## **CIDEr**

See CIDEr.pdf to read the original paper. I'll extract relevant equations and details here.

CIDEr accounts for both the local and global appropriateness of a description by defining a measure that operates on the term-frequency of an n-gram weighted with its inverse document frequency. The term-frequency of an n-gram  $\omega_k$  is defined as

$$\tau_k(s_{ij}) = \frac{h_k(s_{ij})}{\sum_{\omega_l \in \Omega} h_l(s_{ij})}$$

where  $h_k(s_{ij})$  is the count of  $\omega_k$  in  $s_{ij}$ ,  $\Omega$  is the vocabulary of all n-grams and  $s_{ij}$  is reference description j for image  $I_i$ . This is to say, the term-frequency is the count of an n-gram in a description divided by the total count of n-grams in the same description. Whereas the term-frequency is large if  $\omega_k$  is often present in its reference descriptions, the inverse document frequency is low if  $\omega_k$  is often present in the corpus,

$$\iota_k(s_{ij}) = \log \left( \frac{\|I\|_1}{\min(1, \sum_{I_p \in I} \sum_q h_k(s_{pq}))} \right).$$

The term-frequency inverse-document-frequency is then

$$g_k(s_{ij}) = \tau_k(s_{ij})\iota_k(s_{ij}).$$

When considering n-grams of size n CIDEr<sub>n</sub> is defined as

CIDEr<sub>n</sub>
$$(c_i, S_i) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\mathbf{g}^n(c_i) \cdot \mathbf{g}^n(s_{ij})}{\|\mathbf{g}^n(c_i)\|_2 \|\mathbf{g}^n(s_{ij})\|_2},$$

where  $c_i$  is a candidate sentence for image  $I_i$  and  $S_i$  is the ground-truth set of descriptions for  $I_i$ , and m is the size of the set  $S_i$ .  $\mathbf{g}^n$  is a vector with entries that consist of all n length n-grams. Notice that the dot product will be large when a candidate description shares n-grams with its according ground-truth description, particularly if these n-grams are rare across the corpus. For robustness n is often taken in the range 1 to 4 and the resulting CIDEr<sub>n</sub> values are averaged,

CIDEr
$$(c_i, S_i) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{4} \text{CIDEr}_n(c_i, S_i).$$

For further robustness it is common to use CIDEr-D instead of CIDEr,

CIDEr-D<sub>n</sub>
$$(c_i, S_i) = \frac{10}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} e^{\frac{\delta^2}{2\sigma^2}} \frac{\min(\mathbf{g}^n(c_i), \mathbf{g}^n(s_{ij})) \cdot \mathbf{g}^n(s_{ij})}{\|\mathbf{g}^n(c_i)\|_2 \|\mathbf{g}^n(s_{ij})\|_2}$$

where  $\delta$  is the length difference between  $c_i$  and  $s_{ij}$ , and  $\sigma$  is 6. Again averaging for n over the range 1 to 4 gives

CIDEr-D
$$(c_i, S_i) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{4} \text{CIDEr-D}_n(c_i, S_i).$$

CIDEr-D is intended to prevent gameability where a gaussian length penalty and a minimum function are added to prevent candidate sentences from learning to become unreasonably long. The multiplication by 10 centers scores for closer comparison against BLEU.