

# Galaxy Zoo: Evidence for rapid, recent quenching across a population of AGN host galaxies

R. J. Smethurst,<sup>1</sup> C. J. Lintott,<sup>1</sup> B. D. Simmons,<sup>1</sup> K. Schawinski,<sup>2</sup>  
S. P. Bamford,<sup>3</sup> C. N. Cardamone,<sup>4</sup> S. J. Kruk,<sup>1</sup> K. L. Masters,<sup>5</sup>  
C. M. Urry,<sup>6</sup> K. W. Willett,<sup>7</sup> O. I. Wong<sup>8</sup> \*

<sup>1</sup> *Oxford Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3RH, UK*

<sup>2</sup> *Institute for Astronomy, Department of Physics, ETH Zurich, Wolfgang-Pauli Strasse 27, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

<sup>3</sup> *School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK*

<sup>4</sup> *Math & Science Department, Wheelock College, 200 The Riverway, Boston, MA 02215, USA*

<sup>5</sup> *Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation, University of Portsmouth, Dennis Sciama Building, Barnaby Road, Portsmouth, PO1 3FX, UK*

<sup>6</sup> *Department of Physics and Yale Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Yale University, PO Box 208121, New Haven, CT 06520-8121, USA*

<sup>7</sup> *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, 116 Church St SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*

<sup>8</sup> *International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research, UWA, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia*

12 October 2015

## ABSTRACT

We present a population study of the star formation history of 1,244 Type 2 AGN host galaxies, compared to 123,243 inactive galaxies using a Bayesian method. We find evidence for the Type 2 AGN host galaxies having undergone a recent (within 2 Gyr) and rapid drop in their star formation rate. AGN feedback is therefore important at least for this population of galaxies. This result is not seen for the inactive galaxies whose star formation histories are dominated by the effects of downsizing at earlier epochs, a secondary effect for the AGN host galaxies. We show that histories of rapid quenching cannot account fully for the quenching of all the star formation in a galaxy’s lifetime across the population of AGN host galaxies, and that histories of slower quenching, attributed to secular (non-violent) evolution, are also key in their evolution. This is in agreement with recent results showing both merger-driven and non-merger processes are contributing to the co-evolution of galaxies and supermassive black holes. The availability of gas in the reservoirs of a galaxy, and its ability to be replenished, appear to be the key drivers behind this co-evolution.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The nature of the observed co-evolution of galaxies and their central supermassive black holes (Magorrian et al. 1998; Marconi & Hunt 2003; Haring & Rix 2004) and the effects of AGN feedback on galaxies are two of the most important open issues in galaxy evolution. AGN feedback was first suggested as a mechanism for regulating star formation in simulations (Silk & Rees 1998; Croton et al. 2006; Bower et al. 2006; Somerville et al. 2008) and some indirect evidence has been observed for both positive and negative feedback in various systems (see the comprehensive review from Fabian 2006).

The strongest observational evidence for AGN feedback in a population is that the largest fraction of AGN are found in the green valley (Cowie & Barger 2008; Hickox et al. 2009; Schawinski et al. 2010), suggesting some link between AGN

activity and the process of quenching which moves a galaxy from the blue cloud to the red sequence. However, concrete statistical evidence for the effect of AGN feedback on the host galaxy population has so far been elusive.

Here we present a large observational population study of the quenching of Type 2 AGN the host galaxies identified by line diagnostics. We use a new Bayesian method (Smethurst et al. 2015) to effectively model the SFH of a galaxy with two parameters, time of quenching,  $t_q$ , and exponential rate,  $\tau$ , given the observed near ultra-violet (NUV) and optical colours. **This is comparable to the work of Martin et al. (2007) who using a similar model, estimated mass fluxes across the green valley, however this work demonstrates a significant improvement over this previous study through the use of a Bayesian-MCMC methodology.** Through this we aim to determine the following: (i) Are galaxies currently hosting an AGN undergoing quenching? (ii) If so, when and at what rate does this quenching occur? (iii) Is this quenching occurring at different times and rates compared to a control sample of inactive galaxies?

The zero points of all magnitudes are in the AB sys-

\* This investigation has been made possible by the participation of over 350,000 users in the Galaxy Zoo project. Their contributions are acknowledged at <http://authors.galaxyzoo.org>

tem and where necessary, we adopt the WMAP Seven-Year Cosmology (Jarosik et al. 2011) with  $(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, h) = (0.26, 0.73, 0.71)$ .

## 2 DATA & METHODS

In this investigation we use visual classifications of galaxy morphologies from the Galaxy Zoo 2<sup>1</sup> (GZ2) citizen science project (Willett et al. 2013), which obtains multiple independent classifications for each optical image. The full question tree for an image is shown in Figure 1 of Willett et al. The GZ2 project used 304,022 images from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 7 (SDSS; York et al. 2000; Abazajian et al. 2009) all classified by *at least* 17 independent users, with a mean number of classifications of  $\sim 42$ .

Further to this, we required NUV photometry from the GALEX survey, within which  $\sim 42\%$  of the GZ2 sample was observed, giving 126,316 galaxies total ( $0.01 < z < 0.25$ ). This will be referred to as the GZ2-GALEX sample. The completeness of this sample ( $-22 < M_u < -15$ ) is shown in Figure 2 of Smethurst et al. (2015).

Observed fluxes are corrected for galactic extinction (Oh et al. 2011) by applying the Cardelli et al. (1989) law. We also adopt k-corrections to  $z = 0.0$  and obtain absolute magnitudes from the NYU-VAGC (Blanton et al. 2005; Padmanabhan et al. 2008; Blanton & Roweis 2007).

### 2.1 Bayesian SFH Determination

STARPY<sup>2</sup> is a PYTHON code which allows the user to derive the quenched star formation history (SFH) of a galaxy through a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo method (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013)<sup>3</sup> with the input of the observed  $u-r$  and  $NUV-u$  colours, a redshift, and the use of the stellar population models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003). These models are implemented using solar metallicity and a Chabrier IMF (Chabrier et al. 2003). The star formation history template is an exponential decline of the SFR and is described by two parameters  $[t_q, \tau]$ , where  $t_q$  is the time at which the onset of quenching begins [Gyr] and  $\tau$  is the exponential rate at which quenching occurs [Gyr]. Under the simplifying assumption that all galaxies formed at  $t = 0$  Gyr with an initial burst of star formation, the SFH can be described as:

$$SFR = \begin{cases} i_{sfr}(t_q) & \text{if } t < t_q \\ i_{sfr}(t_q) \times \exp\left(\frac{-(t-t_q)}{\tau}\right) & \text{if } t > t_q \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $i_{sfr}$  is an initial constant star formation rate dependent on  $t_q$  (Schawinski et al. 2014; Smethurst et al. 2015). A smaller  $\tau$  value corresponds to a rapid quench, whereas a larger  $\tau$  value corresponds to a slower quench. Martin et al. (2007) showed that such an exponential SFH leads to the largest UV colour change over time giving an upper limit on the mass flux of galaxies quenching to the red sequence. They concluded that this SFH was the most appropriate

when studying such a large population of galaxies in comparison to smooth, burst and complex SFHs. Such an investigation is also planned using STARPY in future work.

The output of STARPY is probabilistic in nature and provides the posterior probability distribution across the entirety of the two parameter space for each individual galaxy. The probabilistic fitting methods to this SFH for an observed galaxy are described in full detail in Section 3.2 of Smethurst et al. (2015) wherein the STARPY code was used to characterise the SFHs of the GZ2-GALEX sample. We assume a flat prior on all the model parameters and a double gaussian likelihood function for the  $u-r$  and  $NUV-u$  colours (Equation 2 in Smethurst et al. 2015).

### 2.2 AGN Sample

We selected Type 2 AGN using a BPT diagram (Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich 1981) using line and continuum strengths for [OIII], [NII], [SII] and [OII] obtained from the MPA-JHU catalogue (Kauffman et al. 2003a; Brinchmann et al. 2004) for galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample. We then required the  $S/N > 3$  for each emission line as in Schawinski et al. (2010). Those galaxies which satisfied all of the inequalities defined in Kewley et al. (2001) and Kauffman et al. (2003b) were selected as Type 2 AGN, giving 1,299 host galaxies ( $\sim 10\%$  of the GZ2-GALEX sample). Sarzi et al. (2010); Yan & Blanton (2012) and Singh et al. (2013) have all demonstrated that LINERs are not primarily powered by AGN, therefore for purity, we excluded these galaxies from the sample using the definition from Kewley et al. (2006) (55 galaxies total) with no change to the results. These 1,244 galaxies will be referred to as the AGN-HOST sample.

Type 2 AGN were used in this analysis as opposed to Type 1 due to their photometric obscuration. Type 1 AGN contaminate their galaxy's photometric measurements which would need to be removed through aperture matching. Due to the requirement for NUV colours from GALEX, in order to be sensitive to any recent star formation, the aperture matching to SDSS becomes a non-trivial task.

Simmons et al. (2011) showed that the obscuration of a Type 2 AGN is more efficient in the NUV than in the optical. Residual NUV flux from the AGN can thus be neglected in comparison to that of the galaxy. However there is often some residual optical flux that can affect the measurements of the host galaxy's photometry. We can subtract this central optical AGN flux using the magnitudes provided by SDSS fit to a central point spread function (PSF), however the change in the colours of these galaxies after this correction is negligible, with  $\Delta(u-r) \sim 0.09$ . We therefore use the uncorrected colours to avoid unnecessary complexity and minimise the propagation of uncertainty from the colours through to the SFHs. Including these corrected colours does not change the results described below.


Figure 1 shows those galaxies in the AGN-HOST sample marked in red and the matched GZ2-GALEX galaxies in black on a BPT diagram. For the AGN-HOST sample the mean  $\log(L[\text{OIII}])$  [erg s<sup>-1</sup>]  $\sim 41.3$  and median  $\log(L[\text{OIII}])$  [erg s<sup>-1</sup>]  $\sim 41.0$ , with a range of  $\log(L[\text{OIII}])$  [erg s<sup>-1</sup>] luminosities of 39.4 – 43.0.

We constructed a sample of inactive galaxies by removing from the GZ2-GALEX sample all those galaxies above the Kauffman et al. (2003b) line separating star forming from

<sup>1</sup> <http://zoo2.galaxyzoo.org/>

<sup>2</sup> Publicly available: <http://github.com/zoouniverse/starpy>

<sup>3</sup> <http://dan.iel.fm/emcee/>



**Figure 1.** BPT diagrams for galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample (black crosses) with  $S/N > 3$  for each emission line. Inequalities defined in: Kewley et al. (2001) to separate SF galaxies from AGN (dashed lines), Kauffman et al. (2003b) to separate SF from composite SF-AGN galaxies (solid line) and Kewley et al. (2006) to separate LINERS and Seyferts (dotted lines). Galaxies are included in the AGN-HOST sample (red circles) if they satisfy all the inequalities to be classified as Seyferts. LINERS are excluded for purity.

active galaxies on the BPT diagram, as well as sources identified as Type 1 AGN by the presence of broad emission lines (Oh et al. 2015). Each galaxy in the AGN-HOST sample was matched by stellar mass (to within  $\pm 5\%$ ) and GZ ‘smooth’ and ‘disc’ vote fractions,  $p_s$  and  $p_d$  (to within  $\pm 0.1$ ) to at least one, and up to five, inactive galaxies to give 6107 galaxies. We refer to this sample as the INACTIVE sample. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test revealed the redshift distributions of the INACTIVE and AGN-HOST samples are statistically indistinguishable ( $D \sim 0.16$ ,  $p \sim 0.88$ ).

Since this investigation is focussed on whether an AGN can have an impact on the SF of its host galaxy, we must consider whether there is a selection effect present in this identification method. The extent to which SF could be obscured by AGN emission was addressed by Schawinski et al. (2010). They showed, through a simple empirical experiment which simulated the addition of an AGN of known luminosity to a star forming galaxy, that BPT-based selection of AGN produces a complete sample, even in the blue cloud, at luminosities of  $L[OIII] > 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ . Above this limit we therefore assume we have selected a complete sample of AGN independent of host galaxy SFR.

We also split both the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE samples into low, medium and high mass ranges (see Table 1) to investigate any trends in the SFH with mass. The mass boundaries were chosen to give roughly equal numbers of inactive galaxies in each bin prior to the mass matching to the AGN-HOST sample. Masses were calculated using the  $(u-r)$  colour and absolute  $r$ -band magnitude with the method outlined in Baldry et al. (2006).

### 3 RESULTS

These 2-dimensional likelihoods are summed across each parameter axis and normalised to produce the one dimensional histograms shown in Figures 2 and 3 for the quenching time,  $t_q$  and exponential quenching rate,  $\tau$ , respectively. In each figure the summed 1-dimensional normalised posterior probability distribution across the given parameter is shown for smooth and disc dominated galaxies across three

mass bins for the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE samples. In Table 1 the percentage of the summed posterior distribution in each quenching regime for rapid ( $\tau < 1 \text{ Gyr}$ ), intermediate ( $1 < \tau [\text{Gyr}] < 2$ ) and slow ( $\tau > 2 \text{ Gyr}$ ) quenching timescales, are shown. Errors on the percentages are calculated from the range of values spanned by 1000 bootstrap iterations each sampling 90% of the population.

It is immediately apparent from Figures 2 and 3 that there is a distinct difference between the distribution of likelihood for AGN-HOST and INACTIVE populations.

At all masses, the distribution of likelihood for the AGN-HOST population across the quenching time  $t_q$  parameter (left panels of Figure 2) is different from that of the inactive galaxies (right panels of Figure 2). Recent quenching ( $t > 11 \text{ Gyr}$ ) of AGN-HOST galaxies is the dominant history for low and medium mass galaxies, particularly for the smooth galaxy population. However, this effect is less dominant in higher mass galaxies where quenching at earlier times has significant likelihood.

The distributions of likelihood for the quenching rate,  $\tau$ , in Figure 3 show the dominance of rapid quenching ( $\tau < 1 \text{ Gyr}$ ) across the AGN-HOST population, particularly for smooth galaxies. With increasing mass the dominant quenching rate becomes slow ( $\tau > 2 \text{ Gyr}$ ) especially for disc galaxies hosting an AGN. Similar trends in likelihood are observed for the INACTIVE population but the overall distribution of likelihood is very different.


The likelihood distribution for the AGN-HOST galaxies therefore shows evidence for the dominance of rapid, recent quenching having occurred across the entire population. This result implies the importance of AGN feedback for the evolution of these galaxies.

### 4 DISCUSSION

The differences between the distribution of likelihood for the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE galaxy populations reveal that an AGN can have a significant effect on the SFH of its host galaxy. Both recent, rapid quenching and early, slow quench-


**Table 1.** Table showing the number of galaxies in each of the three mass bins for both the AGN-HOSTS and INACTIVE galaxy samples and the percentage of the summed PDF across each population found in the rapid, intermediate and slow quenching regimes.

SAMPLE	MASS BIN	WEIGHTING	$\tau < 1$ [Gyr]	$1 < \tau$ [Gyr] < 2	$\tau > 2$ [Gyr]	NUMBER
AGN-HOSTS	$\log[M_*/M_\odot] < 10.25$	$p_d$	$60 \pm_{5}^{23}$	$13 \pm_{9}^9$	$28 \pm_{19}^6$	165(13.3%)
		$p_s$	$69 \pm_{6}^{14}$	$17 \pm_{14}^6$	$14 \pm_{7}^7$	
	$10.25 < \log[M_*/M_\odot] < 10.75$	$p_d$	$33 \pm_{5}^3$	$15 \pm_{4}^4$	$51 \pm_{7}^4$	630(50.6%)
		$p_s$	$69 \pm_{5}^4$	$7 \pm_{4}^4$	$26 \pm_{9}^5$	
	$\log[M_*/M_\odot] > 10.75$	$p_d$	$20 \pm_{4}^3$	$25 \pm_{5}^7$	$56 \pm_{12}^8$	449(36.1%)
		$p_s$	$24 \pm_{3}^4$	$26 \pm_{6}^5$	$50 \pm_{7}^7$	
INACTIVE	$\log[M_*/M_\odot] < 10.25$	$p_d$	$37 \pm_{14}^8$	$39 \pm_{6}^8$	$24 \pm_{6}^8$	807(13.2%)
		$p_s$	$47 \pm_{11}^5$	$36 \pm_{5}^9$	$17 \pm_{5}^4$	
	$10.25 < \log[M_*/M_\odot] < 10.75$	$p_d$				3094(50.7%)
		$p_s$				
	$\log[M_*/M_\odot] > 10.75$	$p_d$				2206(36.1%)
		$p_s$				

**Figure 2.** Normalised posterior probability distribution for the quenching time,  $t_q$  parameter normalised so that the areas under the curves are equal. AGN-HOST (left) and INACTIVE (right) galaxies are split into low (top), medium (middle) and high (bottom) mass for smooth (red dashed) and disc (blue solid) galaxies. The mode, along with  $\pm 1\sigma$ , are shown by the solid and dashed lines respectively for each distribution. A low value of  $t_q$  corresponds to the early Universe and a high value to the recent Universe.

ing are observed in the likelihood distribution of the AGN-HOST population.

The difference between the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE population distribution of likelihood in Figure 3 for the rate of quenching,  $\tau$ , tells a story of gas reservoirs. The distribution of probability for higher mass AGN-HOST galaxies is dominated by slow, early quenching implying another mechanism is responsible for the cessation of star formation in these high mass galaxies prior to the triggering of the current AGN. This preference for slow evolution timescales follows from the ideas of previously isolated discs evolving slowly by the Kennicutt-Schmidt (Schmidt 1959; Kennicutt 1997) law which can then undergo an interaction or merger to reinvigorate star formation, feed the central black hole and trigger an AGN (Varela et al. 2004; Emsellem et al. 2015). These galaxies would need a large enough gas reservoir to fuel both SF throughout their lifetimes and the recent AGN. These high mass galaxies also play host to the most luminous AGN (mean  $\log(L[OIII])$  [erg s<sup>-1</sup>)  $\sim 41.6$ ) and so this

**Figure 3.** Likelihood distribution for the quenching rate,  $\tau$  normalised so that the areas under the curves are equal. AGN-HOST (left) host and INACTIVE (right) galaxies are split into low (top), medium (middle) and high (bottom) mass for smooth (red dashed) and disc (blue solid) galaxies. The mode, along with  $\pm 1\sigma$ , are shown by the solid and dashed lines respectively for each distribution. A small (large) value of  $\tau$  corresponds to a rapid (slow) quench.

SFH challenges the usual explanation for the co-evolution of luminous black holes and their host galaxies driven by merger growth.

Quenching at early times is also observed for the INACTIVE population, where the likelihood distribution for the quenching time is roughly constant until recent times where the distribution drops off. This drop-off occurs at earlier times with increasing mass (right panels Figure 2). This is evidence of downsizing across the entire INACTIVE galaxy population whereby stars in massive galaxies form first and quench early (Cowie et al. 1996; Thomas et al. 2010).

The most massive smooth AGN-HOST galaxies also show a preference for earlier quenching (bottom left panel Figure 2) occurring at slow rates; we speculate that this is also due to the effects of downsizing rather than being caused by the current AGN. This earlier evolution would first form a slowly ‘dying’ or ‘dead’ galaxy typical of massive elliptical galaxies which can then have a recent infall of gas either through a minor merger, galaxy interaction or environmen-

tal change, triggering further star formation and feeding the central black hole, triggering an AGN (Kaviraj et al. 2014). In turn this AGN can then quench the recent boost in star formation. This track is similar to the evolution history proposed for blue ellipticals (Kaviraj et al. 2013; McIntosh et al. 2014; Haines et al. 2015). This SFH would then give rise to the distribution of the likelihood seen across the high mass AGN-HOST population for both time and rate parameters.

These recently triggered AGN in both massive disc and smooth galaxies do not have the ability to impact the SF across the entirety of a high mass galaxy in a deep gravitational potential (Ishibashi et al. 2012; Zinn et al. 2013). This leads to the lower peak for recent, rapid quenching in the high mass AGN-HOST population for both morphologies.

Conversely, rapid quenching, caused by the AGN itself through negative feedback, is the most dominant history for low mass AGN-HOST galaxies with lower gravitational potentials which allow gas to be expelled more easily (or heated by the AGN) across the entire galaxy (Tortora et al. 2009).

Tortora et al. (2009) model the effects of negative AGN feedback on a typical early type (i.e. smooth) galaxy and find the time between the current galaxy age in their simulation,  $t_{gal}$  and the time that the feedback began,  $t_{AGN}$ , peaks at  $t_{gal} - t_{AGN} \sim 0.85$  Gyr. This agrees with the location of the peak in Figure 2 for low mass galaxies, where the difference between the peak of the likelihood and the average age of the population (galaxy age is calculated from the redshift by assuming all galaxies form at  $t = 0$ ) is  $\sim 0.83$  Gyr. This implies that this dominant recent quenching history is caused directly by AGN feedback, as opposed to the AGN being a consequence of an alternative quenching mechanism.

Rapid quenching is particularly dominant for low-to-medium mass smooth galaxies. Smethurst et al. (2015) suggest that incredibly rapid quenching rates could be attributed to mergers of galaxies in conjunction with AGN feedback, which are thought to be responsible for creating the most massive smooth galaxies (Conselice et al. 2003; Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist 2005; Hopkins et al. 2008). This dominance of rapid quenching across the smooth AGN-HOST population supports the idea that a merger, having caused a morphological transformation to a smooth galaxy, can also trigger an AGN, causing feedback and cessation of star formation (see Figure 14 of Schawinski et al. 2014).

For the medium mass AGN-HOST population we see a bimodal distribution of likelihood between these two dominant quenching histories, highlighting the strength of this method which is capable of detecting such variation in the SFHs across a population of galaxies.

We have used morphological classifications from the Galaxy Zoo 2 project to determine the morphology-dependent star formation histories of a population of 1,244 Type 2 Seyfert AGN host galaxies, in comparison to an inactive galaxy population, via a Bayesian analysis of an exponentially declining star formation history model. We determined the most likely parameters for the quenching onset time,  $t_q$ , and exponential quenching rate,  $\tau$ , and find clear differences in the combined population likelihoods between inactive and AGN host galaxy populations. We have demonstrated a clear dependence on a galaxy currently hosting an AGN and its SFR. There is strong evidence for downsizing in massive inactive galaxies, which appears as a secondary

effect in AGN host galaxies. The dominant quenching mechanism for galaxies currently hosting an AGN is for rapid quenching which has occurred very recently. This result demonstrates the importance of AGN feedback across the entire host galaxy population, in driving the evolution of galaxies across the colour-magnitude diagram.

## REFERENCES

- Abazajian, K. N. et al., 2009, *ApJS*, 182, 543  
 Baldry, I. et al., 2006, *MNRAS*, 373, 469  
 Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, *PASP*, 93, 5  
 Bamford, S. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 393, 1324  
 Blanton, M. R. et al., 2005, *AJ*, 129, 2562  
 Blanton, M. R. & Roweis, S., 2007, *AJ*, 133, 734  
 Bower, R. et al., 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 645  
 Brinchmann, J. et al., 2004, *MNRAS*, 351, 1151  
 Bruzual, G. & Charlot, S., 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 1000  
 Cardelli, J. A. et al., 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245  
 Cisternas, M. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 726, 57  
 Chabrier, G., 2003, *PASP*, 115, 763  
 Conselice, C. J. et al., 2003, *AJ*, 126, 1183  
 Cowie, L. et al., 1996, *AJ*, 112, 839  
 Cowie, L. & Barger, A. J., 2008, *ApJ*, 686, 72  
 Croton, D. J. et al., 2006, *MNRAS*, 365, 11  
 Emsellem, E. et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 2468  
 Fabian, A. C. 2006, *ARA&A*, 50, 455  
 Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., Goodman, J., 2013, *PASP*, 125, 306  
 Haines, T. et al., 2015, *arXiv:1505.01493*  
 Haring, N. & Rix, H-W., 2004, *ApJ*, 604, 89  
 Hickox, R. C., et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 696, 891  
 Hopkins, F. et al., 2008, *ApJSS*, 175, 390  
 Ishibashi, W. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 2998  
 Kauffman, G. et al., 2003, *MNRAS*, 341, 33  
 Kauffman, G. et al., 2003, *MNRAS*, 346, 1055  
 Kaviraj, S. et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 925  
 Kaviraj, S. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 440, 2944  
 Kennicutt, R. C., 1997, *ApJ*, 498, 491  
 Kewley, L. J. et al., 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 121  
 Kewley, L. J. et al., 2006, *MNRAS*, 372, 961  
 Kormendy, J. & Kennicutt, R. J., 2004, *ARA&A*, 42, 603  
 Lintott, C. J. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 166  
 Magorrian, J. et al., 1998, *AJ*, 115, 2285  
 Marconi, A. & Hunt, L. K., 2003, *ApJ*, 589, 21  
 Martin, D. C. et al., 2007, *ApJSS*, 173, 342  
 McIntosh, D. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 442, 533  
 Oh, K. et al., 2011, *ApJS*, 195, 13  
 Oh, K. et al. 2015, *arXiv: 1504.07247*  
 Padmanabhan, N. et al., 2008, *ApJ*, 674, 1217  
 Sarzi, M. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 402, 2187  
 Schawinski, K. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 711, 284  
 Schawinski, K. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 440, 889  
 Schmidt, M., 1959, *ApJ*, 129, 243  
 Silk, J. & Rees, M. J., 1998, *A&A*, 331, L1  
 Simmons, B. D. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 734, 121  
 Singh, R. et al., 2013, *A&A*, 558, 43  
 Smethurst, R. J. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 450, 435  
 Somerville, R. S. et al., 2008, *MNRAS*, 391, 481



- Springel, V., Di Matteo, T. & Hernquist, L., 2005, *ApJ*, 620, L79  
 Taylor, M. B., 2005, *ASP Conference Series*, 347  
 Thomas, D. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 404, 1775  
 Tortora, C. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 369, 61  
 Varela, J. et al., 2004, *A&A*, 420, 873  
 Willett, K. et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 435, 2835  
 Yan, R. & Blanton, M. R. 2012, *ApJ*, 747, 61  
 York, D. G. et al., 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1579  
 Zinn, P. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 774, 66