Chapter 9 Exercise

rachel sabol April 9, 2018

8. This problem involves the OJ data set which is part of the ISLR package.

a) Create a training set containing a random sample of 800 observations, and a test set containing the remaining observations.

```
library(ISLR)
library (e1071)
set.seed(42)
train=sample(nrow(0J),800)
OJtrain=OJ[train,]
OJtest=OJ[-train,]
```

b) Fit a support vector classifier to the training data using cost=0.01, with Purchase as the response and the other variables as predictors. Use the summary() function to produce summary statistics, and describe the results obtained.

```
svmfit=svm(Purchase~.,data=OJtrain,kernel="linear",cost=0.01)
summary(svmfit)
```

```
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJtrain, kernel = "linear",
       cost = 0.01)
##
##
## Parameters:
##
     SVM-Type: C-classification
   SVM-Kernel: linear
##
##
         cost: 0.01
         gamma: 0.0555556
##
## Number of Support Vectors: 439
##
   (219 220)
##
##
## Number of Classes:
##
## Levels:
## CH MM
```

The support vector classifier results in a model with two classes and 429 support vectors. 219 belong to level CH, 220 belong to level MM.

c) What are the training and test error rates?

```
pred.train=predict(svmfit,OJtrain)
table(OJtrain$Purchase, pred.train)
##
       pred.train
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 428 54
##
     MM 78 240
(78+54)/800
## [1] 0.165
pred.test=predict(svmfit,OJtest)
table(OJtest$Purchase,pred.test)
##
       pred.test
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 150 21
##
     MM 29 70
(29+21)/(150+21+29+70)
## [1] 0.1851852
The training error rate is 16.5% and the testing error rate is about 18.5%.
d) Use the tune() function to select an optimal cost. Consider values in the range 0.01 to 10.
tune.out=tune(svm,Purchase~.,data=0Jtrain,kernel="linear",ranges=list(cost=c(0.01,0.1,1,10)))
summary(tune.out)
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
##
## - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
##
   cost
##
       1
##
## - best performance: 0.17125
##
## - Detailed performance results:
      cost
            error dispersion
## 1 0.01 0.17375 0.04910660
## 2 0.10 0.17375 0.05219155
## 3 1.00 0.17125 0.05172376
## 4 10.00 0.17500 0.05137012
The cost with the lowest error is 1.
e) Compute the training and test error rates using this new value for cost.
svmfit=svm(Purchase~.,data=OJtrain,kernel="linear",cost=1)
summary(svmfit)
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJtrain, kernel = "linear",
```

cost = 1)

##

```
## Parameters:
##
      SVM-Type: C-classification
##
    SVM-Kernel: linear
##
          cost: 1
##
         gamma: 0.0555556
##
## Number of Support Vectors:
                                335
##
##
    (167 168)
##
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
  CH MM
pred.train=predict(svmfit,OJtrain)
table(OJtrain$Purchase, pred.train)
##
       pred.train
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 425 57
##
     MM 73 245
(73+57)/800
## [1] 0.1625
pred.test=predict(svmfit,OJtest)
table(OJtest$Purchase,pred.test)
##
       pred.test
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 149
             22
##
     MM 25 74
(25+22)/(149+74+25+22)
## [1] 0.1740741
The new training error is 16.25% and the testing error is 17.4%, a slight improvement over the original cost.
f) Repeat parts (b) through (e) using a support vector machine with a radial kernel. Use the
default value for gamma.
svmfit=svm(Purchase~.,data=OJtrain,kernel="radial",cost=0.01)
summary(svmfit)
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJtrain, kernel = "radial",
##
       cost = 0.01)
##
##
## Parameters:
      SVM-Type: C-classification
##
## SVM-Kernel: radial
```

##

```
##
          cost:
                 0.01
         gamma: 0.0555556
##
##
## Number of Support Vectors:
                                 638
##
    (318 320)
##
##
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
##
   CH MM
The support vector classifier results in a model with two classes and 638 support vectors. 318 belong to level
CH, 320 belong to level MM.
c) What are the training and test error rates?
pred.train=predict(svmfit,OJtrain)
table(OJtrain$Purchase,pred.train)
##
       pred.train
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 482
               0
##
     MM 318
               0
(318)/800
## [1] 0.3975
pred.test=predict(svmfit,OJtest)
table(OJtest$Purchase,pred.test)
##
       pred.test
##
         CH
             MM
##
     CH 171
               0
     MM
##
         99
               0
99/200
## [1] 0.495
The training error rate is about 40% and the testing error rate is 49.5%.
tune.out=tune(svm,Purchase~.,data=0Jtrain,kernel="radial",ranges=list(cost=c(0.01,0.1,1,10)))
summary(tune.out)
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
##
##
   - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
##
    cost
##
##
   - best performance: 0.1775
##
## - Detailed performance results:
```

```
cost error dispersion
## 1 0.01 0.39750 0.04993051
## 2 0.10 0.18125 0.05212498
## 3 1.00 0.17750 0.05062114
## 4 10.00 0.18250 0.04794383
The cost with the lowest error is 1.
svmfit=svm(Purchase~.,data=OJtrain,kernel="radial",cost=1)
summary(svmfit)
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJtrain, kernel = "radial",
##
       cost = 1)
##
##
## Parameters:
      SVM-Type: C-classification
##
##
    SVM-Kernel: radial
##
          cost: 1
##
         gamma: 0.0555556
##
## Number of Support Vectors: 382
   ( 191 191 )
##
##
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
## CH MM
pred.train=predict(svmfit,OJtrain)
table(OJtrain$Purchase,pred.train)
##
       pred.train
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 443 39
##
     MM 78 240
(78+39)/800
## [1] 0.14625
pred.test=predict(svmfit,OJtest)
table(OJtest$Purchase,pred.test)
##
       pred.test
##
         CH MM
     CH 152
             19
##
     MM 24
             75
(24+19)/200
## [1] 0.215
```

The new training error is about 14.6% and the testing error is 21.5%.

g) Repeat parts (b) through (e) using a support vector machine with a polynomial kernel. Set degree=2. svmfit=svm(Purchase~.,data=OJtrain,kernel="polynomial",degree=2,cost=0.01) summary(svmfit) ## ## Call: ## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJtrain, kernel = "polynomial", ## degree = 2, cost = 0.01)## ## ## Parameters: ## SVM-Type: C-classification ## SVM-Kernel: polynomial ## cost: 0.01 ## degree: 2 gamma: 0.0555556 ## ## coef.0: 0 ## ## Number of Support Vectors: ## (318 324) ## ## ## ## Number of Classes: 2 ## ## Levels: ## CH MM The support vector classifier results in a model with two classes and 642 support vectors. 318 belong to level CH, 324 belong to level MM. pred.train=predict(svmfit,OJtrain) table(OJtrain\$Purchase,pred.train) ## pred.train ## CH MM CH 482 0 ## ## MM 318 0 318/800 ## [1] 0.3975 pred.test=predict(svmfit,OJtest) table(OJtest\$Purchase,pred.test) ## pred.test ## CH MM ## CH 171 0 MM 0 ## 99 99/200

[1] 0.495

The training error rate is about 40% and the testing error rate is 49.5%.

```
tune.out=tune(svm,Purchase~.,data=0Jtrain,kernel="polynomial",degree=2,ranges=list(cost=c(0.01,0.1,1,10
summary(tune.out)
##
## Parameter tuning of 'svm':
##
## - sampling method: 10-fold cross validation
##
## - best parameters:
## cost
##
##
## - best performance: 0.19375
## - Detailed performance results:
      cost
           error dispersion
## 1 0.01 0.39750 0.06635343
## 2 0.10 0.33125 0.06827487
## 3 1.00 0.21125 0.04059026
## 4 10.00 0.19375 0.03294039
The cost with the lowest error is 10.
svmfit=svm(Purchase~.,data=OJtrain,kernel="polynomial",degree=2,cost=10)
summary(svmfit)
##
## Call:
## svm(formula = Purchase ~ ., data = OJtrain, kernel = "polynomial",
       degree = 2, cost = 10)
##
##
## Parameters:
##
      SVM-Type: C-classification
   SVM-Kernel: polynomial
##
##
          cost: 10
##
        degree: 2
##
         gamma: 0.0555556
        coef.0: 0
##
##
## Number of Support Vectors: 350
##
   (170 180)
##
##
## Number of Classes: 2
##
## Levels:
## CH MM
pred.train=predict(svmfit,OJtrain)
table(OJtrain$Purchase,pred.train)
##
       pred.train
##
         CH MM
    CH 441 41
```

```
##
     MM 80 238
(80+41)/800
## [1] 0.15125
pred.test=predict(svmfit,OJtest)
table(OJtest$Purchase,pred.test)
##
       pred.test
##
         CH MM
##
     CH 152
             19
##
     MM
        29
             70
(29+19)/200
```

[1] 0.24

h) Overall, which approach seems to give the best results on this data?

While the radial and polynomial approaches fit the training data better, the linear fit has the lowest testing error. The radial and polynomial models are likely overfitting. Therefore, the linear model has the best results.