

Solution

Cryptography – Homework 7

Discussed on 06.02.2019.

Exercise 7.1 RSA

For this exercise we will use the notation (for elements of the RSA-PKES and $\text{PSS}[k, l]$) introduced in the lecture. We will use msbf-representation throughout the exercise.

- (a) We choose two primes $p = 17$ and $q = 19$, select $e = 5$ and use them for instantiating the RSA encryption scheme. Then $N = pq = 323 = (101000011)_2$ in MSBF, i.e., N 's bit-length is 9. We apply the OAEP padding scheme to the messages we want to encrypt using the following (very simple and unrealistic) instantiation:

- (Unpadded) messages m are 3-bit strings,
- $k_1 = 2$ and $k_0 = 4$,
- $G(x_1x_2x_3x_4) = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_1$, for $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$,
- $H(x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5) = (x_1 \oplus x_2)(x_2 \oplus x_3)(x_3 \oplus x_4)(x_4 \oplus x_5)$, for $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

Let us assume we receive the ciphertext 116. Compute d and the original (3-bit) message. Apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem in your computation.

- (b) Show that decoding/encoding works regardless of the choice for G and H in the OAEP padding scheme.
- (c) We assumed for the RSA scheme that the plaintext message m is an element of \mathbb{Z}_N^* . Show that encryption and decryption is also possible if $m \in \mathbb{Z}_N \setminus \mathbb{Z}_N^*$.
- (d) We want to sign a message using the $\text{PSS}[k, l]$ scheme. We reuse the values from the previous exercise for N , d and e , and add the following parameters:
- $n = 10$, $k = l = 3$,
 - $h(x_1 \dots x_s) := x_1x_2x_3$,
 - $g(x_1x_2x_3) := x_1x_2x_3x_1x_2x_3$.

Compute $\text{Sgn}_{(323, d)}(0110)$. Assume hereby that r was chosen as the bitstring 101.

Solution:

- (a) By using the extended Euclidean algorithm for the arguments $M = \text{lcm}(16, 18) = 144$ and $e = 5$, we obtain $d = 29$, the inverse of e in \mathbb{Z}_M^* (if we had chosen $M = \varphi(N) = 288$ we would have gotten $d = 173$). Now the decryption \hat{m} of the ciphertext 116 can be carried out by computing $116^{29} \bmod N$. We use the chinese remainder theorem and compute

- $116^{29} \bmod p = 116^{29} \bmod 17 = 14^{29} \bmod 17 = 14^{13} \bmod 17 = 5$ and
- $116^{29} \bmod q = 116^{29} \bmod 19 = 2^{29} \bmod 19 = 2^{11} \bmod 19 = 15$.

We apply the inverse morphism $h^{-1}(x, y) = 9 \cdot 17 \cdot y - 8 \cdot 19 \cdot x$ to the pair $(5, 15)$ to get back \hat{m}

$$h^{-1}(5, 15) = \hat{m} = 243.$$

(recall that h^{-1} can be obtained by the extended euclidean algorithm since $\text{gcd}(17, 19) = 1$.) Since the OAEP scheme was used, we compute (see next exercise), we write $\hat{m} = 243 = (011110011)_2$ and obtain the strings $X = 01111$ (the first 5 bits) and $Y = 0011$ (the last 4 bits).

- Compute $H(01111) = 1000$.
- Compute $H(01111) \oplus 0011 = 1011$.
- Compute $G(1011) \oplus 01111 = 10111 \oplus 01111 = 11000 (= m0^2)$.

We obtain the message $(110)_2 = 6$ (two zeros are padded).

(b) The structure of the OAEP scheme is essentially a two-round Feistel network:

- Compute $H(X) = H(m0^{k_1} \oplus G(r))$
- Compute $H(X) \oplus Y = r$.
- Compute $G(r) \oplus X = m0^{k_1}$.

(c) We have to show that for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_N \setminus \mathbb{Z}_N^*$, $m^{ed} = m$ holds.

Trivially, we have $0^{ed} \equiv_N 0$, so wlog. assume $m \equiv_p 0$ and $m \not\equiv_q 0$, i.e. $m \bmod q \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*$.

Then let h be the canonical isomorphism from \mathbb{Z}_N to $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ used in the CRT.

As $ed \equiv_{\lambda(N)} 1$ also $ed \equiv_{q-1} 1$, and thus we have

$$h(m^{ed}) = (m^{ed} \bmod p, m^{ed} \bmod q) = (0, m^{ed \bmod q-1} \bmod q) = (0, m \bmod q) = h(m)$$

As h is an isomorphism, we have $m \equiv_N m^{ed}$.

- (d)
- Compute $w = h(m||r) = h(0110||101) = 011$.
 - Compute $r^* = r \oplus g_1(011) = 101 \oplus 011 = 110$.
 - Compose $x = 0||w||r^*||g_2(w)$. Interpret x as $(0011110011)_2 = (243)_{10}$.
 - Return $243^{173} \bmod N = 3$.

Exercise 7.2 Attacks on Textbook-RSA

In this exercise we will study Textbook-RSA and see why it is insecure and why we have to use a variant with random padding in practice.

- (a) Suppose $e = 3$ and Alice sends the same message m encrypted to three (or more) different persons having RSA-keys $(N_1, e), (N_2, e), (N_3, e)$. Show how Eve can compute m having only eavesdropped the three ciphertexts c_1, c_2, c_3 .
- (b) Suppose we want to use Textbook-RSA in a hybrid encryption choosing large enough primes such that $N > 2^{1024}$ and $e = 7$. We want to encrypt AES-keys, i.e. messages from $\{0, 1\}^{128}$. Explain why this is a really bad idea!

Solution:

- (a) Assume m is sent to three persons having public keys $(N_1, 3), (N_2, 3)$, and $(N_3, 3)$. Then an eavesdropper sees $c_1 = m^3 \bmod N_1$, $c_2 = m^3 \bmod N_2$, $c_3 = m^3 \bmod N_3$. W.l.o.g. $\gcd(N_i, N_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$ (otherwise we can factor N_i immediately and can recover the message). By using the Chinese Remainder Theorem we know that there is a (unique) solution $x < N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot N_3$ to the system of three equations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x = c_1 & \bmod N_1 \\ x = c_2 & \bmod N_2 \\ x = c_3 & \bmod N_3 \end{array}$$

The unique solution x can be computed using the extended Euclidean algorithm. And because $x = m^3 \bmod N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot N_3$ and $m < \min(N_1, N_2, N_3)$ we can compute m by taking the cube-root of x in the Reals/Integers! $m = x^{1/3}$ (which takes polynomial time using binary search).

- (b) If $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}^l$ and $N > 2^{l \cdot e}$ then no reduction modulo N takes place when encrypting $m^e \bmod N = m^e = c$ and thus m can be recovered by simply computing the e -th root of c (over the integers instead of in $\mathbb{Z}_N!$).

Exercise 7.3 Elgamal PKES—why to work in \mathbb{QR}_p

Construct a CPA-attack on Elgamal relative to $\text{Gen}\mathbb{Z}_{\text{safe}}^*$, i.e. Gen returns

$$I = (\langle \mathbb{Z}_p^*, 1, \cdot \rangle, q, g, x, h)$$

with p a n -bit prime, $q = p - 1$, and g generates all elements in \mathbb{Z}_p^* .

Hint: Consider the observations made about the DDH problem relative to $\text{Gen}\mathbb{Z}_{\text{safe}}^$ in the lecture.*

Solution: Eve's strategy \mathcal{A} is as follows:

- $\mathcal{A}((\mathbb{Z}_p^*, q, g, h))$: returns messages $m_0 = g^1$ and $m_1 = g^2$.
- $\mathcal{A}((\mathbb{Z}_p^*, q, g, h), c)$: Eve checks whether c is a square. If so, she returns $r = 1$, else she returns $r = 0$.

Bob computes c by choosing $b \stackrel{u}{\in} \{0, 1\}$, $y \stackrel{u}{\in} \mathbb{Z}_q$ and setting $c = h^y \cdot g^{b+1}$. h^y is a square with probability $3/4$. In this case, Eve always guesses the correct value of b . If h^y is not a square, she guesses always the wrong bit. Hence her probability of success in the game is $3/4$.

Exercise 7.4 Elgamal's DSS—why it fails without hashing

Elgamal already showed in his paper, how to efficiently forge a valid tag for a new message:

- Let (m, r, s) be a valid message-tag pair.
- Choose $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\gcd(Ar - Cs, p - 1) = 1$.
- Set $r' := r^A \cdot g^B \cdot y^C \bmod p$, $s' := sr'(Ar - Cs)^{-1} \bmod p - 1$, and $m' := r'(Am + Bs)(Ar - Cs)^{-1} \bmod p - 1$.

Show that (r', s') is valid for m' .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y^{r'} r'^{s'} &= y^{r'} (r^A \cdot g^B \cdot y^C)^{(sr'(Ar - Cs)^{-1})} = \\
 &= (y^{r'Ar - r'Cs + r'Cs} r^{Asr'} g^{Bsr'})^{(Ar - Cs)^{-1}} = \\
 &= ((y^r r^s)^{Ar'} g^{Bsr'})^{(Ar - Cs)^{-1}} = \\
 &= g^{(mAr' + Bs'r')(Ar - Cs)^{-1}} = g^{m'}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus verification succeeds—the attack has succeeded in forging a tag/message-pair that is accepted. This illustrates the need for a countermeasure (like cryptographic hash-functions) to “destroy” the algebraic properties of the signature.