

Software Quality Assurance

REVIEWING

- Types
 - Buddy Check**
 - an informal verification technique
 - two members work together to review the same project
 - Circulation**
 - The project is circulated to each reviewer who adds their own comments
 - easiest type of review to be completed when the reviewers are separated
 - Walkthrough**
 - The project is examined by a group of peers for the purpose of finding defects
 - usually a group of 3-4 developers
 - majority of the program testing is conducted by people other than the author
 - Technical**
 - Formal team evaluation of a life-cycle project
 - led by the trained moderator, who is not the author
 - documented and uses a defect detection process
 - examines the suitability of the project for its intended use; compares the specifications to the standards
 - a report is prepared with the list of issues that needs to be addressed
 - Code Inspection**
 - A team logically steps through a project to find errors
 - team usually made up of four people: moderator, programmer, designer, test specialist
 - moderator duties include:
 - distributing materials for, and scheduling, the session
 - leading the session
 - recording all errors found
 - ensuring that the errors are later corrected
 - essential to have pre-meeting preparation
 - errors are detected, but not fixed; however, the post inspection is used to ensure timely and prompt corrective action
 - inspection Report is prepared and shared with author

Testing

- Types
 - Unit**
 - Process of testing the individual components, subsystems, hardware, and software
 - Integration/Incremental**
 - Tests component interfaces and confirm requirements
 - Top-Down
 - Bottom-Up
 - Systems**
 - The entire system can be tested against the requirement specifications
 - Facility - ensures that the functionality in the objectives is implemented
 - Volume - subject the program to abnormally large volumes of data to process
 - Stress - subject the program to abnormally hard loads, generally concurrent processing
 - Usability - determines how well the end user can complete specified requirements
 - Security - tries to subvert the program's security measures
 - Performance - determines whether the program meets response/throughout requirements
 - Storage - ensures the program can correctly manage its storage needs
 - Configuration - checks the program performs adequately on recommended configurations
 - Compatibility/Conversion - checks if new versions are compatible with old versions
 - Installation - ensures the installation methods work on all supported platforms
 - Reliability - determines whether the program meets reliability specifications
 - Recovery - tests whether the system's recovery facilities work as designed
 - Serviceability/Maintenance - determines whether the application correctly yields data on events requiring technical support
 - Documentation - validates the accuracy of all user documentation
 - Procedure - determines the accuracy of special procedures required to maintain program
 - Functional**
 - Process of attempting to find discrepancies between behavior and requirements; testing the end-to-end functionality of the system as a whole
 - Black Box
 - 1 Identify the bug.
 - 2 Report and document features.
 - 3 Triage all reports by defining each.
 - 4 Communicate details.
 - 5 Fix the bug during a sprint.
 - Tests Behavior
 - Code not known
 - Involved testing from user perspective
 - Gray Box
 - Little bit of everything
 - White Box
 - Internal workings is known to tester
 - Involves testing structure validation
 - Main focus on security flaws
 - Acceptance**
 - The process of comparing the program to its initial requirements
 - Regression**
 - Execution of tests to check that modifications do not break working code
- Principles
 - 1 A necessary part of a test case is a definition of the expected output or result.
 - 2 A programmer should avoid attempting to test his or her own program.
 - 3 A programming organization should not test its own programs.
 - 4 Any testing process should include a thorough inspection of the results of each test.
 - 5 Test cases must be written for input conditions that are invalid and unexpected, as well as for those that are valid and expected.
 - 6 Examining a program to see if it does not do what it is supposed to do is only half the battle; the other half is seeing whether the program does what it is not supposed to do.
 - 7 Avoid throwaway test cases unless the program is truly a throwaway program.
 - 8 Do not plan a testing effort under the tacit assumption that no errors will be found.
 - 9 The probability of the existence of more errors in a section of a program is proportional to the number of errors already found in that section.
 - 10 Testing is an extremely creative and intellectually challenging task.
- Verification
 - Compare input of System Design Phase to Program Design Phase
- Design Techniques
 - Logic coverage.**
 - Tests that exercise all decision point outcomes at least once, and ensure that all statements or entry points are executed at least once.
 - Equivalence partitioning.**
 - Defines condition or error classes to help reduce the number of finite tests. Assumes that a test of a representative value within a class also tests all values or conditions within that class.
 - Boundary value analysis.**
 - Tests each edge condition of an equivalence class; also considers output equivalence classes as well as input classes.
 - Cause-effect graphing.**
 - Produces Boolean graphical representations of potential test case results to aid in selecting efficient and complete test cases.
 - Error guessing.**
 - Produces test cases based on intuitive and expert knowledge of test team members to define potential software errors to facilitate efficient test case design.

DEBUGGING

- Types
 - Brute-force**
 - Most common debugging scheme and is the most mentally taxing
 - Automated tools - sets breakpoints that causes suspension in the program so the user can examine the current state
 - Storage Dump - shows the program state at only one instant
 - Scatter Print Statements - requires user to make the changes to the program, which can lead to masking errors
 - Induction**
 - Moving from the particulars of a situation to the whole (i.e., follow the clues)
 - Deduction**
 - Uses the process of elimination and refinement to arrive at a conclusion
 - Backtracking**
 - Work through the incorrect results, moving backwards, until you find the logic error
- Principles
 - Error-Locating**
 - Think
 - If you reach an impasse, sleep on it
 - If you reach an impasse, describe the problem to someone else
 - Use debugging tools only as a second resort
 - Avoid experimentation—Use it only as a last resort
 - Error Repairing**
 - Where there is one bug, there is likely to be another
 - Fix the error, not the symptom
 - The probability of the fix being correct is not 100%
 - The probability of the fix being correct drops as the size of the program increases
 - An error correction could create a new error
 - The process of error repair should not on temporarily back into the design phase
 - Change the source code, not the object code
 - Error Analysis**
 - Where was the error made?
 - Who made the error?
 - What was done incorrectly?
 - How could the error have been prevented?
 - Why wasn't the error detected earlier?
 - How could the error have been detected earlier?
- Lifecycle
 - 1 Identify the bug.
 - 2 Report and document features.
 - 3 Triage all reports by defining each.
 - 4 Communicate details.
 - 5 Fix the bug during a sprint.

Correspondence within lifecycle

- Development
 - Requirements
 - Objectives
 - External Specification
 - System Design
 - Program Structure Design
 - Module Interface Specifications
 - Code

- Acceptance Test
- System Test
- Function Test
- Integration Test
- Module Test
- Installation Test

Methodologies

- Software Development Life Cycle (SDLC)
 - 1 Planning and Requirements Analysis
 - 2 Defining Requirements
 - 3 Designing the Product Architecture
 - 4 Building or Developing the Product
 - 5 Testing the Product
 - 6 Deployment into the Market
- Waterfall Model
 - Pros
 - simple to use
 - easy to manage due to the rigidity of the model
 - changes are processed and controlled one at a time
 - works well for smaller projects
 - clearly defined stages
 - understood milestones
 - easy to arrange tasks
 - process and results are well documented
 - Cons
 - no working software is produced until late
 - not a good model for complex and object-oriented projects
 - a poor model for long and ongoing projects
 - not suitable for projects when requirements are subject to change
 - difficult to measure progress within stages
- Iterative Model
 - Pros
 - working functionality can be developed early in the life cycle
 - results are obtained early and periodically
 - parallel development can be planned
 - progress can be measured
 - less costly to change the scope/requirements
 - testing and debugging during smaller iteration is easy
 - easier to manage risk
 - risk analysis is better
 - supports changing requirements
 - with each increment, operational product is delivered
 - Cons
 - more resources are required
 - more management attention is required
 - design issues may arise because not all requirements are gathered in the beginning
 - not suitable for smaller projects
 - end of project may not be known
 - highly skilled resources are required for risk analysis
 - project progress is highly dependent upon the risk analysis phase
- Spiral Model
 - Pros
 - changing requirements can be accommodated
 - allows extensive use of prototypes
 - requirements can be captured accurately
 - users see the system early
 - development can be divided into smaller parts, allowing for better risk management
 - Cons
 - management is more complex
 - end of the project may not be known early
 - not suitable for small or low risk projects
 - process is complex
 - spiral may go on indefinitely
 - large number of intermediate stages requires excessive documentation
- V-Model
 - Pros
 - highly disciplined model and phases are completed one at a time
 - works well for smaller projects
 - simple and easy to use
 - easy to manage due to rigidity of the model
 - Cons
 - high risk and uncertainty
 - not good for complex and object-oriented projects
 - poor model for long and ongoing projects
 - not suitable for projects when requirements are subject to change
 - difficult to change functionality once application is in testing phase
- Agile Model
 - Pros
 - very realistic approach to software development
 - promotes teamwork and cross training
 - functionality can be developed rapidly and demonstrated
 - resource requirements are minimum
 - suitable for fixed or changing requirements
 - minimal rules, documentation easily employed
 - little or no planning required
 - easy to manage
 - gives flexibility to developers
 - Cons
 - not suitable for handling complex dependencies
 - an agile leader is a must
 - depends heavily on customer interaction
 - minimum documentation generated
 - transfer of technology to new team members may be challenging

Frameworks

- Quality Assurance**
 - is the systematic process used to determine whether a product meets specifications.
- Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI)**
 - a process level improvement training and appraisal program. It can be used as a guide to improve process involvement through identifying the maturity levels.
 - Levels
 - Level 1: Initial
 - Unpredictable, poorly controlled, and reactive
 - Level 2: Managed
 - Characterized for projects and is often reactive
 - Level 3: Defined
 - Characterized for the organization and is proactive
 - Level 4: Quantitatively Managed
 - Measured and controlled
 - Level 5: Optimizing
 - Focuses on process improvements