15-410 "...Should we "crash"?..."

Errors Jan. 31, 2011

Dave Eckhardt

L10b_Errors 15-410, S'11

Outline

Three kinds of error Important to classify & react appropriately

Outline

Three kinds of error

- Hmm...
- That's not right...
- Uh-oh...

Important to classify & react appropriately

```
Improve memory locality:
// store players in array; use index, not ptr
struct player players[MAX];
int new_player(int team, int num)
  int i;
  if ((i = emptyslot()) == -1) {
    /* OH NO!!! */
    MAGIC_BREAK;
                                           15-410, S'11
```

```
Improve memory locality:
// store players in array; use index, not ptr
struct player players[MAX];
int new_player(int team, int num)
  int i;
  if ((i = emptyslot()) == -1) {
    /* OH NO!!! */
    while(1);
                                           15-410, S'11
```

"Out of table slots" - what kind of thing?

- Should really never happen?
- Might happen sometimes?
- Likely to happen once a day?

"Out of table slots" - what kind of thing?

- Should really never happen?
- Might happen sometimes?
- Likely to happen once a day?
 - Remember: users always want 110%!

What to do?

- Resolve reasonable issues when possible
 - How to resolve this one?

```
struct player *players;
int playerslots;
int new_player(int team, int num)
  int i;
  if ((i = emptyslot()) == -1) {
    if ((i = grow_table_and_alloc()) == -1)
      /* OH NO!!! */
      while(1);
```

"Out of heap space" - what kind of thing?

- Should really never happen?
- Might happen sometimes?
- Likely to happen once a day?

9 15-410, S'11

"Out of heap space" - what kind of thing?

- Should really never happen?
- Might happen sometimes?
- Likely to happen once a day?

My suggestion

"Might happen sometimes"

What to do?

- Hard to say what the right thing is for all clients
 - Is it fatal or not?
- Often: pass the buck

```
struct player *players;
int playerslots;
int new_player(int team, int num)
  int i;
  if ((i = emptyslot()) == -1) {
    if ((i = grow_table_and_alloc()) == -1)
      return (-1);
```

"Free Player" - Take 1

```
void free_player(int slot)
  struct player *p = &players[slot];
  switch(p->role) {
  case CONTENDER:
    free(p->cstate); break;
  case REFEREE:
    free(p->refstate); break;
  free(p->generic);
 mark_slot_available(slot);
```

What's Wrong?

There is a sanity-check missing...

- Probably somebody will make a mistake eventually
- Let's catch it

"Free Player" - Take 2

```
void free_player(int slot)
  struct player *p = &players[slot];
  switch(p->role) {
  case CONTENDER:
    free(p->cstate); break;
  case REFEREE:
    free(p->refstate); break;
  default: return;
  free(p->generic);
 mark_slot_available(slot);
```

All Fixed?

No!

- The program has a bug
 - Maybe the client is passing us random player pointers
 - Maybe we are handing out invalid p->role values
- We happened to catch the bug this time
- We might not catch it every time!
 - A random player pointer might have a "valid" p->role

The program is broken

- Hiding the problem isn't our job
- Hiding the problem isn't even defensible

Should We "Crash"?

If the program is "broken", should we "crash"?

- Often: yes
 - Dumping core allows debugger inspection of the problem
 - Throwing running program into a debugger is probably nicer

Summary

Three kinds of error

- Hmm...
 - Try to resolve
- That's not right...
 - Try to report
- Uh-oh...
 - Try to help the developer find the problem faster