

# International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology 35 (1996) 155 163



# Database for sensorineural hearing loss

# Andrew J. Fishman\*, Nancy Sculerati

Department of Otolaryngology, New York University School of Medicine, 550 First Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10016, USA

Received 8 June 1995; revised 16 November 1995; accepted 18 November 1995

#### Abstract

We are creating a bank of EBV immortalized lymphoblast cells and extracted DNA taken from the blood of deaf children and their relatives, in order to study the molecular basis of hereditary deafness. We have established a corresponding database for sensorineural hearing loss that records clinical data for each entered specimen. The purpose of this paper is to present the content and design of the computerized relational database. The data model is designed first to identify known etiologies of deafness, either acquired or syndromic, and then to characterize the clinical features of the deaf individual, and both their affected and non-affected family members. The application operates in a graphical environment of visual prompts and message panels. The database is organized by sections which record demographic data, presenting complaints, otologic history, birth and perinatal history, developmental history, symptoms of chronic airway obstruction, family history, neurologic history, congenital infections, hospitalizations and surgical history, medication history, vestibular findings, audiometry, radiology, medical conditions and syndromes and physical examination. The database was developed on a commercially available software product. Our database is presented as a model for use by other clinicians and investigators.

Keywords: Database; Computer; Deafness; Genetics; Molecular biology; Hearing Impairment; Hereditary deafness; Non-syndromal deafness; Children

# 1. Introduction

We are creating a bank of EBV immortalized lymphoblast cells and extracted DNA taken from the blood of deaf children and their relatives, in order to study

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author, Tel.: (212) 263 6344.

the molecular basis of hereditary deafness. We have established a corresponding database for sensorineural hearing loss that records clinical data for each entered specimen. The purpose of this paper is to present the content and design of the computerized relational database. Our data model was chosen to identify known features of acquired and syndromic deafness and to help distinguish these from non-syndromic hereditary deafness, which is the most prevalent form of deafness. The data model describes, in detail, the clinical features of a deaf individual and both affected and non-affected family members, thereby defining the phenotype for the banked DNA genotype. The specific datafields were derived from a review of the current literature concerning the genetics of hereditary deafness, and the authors' experience treating hearing impaired individuals. The computer can permanently store information that is logically archived, and easily searched and retrieved.

# 2. Background and significance

Deafness is the most common clinical entity interfering with the acquisition of speech and language in childhood. At least one of every 1000 children has hearing loss severe enough to interfere with the acquisition of normal speech [1,6]. Though many cases of early onset deafness are the result of meningitis or exposure to ototoxic drugs, at least 60% are hereditary in nature [3].

Deafness may be broadly classified as hereditary versus non-hereditary and syndromic versus non-syndromic. Further classification is based on time of onset (congenital versus those appearing post-lingually) as well as denoting progression or stability of hearing loss.

Hereditary deafness manifests as part of a definable syndrome in no more than 25% of cases. The associated phenotypic features, in these cases, aid in identifying the genetic aberration. The vast majority; however, are non-syndromic, present only with hearing loss and display significant genetic diversity [6]. Advances in gene localization and identification of hereditary syndromes have occurred when the recognition of phenotypically variant subgroups suggests the presence of different genes. A database identifying distinctive clinical patterns may help guide investigation of non-syndromic hereditary deafness on the molecular level. Our database is presented as a possible model for use by other clinical centers. The specific datafields comprise a formalized history and physical evaluation that provides a model for the clinical evaluation of a child with hearing loss.

### 3. Materials and methods

Programming was done in the ObjectPAL™ language provided with Paradox for Windows™ version 4.5 distributed by Borland International (Borland International, P.O. Box 660001, Scotts Valley, CA 95067. Windows is a registered trademark of Microsoft Corporation). All work was done on a desktop PC

#### Table 1

### **Demographics**

Name

Date of interview Referral source

Chief complaint of referral

Date of birth Address

Sex

Relationship of historian Parent's primary language Interpreter present

Interpreter comments
Patient's country of birth
Mother's country of birth
Father's country of birth

Religion Race

Adoption status
Parental blood relation
Grandparental blood relation

### Complaints

Complaint \*Relational field options\*

Articulation problems
Failed school screen
Cleft palate patient
Family history of hear

Family history of hearing loss

High risk patent
Known hearing loss
Parent suspects hearing loss
Psychiatric in-patient
Speech delay
Suspected hearing loss
Description (free text)

# Otitic history

Date of last ear infection

Rate of ear infections (frequency/year)

Age at first infection Lifetime total

Chronic/recurrent rhinorrea (y/n)Frequent pharyngitis/tonsillitis (y/n)

• • • •

# Birth and perinatal history

Gestational age at birth

Birth weight

Left hospital with mother (y/n)History of intubation (y/n)Treatment for jaundice (y/n)Exchange transfusion (y/n)

UV lights (y/n)Maximum bilirubin Meningitis (y/n)

Type Organism

Comments (free text)

Head trauma (y/n)

Comments (free text)

# Developmental history

Roll over (yrs)
Sit-up (yrs)
Walk (yrs)
Unsteady (y/n)
Say words (yrs)

Use sentences (yrs)

# Chronic upper airway obstruction (UAO)

Evidence of chronic UAO (y/n)Symptoms \*Relational field options\*

Bed wets

Daytime somnolence

Hyperactivity

Mouth breather Pauses

Snores

Stops breathing

# Family history

Relative name

Relative ID #

Relation

Finding \*Relational field options\*

Auricular tags

Blindness

Brachial cleft cysts

Cleft palate

Congenital cardiac disease

Congenital cataracts Craniofacial anomalies Dystopia canthorum

Hearing loss

Heterochromia irides

Hearing loss in old age

Mental retardation

Microtia Nystagmus

Patchy pigmentation

Polydactaly Preauricular pit Prolonged QT interval

Renal disease

Retinitis pigmentosa

Sudden death

# Table 1 (Continued)

Syncope Syndactaly Thyroid disease Uses hearing aid Uses sign language White streak in hair Description (free text)

Address

Telephone number

Neurologic history

Finding \*Relational field options\*

Anoxia

Cerebral palsy Hydrocephalus Microcephaly Non-febrile seizures V-P shunt

Description (free text)

Congenital infections

Finding \*Relational field options\*

CMV Herpes HIV Rubella Syphillis Toxoplasma

Description (free text)

Hospitalization and surgical history

Date Institution Procedure

Admitting diagnosis Description (free text)

Medication history

Medication Start date Duration Dose Schedule

Vestibular data

Date

Examination Findings (free text)

Radiology

Date

Exam (CT, MRI, X-Ray, 3-D

Reconstruction)

Findings (free text) Image (graphic file)

Audiometric data

Date

Shape in Left \*Field options\*

Residual low tone

Flat U-shaped Upsloping

Gradually downsloping Steeply downsloping

Other

Other Shape Shape in Right Stable (y/n)Progression (y/n)

Side Frequency Db drop Time

Discrimination left
Discrimination right
Audiogram (graphic file)
ABR left (free text)
ABR right (free text)

Conditions and syndromes

Medical condition or syndrome \*Relational

Field Options\*
Cardiac disease

Congenital cardiac disease Congenital cataracts Craniofacial anomalies Dystopia canthorum

Diabetes

Interstitial keratitis Mental retardation Muscular dystrophy Renal disease

Prolonged QT interval Retinitis pigmentosa

Syncope Syndactaly Thyoid disease Alport syndrome

Branchio-oto-renal syndrome

Cogan syndrome

Cornelia de Lange syndrome

Downs syndrome Familial dysautonomia

Meniere disease

## Table 1 (Continued)

Turner syndrome Usher syndrome Waardenburg syndrome Description (free text)

#### Physical examination

Face

Ear (left and right included)

Pinna
Helical pit
Prehelical pit
Ear tag
Microtia
Stenosis
Atresia

Tympanic membrane color

Mobility
Perforation
Otitis media
Tympanosclerosis
Comment (free text)

Nose Nare Exudate

Comment (free text)
Palate \*Field options\*

Cleft

Submucous cleft Bifid uvula

Tonsil size (right and left included)
Pharynx comment (free text)
Nasopharynx comment (free text)
Larynx comment (free text)

Frenulum
Tongue mobility

Tongue comment (free text)
Cervical adenopathy (free text)
Sinus tracts (free text)

Neck comments (free text)

Fundoscopic examination report (free text)

General examination (free text)

operating an Intel 80486 33 mHz processor, a SVGA monitor running at either 256 or 65 K colors and a high capacity fixed drive. The application is installed on a local area network in the N.Y.U. Medical Center. The application accepts the input of graphic files from digital scanners and fax-modems.

Paradox is a relational type database. This differs from the standard flat file database by the fact that multiple entries may describe a given datafield. Though somewhat more complex, this format is necessary to describe the dataset accurately.

A 'relational field' is a technical term used in database design. If a field on a database only allows the input of one item or a yes/no response, e.g. birthdate (which receives a single date input) or frequent pharyngitis (which receive a yes/no response) (refer to Table 1), it is a simple field. If a field allows the input of multiple items in the form of a list, where each item is independently searchable, then it is a 'relational field'. The options are presented to the user in the form of a 'pull down' menu off of which they may be chosen or an original entry not on the list may be entered manually. As an example, take the field 'medical condition or syndrome' (Table 1). A patient may have both craniofacial anomalies and syndactyly or even other distinct abnormalities which need to be recorded and individually detailed. A relational field allows the inclusion of each item and a search for any of the above would include this individual patient. This is the strength of a relational field. Relational field options are provided as prompts from a list. Relational field options which are listed in Table 1 are built into the program and presented to the user in the form of pulldown menus.

The informational content of the database, including individual and family history and otologic and medical findings are designed such that a junior otolaryngology house officer can complete the intake questionnaire during a 30 min patient interview.

#### 4. Results

Sample identification is maintained through a unique pedigree coding determined by the following four elements: 1. Personal identification number (social security number when available); 2. Father ID #; 3. Mother ID #; and 4. Next oldest sibling (NOS) ID #. Affected individuals and available relatives are interviewed, and their blood is obtained. Each relative is also entered separately into the database. The four field identification system serves as the basis for an 'extract pedigree' function that generates a table of all related individuals from one selected individual.

The application operates in a graphical environment of visual prompts and message panels. The main screen contains a table of all included subjects for which a DNA sample has been obtained. A pull down menu leads to the various screens organizing the database. Table 1 is provided as an outline of the included datafields. Relational fields may contain multiple searchable entries. Data entry options are selected from a preset pulldown menu (denoted as \*Relational field options\* in Table 1) or typed in as original items.

Demographic data focuses upon country of origin and any history of consanguinity. A section on family history serves as a starting point from where listed family members can be contacted and then entered separately into the database. A section detailing presenting complaints is included so that ascertainment bias can be assessed when reporting incidence and prevalence data.

Information is acquired first for the affected individual because this is the natural process of presentation. In the recording of this data, there will be encountered, related individuals who possess significant historical findings. This data can be recorded as a prompt in the presenting patient's record to relatives who may be contacted and then be entered individually and fully. When the extract pedigree function is performed, a list of all related individuals is presented and the logic of familial relationships can be seen by the pedigree coding displayed i.e. same mother, same father etc. Follow-up data on individuals, such as serial audiograms are handled by the virtue that the database is 'relational' and 'multiple' items, e.g. medications, audiograms (with dates included) can be entered in an individual's record without having to start a whole new entry.

Otologic history focuses on otitis frequency, which is commonly and independently associated with speech delay secondary to conductive losses. Adverse neurologic history or a history of congenital infections may cause neurologic impairment including acquired sensorineural hearing impairment. Medical conditions and syndromes known to cause hearing impairment are also detailed individually (see Table 1).

The section on birth and perinatal history pinpoints events that make acquired ototoxicity or injury more likely. Included are hyperbilirubinemia, meningitis and prolonged intubation, which increases the likelihood of having received ototoxic medications. Items included in developmental history signal the possibility of more global neurologic impairment contributing to speech and language delay.

A detailed otologic, head and neck and general physical examination are recorded. A pertinent medication history is obtained. Radiographic evidence of cochleovestibular anomalies may be recorded, both in text and graphic image form, with the use of a digital scanner. Vestibular data are included when available. Audiometric findings for each ear are characterized by shape: U-shaped, upsloping, residual low tone, flat, gradually or steeply downsloping, or other. Scanned images of audiograms are maintained, when appropriate. Progression is denoted by a 10 dB drop in at least one frequency over the recorded time. Because the database is relational in format, appropriate datafields for audiology and other tests may be recorded and logged in relation to time as described above.

### 5. Discussion

Hereditary deafness is primarily caused by single gene defects that follow clear autosomal or sex-linked inheritance patterns. A small number of cases arise from major chromosomal anomalies, affecting many sequential genes, which can be characterized by cytogenetic staining techniques. Though rare, these sporadic chromosomal rearrangements are important because they can provide clues to the location of deafness genes, especially if they result in a recognizable syndrome.

Mitochondria possess a unique DNA that differs from nuclear DNA in both form and transmission pattern. It is recognized to be responsible for at least some forms of inherited deafness, including some syndromic cases, and one form characterized by extreme sensitivity to aminoglycoside ototoxicity [5].

Single gene defects causing deafness follow an autosomal dominant pattern in 10-20% of cases. 2-3% are X-linked. Greater than 70 percent; however, are recessive disorders and require the occurrence of two abnormal gene alleles, one from each parent. In the absence of consanguinity, recessive disorders appear as unique occurrences within a pedigree, typically from unaffected parents. Since the great majority are also non-syndromic and present only with hearing impairment, it is essential to rule out non-congenital factors to properly diagnose a case of hereditary deafness. Our database is designed to first identify known etiologies of deafness, acquired or syndromic, and then to characterize an affected individual's deafness by detailing clinical expression, radiographic findings and audiometric parameters. The computer database format allows for logical searches to extract individuals and their pedigrees that share study variables.

The inclusion of multiple family members is imperative, not only for gene localization studies, but also for diagnosing syndromic deafness. As an example, Waardenburg syndrome is characterized by great variability of phenotypic expression. It is an autosomal dominant disorder in which some affected family members may have only mild facial or pigmentary findings, few relatives may be deaf, and many may have unrecognized unilateral losses. A clinical diagnosis may be impossible without the examination of multiple relatives.

Audiometric data, though important in describing an affected individual's phenotype may serve as an example of variable expressivity in some instances, so care must be taken not to assume genetic homogeneity based purely on extent of hearing loss. In Waardenburg syndrome for example, there is great variability in expression of hearing impairment even within families possessing the same gene defect, and predictions regarding the expression of hearing loss in future siblings cannot reliably be made. In the case of Usher syndrome, a recessive disorder, there seems to be a consistent pattern within affected families who possess the same gene. Related individuals with non-syndromic hearing loss whom have hearing loss of the same audiometric profile and mode of inheritance, are also likely to share the same specific abnormal gene [4]. Audiometric data is stored in both a descriptive format as outlined above, and in graphic image form so that intelligent analyses may be performed once study parameters are chosen.

Milestones in language development help time the onset of deafness to either preor post-lingual. This may denote a progressive disorder or help distinguish hereditary from acquired deafness in certain instances. For example, a child may demonstrate a history of exposure to perinatal toxins such as aminoglycosides. If this was responsible, it would likely be pre-lingual deafness. If this child displayed a pattern of normal, age appropriate language before the onset of deafness, then the deafness is likely not caused by the exposure and may represent a progressive inherited disorder in the absence of other factors.

Motor development is recorded because it provides insight, not only into vestibular dysfunction, but also into associated neuromuscular abnormalities. Genes for deafness have recently been localized near the genes for muscular dystrophy [2]. Though the relationship is still unclear, this is the subject of current investigation.

# 6. Conclusion

A human DNA bank associated with a database for sensorineural hearing loss should serve as a valuable research tool to aid in the understanding of the genetics of deafness. We have described our method for the collection of pertinent clinical data which describes affected individuals and their family members. The database was developed on a commercially available software product. The selected fields define the phenotype of included individuals. The relational nature of the database provides for the inclusion of follow-up and other time related data. Specific information has been provided so that it may be integrated into any database which aims to describe clinical features of deaf patients. Additionally, the data structure should prove useful to any clinician interviewing and treating hearing impaired individuals.

# References

[1] Cremers, C.W.R.J., Graham, J., Parving, A. and Ruben R.J. (1988) Biology of sensorineural hearing loss in children. Int. J. Pediatr. Otorhinolaryngol. 15, 1-15.

- [2] Guilford, P., Ben Arab, S., Blanchard, S. et al. (1994) A non-syndromic form of neurosensory recessive deafness maps to the pericentromic region of chromosome 13q. Nature Genet. 6, 24-28.
- [3] Marazita, M.L., Ploughman, L.M., Rawlings, B., Remington, E., Arnos, K.S. and Nance, W.E. (1993) Genetic epidemiological studies of early-onset deafness in the US school-age population. Am. J. Med. Genet. 46, 486-491.
- [4] Marres, H.A. and Cremers, C.W. (1989) Autosomal recessive nonsyndromal profound childhood deafness in a large pedigree. Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 115, 591-595.
- [5] Prezant, T.R., Agapaian, J.V., Bohlman, M.C. et al. (1993) Mitochondrial ribosomal RNA mutation associated with both antibiotic-induced and non-syndromic deafness. Nature Genet. 4, 289–294.
- [6] Reardon, W. (1992) Genetic deafness. J. Med. Genet. 29, 521-526.