Dr. Peter Lammich
Simon Wimmer

Semantics of Programming Languages

Exercise Sheet 5

Homework 5.1 Control Flow Graphs

Submission until Tuesday, November 20, 2018, 10:00am.

In this homework, we want to study the concept of *control flow graphs* for IMP and connect it to the small-step semantics. To get started, we first introduce the concept of a *labeled transition system* (LTS). An LTS is a directed graph with edge labels. Similarly, to our previous model of graphs, we represent an LTS as a predicate for its edges:

```
type_synonym ('q,'l) lts = "'q \Rightarrow 'l \Rightarrow 'q \Rightarrow bool"
```

A word from source node u to target node v is the sequence of edge labels one encounters when moving from u to v in the LTS. Analogously to is_path for graphs, we define a predicate word, such that word δ u w v holds iff w is a word from u to v:

```
inductive word :: "('q,'l) lts \Rightarrow 'q \Rightarrow 'l list \Rightarrow 'q \Rightarrow bool" for \delta where empty: "word \delta q [] q" | prepend: "[\delta q l p; word \delta p ls r] \Longrightarrow word \delta q (l \# ls) r"
```

A control flow graph is a labeled transition system, where the edges are labeled with <u>effects</u>. An effect is a partial function on states, returning *None* when the test for a Boolean condition fails:

```
type_synonym effect = "state \rightarrow state"
type_synonym 'q \ cfg = "('q,effect) \ lts"
```

Note that $a \rightharpoonup b$ is a syntactic abbreviation for $a \Rightarrow b$ option.

Intuitively, the control flow graph is executed by following a path and applying the effects of the actions to the state. Lift effects to paths. Only paths where all tests succeed shall yield a result $\neq None$.

```
fun eff\_list :: "effect list \Rightarrow state \rightarrow state" where
```

The control flow graph of a WHILE-Program can be defined over nodes that are commands. Complete the following definition. (*Hint:* Have a look at the small-step semantics first)

```
inductive cfg :: "com \ cfg" where cfg\_assign: "cfg \ (x ::= a) \ (\lambda s. \ Some \ (s(x := aval \ a \ s))) \ (SKIP)"
```

```
\mid \mathit{cfg\_Seq2} \colon \mathit{``cfg} \ \mathit{c1} \ e \ \mathit{c1'} \Longrightarrow \mathit{cfg} \ (\mathit{c1};;\mathit{c2}) \ e \ (\mathit{c1'};;\mathit{c2}) \, \mathit{``}
```

Prove that the effects of paths in the CFG match the small-step semantics:

theorem eq-path: " $(c,s) \to * (c',s') \longleftrightarrow (\exists \pi. \ word \ cfg \ c \ \pi \ c' \land \ eff_list \ \pi \ s = Some \ s')$ "

Prove the theorem for a single step first:

theorem eq_step: "
$$(c,s) \rightarrow (c',s') \longleftrightarrow (\exists e. cfg \ c \ e \ c' \land e \ s = Some \ s')$$
"

Now prove the main theorem:

theorem eq_path: " $(c,s) \rightarrow * (c',s') \longleftrightarrow (\exists \pi. word \ cfg \ c \ \pi \ c' \land \ eff_list \ \pi \ s = Some \ s')$ "