.0344*** (0.0086)	0.0344*** (0.0098)	0.0413*** (0.0082)	0.0204*** (0.0057)	0.0002 (0.0048)
amount	0.0238 (0.0127)	0.0238 (0.0145)	0.0258* (0.0121)	0.0187 (0.0099)	-0.0045 (0.0089)
credit	-0.0004* (0.0002)	-0.0004* (0.0002)	-0.0004* (0.0002)	-0.0002 (0.0001)	-0.0000 (0.0001)
term	-0.0126*** (0.0035)	-0.0126*** (0.0036)	-0.0190*** (0.0041)	-0.0065** (0.0021)	-0.0012 (0.0018)
arm	0.1283*** (0.0319)	0.1283*** (0.0277)	0.2089*** (0.0407)	0.0419** (0.0140)	0.0188 (0.0109)

_cons	0.6885** (0.2112)	0.6885** (0.2285)	0.7157*** (0.1952)	0.5587*** (0.1317)	0.5616 (0.4188)
F	62.7687	43.3907	45.6690	44.9154	2.0936
N	1.0e+03	1.0e+03	842.0000	1.0e+03	1.0e+03

Standard errors in parentheses

* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

86. eststo clear

87.
88. *****
89. *Part D: Using the results from (iv), interpret each of the coefficients.
90. * Mention whether the signs are reasonable and whether they are significantly
91. * different from zero.
92. *****
93.
94. reg delinquent lvr ref insur rate amount credit term arm [aweight = wgt_4]
(sum of wgt is 2.6470e+04)

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,000
Model	12.6316713	8	1.57895891	F(8, 991)	=	44.92
Residual	34.8377088	991	.035154096	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.2661
				Adj R-squared	=	0.2602
Total	47.46938	999	.047516897	Root MSE	=	.18749

delinquent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lvr	.0008577	.000379	2.26	0.024	.0001139	.0016015
ref	-.0326787	.0146471	-2.23	0.026	-.0614216	-.0039357
insur	-.4769852	.0296842	-16.07	0.000	-.5352363	-.4187342
rate	.0203535	.0056992	3.57	0.000	.0091697	.0315374
amount	.0187387	.009943	1.88	0.060	-.000773	.0382504
credit	-.0001617	.0001183	-1.37	0.172	-.0003939	.0000705
term	-.0065417	.0020826	-3.14	0.002	-.0106284	-.0024549
arm	.041931	.0139866	3.00	0.003	.0144843	.0693777
_cons	.5586635	.1316754	4.24	0.000	.300269	.8170581

95.
96. *****
97. *Appendix A - Construct test stat for White test "by hand"
98. *****
99.
100 // In Part A above, we just used estat imtest to calculate the test statistic
101 // for the White test of homoskedasticity of the error term. Here, I walk
102 // through conducting the test by estimating a secondary regression and then
103 // using the $N \cdot R^2$ formula given in the textbook in Section 8.2.2
104
105 // use the describe command to collect names of all original variables in the
106 // dataset (i.e. lvr to delinquent)
107 qui describe lvr-delinquent, varlist

108 local origVars = r(varlist)

109 // make a local (excl) that holds name: delinquent
110 local excl delinquent

```

111 // generate a local that includes all the origVars, excluding delinquent. These
112 // are the RHS variables for our main regression
113 local meanRHS : list origVars -excl

114
115 // Use the following loop to make variables for the square and interaction of
116 // all the RHS variables from the main regression. A squared value is call var_2
117 // and an interaction is called int_var1_var2, where var, var1, var2 are the
118 // names of the original variables.
119 local varCount : word count `meanRHS'

120 forvalues x = 1/`=varCount'' {
121     2.     forvalues y = `x'/`=varCount'' {
122         3.
123             // if `x' == `y' then we have a squared term
124             if `x' == `y' {
125                 4.
126                     // meanRHS is a list of variable names
127                     // use local xvar : word `x' of `meanRHS' to extract the xth
128                     > name
129                     // of the list
130                     local xvar : word `x' of `meanRHS'
131                     gen `xvar'_2 = `xvar'^2
132                     6.
133                     label variable `xvar'_2 "`xvar' Squared"
134             }
135             8. // otherwise, we have an interaction term
136             else {
137                 9.
138                 local xvar : word `x' of `meanRHS'
139                 local yvar : word `y' of `meanRHS'
140                 gen int_`xvar'`yvar' = `xvar'*`yvar'
141                 12.
142                 label variable int_`xvar'`yvar' "int `xvar' `yvar'"
143             }
144         13.
145     } // end if x == y ... else ...
146 } // end forvalues y
147 } // end forvalues x

133
134 // Re-run regression of delinquent on original variables
135 qui reg delinquent `meanRHS'

136
137 // Quietly calculate the white test value using estat imtest
138 qui estat imtest, white

139 scalar whiteTestVal_STATA = r(chi2)

140
141 // Generate residuals and square of residuals
142 predict eHat, residual

143 gen eHatSqr = eHat^2

144
145 // regress eHatSqr on level, interactions, and squares of all variables
146 // Given the names for the variables we built in the loop earlier, we can refer
147 // to all these variables using the wildcard * to get all the squared (_2) and

```

```

148 // interaction (int_) terms.
149 reg eHatSqr `meanRHS' * 2 int *
note: arm omitted because of collinearity
note: ref_2 omitted because of collinearity
note: insur_2 omitted because of collinearity
note: arm_2 omitted because of collinearity

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,000
Model	7.51367293	40	.187841823	F(40, 959)	=	6.76
Residual	26.6434732	959	.027782558	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.2200
				Adj R-squared	=	0.1874
Total	34.1571461	999	.034191337	Root MSE	=	.16668

eHatSqr	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lv_r	-.0042071	.0082748	-0.51	0.611	-.0204459	.0120317
ref	-.1426573	.2561221	-0.56	0.578	-.6452819	.3599672
insur	-.2074383	.2466591	-0.84	0.401	-.6914922	.2766157
rate	-.0921778	.0986614	-0.93	0.350	-.2857949	.1014393
amount	.1578815	.1651618	0.96	0.339	-.1662386	.4820017
credit	.0010925	.0025459	0.43	0.668	-.0039037	.0060886
term	-.0118508	.0394968	-0.30	0.764	-.089361	.0656593
arm	0	(omitted)				
lv_r_2	-.0000269	.000024	-1.12	0.263	-.000074	.0000202
ref_2	0	(omitted)				
insur_2	0	(omitted)				
rate_2	.0023687	.0022496	1.05	0.293	-.002046	.0067834
amount_2	.0031948	.0032302	0.99	0.323	-.0031442	.0095338
credit_2	-1.85e-07	1.41e-06	-0.13	0.896	-2.94e-06	2.57e-06
term_2	.000088	.0004571	0.19	0.847	-.000809	.0009851
arm_2	0	(omitted)				
int_lv_r ref	.0015055	.0011384	1.32	0.186	-.0007286	.0037396
int_lv_r insur	.0006097	.000968	0.63	0.529	-.00129	.0025094
int_lv_r rate	.0001351	.000391	0.35	0.730	-.0006321	.0009024
int_lv_r amount	-.0000102	.0005779	-0.02	0.986	-.0011443	.001124
int_lv_r credit	-7.10e-06	8.37e-06	-0.85	0.396	-.0000235	9.33e-06
int_lv_r term	.000346	.0001296	2.67	0.008	.0000917	.0006003
int_lv_r arm	-.0002256	.0014284	-0.16	0.875	-.0030288	.0025777
int_ref insur	-.0152041	.0279869	-0.54	0.587	-.0701268	.0397186
int_ref rate	.0128034	.0103218	1.24	0.215	-.0074526	.0330594
int_ref amount	.0147192	.0156202	0.94	0.346	-.0159346	.0453729
int_ref credit	.0000464	.0002568	0.18	0.857	-.0004576	.0005504
int_ref term	-.0045631	.0053209	-0.86	0.391	-.015005	.0058788
int_ref arm	.0173632	.0379412	0.46	0.647	-.0570941	.0918206
int_insur rate	.0175694	.0102066	1.72	0.086	-.0024604	.0375991
int_insur amount	.0070806	.0155683	0.45	0.649	-.0234711	.0376324
int_insur credit	.0000168	.0002321	0.07	0.942	-.0004388	.0004724
int_insur term	-.0046649	.004191	-1.11	0.266	-.0128894	.0035596
int_insur arm	-.0203728	.0402332	-0.51	0.613	-.099328	.0585823
int_rate amount	-.008355	.0061225	-1.36	0.173	-.0203701	.0036601
int_rate credit	-9.72e-06	.0000896	-0.11	0.914	-.0001856	.0001662
int_rate term	.0014639	.0016591	0.88	0.378	-.0017919	.0047197
int_rate arm	.0287677	.0162178	1.77	0.076	-.0030588	.0605941
int_amount credit	.000168	.0001258	1.34	0.182	-.0000788	.0004148
int_amount term	-.0076087	.004146	-1.84	0.067	-.015745	.0005275
int_amount arm	.0059363	.0302796	0.20	0.845	-.0534857	.0653582
int_credit term	-.0000199	.000035	-0.57	0.569	-.0000887	.0000488
int_credit arm	-.0000659	.0003403	-0.19	0.846	-.0007338	.000602
int_term arm	-.0040533	.0101548	-0.40	0.690	-.0239815	.0158749
_cons	.4868574	1.408049	0.35	0.730	-2.276356	3.250071

```

150
151 // Note that ref_2, insur_2, and arm_2, along with arm, are all dropped due to
152 // collinearity. This reduces our 44 RHS terms (not including the constant)
153 // down to 40 RHS terms. This matches the "model degrees of freedom" in STATA,
154 // stored as e(df_m)
155
156 // Calculate white test statistic as  $R^2 * N$ 
157 scalar whiteTestVal_hand = e(r2)*e(N)

158
159 // Compare the "hand" calculated whiteTestVal to that given by STATA:
160 disp "Hand calculated White test statistic: " whiteTestVal_hand
Hand calculated White test statistic: 219.97367

161 disp "STATA-calculated White test statistic: " whiteTestVal_STATA
STATA-calculated White test statistic: 219.97368

162
163 // Calculate the 99.5% critical value for the White test
164 scalar alpha = 0.005

165 disp "White Test " (1-alpha)*100 "% critical value: " invchi2(e(df_m),1-alpha)
White Test 99.5% critical value: 66.765962

166
167 // Can also use STATA's built in Breusch-Pagan test to run the White Test when
168 // using some extra options (i.e. feeding in the RHS variables and specifying
169 // the iid option)
170 qui reg delinquent `meanRHS'

171 estat hettest `meanRHS' *_2 int_*, iid

Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity
Ho: Constant variance
Variables: lvr ref insur rate amount credit term arm lvr_2 ref_2 insur_2
rate_2 amount_2 credit_2 term_2 arm_2 int_lvr ref int_lvr insur
int_lvr_rate int_lvr_amount int_lvr_credit int_lvr_term
int_lvr_arm int_ref insur int_ref_rate int_ref_amount
int_ref_credit int_ref_term int_ref_arm int_insur_rate
int_insur_amount int_insur_credit int_insur_term int_insur_arm
int_rate_amount int_rate_credit int_rate_term int_rate_arm
int_amount_credit int_amount_term int_amount_arm int_credit_term
int_credit_arm int_term_arm

chi2(40) = 219.97
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

172
173 *****
174 *Appendix B - Weighted regression as OLS of transformed variables
175 *****
176
177 // Another way to calculate the values from GLS (weighted regression) is to run
178 // an unweighted regression on a set of transformed variables.
179 // Specifically, whatever you assign as the aweight in the reg command we are
180 // multiplying both the left- and right-hand side variables by the square root
181 // of that variable and dividing by the square root of the average of that
182 // variable, one observation at a time.
183 //
184 // Below, we walk through implementing the regression with "transformed" values

```

```

185 // and compare the result to running the weighted regression.
186
187 // Generate the "transformed" variables by multiplying by sqrt(wgt_4) and
188 // dividing by sqrt(avg_wgt_4). We call these values var_adju. In addition, we
189 // try the variables without dividing through by sqrt(avg_wgt_4), which we will
190 // compare below. The variables without dividing by sqrt(avg_wgt_4) are called
191 // var_adju2
192 qui sum wgt_4

193 scalar avg_wgt_4 = r(mean)

194 foreach x of local origVars {
2.      gen `x'_adju = `x'*sqrt(wgt_4)/sqrt(avg_wgt_4)
3.      gen `x'_adju2 = `x'*sqrt(wgt_4)
4. }

195
196 // In addition, we need to calculate the "transformed" constant (which is no
197 // longer constant)
198 gen cnst_adju = sqrt(wgt_4)/sqrt(avg_wgt_4)

199 gen cnst_adju2 = sqrt(wgt_4)

200
201 // Construct a varlist of "adjusted" variables, and then remove
202 // delinquent_adju from the list, giving us the RHS variables meanRHS_adju
203 qui desc *_adju, varlist

204 local adjuVars = r(varlist)

205 local excl delinquent_adju

206 local meanRHS_adju : list adjuVars -excl

207
208 // Do the same thing, but this time collecting the _adju2 variables
209 qui desc *_adju2, varlist

210 local adju2Vars = r(varlist)

211 local excl delinquent_adju2

212 local meanRHS_adju2 : list adju2Vars -excl

213
214 // Run OLS for delinquent_adju on the adjusted RHS values
215 // Be sure to not let STATA include a constant in the regression! We already
216 // have the "transformed constant" included in the list meanRHS_adju
217 reg delinquent_adju `meanRHS_adju', noconstant

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,000
Model	15.1282702	9	1.68091891	F(9, 991)	=	47.82
Residual	34.8377089	991	.035154096	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.3028
				Adj R-squared	=	0.2964
Total	49.9659791	1,000	.049965979	Root MSE	=	.18749

delinquent~u	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lvr_adju	.0008577	.000379	2.26	0.024	.0001139	.0016015
ref_adju	-.0326787	.0146471	-2.23	0.026	-.0614216	-.0039357
insur_adju	-.4769852	.0296842	-16.07	0.000	-.5352363	-.4187342
rate_adju	.0203535	.0056992	3.57	0.000	.0091697	.0315374
amount_adju	.0187387	.009943	1.88	0.060	-.000773	.0382504
credit_adju	-.0001617	.0001183	-1.37	0.172	-.0003939	.0000705
term_adju	-.0065417	.0020826	-3.14	0.002	-.0106284	-.0024549
arm_adju	.041931	.0139866	3.00	0.003	.0144843	.0693777
cnst_adju	.5586635	.1316754	4.24	0.000	.3002689	.8170581


```

218
219 // Collect RMSE and SSE to compare to the _adju2 regression below
220 scalar rmse_adju = e(rmse)

221 scalar sse_adju = e(rss)

222
223 // Do the F-test that the all terms besides beta for cnst_adju are zero:
224 local excl cnst_adju

225 local meanRHS_adju_nocnst : list meanRHS_adju -excl

226 test `meanRHS_adju_nocnst'

```

```

( 1) lvr_adju = 0
( 2) ref_adju = 0
( 3) insur_adju = 0
( 4) rate_adju = 0
( 5) amount_adju = 0
( 6) credit_adju = 0
( 7) term_adju = 0
( 8) arm_adju = 0

```

```

      F( 8, 991) =    44.92
      Prob > F =    0.0000

```

```

227
228 // Compare the OLS of the x*sqrt(wgt_4)/sqrt(avg_wgt_4) values to weighted
229 // regression with weights of wgt_4
230 reg delinquent `meanRHS' [aweight = wgt_4]
      (sum of wgt is 2.6470e+04)

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,000
Model	12.6316713	8	1.57895891	F(8, 991)	=	44.92
Residual	34.8377088	991	.035154096	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.2661
				Adj R-squared	=	0.2602
Total	47.46938	999	.047516897	Root MSE	=	.18749

delinquent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lvr	.0008577	.000379	2.26	0.024	.0001139	.0016015
ref	-.0326787	.0146471	-2.23	0.026	-.0614216	-.0039357
insur	-.4769852	.0296842	-16.07	0.000	-.5352363	-.4187342
rate	.0203535	.0056992	3.57	0.000	.0091697	.0315374
amount	.0187387	.009943	1.88	0.060	-.000773	.0382504
credit	-.0001617	.0001183	-1.37	0.172	-.0003939	.0000705
term	-.0065417	.0020826	-3.14	0.002	-.0106284	-.0024549
arm	.041931	.0139866	3.00	0.003	.0144843	.0693777
_cons	.5586635	.1316754	4.24	0.000	.300269	.8170581

```

231
232 gen del_wt = delinquent*wgt_4

233
234 // Quirk (1) - the "F-test of the regression" differs because the "transformed"
235 // OLS also tests the cnst_adju term, while the weighted regression does not.
236 // Instead, compare the "F-test" reported by the weighted regression to the

```

```

237 // test of the non-constant terms from the "transformed" OLS.
238 //
239 // Quirk (2) - the "Adjusted-R2" is different because of how STATA calculates
240 // the adjustment when the noconstant option is used. In STATA, adjusted R2 uses
241 //  $Adj\ R^2 = 1 - (SSE/(N-K))/(SST/(N-C))$  where  $C = 1$  if there is a constant in
242 // the regression and  $C=0$  otherwise.
243
244 // Finally, lets look at what happens when we use OLS on the "adju2" variables
245 // i.e.  $x*\sqrt{wgt\_4}$  instead of  $x*\sqrt{wgt\_4}/\sqrt{avg\_wgt\_4}$ 
246 reg delinquent_adju2 `meanRHS_adju2', noconstant

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,000
Model	400.450981	9	44.4945534	F(9, 991)	=	47.82
Residual	922.167194	991	.930542072	Prob > F	=	0.0000
				R-squared	=	0.3028
				Adj R-squared	=	0.2964
Total	1322.61817	1,000	1.32261817	Root MSE	=	.96465

delinquent~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
lvr_adju2	.0008577	.000379	2.26	0.024	.0001139	.0016015
ref_adju2	-.0326787	.0146471	-2.23	0.026	-.0614216	-.0039357
insur_adju2	-.4769852	.0296842	-16.07	0.000	-.5352363	-.4187342
rate_adju2	.0203535	.0056992	3.57	0.000	.0091697	.0315374
amount_adju2	.0187387	.009943	1.88	0.060	-.000773	.0382504
credit_adju2	-.0001617	.0001183	-1.37	0.172	-.0003939	.0000705
term_adju2	-.0065417	.0020826	-3.14	0.002	-.0106284	-.0024549
arm_adju2	.041931	.0139866	3.00	0.003	.0144843	.0693777
cnst_adju2	.5586635	.1316754	4.24	0.000	.300269	.8170581

```

247
248 // Collect the RMSE and SSE values
249 scalar rmse_adju2 = e(rmse)

250 scalar sse_adju2 = e(rss)

251
252 // The ratio of sse_adju2 to sse_adju matches avg_wgt_4, and the ratio of
253 // rmse_adju2 to rmse_adju matches sqrt(avg_wgt_4)
254 disp "SSE ratio: " sse_adju2/sse_adju " v.s. Average of wgt_4: " avg_wgt_4
SSE ratio: 26.470374 v.s. Average of wgt_4: 26.470374

255 disp "RMSE ratio: " rmse_adju2/rmse_adju " v.s. Sqrt of Average of wgt_4: " sqrt(
> avg_wgt_4)
RMSE ratio: 5.1449368 v.s. Sqrt of Average of wgt_4: 5.1449368

256
257 *****
258 *Appendix C - Relationship between variance estimate and eHatSqr
259 *****
260
261 gen val001 = 0.01

262 gen val000001 = 0.00001

263
264 label variable varHat "Estimated Variance"

```

```
265 label variable eHatSqr "Squared Error Term"

266
267 twoway (scatter varHat eHatSqr if delinquent == 0, msymbol(Oh) legend(label(1 "Delin
> quent = 0"))) ///
> (scatter varHat eHatSqr if delinquent == 1, msymbol(th) lege
> nd(label(2 "Delinquent = 1"))) ///
> (line val001 eHatSqr, legend(label(3 "VarHat = 0.01"))) ///
> (line val000001 eHatSqr, legend(label(4 "VarHat = 0.00001")))
> ), ///
> xtitle("Squared Error Term") ytitle("Estimated Variance")

268
269 //Convert log file (smcl) to pdf
```