

Solution

Cryptography – Homework 6

Discussed on **Wednesday**, 14th January, 2015.

For questions regarding the exercises, please send an email to schlund@model.in.tum.de or just drop by at room 03.11.055

(*) Starred exercises are optional and are usually not discussed during the tutorial (nevertheless they are fun—hopefully).

Exercise 6.1 **The RSA Problem and Factoring**

We currently do not know if the RSA Problem is equivalent to the factoring problem. We only know that factoring the RSA modulus can be reduced to a number of problems: Suppose Eve is given (N, e) show that she can efficiently factor $N = pq$ in each of the following cases:

- (a) she can efficiently compute $\varphi(N)$ (Hint: Show $q^2 - q(N + 1 - \varphi(N)) + N = 0$).
- (b) she can efficiently compute an $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \setminus \mathbb{Z}_N^*$.

Solution:

- (a) We have $q - (N + 1 - (p - 1)(q - 1)) + p = 0$. Multiplying by $q (\neq 0)$ yields the identity in the hint. Given this identity we see that once we know $\varphi(N)$ we can obtain q (and thus p) by solving a quadratic equation (over the reals!) which can be done in polynomial time.
- (b) If $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \setminus \mathbb{Z}_N^*$ then $\gcd(x, N) > 1$ and thus $\gcd(x, N) = p$ or $\gcd(x, N) = q$. $\gcd(x, N)$ can of course be computed efficiently using Euclid's Algorithm.

Exercise 6.2 **Computing Discrete Logarithms**

Let p be prime. Assume there is a generator $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ such that computing the discrete logarithm in \mathbb{Z}_p^* with respect to g can be done efficiently. Show that then the discrete logarithm with respect to any other generator g' of \mathbb{Z}_p^* can also be computed efficiently.

Solution: Let $g \neq g'$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. We show: Computing x with $(g')^x = y$ in \mathbb{Z}_p^* can be reduced to computing discrete logarithms using g as base.

- Since g is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_p^* , we know that there exists a $k \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $g^k = g'$ in \mathbb{Z}_p^* . Now we can restate the problem as $(g')^x = y \Leftrightarrow g^{kx} = y$.
- Recall now that for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, since p is prime,

$$g^s = g^{s \bmod (p-1)} \text{ holds in } \mathbb{Z}_p^*.$$

The idea is to exponentiate g^{kx} by a suitable l to “get rid” of the k in the computation. For this we show that $\gcd(k, p-1) = 1$. Assume that $\gcd(k, p-1) = d \geq 1$. Then there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k = d \cdot \alpha$ and $(p-1) = d \cdot \beta$. Hence $\beta \leq p-1$. Now we compute in \mathbb{Z}_p^* :

$$(g')^\beta = (g^k)^\beta = g^{d\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha(p-1)} = 1,$$

since $(p-1)$ is the order of \mathbb{Z}_p^* . Hence g' can generate at most β elements in \mathbb{Z}_p^* : $p-1 = o_{g'} \leq \beta \leq p-1$. Hence $\beta = p-1$ and $d = 1$. With this we can compute $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}^*$ such that $l \cdot k = 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}^* (using e.g. the extended Euclidean algorithm).

- Now we calculate in \mathbb{Z}_p^* :

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{kx} &= y \\
\Leftrightarrow g^{kxl} &= y^l && (\text{Exponentiate with } l) \\
\Leftrightarrow g^{kxl \bmod (p-1)} &= y^l && (\phi(p) = p-1) \\
\Leftrightarrow g^x &= y^l && (k \cdot l \equiv 1 \bmod (p-1))
\end{aligned}$$

In summary, the following steps are needed for computing x such that $g^x = y$:

1. Compute $k \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $g^k = g'$ in \mathbb{Z}_p^* .
2. Compute $l \in \{1, \dots, p-2\}$ such that $k \cdot l = 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}^* .
3. Compute $x \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $g^x = y^l$ in \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

Exercise 6.3 Hardcore!

- (a) Let f be a OWF. Show that $h(x) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i$ is in general *not* a hardcore predicate for f . (Hint: define a new OWF g which leaks the value of $h(x)$)
- (b) Let \mathcal{F} be a (collection of) bijective functions from $\{0,1\}^n$ to $\{0,1\}^n$. Show: if \mathcal{F} has a hardcore-predicate then \mathcal{F} is a OWP.

Solution:

- (a) $g(x) := (f(x), h(x))$ is an OWF: if we had an algorithm \mathcal{A} for obtaining (with a non-negligible prob.) a preimage of $g(x)$ we could use it to find a preimage (with a non-negligible prob.) of $f(x)$ simply by feeding \mathcal{A} with $(f(x), 0)$ and $(f(x), 1)$ and checking if any of its two outputs is a preimage of $g(x)$. However it is clear that $h(x)$ is not a hardcore predicate **for** g (given $g(x) = (a, b)$ the second component b is the value of $h(x)$).
- (b) Suppose \mathcal{F} were no OWP then there would exist an algorithm \mathcal{A} that finds a preimage of a given $f(x)$ with non-neg. prob. Thus given $f(x)$ we would feed it to \mathcal{A} , obtain an x' (with $f(x') = f(x)$ with non-neg. prob.). As f is bijective we have $x' = x$ with the same probability and thus $h(x) = h(x')$ which we can compute given x' .

Exercise 6.4 From the exam in 2012

- (a) Let $\langle \mathbb{G}, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ be a finite cyclic group with generator g . Denote by $q := |\mathbb{G}|$ the order of \mathbb{G} . Assume $q = d \cdot m$ is a composite and let d be a non-trivial factor of q . Let $y \in \mathbb{G}$.
Show: If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $g^k = y$ in \mathbb{G} , then $(k \bmod d)$ is the unique solution of the following problem:
Determine $x \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ such that $(g^m)^x = y^m$ in \mathbb{G} .
- (b) Given are the prime 89 and the generator 3 of $\langle \mathbb{Z}_{89}^*, \cdot, 1 \rangle$. Your task is to determine $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $3^k \equiv 86 \pmod{89}$. Proceed as follows:
 - i) Using the preceding exercise, first determine k modulo 11.
 - ii) Someone tells you that $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Determine k .

Solution:

- (a) We have to show two things: (1) $(k \bmod d)$ is a solution and (2) it is unique For (1): that if $g^k = y$ in G then $(g^k)^m = y^m$ (and of course $(g^k)^m = g^{km} = (g^m)^k$). g^m has order d and hence $y^m = (g^m)^k = (g^m)^{(k \bmod d)}$.
For (2): Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ satisfy the equation, i.e. $(g^m)^x = y^m$ (we have to show that $x = (k \bmod d)$). Then we have $(g^m)^x = (g^m)^{(k \bmod d)}$ and thus $(g^m)^{x-(k \bmod d)} = 1$, i.e. $x \equiv_d (k \bmod d)$ and since x and $(k \bmod d)$ are both less than d we obtain $x = (k \bmod d)$.
- (b) i) Since $|\mathbb{Z}_{89}^*| = 88 = 8 \cdot 11$, by the preceding exercise we need to find x such that

$$(3^8)^x = 86^8 = (-3)^8 = 3^8 \pmod{89}$$

However, this is trivial: $x = 1$ and hence, $k \equiv_{11} 1$.

- ii) By the CRT we have that \mathbb{Z}_{88} is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_{11}$ and the inverse isomorphism $h^{-1} : \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_{11} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{88}$ is given by $h^{-1}(x, y) = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot x - 4 \cdot 8 \cdot y \pmod{88}$. Hence $k = h^{-1}(5, 1) = 133 = 45 \pmod{88}$. Thus, $3^{45} = 86 \pmod{88}$.

Exercise 6.5* Mersenne primes

The largest known prime numbers are Mersenne primes M (as of today the largest is $2^{57,885,161} - 1$ which was found in 2013 by the GIMPS). Mersenne primes are primes of the form $2^p - 1$ for some prime p .

- (a) Find the first four Mersenne primes
- (b) Factor $2^9 - 1$.
- (c) There is a simple reason why the exponent in the definition must be a prime: For composite exponents n the number $2^n - 1$ is a composite — Prove this statement!

Solution:

- (a) The first four Mersenne primes are: 3, 7, 31, 127
- (b) $2^9 - 1$ is *not* prime (because 9 is not prime): $2^9 - 1 = 7 \cdot 73 = (2^3 - 1) \cdot (1 + 2^3 + 2^6)$
- (c) Suppose n is composite, i.e. $n = a \cdot b$ with some $a, b > 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. From the finite geometric sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (2^a)^i = \frac{(2^a)^b - 1}{2^a - 1}$$

we obtain:

$$2^n - 1 = (2^a)^b - 1 = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} (2^a)^i \right) \cdot (2^a - 1)$$

which gives us an explicit factorization of $2^n - 1$ (both factors are > 1).