

The influence of morphology, AGN and environment on the quenching histories of galaxies



Rebecca Jane Smethurst
Pembroke College
University of Oxford

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
Michaelmas 2016

Oy with the poodles already!

Acknowledgements

Thank ALLL TEH people. Including, for inspiration and the help with perseverance: T. Swift, B. Springsteen, C. Martin et al., Dr M. Grey, L. Gilmore, R. Gilmore, A. Haim et al., E. Sheeran,

Abstract

GZ shit happens.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Galaxy Zoo	3
1.1.1	Defining the GZ2-GALEX main galaxy sample	6
2	STARPY: Bayesian inference of a galaxy’s star formation history	7
2.1	Star Formation History Models	7
2.2	Probabilistic Fitting Methods	12
2.3	Testing STARPY	13
2.4	Speeding up STARPY	17
2.5	POPSTARPY: studying populations of galaxies with STARPY	18
2.5.1	Alternative Hierarchical Bayesian approach	21
3	The morphological dependance of quenching	26
3.1	Defining the Green Valley	27
3.2	Results	32
3.2.1	The Red Sample	33
3.2.2	Green Valley Galaxies	35
3.2.3	Blue Cloud Galaxies	39
3.3	Discussion	42
3.3.1	Rapid Quenching Mechanisms	42
3.3.2	Intermediate Quenching Mechanisms	44
3.3.3	Slow Quenching Timescales	47
3.4	Conclusions	47
4	Black hole-galaxy co-evolution in the context of quenching	50
4.1	Rapid, recent quenching within a population of Type 2 AGN host galaxies	50
4.1.1	AGN Sample	50
4.1.2	Results	55
4.1.3	Discussion	58
4.2	Bulgeless galaxies hosting growing black holes	62
4.2.1	Observational Data	62
4.2.1.1	AGN Selection	62
4.2.1.2	Selecting disk-dominated AGN host galaxies	63
4.2.1.3	Spectra	64
4.2.2	Stellar and Black Hole Masses	64

4.2.2.1	Galaxy and bulge stellar masses	66
4.2.2.2	Black hole mass estimates	68
4.2.3	Galaxy-Black Hole Mass Relations	69
4.2.4	Discussion	72
5	The influence of the group environment	75
5.1	Group Identification	75
5.1.1	Field sample	75
5.2	Results	78
5.3	Discussion	84
6	Discussion	88
7	Conlusions	90
	Bibliography	91

List of Figures

1.1	GZ2 classification decision tree	4
1.2	GZ2-GALEX sample completeness	5
1.3	Example SDSS images with GZ2 vote fractions	5
2.1	SFH models in observational planes	9
2.2	Predicted colours and SFRs of quenching models	11
2.3	Example STARPY output	14
2.4	Testing STARPY	15
2.5	Comparing complete and look-up table versions of STARPY	16
2.6	Colours of discarded galaxies	20
2.7	Replica colour-colour distributions using a hierarchical method	23
2.8	Replica colour-colour distributions using the POPSTARPY method	24
3.1	Optical $u - r$ colour histograms in absolute r-band magnitude slices of the GZ2-GALEX and Baldry et al. (2004) complete SDSS samples	28
3.2	Colour-magnitude diagram showing the location of the Baldry et al. (2004) green valley definition	29
3.3	SFR-stellar mass plane split by morphology and colour	31
3.4	Population densities of red smooth and disc galaxies	34
3.5	Population densities of green smooth and disc galaxies	36
3.6	Time spent in the green valley across parameter space	37
3.7	Population densities of blue smooth and disc galaxies	40
3.8	Best fit contours for red, green and blue clean galaxies	41
4.1	SDSS images of galaxies in the AGN-HOST sample	50
4.2	BPT diagram used to select AGN host galaxies	52
4.3	Colour-magnitude and SFR-mass diagram for AGN-HOST galaxies	54
4.4	Quenching time population density distributions for the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE samples	55
4.5	Quenching rate population density distributions for the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE samples	56
4.6	SDSS images of selected bulgeless, disc dominated galaxies	63
4.7	Optical spectra of 5 bulgeless galaxies observed on the INT with the IDS	65
4.8	Redshift distribution of bulgeless galaxies	66
4.9	Zoom in on $H\alpha$ region of the spectra of 5 galaxies observed with the IDS on the INT	70

4.10	Black hole bulge mass and stellar mass relations for the bulgeless AGN sample	71
4.11	Black hole mass against luminosity for the bulgeless AGN sample	73
4.12	Eddington ratio distribution of the bulgeless AGN sample	74
5.1	SDSS images of galaxies in the GZ-GROUP and GZ-FIELD samples	76
5.2	Redshift distribution comparison of the GZ-GROUP sample and matched control GZ-CENT-FIELD-Q sample	77
5.3	Mean GZ vote fractions for satellite galaxies with projected cluster centric radius	78
5.4	Bar fraction of satellite disc galaxies with projected cluster centric radius	79
5.5	Merger fraction of satellite galaxies with projected cluster centric radius	80
5.6	GZ2 bulge fractions of satellite disc galaxies with projected cluster centric radius	81
5.7	Median SFR of the GZ-GROUP sample with projected cluster centric radius	82
5.8	The time since quenching of the GZ-GROUP sample with projected cluster centric radius	85
5.9	The time since quenching of the GZ-GROUP sample with projected cluster centric radius	86

Chapter 1

Introduction

Previous large scale surveys of galaxies have revealed a bimodality in the colour-magnitude diagram (CMD) with two distinct populations; one at relatively low mass, with blue optical colours and another at relatively high mass, with red optical colours (Baldry et al., 2004, 2006; Willmer et al., 2006; Ball et al., 2008; Brammer et al., 2009). These populations were dubbed the ‘blue cloud’ and ‘red sequence’ respectively (Chester & Roberts, 1964; Bower et al., 1992; Driver et al., 2006; Faber et al., 2007). The Galaxy Zoo project (Lintott et al., 2011), which produced morphological classifications for a million galaxies, helped to confirm that this bimodality is not entirely morphology driven (Strateva et al., 2001; Salim et al., 2007; Schawinski et al., 2007; Constantin et al., 2008; Bamford et al., 2009; Skibba et al., 2009), detecting larger fractions of spiral galaxies in the red sequence (Masters et al., 2010a) and elliptical galaxies in the blue cloud (Schawinski et al., 2009) than had previously been detected.

The sparsely populated colour space between these two populations, the so-called ‘green valley’, provides clues to the nature and duration of galaxies’ transitions from blue to red. This transition must occur on rapid timescales, otherwise there would be an accumulation of galaxies residing in the green valley, rather than an accumulation in the red sequence as is observed (Arnouts et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2007). Green valley galaxies have therefore long been thought of as the ‘crossroads’ of galaxy evolution, a transition population between the two main galactic stages of the star forming blue cloud and the ‘dead’ red sequence (Bell et al., 2004; Wyder et al., 2007; Schiminovich et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2007; Faber et al., 2007; Mendez et al., 2011;

Gonçalves et al., 2012; Schawinski et al., 2014; Pan et al., 2014).

The intermediate colours of these green valley galaxies have been interpreted as evidence for recent quenching (suppression) of star formation (Salim et al., 2007). Star forming galaxies are observed to lie on a well defined mass-SFR relation, however quenching a galaxy causes it to depart from this relation (Noeske et al. 2007; Peng et al. 2010).

By studying the galaxies which have just left this mass-SFR relation, I can probe the quenching mechanisms by which this occurs. There have been many previous theories for the initial triggers of these quenching mechanisms, including negative feedback from AGN (Di Matteo et al., 2005; Martin et al., 2007; Nandra et al., 2007; Schawinski et al., 2007), mergers (Darg et al., 2010; Cheung et al., 2012; Barro et al., 2013), supernovae winds (Marasco et al., 2012), cluster interactions (Coil et al., 2008; Mendez et al., 2011; Fang et al., 2013) and secular evolution (Masters et al., 2010a, 2011; Mendez et al., 2011). By investigating the *amount* of quenching that has occurred in the blue cloud, green valley and red sequence; and by comparing the amount across these three populations, I can apply some constraints to these theories.

The nature of the observed co-evolution of galaxies and their central supermassive black holes (Magorrian et al., 1998; Marconi & Hunt, 2003; Häring & Rix, 2004) and the effects of AGN feedback on galaxies are two of the most important open issues in galaxy evolution. AGN feedback was first suggested as a mechanism for regulating star formation in simulations (Silk & Rees, 1998; Croton et al., 2006; Bower et al., 2006; Somerville et al., 2008) and indirect evidence has been observed for both positive and negative feedback in various systems (see the comprehensive review from Fabian 2012).

The strongest observational evidence for AGN feedback in a population is that the largest fraction of AGN are found in the green valley (Cowie & Barger, 2008; Hickox et al., 2009; Schawinski et al., 2010), suggesting some link between AGN activity and the process of quenching which moves a galaxy from the blue cloud to the red sequence. However, concrete statistical evidence for the effect of AGN feedback on the host galaxy population has so far been elusive.

There are many mechanisms which are proposed to cause quenching; including mergers (Daddi et al., 2010), mass quenching (Kennicutt et al., 1987; Peng et al., 2012), morphological quenching (?) and the environment of a galaxy.

The galaxy environment as a cause of quenching was proposed due to the correlation of both morphology (Dressler, 1980) and the quenched galaxy fraction (?) with environmental density.

BUT does this correlation truly imply causation? Evidence from simulations (?) suggests that the environment may not be the dominant quenching mechanisms for galaxies. Perhaps the correlation of increased galaxy quenched fractions with environment is due to a combination of mergers, mass and morphological quenching. In denser environments, galaxies are more likely to encounter another galaxy in a merger scenario and large viral radii give rise to long infall times during which gas reservoirs can be depleted due to star formation.

To study this I need to look at how quenching timescale changes in groups and clusters of galaxies with different properties in order to isolate the cause of the density-morphology and density-SFR correlations.

1.1 Galaxy Zoo

In this investigation I use visual classifications of galaxy morphologies from the Galaxy Zoo 2¹ citizen science project (Willett et al., 2013), which obtains multiple independent classifications for each galaxy image; the full question tree is shown in Figure 1.1.

The Galaxy Zoo 2 (GZ2) project consists of 304,022 images from the SDSS DR8 (a subset of those classified in Galaxy Zoo 1; GZ1) all classified by *at least* 17 independent users, with the mean number of classifications standing at ~ 42 . The GZ2 sample is more robust than the GZ1 sample and provides more detailed morphological classifications, including features such as bars, the number of spiral arms and the ellipticity of smooth galaxies. It is for these reasons I use the GZ2 sample, as opposed to the GZ1, allowing for further investigation of specific galaxy classes in the future.

The decision tree that users are led through whilst classifying galaxies with GZ2 is shown in Figure 1.1. The first task of GZ2 asks users to choose whether a galaxy is mostly smooth, is featured and/or has a disc or is a star/artefact. Unlike other tasks further down in the decision tree, every user who classifies a galaxy image will

¹<http://zoo2.galaxyzoo.org/>




Figure 1.1: Flowchart of the classification tree for GZ2, beginning at the top. Tasks are colour-coded by their relative depths in the decision tree with tasks in green, blue and purple respectively one, two or three steps below branching points in the decision tree.




Figure 1.2: Absolute u -band magnitude against redshift for the whole of SDSS (grey dashed lines) in comparison to the GZ2 subsample (blue solid lines). Typical Milky Way L_* galaxies with $M_u \sim -20.5$ are still included in the GZ2 subsample out to the highest redshift of $z \sim 0.25$.




Figure 1.3: Randomly selected SDSS gri composite images showing the continuous probabilistic nature of the Galaxy Zoo sample from a redshift range $0.070 < z < 0.075$. The debiased disc vote fraction (see Willett et al. 2013) for each galaxy is shown. The scale for each image is 0.099 arcsec/pixel.

complete this task (others, such as whether the galaxy has a bar, is dependent on a user having first classified it as a featured galaxy). Therefore I have the most statistically robust classifications at this level.

The classifications from users produces a vote fraction for each galaxy (the debiased fractions calculated by Willett et al. (2013) were used in this investigation); for example if 80 of 100 people thought a galaxy was disc shaped, whereas 20 out of 100 people thought the same galaxy was smooth in shape (i.e. elliptical), that galaxy would have vote fractions $p_s = 0.2$ and $p_d = 0.8$. In this example this galaxy would be included in the ‘clean’ disc sample ($p_d \geq 0.8$) according to Willett et al. (2013) and would be considered a late-type galaxy. All previous Galaxy Zoo projects have incorporated extensive analysis of volunteer classifications to measure classification accuracy and bias, and compute user weightings (for a detailed description of debiasing and consistency-based user weightings, see either Section 3 of Lintott et al. 2009 or Section 3 of Willett et al. 2013).

The classifications are highly accurate and provide a continuous spectrum of morphological features, as shown in Figure 4.1, rather than a simple binary classification separating elliptical and disc galaxies. These classifications allow each galaxy to be considered as a probabilistic object with both bulge and disc components.

1.1.1 Defining the `gz2-galex` main galaxy sample

The only selection that was made on the GZ2 sample was to remove objects considered to be stars, artefacts or merging pairs by the users (i.e. with $p_{\text{star/artefact}} \geq 0.8$ or $p_{\text{merger}} \geq 0.420$; see Willett et al. 2013 Table 3 and discussion for details of this fractional limit). Further to this, I required NUV photometry from the GALEX survey, within which $\sim 42\%$ of the GZ2 sample were observed, giving a total sample size of 126,316 galaxies. This will be referred to as the `gz2-GALEX` sample.

The completeness of the `gz2-GALEX` sample is shown in Figure 1.2 with the u -band absolute magnitude against redshift, compared with the SDSS data set. Typical Milky Way L_* galaxies with $M_u \sim -20.5$ are still included in the GZ2 subsample out to the highest redshift of $z \sim 0.25$; however dwarf and lower mass galaxies are only detected at the lowest redshifts.

Chapter 2

STARPY: Bayesian inference of a galaxy's star formation history

The work in the following chapter has been published in Smethurst et al. (2015).

2.1 Star Formation History Models

The quenched star formation history (SFH) of a galaxy can be simply modelled as an exponentially declining star formation rate (SFR) across cosmic time ($0 \leq t$ [Gyr] ≤ 13.8) as:

$$SFR = \begin{cases} I_{sfr}(t_q) & \text{if } t < t_q \\ I_{sfr}(t_q) \times \exp\left(\frac{-(t-t_q)}{\tau}\right) & \text{if } t > t_q \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where t_q is the onset time of quenching, τ is the timescale over which the quenching occurs and I_{sfr} is an initial constant star formation rate dependent on t_q . A smaller τ value corresponds to a rapid quench, whereas a larger τ value corresponds to a slower quench.

Here I assume that all galaxies formed at a time $t = 0$ Gyr with an initial burst of star formation. The mass of this initial burst is controlled by the value of the I_{sfr} which is set as the average specific SFR (sSFR) at the time of quenching t_q . Peng et al. (2010) defined a relation (their equation 1) between the average sSFR and redshift (cosmic time, t) by fitting to measurements of the mean sSFR of blue star

forming galaxies from SDSS, zCOSMOS and literature values at increasing redshifts (Elbaz et al., 2007; Daddi et al., 2007):

$$sSFR(m, t) = 2.5 \left(\frac{m}{10^{10} M_{\odot}} \right)^{-0.1} \left(\frac{t}{3.5 \text{ Gyr}} \right)^{-2.2} \text{Gyr}^{-1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Beyond $z \sim 2$ the characteristic SFR flattens and is roughly constant back to $z \sim 6$. The cause for this change is not well understood but can be seen across similar observational data (Peng et al., 2010; González et al., 2010; Béthermin et al., 2012). Motivated by these observations, the relation defined in Peng et al. (2010) is taken up to a cosmic time of $t = 3$ Gyr ($z \sim 2.3$) and prior to this a constant average SFR is assumed (see middle panel of Figure 2.1). At the point of quenching, t_q , the SFH models are defined to have an I_{sfr} which lies on this relationship for the sSFR, for a galaxy with mass, $m = 10^{10.27} M_{\odot}$ (the mean mass of the GZ2-GALEX sample; see left panel of Figure 2.1).

Under these assumptions the average SFR of these models will result in a lower value than the relation defined in Peng et al. (2010) at all cosmic times as each galaxy only resides on the ‘main sequence’ at the point of quenching. However galaxies cannot remain on the ‘main sequence’ from early to late times throughout their entire lifetimes given the unphysical stellar masses and SFRs this would result in at the current epoch in the local Universe (Béthermin et al., 2012; Heinis et al., 2014). If prescriptions for starbursts, mergers, AGN etc. were included in this model, the reproduction of the average SFR across cosmic time would improve; however I have chosen to first focus on the simplest possible model.

Once this evolutionary SFR is obtained, it is convolved with the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) population synthesis models to generate a model SED at each time step. The observed features of galaxy spectra can be modelled using simple stellar population techniques which sum the contributions of individual, coeval, equal-metallicity stars. The accuracy of these predictions depends on the completeness of the input stellar physics. Comprehensive knowledge is therefore required of (i) stellar evolutionary tracks and (ii) the initial mass function (IMF) to synthesise a stellar population accurately.

These stellar population synthesis (SPS) models are an extremely well explored (and often debated) area of astrophysics (Maraston, 2005; Eminian et al., 2008; Conroy et al., 2009; Falkenberg et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2010; Kriek et al., 2010; Miner et al., 2011; Melbourne et al., 2012). In this work I have chosen to utilise the Bruzual




Figure 2.1: Left panel: SFR-stellar mass plane for all 126,316 galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample (shaded contours), with model galaxy trajectories shown by the coloured lines, with each point representing a time step of 0.5 Gyr. The ‘main sequence’ of star formation as defined by Peng et al. (2010) is shown by the solid line with $\pm 1\sigma$ (dashed lines). Middle panel: The SFHs of the models are shown, where the SFR is initially constant before quenching at time t_q and thereafter exponentially declining with a characteristic timescale τ . The SFR at the point of quenching is set to be consistent with the typical SFR of a star-forming galaxy at the quenching time, t_q (dashed curve; Peng et al. 2010). Right panel: The full range of models can reproduce the observed colour-colour properties of the GZ2-GALEX sample; for clarity the figures show only 4 of the possible models explored in this study. Note that some of the model tracks produce colours redder than the apparent peak of the red sequence in the GZ2 subsample; however this is not the *true* peak of the red sequence due to the necessity for NUV colours from GALEX (see Section 3.1.)

& Charlot (2003) *GALExEV* SPS models, along with a Chabrier IMF (Chabrier, 2003), across a large wavelength range ($0.0091 < \lambda [\mu\text{m}] < 160$) with solar metallicity (m62 in the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models; hereafter BC03), to allow a direct comparison with Schawinski et al. (2014).

Fluxes from stars younger than 3 Myr in the SPS model are suppressed to mimic the large optical depth of protostars embedded in dusty formation clouds (as in Schawinski et al. 2014). Filter transmission curves are then applied to the fluxes to obtain AB magnitudes and ultimately colours. For a particular galaxy at an observed redshift, z , I calculate the observed time, t^{obs} for that galaxy using the standard cosmological conversion between redshift and time provided in the *ASTROPY Python* module (Astropy Collaboration et al., 2013). The predicted colours of the SFH models at the observed redshift of each individual galaxy can then be compared to the observed colours directly, as in the right panel of Figure ???. Note that some of the SFHs shown produce colours redder than the apparent peak of the red sequence in the GZ2-GALEX sample; however this is not the *true* peak of the red sequence due to the necessity for NUV colours from GALEX (see Section 3.1).

Figure 2.2 shows these predicted optical and NUV colours at a time of $t^{obs} = 12.8$ Gyr (the average observed time of the GZ2-GALEX sample, $z \sim 0.076$) for the exponential SFH model. These predicted colours will be referred to as $d_{c,p}(t_q, \tau, t^{obs})$, where $c=\{\text{opt,NUV}\}$ and $p = \text{predicted}$. The SFR at a time of $t^{obs} = 12.8$ Gyr is also shown in Figure 2.2 to compare how this correlates with the predicted colours. The $u - r$ predicted colour shows an immediate correlation with the SFR, however the $NUV - u$ colour is more sensitive to the value of τ and so is ideal for tracing any recent star formation in a population. At small τ (rapid quenching timescales) the $NUV - u$ colour is insensitive to t_q , whereas at large τ (slow quenching timescales) the colour is very sensitive to t_q . Together the two colours are ideal for tracing the effects of t_q and τ in a population.

This model is not a fully hydrodynamical simulation, it is a simple model built in order to test our understanding of the evolution of galaxy populations. These models are therefore not expected to accurately determine the SFH of every galaxy in the GZ2-GALEX sample, in particular galaxies which have not undergone any quenching. In this case the models described above can only attribute a constant star formation rate to these unquenched galaxies. In reality, there are many possible forms of SFH that a galaxy can take, a few of which have been investigated in previous literature;




Figure 2.2: Quenching timescale τ versus quenching onset time t_q in all three panels for the quenched SFH models used in STARPY. Colour shadings show model predictions of the $u - r$ optical colour (top panel), $NUV - u$ colour (middle panel), and star formation rate (lower panel), at $t^{\text{obs}} = 12.8$ Gyr, the mean observed redshift of the GZ2 sample (see Section 2.1). The combination of optical and NUV colours is a sensitive measure of the $\theta = [t_q, \tau]$ parameter space. Note that all models with $t > 12.8$ Gyr are effectively un-quenched. The ‘kink’ in the bottom panel is due to the assumption that the sSFR is constant prior to $t \sim 3$ Gyr ($z \sim 2.2$).

starbursts (Canalizo & Stockton, 2001), a power law (Glazebrook et al., 2003), single stellar populations (Trager et al., 2000; Sánchez-Blázquez et al., 2006; Vazdekis et al., 2010), log-normal distributions (Abramson et al., 2016) and metallicity enrichment (De Lucia et al., 2014). Incorporating these different SFHs along with prescriptions for mergers and a possible reinvigoration of star formation post quench (e.g. see recent work by Pontzen et al. 2016) into the SFH models is a possible future extension to this work once the results of this study are well enough understood to permit additional complexity to be added.

2.2 Probabilistic Fitting Methods

In order to achieve robust conclusions I conducted a Bayesian analysis (Sivia & Skilling, 2006; Mackay, 2003) of the predicted colours from the SFH models in comparison to the observed colours of the GZ2-GALEX sample. This approach requires consideration of all possible combinations of $\theta \equiv (t_q, \tau)$. Assuming that all galaxies formed at $t = 0$ Gyr with an initial burst of star formation, we can assume that the ‘age’ of each galaxy in the GZ2 sample is equivalent to an observed time, t_k^{obs} . I then used this ‘age’ to calculate the predicted model colours at this cosmic time for a given combination of θ : $d_{c,p}(\theta_k, t_k^{obs})$ for both optical and NUV ($c = opt, NUV$) colours. The predicted model colours can now directly be compared with the observed GZ2-GALEX sample colours, so that for a single galaxy k with optical ($u - r$) colour, $d_{opt,k}$ and NUV ($NUV - u$) colour, $d_{NUV,k}$, the likelihood of a given model $P(d_k|\theta_k, t_k^{obs})$ is:

$$P(d_k|\theta_k, t_k^{obs}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{opt,k}^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{NUV,k}^2}} \exp \left[-\frac{(d_{opt,k} - d_{opt,p}(\theta_k, t_k^{obs}))^2}{\sigma_{opt,k}^2} \right] \exp \left[-\frac{(d_{NUV,k} - d_{NUV,p}(\theta_k, t_k^{obs}))^2}{\sigma_{NUV,k}^2} \right]. \quad (2.3)$$

Here I have assumed that $P(d_{opt}|\theta_k, t_k^{obs})$ and $P(d_{NUV}|\theta_k, t_k^{obs})$ are independent of each other and that the errors on the observed colours are also independent. To obtain the probability of a combination of θ values given the GZ2 data: $P(\theta_k|d_k, t^{obs})$, i.e. how likely is a single SFH model given the observed colours of a single GZ2 galaxy, I

utilise Bayes' theorem:

$$P(\theta_k|d_k, t^{obs}) = \frac{P(d_k|\theta_k, t^{obs})P(\theta_k)}{\int P(d_k|\theta_k, t^{obs})P(\theta_k)d\theta_k}. \quad (2.4)$$

I assume a flat prior on the model parameters so that:

$$P(\theta_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq t_q \text{ [Gyr]} \leq 13.8 \text{ and } 0 \leq \tau \text{ [Gyr]} \leq 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

As the denominator of Equation 2.4 is a normalisation factor, comparison between likelihoods for two different SFH models (i.e., two different combinations of $\theta_k = [t_q, \tau]$) is equivalent to a comparison of the numerators. Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC; Mackay 2003; Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013; Goodman & Weare 2010) provides a robust comparison of the likelihoods between θ values; here I choose *emcee*,¹ a Python implementation of an affine invariant ensemble sampler by Foreman-Mackey et al. (2013).

This method allows for a more efficient exploration of the parameter space by avoiding those areas with low likelihood. A large number of ‘walkers’ are started at an initial position where the likelihood is calculated; from there they individually ‘jump’ to a new area of parameter space. If the likelihood in this new area is greater (less) than the original position then the ‘walkers’ accept (reject) this change in position. Any new position then influences the direction of the ‘jumps’ of other walkers. This is repeated for the defined number of steps after an initial ‘burn-in’ phase. *emcee* returns the positions of these ‘walkers’, which are analogous to the regions of high probability in the model parameter space.

The routine outlined above has been coded using the *Python* programming language into a package named **STARPY** which has been made freely available to download². An example output from this module for a single galaxy from the GZ2-GALEX sample in the red sequence is shown in Figure 2.2.

2.3 Testing STARPY

In order to test that STARPY can find the correct quenching model for a given observed colour, 25 synthesised galaxies were created with known SFHs (i.e. known values of

¹emcee13.iel.fm/emcee/

²github.com/zooniverse/starpy




Figure 2.3: Example output from STARPY for a galaxy within the red sequence. The contours show the positions of the ‘walkers’ in the Markov Chain (which are analogous to the areas of high probability) for the quenching models described by $\theta = [t_q, \tau]$. The histograms show the 1D projection along each axis. Solid (dashed) blue lines show the best fit parameters (with $\pm 1\sigma$) to the data. The postage stamp image from SDSS is shown in the top right along with the debiased vote fractions for smooth (p_s) and disc (p_d) from Galaxy Zoo 2.




Figure 2.4: Results from STARPY for an array of synthesised galaxies with known, i.e. true, t_q and τ values (marked by the red lines) using the complete function to calculate the predicted colour of a proposed set of θ values in each MCMC iteration, assuming an error on the calculated known colours of $\sigma_{u-r} = 0.124$ and $\sigma_{NUV-u} = 0.215$ (the average errors on the GZ sample colours). I also assume that each synthesised galaxy has been observed at a redshift of $z = 0$. In each case STARPY succeeds (50th percentile best fit parameters are shown by the blue lines) in locating the true parameter values within the degeneracies of the star formation history model.




Figure 2.5: Left panel: Results from STARPY for true t_q and τ values (red lines) using the complete function to calculate the predicted colour of a proposed set of θ values in each MCMC iteration. The median walker position (the 50th percentile of the Bayesian probability distribution) is shown by the solid blue line with the dashed lines encompassing 68%($\pm 1\sigma$) of the samples (the 16th and 84th percentile positions). The time taken to run for a single galaxy using this method is approximately 2 hours. Right panel: Results from STARPY for true t_q and τ values using a look up table generated from the complete function to calculate the predicted colour of a proposed set of θ values in each MCMC iteration. The time taken to run for a single galaxy using this method is approximately 2 minutes.

$\theta = [t_q, \tau]$) from which optical and NUV colours were generated using the BC03 SPS models. These were input into STARPY to test whether the known values of θ were reproduced, within error, for each of the 25 synthesised galaxies. Figure 2.4 shows the results for each of these synthesised galaxies, with the known values of θ shown by the red lines. In some cases this red line does not coincide with the inferred best fit θ values shown by the blue lines, however in all cases the intersection of the red lines is within the sample contours; therefore STARPY succeeds in locating the true parameter values within the degeneracies of the SFH model.

Table 2.1: Median walker positions (the 50th percentile; as shown by the blue solid lines in Figure 2.5) found by STARPY for a single galaxy, using the complete star formation history function and a look up table to speed up the run time. The errors quoted define the region in which 68% of the samples are located, shown by the dashed blue lines in Figure 2.5. The known true values are also quoted, as shown by the red lines in Figure 2.5. All values are quoted to three significant figures.

	t_q	τ
True	4.37	2.12
Complete	$3.893 \pm^{3.014}_{2.622}$	$2.215 \pm^{0.395}_{0.652}$
Look up table	$3.850 \pm^{2.988}_{2.619}$	$2.218 \pm^{0.399}_{0.649}$

2.4 Speeding up STARPY

I wish to consider the SFH model parameters for a large populations of galaxies across the colour magnitude diagram, however for each combination of θ values which *emcee* proposes for a single galaxy, a new SFH must be built, prior to convolving it with the BC03 SPS models at the observed age and then predicted colours calculated from the resultant SED. For a single galaxy this takes up to 2 hours on a typical desktop machine for long Markov Chains. A 3-dimensional look-up table was therefore generated at 50 t^{obs} , 100 t_{quench} and 100 τ values; this was then interpolated over for a given observed galaxy’s age and proposed θ values at each step in the Markov Chain. This ensured that a single galaxy takes approximately 2 minutes to run on a typical desktop machine.

Figure 2.5 shows an example of how using the look up table in place of the full function does not affect the results to a significant level. Table 2.4 quotes the median walker positions (the 50th percentile of the Bayesian probability distribution) along with their $\pm 1\sigma$ ranges for both methods in comparison to the true values specified to test STARPY. The uncertainties incorporated into the quoted values by using the look up table are therefore minimal with a maximum $\Delta = 0.043$.

Using this lookup table, each of the 126,316 total galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample was run through STARPY on multiple cores of a computer cluster to obtain the Markov Chain positions (analogous to $P(\theta_k|d_k)$) for each galaxy, k (see Figure 2.2). In each case the Markov Chain consisted of 100 ‘walkers’ which took 400 steps in

the ‘burn-in’ phase and 400 steps thereafter, at which point the MCMC acceptance fraction was checked to be within the range $0.25 < f_{acc} < 0.5$ (which was true in all cases). Due to the Bayesian nature of this method, a statistical test on the results is not possible; the output is probabilistic in nature across the entirety of the parameter space.

2.5 POPSTARPY: studying populations of galaxies with STARPY

To study the SFH of a large population of galaxies, the individual galaxy walker positions output by STARPY (analogous to the posterior probability distribution) are combined across $[t, \tau]$ space. The Markov Chain walker positions are binned and weighted by their corresponding logarithmic posterior probability $\log[P(\theta_k|d_k)]$, provided by the *emcee* package, in order to emphasise the features and differences between various populations. This weighting by $\log[P(\theta_k|d_k)]$ is to minimise the contribution of galaxies poorly fit by this exponentially declining SFH. This is no longer inference but merely a method to visualise the results across a population of galaxies.

I also discard those walker positions with a corresponding normalised posterior probability of $P(\theta_k|d_k) < 0.2$ in order to exclude galaxies which are not well fit by the quenching model, therefore galaxies in each sample which reside on the main sequence will not contribute to the final population distribution of quenching parameters. This raises the issue of whether I exclude a significant fraction of the GZ2-GALEX sample and whether those galaxies reside in a specific location of the colour-magnitude. The fraction of galaxies which had all or more than half of their walker positions discarded due to low probability are shown in Table 2.5. Using the $P(\theta_k|d_k) < 0.2$ constraint, 2.4%, 7.0% and 5.4% of green, red and blue galaxies respectively had *all* of their walker positions discarded.

This is not a significant fraction of either population, therefore the STARPY module is effective in fitting the majority of galaxies and this method of discarding walker positions ensures that poorly fit galaxies are removed from the analysis of the results. Figure 2.6 shows that these galaxies with discarded walker positions are also scattered

Table 2.2: The number of galaxies in each population which had walker positions discarded due to low posterior probability values in order to exclude those galaxies from the analysis which were poorly fit by the SFH quenching model.

	Red Sequence	Green Valley	Blue Cloud
All walkers discarded	1420 (7.00%)	437 (2.41%)	3109 (5.37%)
More than half walker positions discarded	2010 (9.92%)	779 (4.30%)	6669 (11.52%)

across the optical-NUV colour-colour diagram and therefore STARPY is also effective in fitting galaxies across this entire plane.

Figure 2.4 shows how peaks in the histograms are found across all areas of the parameter space in both dimensions $[t, \tau]$, ensuring that any conclusions drawn from combined population distributions are due to a superposition of extended probability distributions, as opposed to a bimodal distribution of probability distributions across all galaxies.

The classifications from Galaxy Zoo 2 provide a uniquely powerful continuous measurements of a galaxy’s morphology, therefore I utilise the debiased user vote fractions to obtain separate population density distributions for both smooth and disc galaxies. This is obtained by also weighting by the morphology vote fraction when the binned walker positions are combined. This ensures that the entirety of the population is used, with galaxies with a higher p_d contributing more to the disc weighted than the smooth weighted population distribution. This negates the need for a threshold on the GZ2 vote fractions (e.g., $p_d > 0.8$ as used in Schawinski et al., 2014). These distributions will be referred to as the population densities.

For example, the galaxy shown in Figure 2.2 would contribute almost evenly to both the smooth and disc parameters due to the GZ2 vote fractions. Since galaxies with similar vote fractions contain both a bulge and disc component, this method is effective in incorporating intermediate galaxies which are thought to be crucial to the morphological changes between early- and late-type galaxies. It was the consideration of these intermediate galaxies which was excluded from the investigation by Schawinski et al. (2014).




Figure 2.6: Contours show the full GZ2 subsample optical-NUV colour-colour diagram. The points show the positions of the galaxies which had all (top panels) or more than half (bottom panel) of their walker positions discarded due to their low probability for the red sequence (left), green valley (middle) and blue cloud (right).

2.5.1 Alternative Hierarchical Bayesian approach

The approach presented above relies upon a visualisation of the SFHs across each population, with no inference involved beyond the use of STARPY to derive the individual galaxy SFHs. An alternative approach to this problem would be to use a hierarchical Bayesian method to determine the ‘hyper-parameters’ that describe the distribution of the parent population $\theta' = [t'_q, \tau']$ that each individual galaxy’s SFH is drawn from.

The posterior PDF for $\vec{\theta}'$ to describe such a galaxy population:

$$P(\vec{\theta}'|\vec{d}) = \frac{P(\vec{d}|\vec{\theta}')P(\vec{\theta}')}{P(\vec{d})}, \quad (2.6)$$

where \vec{d} represents all of the optical and NUV colour data in a population $\{\vec{d}_k\}$. For one galaxy, k , the marginalised likelihood is:

$$P(d_k|\vec{\theta}') = \iint P(d_k|t_k, \tau_k)P(t_k, \tau_k|\vec{\theta}') \, dt_k \, d\tau_k \quad (2.7)$$

and for all galaxies, N , therefore:

$$P(\vec{d}|\vec{\theta}') = \prod_k^N P(d_k|\vec{\theta}'). \quad (2.8)$$

Using STARPY for an individual galaxy, k the output is the ‘interim’ posterior $P(t_k, \tau_k|d_k)$ which I can relate to $P(d_k|t_k, \tau_k)$ so that:

$$P(d_k|\vec{\theta}') = \iint P(t_k, \tau_k|d_k).P(d_k).\frac{P(t_k, \tau_k|\vec{\theta}')}{P(t_k, \tau_k)} \, dt_k \, d\tau_k. \quad (2.9)$$

In order to calculate this I draw N_s random samples, r , from each interim posterior, $P(t_k, \tau_k|d_k)$ so that Equation 2.9 can be expressed as a sum over a number of random samples, N_s (as with the calculation of an expected mean):

$$P(d_k|\vec{\theta}') = \frac{P(d_k)}{N_s} \sum_r^{N_s} \frac{P(t_{k,r}, \tau_{k,r}|\vec{\theta}')}{P(t_k, \tau_k)}, \quad (2.10)$$

for the r^{th} sample of N_s total samples taken from one galaxy’s, k , interim posterior PDF. This fraction is known as the ‘importance weight’, w_r , in importance sampling.

However, I also have two morphological vote fractions that I can weight by to determine separate hyper-parameters, $\vec{\theta}' = [\vec{\theta}'_d, \vec{\theta}'_s]$, for both disc, d , and smooth, s , galaxies. Therefore:

$$w_r = \frac{P(t_{k,r}, \tau_{k,r} | \vec{\theta}')}{P(t_k, \tau_k)} = \frac{p_{d,k} P(t_{k,r}, \tau_{k,r} | \vec{\theta}'_d) + p_{s,k} P(t_{k,r}, \tau_{k,r} | \vec{\theta}'_s)}{P(t_k, \tau_k)} \quad (2.11)$$

If we substitute equation 2.10 into equation 2.6 we find that the $P(d_k)$ terms cancel and we are left with:

$$P(\vec{\theta}' | \vec{d}) = P(\vec{\theta}') \prod_k^N \frac{1}{N_{s,k}} \sum_r^{N_s} w_r, \quad (2.12)$$

where $P(\vec{\theta}')$ is the assumed prior on the hyper-parameters, which is assumed to be uniform.

This approach is heavily dependent on what shape is assumed for the hyper-distribution; a decision which is not trivial. It is often common for this function to take the form of a multi-component Gaussian mixture model (Mackay, 2003; Lahav et al., 2000). For example a two component Gaussian mixture model in $[t, \tau]$ space is described by eight hyper-parameters for a single morphology, $\vec{\theta}' = [\mu_{t,1}, \sigma_{t,1}, \mu_{\tau,1}, \sigma_{\tau,1}, \mu_{t,2}, \sigma_{t,2}, \mu_{\tau,2}, \sigma_{\tau,2}]$. This approach assumes no covariance between hyper-parameters for simplicity. The equations outlined above, combined with MCMC methods can be used to infer these $8\vec{\theta}'$ parameters from which the hierarchical population distribution can be determined.

In order to test whether this assumption of a multi-component Gaussian mixture model is appropriate, I sampled the inferred hierarchical distributions to produce replica datasets in optical-NUV colour space. These are shown here in Figure 2.7 in comparison to the observed colour-colour distributions of the INACTIVE sample (a subset of $\sim 6,000$ galaxies from the GZ2-GALEX sample, see Section 4.1). For all masses and morphologies the replicated $u - r$ and $NUV - u$ colours do not accurately match the observed data.

I also varied the value of N_s and found that increasing the number of samples drawn did not improve this fit for the INACTIVE population. Similarly increasing the number of components in the Gaussian mixture model did not immediately improve the accuracy of the fit. I therefore concluded that this functional form of the population distribution was unsatisfactory.




Figure 2.7: Optical-NUV colour-colour diagrams for the INACTIVE galaxies shown by the black contours, split into low mass (top), medium mass (middle) and high mass (bottom) galaxies weighted by p_d (left) and p_s (right). Kernel smoothing has been applied to the overlaid replica datasets, which are created by sampling from the **inferred 2 component Gaussian mixture model hierarchical parent distributions**. Gaussian random noise is also added to the inferred colours, with a mean and standard deviation of the errors on the observed colours of the respective sample. Contours are shown for samples taken from the disc (blue) and smooth weighted (red) inferred hierarchical distributions.




Figure 2.8: Optical-NUV colour-colour diagrams for the INACTIVE galaxies shown by the black contours, split into low mass (top), medium mass (middle) and high mass (bottom) galaxies weighted by p_d (left) and p_s (right). Kernel smoothing has been applied to the overlaid replica datasets, which are created by sampling from the **popstarpy population density distributions described in Section 2.5**. Gaussian random noise is also added to the inferred colours, with a mean and standard deviation of the errors on the observed colours of the respective sample. Contours are shown for samples taken from the disc (blue) and smooth weighted (red) inferred hierarchical distributions.

The POPSTARPY approach described in section 2.5 was motivated by the investigation increasing the number of samples, N_s drawn from the posterior of each galaxy, k , until the point where all the samples were drawn. Instead of attempting to infer parameters to describe this distribution, as above, I presented the distribution itself (as described in Section 2.5). The distributions produced by this visualisation method reveal the complexity that the parent distribution must describe which, as concluded earlier, cannot be effectively modelled.

I also tested whether the POPSTARPY method is reasonable by producing replica datasets in optical-NUV colour space, as before, by drawing 1000 $[t, \tau]$ values from the population density distributions derived for the INACTIVE sample (see Section 4.1). These replica datasets are shown here in Figure 2.8 in comparison to the observed colour-colour distributions of the INACTIVE sample. Comparing these replica colours in Figure 2.8, with those produced by drawing from the inferred hierarchical distributions, shown in Figure 2.7, they can be seen to produce a more accurate match to the observed data for the majority of masses and morphologies.

Considering these issues with assuming a functional form for the hierarchical parent distribution, an expansion on this approach would be to perform ‘heat map optimization’, similar to image reconstruction, to determine the parent distribution for a given population. Each pixel would need a prior (e.g. a basic entropic prior) and the heat map would sum to unity. This is a significant expansion upon the work presented here and is something the author wishes to investigate in future work.

For the results presented in the following chapters, I therefore use the POPSTARPY method to visualise the population distribution, rather than quoting inferred values to describe it.

Chapter 3

The morphological dependance of quenching

The work in the following chapter has been published in Smethurst et al. (2015).

By studying the galaxies which have just left the mass-SFR relation (see top panel of Figure 2.1), we can probe the quenching mechanisms by which this occurs and its morphological dependance. By investigating the *amount* of quenching that has occurred in the blue cloud, green valley and red sequence; and by comparing that amount across the three populations, we can apply constraints to the many possible quenching theories outlined in Chapter 1.

I have been motivated by a recent result suggesting there are two contrasting evolutionary pathways through the green valley by different morphological types (Schawinski et al. 2014, hereafter S14). Specifically that late-type galaxies quench very slowly and form a nearly static disc population in the green valley, whereas early-type galaxies quench very rapidly, transitioning through the green valley and onto the red sequence in ~ 1 Gyr (Wong et al., 2012). That study used a toy model to examine quenching across the green valley but did not use statistics to support their conclusions. Here I use the same toy model but implement STARPY in order to statistically study the star formation histories of galaxies across the colour magnitude diagram.

3.1 Defining the Green Valley

To define which of the 126,316 galaxies of the GZ2-GALEX sample are in the green valley, I looked to previous definitions in the literature defining the separation between the red sequence and blue cloud. For example, Baldry et al. (2004) used a large sample of 66,846 local galaxies ($0.004 < z < 0.08$) from the SDSS to trace this bimodality by fitting double Gaussians to the colour magnitude diagram without cuts in morphology. Their relation between the $u - r$ colour, C'_{ur} , and r-band magnitude, M_r , to define the colour cut between the blue and red galaxy populations is defined in their Equation 11 as:

$$C'_{ur}(M_r) = 2.06 - 0.244 \tanh\left(\frac{M_r + 20.07}{1.09}\right). \quad (3.1)$$

Due to the necessity for NUV photometry in this study, matching to GALEX removed typical ‘red and dead’ galaxies from the GZ2-GALEX sample. It is therefore not appropriate to define the green valley by a visual fit to the colour magnitude diagram as in S14. For example, the optical $u - r$ colour histograms shown in Figure 3.1, split both a complete SDSS sample and the GZ2-GALEX sample in bins of their absolute r-band magnitude and in each case show the position of the green valley at that M_r as defined by Baldry et al. (2004). For the GZ2-GALEX sample at brighter r-band magnitudes (i.e. larger mass), this definition of the green valley seems to intersect with the observed peak at red colours. However, for the larger SDSS sample (from the MPA-JHU catalog; Kauffmann et al. 2003b; Brinchmann et al. 2004) we see that this green valley definition does not intersect with the peak at red colours, as this sample is complete, containing the high mass typical red sequence galaxies. Using a visual fit to the green valley of the GZ2-GALEX sample colour-magnitude diagram would therefore cause green valley galaxies to be misclassified as red sequence.

I therefore adopt the Baldry et al. (2004) green valley definition for this study which is shown in Figure 3.2 by the dashed line in comparison to both the GZ2-GALEX sample (left) and the SDSS data used for the fit by (Baldry et al., 2004, right). Any galaxy within $\pm 1\sigma$ of this relationship, shown by the solid lines in Figure 3.2, is therefore considered a green valley galaxy. Only 47% of the red sequence galaxies present in the entire Galaxy Zoo 2 sample are present in the GZ2-GALEX sample, as opposed to 72% of the blue cloud and 53% of the green valley galaxies.




Figure 3.1: Optical $u - r$ colour histograms, sliced in absolute r-band magnitude for a complete SDSS sample (MPA-JHU catalog; left) and for the GZ2-GALEX sample (right). In each panel the definition between the blue cloud and the red sequence from Baldry et al. (2004) is shown by the dashed line (as defined in Equation 3.1); the solid lines show $\pm 1\sigma$ either side of this definition.




Figure 3.2: Colour-magnitude diagram for the GZ2-GALEX sample (left) and the SDSS sample from Baldry et al. (2004, right). In both panels the definition between the blue cloud and the red sequence from Baldry et al. (2004) is shown by the dashed line, as defined in Equation 3.1. The solid lines show $\pm 1\sigma$ either side of this definition; any galaxy within the boundary of these two solid lines is considered a green valley galaxy. The lack of red sequence galaxies due to the necessity for NUV GALEX colours skews the apparent location of the green valley in the GZ2-GALEX sample, therefore a literature definition of the green valley is used to ensure galaxies are correctly classified.

However, although the galaxies identified as residing on the red sequence within the GZ2-GALEX sample have NUV detections, this does not mean they are not representative of a typical red sequence ‘red and dead’ galaxy. Ko et al. (2013) show that in a sample of quiescent red-sequence galaxies without H α emission (i.e. without spectral indication of recent star formation), 26% show NUV excess emission and that the fraction with recent star formation is 39%. Of the 48% of GZ2-GALEX galaxies classified as below the main sequence using the definition from Peng et al. (2010, see Section 2.1), 44% of these galaxies lie on the red sequence (94% of all the red sequence galaxies; see Table 3.2). I am therefore confident that the galaxies within the GZ2-GALEX sample will be representative of galaxies across the colour magnitude diagram.

The decomposition of the GZ2-GALEX sample into red sequence, green valley and blue cloud galaxies is shown in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 along with further subsections by

Table 3.1: Table showing the decomposition of the GZ2-GALEX sample by galaxy type into the subsets of the colour-magnitude diagram.

	All	Red Sequence	Green Valley	Blue Cloud
Smooth-like ($p_s > 0.5$)	42453 (33.6%)	17424 (61.9%)	10687 (44.6%)	14342 (19.3%)
Disc-like ($p_d > 0.5$)	83863 (80.7%)	10722 (38.1%)	13257 (55.4%)	59884 (47.4%)
Early-type ($p_s \geq 0.8$)	10517 (8.3%)	5337 (18.9%)	2496 (10.4%)	2684 (3.6%)
Late-type ($p_s \geq 0.8$)	51470 (40.9%)	4493 (15.9%)	6817 (28.5%)	40430 (54.4%)
Total	126316 (100.0%)	28146 (22.3%)	23944 (18.9%)	74226 (58.7%)

galaxy type and SFR (where available for the GZ2-GALEX sample from the MPA-JHU catalog) respectively. The tables also list the definitions I adopt henceforth for early-type ($p_s \geq 0.8$), late-type ($p_d \geq 0.8$), smooth-like ($p_s > 0.5$), disc-like ($p_d > 0.5$), quenched ($\text{SFR} < P - 5\sigma$), quenching ($P - 5\sigma < \text{SFR} < P - \sigma$) and star forming ($\text{SFR} > P - \sigma$) galaxies, where P is the SFR as defined by Peng et al. (2010) for a given stellar mass and observed time (see Equation 2.2).

Figure 3.3 displays the information shown in Tables 3.1 & 3.2 with the SFR against the stellar mass for the GZ2-GALEX sample (where available from the MPA-JHU catalog) by splitting it into blue cloud, green valley red sequence, late- and early-type populations. This figure confirms that the green valley galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample are indeed a population which have either left, or begun to leave, the star forming sequence or have some residual star formation still occurring. Figure 3.3 also reveals that the early-type galaxies of the GZ2-GALEX sample seem to dominate the low and high mass ends of the star forming sequence (shown by the blue solid lines in each panel).




Figure 3.3: Star formation rate against stellar mass for the different populations of galaxies (top row, left to right: all galaxies, late-type galaxies, early-type galaxies; bottom row, left to right: blue cloud, green valley and red sequence galaxies) and how they contribute to the star forming sequence (from Peng et al. (2010), shown by the solid blue line with 0.3 dex scatter by the dashed lines). Based on positions in these diagrams, the green valley does appear to be a transitional population between the blue cloud and the red sequence. Detailed analysis of star formation histories can elucidate the nature of the different populations' pathways through the green valley.

Table 3.2: Table showing the decomposition of the GZ2-GALEX sample by their star formation rate in the subsets of the colour-magnitude diagram.

	All	Red Sequence	Green Valley	Blue Cloud
Quenched (SFR < $P - 5\sigma$)	24278 (19.7%)	17018 (60.9%)	6440 (27.5%)	820 (1.1%)
Quenching ($P - 5\sigma < \text{SFR} < P - \sigma$)	34743 (28.2%)	9277 (33.1%)	12181 (51.9%)	13285 (18.6%)
Star Forming (SFR > $P - \sigma$)	63957 (52.0%)	1665 (5.9%)	4828 (20.6%)	57464 (80.3%)
Total	122,978 (100.0%)	27960 (22.7%)	23449 (19.1%)	71569 (58.2%)

3.2 Results

The STARPY package was run on all galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample and the POPSTARPY method outlined in Section 2.5 was used to produce Figures 3.4, 3.5 & 3.7 for both smooth and disc weighted populations in the red sequence, green valley and blue cloud. The percentages shown in Figures 3.4, 3.5 & 3.7 are calculated as the fractions of the population densities located in each region of parameter space for a given population.

Since the sample contains such a large number of galaxies, we interpret these fractions as broadly equivalent to the percentage of galaxies in a given population undergoing quenching within the stated timescale range. Although this is not quantitatively exact, it is nevertheless a useful framework for interpreting the population densities.

Also shown in Figure 3.8 is the distribution of the median walker positions (the 50th percentile of the posterior probability distribution) of each individual galaxy, split into red, green and blue disc-like ($p_d > 0.5$) and smooth-like ($p_s > 0.5$) populations. These positions were calculated without discarding any walker positions due to low probability and without weighting by the GZ2 morphological vote fractions; therefore this may be more intuitive to understand than Figures 3.4, 3.5 & 3.7.

Although the quenching timescales are continuous in nature, in this Section we refer to rapid, intermediate and slow quenching timescales which correspond to ranges

of τ [Gyr] < 1.0, 1.0 < τ [Gyr] < 2.0 and τ [Gyr] > 2.0 respectively for ease of discussion.

3.2.1 The Red Sample

The top panel of Figure 3.4 reveals that smooth galaxies with red optical colours show a preference (49.5%; see Figure 3.4) for rapid quenching timescales across all cosmic time resulting in a very low current SFR. For these smooth red galaxies we see, at early times only, a preference for slow and intermediate timescales in the top panel of Figure 3.4. Perhaps this is the influence of intermediate galaxies (with $p_s \sim p_d \sim 0.5$), hence why similar high probability areas exist for both the smooth weighted and disc weighted populations in the top and bottom panels of Figure 3.4. This is especially apparent considering there are far more of these intermediate galaxies than those that are definitively early- or late-types (see Table 3.1). These galaxies are those whose morphology cannot be easily distinguished either because they are at a large distance or because they are an S0 galaxy whose morphology can be interpreted by different GZ2 users in different ways. Willett et al. (2013) find that S0 galaxies expertly classified by Nair & Abraham (2010) are more commonly classified as ellipticals by GZ2 users, but have a significant tail to high disc vote fractions, giving a possible explanation as to the origin of this area of probability.

The bottom panel of Figure 3.4 reveals that red disc galaxies show similar preferences for rapid (31.3%) and slow (44.1%) quenching timescales. The preference for *very* slow ($\tau > 3.0$ Gyr) quenching timescales (which are not seen in either the green valley or blue cloud, see Figures 3.5 and 3.7) suggests that these galaxies have only just reached the red sequence after a very slow evolution across the colour-magnitude diagram. Considering their limited number and our requirement for NUV emission, it is likely that these galaxies are currently on the edge of the red sequence having recently (and finally) moved out of the green valley. Table 3.1 shows that 3.9% of our sample are red sequence late-type galaxies, i.e. red late-type spirals. This is, within uncertainties, in agreement with the findings of Masters et al. (2010a), who find $\sim 6\%$ of late-type spirals are red when defined by a cut in the $g - r$ optical colour (rather than with $u - r$ as used in this investigation) and are at the ‘blue end of the red sequence’.




Figure 3.4: Contour plots showing the population densities for red galaxies of the GZ2-GALEX sample, weighted by the morphological vote fractions from GZ2 to give both bulge (top) and disc (bottom) dominated distributions. The histograms show the projection into one dimension for each parameter. The dashed lines show the separation between rapid (τ [Gyr] $<$ 1.0), intermediate (1.0 $<$ τ [Gyr] $<$ 2.0) and slow (τ [Gyr] $>$ 2.0) quenching timescales with the fraction of the combined posterior probability distribution in each region shown (see Section 2.2).

Despite the dominance of slow quenching timescales, the red disc weighted population also show some preference for rapid quenching timescales (31.3%), similar to the red smooth weighted population but with a lower likelihood. Perhaps these rapid quenching timescales can also be attributed to a morphological change, suggesting that the quenching has occurred more rapidly than the morphological change to a bulge dominated system.

Comparing the resultant SFRs for both the smooth and disc weighted populations in Figure 3.4 by noticing where the areas of high probability lie with respect to the bottom panel of Figure 2.2 (which shows the predicted SFR at an observation time of $t \sim 12.8$ Gyr, the average ‘observed’ time of the GZ2-GALEX sample) reveals that red disc weighted population with a preference for slow quenching still have some residual star formation occurring, $\text{SFR} \sim 0.105 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$, whereas the smooth galaxies with a dominant preference for rapid quenching have a resultant $\text{SFR} \sim 0.0075 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$. This is approximately 14 times less than the residual SFR still occurring in the red disc weighted population. Within error, this is in agreement with the findings of Tojeiro et al. (2013) who, by using the VErsatile SPectral Analyses spectral fitting code (VESPA; Tojeiro et al. 2007), found that red late-type spirals show 17 times more recent star formation than red elliptical galaxies.

These results for the red galaxies investigated here with NUV emission, have many implications for green valley galaxies, as all of these systems must have passed through the green valley on their way to the red sequence.

3.2.2 Green Valley Galaxies

In Figure 3.5 we can make similar comparisons for the green valley galaxies to those discussed previously for the red galaxies studied. For the red galaxies, an argument can be made for two possible tracks across the green valley, shown by the bimodal nature of both distributions in τ , with a common area in the intermediate timescale region where the rapid and slow timescales peaked distributions intersect. However in the green valley this intermediate quenching timescale region becomes more significant (in agreement with the conclusions of Gonçalves et al. 2012), particularly for the smooth weighted population (see the top panel of Figure 3.5).

The smooth weighted population densities favour these intermediate quenching




Figure 3.5: Contour plots showing the population densities for green valley galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample weighted by the morphological vote fractions from GZ2 to give both bulge (top) and disc (bottom) dominated distributions. The histograms show the projection into one dimension for each parameter. The dashed lines show the separation between rapid (τ [Gyr] $<$ 1.0), intermediate (1.0 $<$ τ [Gyr] $<$ 2.0) and slow (τ [Gyr] $>$ 2.0) quenching timescales with the fraction of the combined posterior probability distribution in each region shown (see Section 2.2).




Figure 3.6: Plot showing the time spent in the green valley across the SFH model parameter space. This affects the observability of those galaxies which have quenched rapidly and recently and have passed too quickly through the green valley to be detected. The white region denotes those models with colours that do not enter the green valley by the present cosmic time.

timescales (40.6%) with some preference for slow quenching at early times ($z > 1$). The preference for rapid quenching in the smooth population has dropped by over a half compared to the red population, however this will be influenced by the observability of galaxies undergoing such a rapid quench which will spend significantly less time in the transitional population of the green valley. To quantify this, I tested the time spent in the green valley across the $[t, \tau]$ parameter space, which is shown in Figure 3.6. Those galaxies with such a rapid decline in star formation pass so quickly through the green valley and so will be detected at a lower number than those galaxies which have stalled in the green valley with intermediate quenching timescales. This accounts for the observed number of intermediate galaxies which are present in the green valley and the dominance of rapid timescales detected for the red populations of both morphologies.

The green valley disc weighted population now overwhelmingly prefer slow quenching timescales (47.4%) with a similar amount of intermediate quenching compared to the smooth weighted parameters (37.6%; see Figure 3.5). There is still some preference for galaxies with a star formation history which results in a high current SFR, suggesting there are also some late-type galaxies that have just progressed from the blue cloud into the green valley.

If we compare Figure 3.5 to Figure 3.4 we can see quenching has occurred at later (more recent) cosmic times in the green valley than for red galaxies of both morphological types. Therefore both morphologies are tracing the evolution of the red sequence, confirming that the green valley is indeed a transitional population between blue cloud and red sequence regardless of morphology. Currently as we observe the green valley, its main constituents are very slowly evolving disc-like galaxies along with intermediate- and smooth-like galaxies which pass across it with intermediate timescales within $\sim 1.0 - 1.5$ Gyr.

Given enough time ($t \sim 4 - 5$ Gyr), the disc galaxies will eventually fully pass through the green valley and make it out to the red sequence (the bottom panel of Figure 2.1 shows galaxies with $\tau > 1.0$ Gyr do not approach the red sequence within 3 Gyr post quench). This is most likely the origin of the ‘red spirals’.

Considering that the green valley is a transitional population, the ratio of smooth:disc galaxies that is currently observed in the green valley is expected to evolve into the ratio observed for the red galaxies with NUV emission investigated. Table 3.1 shows the ratio of smooth-like : disc-like galaxies in the observed red sequence of the GZ2-GALEX sample is 62 : 38 whereas in the green valley it is 45 : 55. Making the very simple assumptions that this ratio does not change with redshift and that quenching is the only mechanism which causes a morphological transformation, we can infer that 31.2% of the disc-dominated galaxies currently residing in the green valley would have to undergo a morphological change to a bulge-dominated galaxy. The fraction of the density of the green valley disc weighted population occupying the parameter space $\tau < 1.5$ Gyr is 29.4%, this suggests that quenching mechanisms with these timescales are capable of destroying the disc-dominated structure of galaxies. However this is most likely an overestimate of the timescale that can cause a morphological change because of the observability of those galaxies which undergo such a rapid quench; Martin et al. (2007, and see Figure ??) showed that after considering the time spent in the green valley, the fraction of galaxies undergoing a rapid quench quadruples.

All of this evidence suggests that there are not just two routes for galaxies through the green valley as concluded by S14, but a continuum of quenching timescales which we can divide into three general regimes: rapid ($\tau < 1.0$ Gyr), intermediate ($1.0 < \tau < 2.0$ Gyr) and slow ($\tau > 2.0$ Gyr). The intermediate quenching timescales reside in the space between the extremes sampled by the UV/optical diagrams of S14; the inclusion of the intermediate galaxies in this investigation (unlike in S14) and the more precise Bayesian analysis, quantifies this range of τ and specifically ties the intermediate timescales to all variations of galaxy morphology.

Instead of concluding that the green valley is a ‘*red herring*’ as in S14, one might instead conclude that the ‘*grass is always redder on the other side*’.

3.2.3 Blue Cloud Galaxies

Since the blue cloud is considered to be primarily made of star forming galaxies STARPY is expected to have some difficulty in determining the most likely quenching model to describe them, as confirmed by Figure 3.7. The attempt to characterise a star forming galaxy with a quenched SFH model leads STARPY to attribute the extremely blue colours of the majority of these galaxies with a constant SFR for as long as possible leading to fast quenching at the observed redshift (i.e. the colour has not had enough time to change from blue post quench; see the bottom panel of Figure 3.7 in comparison with the bottom panel of Figure 2.2).

This is particularly apparent for the blue disc weighted population. Perhaps even galaxies which are currently quenching slowly across the blue cloud cannot be well fit by the quenching models implemented, as they still have high SFRs despite some quenching (by definition although a galaxy is undergoing quenching, star formation can still be occurring in a galaxy, just at a slower rate than at earlier times, described by τ).

There is a very small preference for the blue smooth weighted population to undergo slow quenching which began prior to $z \sim 0.5$. These populations have been blue for a considerable period of time, slowly using up their gas for star formation by the Kennicutt–Schmidt law (Schmidt, 1959; Kennicutt, 1997). However the major preference is for rapid quenching at recent times in the blue cloud; this therefore provides some support to the theories for blue ellipticals as either merger-driven ($\sim 76\%$;




Figure 3.7: Contour plots showing the population densities blue cloud galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample, weighted by the morphological vote fractions from GZ2 to give both bulge (top) and disc (bottom) dominated distributions. The histograms show the projection into one dimension for each parameter. The dashed lines show the separation between rapid (τ [Gyr] < 1.0), intermediate ($1.0 < \tau$ [Gyr] < 2.0) and slow (τ [Gyr] > 2.0) quenching timescales with the fraction of the combined posterior probability distribution in each region shown (see Section 2.2). Positions with probabilities less than 0.2 are discarded as poorly fit models, therefore we can conclude unsurprisingly that blue cloud galaxies are not well described by a quenching star formation model.




Figure 3.8: Contours showing the positions in the $[t, \tau]$ parameter space of the median walker position (the 50th percentile; as shown by the intersection of the solid blue lines in Figure 2.2) for each galaxy for all (top), disc ($p_d > 0.5$; middle), and smooth ($p_s > 0.5$; bottom) red sequence, green valley and blue cloud galaxies in the left, middle and bottom panels respectively. The error bars on each panel shows the average 68% confidence on the median positions (calculated from the 16th and 84th percentile, as shown by the blue dashed lines in Figure 2.2). These positions were calculated without discarding any walker positions due to low probability and without weighting by vote fractions, therefore this plot may be more intuitive than Figures 3.4, 3.5 & 3.7. The differences between the smooth and disc populations and between the red, green and blue populations remain clearly apparent.

like those identified as recently quenched ellipticals with properties consistent with a merger origin by McIntosh et al. 2014) or gas inflow-driven reinvigorated star formation that is now slowly decreasing ($\sim 24\%$; such as the population of blue spheroidal galaxies studied by Kaviraj et al. 2013). However, remember that the quenching models used in this work do not provide an adequate fit to the blue cloud population.

The blue cloud is therefore primarily composed of both star forming galaxies of all morphologies and a smooth population which are undergoing a rapid quench, presumably after a previous event triggered star formation and turned them blue.

3.3 Discussion

In the previous section I presented the results of using STARPY to derive the most likely quenching histories for galaxies across the colour magnitude diagram and found differences between the SFHs of smooth- and disc-weighted populations of the red sequence, green valley and blue cloud. In this section I will speculate on the following question: what are the possible mechanisms driving these differences?

3.3.1 Rapid Quenching Mechanisms

Rapid quenching is much more prevalent in smooth galaxies than disc galaxies, and red galaxies are also much more likely to be characterised by a rapid quenching model than green valley galaxies (disregarding blue cloud galaxies due to their apparent poor fit by the quenching models, see Figure 3.7). In the green valley there is also a distinct lack of preference for rapid quenching timescales with $\tau < 0.5$ Gyr; however the observability of a rapid quenching history declining with decreasing τ must be taken into account. Rapid mechanisms may be more common in the green valley than seen in Figure 3.5, however this observability should not depend on morphology so we can still conclude that rapid quenching mechanisms are detected more for smooth rather than disc galaxies.

This suggests that rapid quenching mechanisms can cause a change in morphology from a disc- to a smooth dominated galaxy as it quickly traverses the colour-magnitude diagram to the red sequence. This is supported by the number of disc

galaxies that would need to undergo a morphological change in order for the disc : smooth ratio of galaxies in the green valley to match that of the red galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample (see Section 3.2.2). From this indirect evidence we suggest that this observed rapid quenching mechanism is caused by major mergers.

Inspection of the galaxies contributing to this area of population density reveals that this does not arise due to *currently* merging pairs missed by GZ users which were not excluded from the GZ2-GALEX sample (see Section 1.1), but by typical smooth galaxies with both red optical and NUV colours that STARPY attributes to rapid quenching at early times. Although a prescription for modelling a merger in the SFH is not included in this work the after effects can still be detected (see Section ?? for future work planned with STARPY).

One simulation of interest by Springel et al. (2005) showed that feedback from black hole activity is a necessary component of destructive major mergers to produce such rapid quenching timescales. Powerful quasar outflows can remove much of the gas from the inner regions of the galaxy, terminating star formation on extremely short timescales. Bell et al. (2006), using data from the COMBO-17 redshift survey ($0.4 < z < 0.8$), estimate a merger timescale from being classified as a close galaxy pair to recognisably disturbed as ~ 0.4 Gyr. Springel et al. (2005) consequently find using hydrodynamical simulations that after ~ 1 Gyr the merger remnant has reddened to $u-r \sim 2.0$. This is in agreement with our simple quenching models which show (Figure 2.1) that the models with a SFH with $\tau < 0.4$ Gyr have reached the red sequence, with $u-r \gtrsim 2.2$, within ~ 1 Gyr. This could explain the preference for red disc galaxies with rapid quenching timescales (31.3%), as they may have undergone a major merger recently but are still undergoing a morphological change from disc, to disturbed, to an eventual smooth galaxy (see also van der Wel et al. 2009) or have retained their disc structure in a merger in line with recent simulations from Pontzen et al. (2016). This possible connection between AGN feedback and rapid quenching timescales is explored further in Chapter 4.1.

This rapid quenching mechanism occurs much more rarely in green valley galaxies of both morphologies than for the subset of red sequence galaxies studied, however does not fully characterise all the galaxies in either the red sequence or green valley. Dry major mergers therefore do not fully account for the formation of any galaxy type at any redshift, supporting the observational conclusions made by Bell et al. (2007); Bundy et al. (2007); Kaviraj (2014a) and simulations by Genel et al. (2008).

3.3.2 Intermediate Quenching Mechanisms

Intermediate quenching timescales are found to be equally prevalent across all populations of both smooth and disc galaxies across cosmic time, particularly in the green valley. Intermediate timescales are the prevalent mechanism for quenching smooth green valley galaxies, unlike the rapid quenching prevalent for red galaxies. This suggests that this intermediate quenching route must therefore be possible with routes that both preserve and transform morphology. It is this result of another route through the green valley that is in contradiction with the findings of S14.

Once again considering the simulations of Springel et al. (2005), this time without any feedback from black holes, they suggest that if even a small fraction of gas is not consumed in the starburst following a merger (either because the mass ratio is not large enough or from the lack of strong black hole activity) the remnant can sustain star formation for periods of several Gyrs. The remnants from these simulations take ~ 5.5 Gyr to reach red optical colours of $u - r \sim 2.1$. We can see from Figure 2.1 that the models with intermediate quenching timescales of $1.0 \lesssim \tau [\text{Gyr}] \lesssim 2.0$ take approximately $2.5 - 5.5$ Gyr to reach these red colours. Similarly the recent simulations of Pontzen et al. (2016) show that without feedback the SFR of a disc galaxy can recover post merger and remain a star forming disc.

I propose that the intermediate quenching timescales are caused by gas rich major mergers, major mergers without black hole feedback and from minor mergers, the latter of which being the dominant mechanism. This is supported by the findings of Lotz et al. (2008) who find that the detectability timescales for equal mass gas rich mergers with large initial separations range from $\sim 1.1 - 1.9$ Gyr, and of Lotz et al. (2011), who find in further simulations that as the baryonic gas fraction in a merger with mass ratios of 1:1-1:4 increases, so does the timescale of the merger from ~ 0.2 Gyr (with little gas, as above for major mergers causing rapid quenching timescales) up to ~ 1.5 Gyr (with large gas fractions).

Here the very basic assumption is that the morphologically detectable timescale of a merger is roughly the same order as the quenching timescale. However, the existence of a substantial population of blue ellipticals (Schawinski et al., 2009) must be considered, which are thought to be post-merger systems with no detectable morphological signatures of a merger but with the merger-induced starburst still detectable in the photometry. This photometry is an indicator for the SFH and therefore should

present with longer timescales for the photometric effects of a merger than found in the simulations by Lotz et al. (2008) and Lotz et al. (2011). Observing this link between the timescale for the morphological observability of a merger and the timescales for the star formation induced by a merger is problematic, as evidenced by the lack of literature on the subject.

Lotz et al. (2008) also show that the remnants of these simulated equal mass gas rich disc mergers (wet disc mergers) are observable for $\gtrsim 1$ Gyr post merger and state that they appear “disc-like and dusty” in the simulations, which is consistent with an “early-type spiral morphology”. Such galaxies are often observed to have spiral features with a dominant bulge, suggesting that such galaxies may divide the votes of the GZ2 users, producing vote fractions of $p_s \sim p_d \sim 0.5$. This may be why the intermediate quenching timescales are equally dominant for both smooth and disc populations in Figures 3.4 and 3.5.

Other simulations (e.g. such as Robertson et al. (2006) and Barnes (2002)) support the conclusion that both gas rich major mergers and minor mergers can produce disc-like remnants. Observationally, Darg et al. (2010) showed an increase in the spiral to elliptical ratio for merging galaxies ($0.005 < z < 0.1$) by a factor of two compared to the general population. They attribute this to the much longer timescales during which mergers of spirals are observable compared to mergers with elliptical galaxies, confirming the hypothesis that the quenching timescales $\tau < 1.5$ Gyr preferred by disc galaxies may be undergoing mergers which will eventually lead to a morphological change. Similarly, Casteels et al. (2013) observe that galaxies ($0.01 < z < 0.09$) which are interacting often retain their spiral structures and that a spiral galaxy which has been classified as having ‘loose winding arms’ by the GZ2 users are often entering the early stages of mergers and interactions.

40.6% of the probability for smooth galaxies in the green valley arises due to intermediate quenching timescales (see Figure 3.5); this is in agreement with work done by Kaviraj (2014a,b) who by studying SDSS photometry ($z < 0.07$) state that approximately half of the star formation in galaxies is driven by minor mergers at $0.5 < z < 0.7$ therefore exhausting available gas for star formation and consequently causing a gradual decline in the star formation rate. This supports earlier work by Kaviraj et al. (2011) who, using multi wavelength photometry of galaxies in COSMOS (Scoville et al., 2007), found that 70% of early-type galaxies appear morphologically disturbed, suggesting either a minor or major merger in their history. This is in

agreement with the total percentage of the population density with $\tau < 2.0$ [Gyr]; 73.9% and 59.3%, for the smooth red and green galaxies in Figures 3.4 and 3.5 respectively. Note that the star formation model used here is a basic one and has no prescription for reignition of star formation post-quench which can also cause morphological disturbance of a galaxy, like those detected by Kaviraj et al. (2011) and seen in simulations by Pontzen et al. (2016).

Darg et al. (2010) show in their Figure 6 that beyond a merger ratio of 1 : 10 (up to $\sim 1 : 100$), green is the dominant average galaxy colour of the visually identified merging pair in GZ. These mergers are also dominated by spiral-spiral mergers as opposed to elliptical-elliptical and elliptical-spiral. This supports the hypothesis that these intermediate timescales dominating in the green valley are caused in part by minor mergers. However this is contradictory to the findings of Mendez et al. (2011) who find the merger fraction in the green valley is much lower than in the blue cloud, however they use an analytical light decomposition indicator to identify their mergers ($Gini/M_{20}$; see Lotz et al. 2008), which tends to detect major mergers more easily than minor mergers. I have discussed the lower likelihood of a green valley galaxy to undergo a rapid quench, which we have attributed to major mergers (see Section 3.3.1), despite the caveat of the observability and believe that this may have been the phenomenon that Mendez et al. (2011) detected.

The resultant intermediate quenching timescales occur initially due to one interaction mechanism, unlike the rapid quenching, which occurs due to a major merger combined with AGN feedback, and decreases the SFR over a short period of time. Therefore any external event which can cause either a burst of star formation (depleting the gas available) or directly strip a galaxy of its gas, for example galaxy harassment, interactions, ram pressure stripping, strangulation and interactions internal to clusters, would cause quenching on an intermediate timescale. Such mechanisms would be the dominant cause of quenching in dense environments; considering that the majority of galaxies reside in groups or clusters (Coil et al. 2008 find that green valley galaxies are just as clustered as red sequence galaxies). It is not surprising therefore that the majority of our galaxies are considered intermediate in morphology (see Table 3.1) and therefore are undergoing or have undergone such an interaction. This obvious dependency of the quenching parameters on the galaxy environment will be investigated further in Chapter 5.

3.3.3 Slow Quenching Timescales

Although intermediate and rapid quenching timescales are the dominant mechanisms across the colour-magnitude diagram, together they cannot completely account for the quenching of disc galaxies. S14 concluded that slow quenching timescales were the most dominant mechanism for disc galaxies. However I show that: (i) intermediate quenching timescales are equally important in the green valley and (ii) rapid quenching timescales are equally important for the red galaxies with NUV emission. There is also a significantly lower preference for smooth galaxies to undergo such slow quenching timescales; suggesting that the evolution (or indeed creation) of typical smooth galaxies is dominated by processes external to the galaxy. This is excepting galaxies in the blue cloud where a small amount of slow evolution of blue ellipticals is occurring, presumably after a reinvigoration of star formation which is slowly depleting the gas available according to the Kennicutt–Schmidt law.

Bamford et al. (2009) using GZ1 vote fractions of galaxies in the SDSS, found a significant fraction of high stellar mass red spiral galaxies in the field. As these galaxies are isolated from the effects of interactions from other galaxies, the slow quenching mechanisms present in their preferred star formation histories are most likely due to secular processes (i.e. mechanisms internal to the galaxy, in the absence of sudden accretion or merger events; Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004; Sheth et al. 2012). Bar formation in a disc galaxy is such a mechanism, whereby gas is funnelled to the centre of the galaxy by the bar over long timescales where it is used for star formation (Masters et al., 2012; Saintonge et al., 2012; Cheung et al., 2013), consequently forming a ‘pseudo-bulge’ (Kormendy et al., 2010; Simmons et al., 2013).

If these slow quenching timescales are due to secular evolution processes, this is to be expected since these processes do not change the disc dominated nature of a galaxy.

3.4 Conclusions

We have used morphological classifications from the Galaxy Zoo 2 project to determine the morphology-dependent star formation histories of galaxies via a Bayesian

analysis of an exponentially declining star formation quenching model. We determined the most likely parameters for the quenching onset time, t_q and quenching timescale τ in this model for galaxies across the blue cloud, green valley and red sequence to trace the morphological dependance of galactic evolution across the colour-magnitude diagram. We find that the green valley is indeed a transitional population for all morphological types (in agreement with Schawinski et al. (2014)), however this transition proceeds slowly for the majority of disc dominated galaxies and occurs rapidly for the majority of smooth dominated galaxies in the red sequence. However, in addition to Schawinski et al. (2014), this Bayesian approach has revealed a more nuanced result, specifically that the prevailing mechanism across all morphologies and populations is quenching with intermediate timescales. The main findings are summarised as follows:

- (i) The subset of red sequence galaxies with NUV emission studied in this investigation are found to have similar preferences for quenching timescales compared to green valley galaxies, but the quenching occurs at earlier quenching times (i.e. higher redshift) regardless of morphology (see Figures 3.4 and 3.5). Therefore the quenching mechanisms currently occurring in the green valley were also active in creating the ‘blue end of the red sequence’ at earlier times; confirming that the green valley is indeed a transitional population, regardless of morphology.
- (ii) The typical red galaxy with NUV emission studied, is elliptical in morphology and has undergone a rapid to intermediate quench at some point in cosmic time, resulting in a very low current SFR (see Section 3.2.1).
- (iii) The green valley as it is currently observed is dominated by very slowly evolving disc dominated galaxies along with intermediate- and smooth dominated galaxies which pass across it with intermediate timescales within $\sim 1.0 - 1.5$ Gyr (see Section 3.2.2).
- (iv) There are many different mechanisms responsible for quenching, all causing a galaxy to progress through the green valley, which are dependant on galaxy type, with the smooth and disc dominated galaxies each having different dominant star formation histories across the colour-magnitude diagram. These timescales can be roughly split into three main regimes; rapid ($\tau < 1.0$ Gyr), intermediate ($1.0 < \tau [\text{Gyr}] < 2.0$) and slow ($\tau > 2.0$ Gyr) quenching.

- (v) Blue cloud galaxies are not well fit by a quenching model of star formation due to the continuous high star formation rates occurring (see Figure 3.7).
- (vi) Rapid quenching timescales are detected with a lower probability for green valley galaxies than the red sequence galaxies studied. I speculate that this quenching mechanism is caused by major mergers with black hole feedback, which are able to expel the remaining gas not initially exhausted in the merger-induced starburst and which can cause a change in morphology from disc- to bulge-dominated. The colour-change timescales from previous simulations of such events agree with the derived timescales (see Section 3.3.1). These rapid timescales are instrumental in forming red galaxies, however galaxies at the current epoch passing through the green valley do so at more intermediate timescales (see Figure 3.5).
- (vii) Intermediate quenching timescales ($1.0 < \tau [\text{Gyr}] < 2.0$) are found with constant density across red and green galaxies for both smooth- and disc-weighted populations, the timescales for which agree with observed and simulated minor merger timescales (see Section 3.3.2). I hypothesise that such timescales can be caused by a number of external processes, including gas rich major mergers, mergers without black hole feedback, galaxy harassment, interactions and ram pressure stripping. The timescales and observed morphologies from previous studies agree with the results, including that this is the dominant mechanisms for intermediate galaxies such as early-type spiral galaxies with spiral features but a dominant bulge, which split the GZ2 vote fractions (see Section 3.3.2).
- (viii) Slow quenching timescales are the most dominant mechanism in the disc galaxy populations across the colour-magnitude diagram. Disc galaxies are often found in the field, therefore I hypothesise that such slow quenching timescales are caused by secular evolution and processes internal to the galaxy (see Section 3.2.3). A small amount of slow quenching timescales is also detected for blue elliptical galaxies which is attributed to a reinvigoration of star formation, the peak of which has passed and has started to decline by slowly depleting the gas available (see Section 3.2.3).

Chapter 4

Black hole-galaxy co-evolution in the context of quenching

The work in the following chapter has been published in Smethurst et al. (2016).

4.1 Rapid, recent quenching within a population of Type 2 AGN host galaxies

4.1.1 AGN Sample

We selected Type 2 AGN from the GZ2-GALEX sample using a BPT diagram (Baldwin et al., 1981) using line and continuum strengths for [OIII], [NII], [SII] and [OII] obtained from the MPA-JHU catalogue (Kauffmann et al., 2003b; Brinchmann et al.,




Figure 4.1: Randomly selected SDSS *gri* composite images from the sample of 1,244 Type 2 AGN in a redshift range $0.04 < z < 0.05$. The galaxies are ordered from least to most featured according to their debiased ‘disc or featured’ vote fraction, p_d (see Willett et al. 2013). The scale for each image is 0.099 arcsec/pixel.

2004). We then required the $S/N > 3$ for each emission line as in Schawinski et al. (2010). Those galaxies which satisfied all of the inequalities defined in Kewley et al. (2001) and Kauffmann et al. (2003a) were selected as Type 2 AGN, giving 1,299 host galaxies ($\sim 10\%$ of the GZ2-GALEX sample). Sarzi et al. (2010); Yan & Blanton (2012) and Singh et al. (2013) have all demonstrated that LINERs are not primarily powered by AGN, therefore for purity, we excluded these galaxies from the sample using the definition from Kewley et al. (2006) (55 galaxies total) with no change to the results. These 1,244 galaxies will be referred to as the AGN-HOST sample.

We refrain from using Type 1 AGN due to concerns about contamination of the SFH analysis from potentially strong NUV emission by unobscured nuclei. The obscuration of Type 2 AGN is highly efficient, considerably more so in the NUV than the optical (Simmons et al., 2011); residual NUV flux from a Type 2 AGN can be neglected in comparison to that of the galaxy. We also investigated the possibility of contamination of optical galaxy colours by residual AGN emission, finding that subtracting measured nuclear magnitudes (SDSS `psfMag`) produces a negligible change in host galaxy colour ($\Delta(u - r) \sim 0.09$). We therefore use the uncorrected colours to avoid unnecessary complexity and minimise the propagation of uncertainty from the colours through to the SFHs. However, we note that including these corrected colours does not change our results.

We note also that galaxy colours were not corrected for intrinsic dust attenuation. This is of particular consequence for disc galaxies, where attenuation increases with increasing inclination. Buat et al. (2005) found the median value of the attenuation in the GALEX NUV passband to be ~ 1 mag. Similarly Masters et al. (2010b) found a total extinction from face-on to edge-on spirals of 0.7 and 0.5 mag for the SDSS u and r passbands and show spirals with $\log(a/b) > 0.7$ have signs of significant dust attenuation. For the AGN-HOST (INACTIVE) sample we find 23% (25%) of discs (with $p_d > 0.5$) have $\log(a/b) > 0.7$, therefore we must be aware of possible biases in our results due to dust.

From the findings of Masters et al. (2010b) and Buat et al. (2005) above, we estimate the extinction to be $u - r \sim 0.2$ mag and $NUV - u \sim 0.3$ mag, therefore the average change in the SFH parameters across a range of input colours $0 < u - r < 4$ and $-1 < NUV - u < 5$, are $\Delta t_q = 0.985$ Gyr, $\Delta \tau = 1.571$ Gyr. This change therefore causes the SFH parameters derived to move towards earlier times and faster quenching rates. Results should be viewed with the caveat, particularly for higher




Figure 4.2: BPT diagrams for galaxies in the GZ2-GALEX sample (black crosses) with $S/N > 3$ for each emission line. Inequalities defined in: Kewley et al. (2001) to separate SF galaxies from AGN (dashed lines), Kauffmann et al. (2003a) to separate SF from composite SF-AGN galaxies (solid line) and Kewley et al. (2006) to separate LINERS and Seyferts (dotted lines). Galaxies are included in the AGN-HOST sample (red circles) if they satisfy all the inequalities to be classified as Seyferts. LINERS are excluded for purity.

mass, disc galaxies, that earlier values of t_q and more rapid values of τ may be inferred by STARPY. However, we note that (i) applying these average corrections across each sample population does not change our main conclusions, (ii) that results are consistent if the population of edge-on and face-on galaxies are compared and (iii) that results do not change if only face-on galaxies are used in the investigation, strongly suggesting that internal galactic extinction does not systematically bias our results.

SDSS images for 10 randomly selected galaxies from the AGN-HOST sample are shown in Figure 4.1; Figure 4.2 shows the entire AGN-HOST sample and the matched GZ2-GALEX galaxies on a BPT diagram. For the AGN-HOST sample the mean $\log(L[OIII][\text{erg s}^{-1}]) = 41.3$ and median $\log(L[OIII][\text{erg s}^{-1}]) \sim 41.0$, with a range of $\log(L[OIII][\text{erg s}^{-1}])$ luminosities of $39.4 - 43.0$.

We constructed a control sample of inactive galaxies by removing from the GZ2-GALEX sample all galaxies with line strengths indicative of potential AGN activity (Kauffmann, Heckman, Tremonti, Brinchmann, Charlot, White, Ridgway, Brinkmann, Fukugita, Hall, Ivezić, Richards, & Schneider, 2003a), as well as sources identified as Type 1 AGN by the presence of broad emission lines (Oh et al., 2015). We select mass- and morphology-matched inactive samples by identifying between 1 and 5 inactive

galaxies for each AGN-HOST galaxy with the same stellar mass (to within $\pm 5\%$) and GZ2 ‘smooth’ and ‘disc’ vote fractions (to within ± 0.1); this selects 6107 galaxies. We refer to this sample as the INACTIVE sample. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test revealed the redshift distributions of the INACTIVE and AGN-HOST samples are statistically indistinguishable ($D \sim 0.16$, $p \sim 0.88$).

We show the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE samples on both an optical colour-magnitude diagram and in the SFR-stellar mass plane in Figure 4.3 in comparison to the distribution of SDSS DR7 galaxies. SFRs and stellar masses are obtained from the MPA JHU catalog, where available, which follow the prescriptions outlined in Brinchmann et al. (2004) and Salim et al. (2007) for calculating the total aperture corrected galaxy SFR in the presence of an AGN.

We note that the majority of the AGN-HOST sample would be defined as residing in the blue cloud (73%) on the optical colour-magnitude diagram despite the fact that a significant proportion of the sample (47%) lie more than 1σ (0.3 dex) below the star forming “main sequence” (fit to the MPA-JHU catalog of SDSS DR7 of Kauffmann et al. 2003b; Brinchmann et al. 2004, see Figure 4.3).

? show that in a sample of quiescent red-sequence galaxies without H α emission, 26% show NUV excess emission and that the fraction with recent star formation is 39%. This is more clearly visible in Figure 4.3b, where a substantial fraction of both the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE samples, all of the sources in which have detected NUV emission, nevertheless lie more than 1σ below the star-formation sequence. We do not make a cut on either the AGN-HOST or INACTIVE samples for star formation rate. The SFH of the entire samples are fitted, however we describe in Section 2 how our method accounts for those galaxies not appropriately fit by a quenching model and down-weights their contribution to the final results.

Since this investigation is focussed on whether an AGN can have an impact on the SF of its host galaxy, we must also consider possible selection effects. The extent to which SF could obscure AGN emission was addressed by Schawinski et al. (2010). They showed, via analysis of simulated AGN emission added to star-forming galaxies, that BPT-based selection of AGN produces a complete sample at luminosities of $L[OIII] > 10^{40}$ erg s $^{-1}$. Above this limit we therefore assume we have selected a complete sample of AGN independent of host galaxy SFR.




Figure 4.3: (a) Optical colour-magnitude diagram showing the SDSS DR7 (grey filled contours), the AGN-HOST sample (red circles) and INACTIVE sample (blue contours). The definition of the green valley from Baldry et al. (2006) (solid line) with $\pm 1\sigma$ (dashed lines) is shown. (b) SFR-stellar mass diagram showing the MPA-JHU measurements of SFR and M_* of SDSS DR7 galaxies (Kauffmann et al. 2003b; Brinchmann et al. 2004; black contours), the AGN-HOST sample (red circles) and INACTIVE sample (blue contours). The star forming “main sequence” from Peng et al. (2010) is shown by the solid line for $t = 12.8$ Gyr, the average observed age of the GZ2-GALEX sample, with $\pm 1\sigma$ (dashed lines).




Figure 4.4: Population density distributions for the quenching time (t_q) parameter, normalised so that the areas under the curves are equal. AGN-HOST (left) and INACTIVE (right) galaxies are split into low (top), medium (middle) and high (bottom) mass for smooth (dashed) and disc (solid) galaxies. Uncertainties from bootstrapping are shown by the shaded regions for the smooth (grey striped) and disc (grey solid) population densities. A low (high) value of t_q corresponds to the early (recent) Universe.

4.1.2 Results

Figures 4.4 and 4.5 show the stacked population density distributions for the quenching time, t_q and exponential quenching rate, τ , respectively. In each figure the population density, along with shaded regions to show the uncertainties, for a given parameter is shown for smooth and disc galaxy populations across three mass bins for the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE samples. No cut on the star formation rate is made to the galaxies which contribute to Figures 4.4 & 4.5, but those galaxies poorly fit by an exponentially declining SFH are down-weighted so that they do not contribute to the results presented here. In Table 4.1 the percentage of the population density in each quenching regime for rapid ($\tau < 1$ Gyr), intermediate ($1 < \tau$ [Gyr] < 2) and slow ($\tau > 2$ Gyr) quenching timescales, are shown. Uncertainties on the popula-




Figure 4.5: Population density distributions for the quenching rate (τ), normalised so that the areas under the curves are equal. AGN-HOST (left) host and INACTIVE (right) galaxies are split into low (top), medium (middle) and high (bottom) mass for smooth (dashed) and disc (solid) galaxies. Uncertainties from bootstrapping are shown by the shaded regions for the smooth (grey striped) and disc (grey solid) population densities. A small (large) value of τ corresponds to a rapid (slow) quench.

Table 4.1: Table showing the number of galaxies in each of the three mass bins for both the AGN-HOSTS and INACTIVE galaxy samples and the percentage of the distribution across each morphologically weighted population found in the rapid, intermediate and slow quenching regimes.

SAMPLE	MASS BIN	WEIGHTING	$\tau < 1$ [Gyr]	$1 < \tau$ [Gyr] < 2	$\tau > 2$
AGN-HOSTS	$\log[M_*/M_\odot] < 10.25$	p_d	$60 \pm^{23}_5$	$13 \pm^9_9$	$2 \pm^2_2$
		p_s	$69 \pm^{14}_6$	$17 \pm^6_{14}$	$1 \pm^1_1$
	$10.25 < \log[M_*/M_\odot] < 10.75$	p_d	$33 \pm^3_5$	$15 \pm^4_4$	$1 \pm^1_1$
		p_s	$69 \pm^4_5$	$7 \pm^4_4$	$1 \pm^1_1$
	$\log[M_*/M_\odot] > 10.75$	p_d	$20 \pm^5_4$	$25 \pm^7_5$	$5 \pm^5_5$
		p_s	$24 \pm^4_3$	$26 \pm^5_6$	$5 \pm^5_5$
INACTIVE	$\log[M_*/M_\odot] < 10.25$	p_d	$37 \pm^8_{14}$	$39 \pm^8_6$	$1 \pm^1_1$
		p_s	$47 \pm^5_{11}$	$36 \pm^9_5$	$1 \pm^1_1$
	$10.25 < \log[M_*/M_\odot] < 10.75$	p_d	$30 \pm^4_3$	$18 \pm^2_3$	$1 \pm^1_1$
		p_s	$42 \pm^2_2$	$29 \pm^3_3$	$1 \pm^1_1$
	$\log[M_*/M_\odot] > 10.75$	p_d	$36 \pm^3_3$	$24 \pm^3_4$	$1 \pm^1_1$
		p_s	$38 \pm^2_2$	$28 \pm^3_4$	$1 \pm^1_1$

tion densities (shown by the shaded regions) are determined from the maximum and minimum values spanned by $N = 1000$ bootstrap iterations, each sampling 90% of the galaxy population. 1σ uncertainties are quoted for the percentages in Table 4.1, calculated from the bootstrapped distributions.

These population densities should be interpreted as the spread of quenching times and rates occurring in galaxies which have either undergone or are undergoing quenching within a population. Figures 4.4 and 4.5 show a distinct difference between the population density of AGN-HOST and INACTIVE quenching parameters.

At all masses, the population density for galaxies within the AGN-HOST population across the quenching time t_q parameter (left panels of Figure 4.4) is different from that of the inactive galaxies (right panels of Figure 4.4). Recent quenching ($t > 11$ Gyr) is the dominant history for quenched and quenching low and medium mass AGN-HOST galaxies, particularly for the smooth galaxies hosting an AGN. However, this effect is less dominant in higher mass galaxies where quenching at earlier times also has high density.

The population densities for the quenching rate, τ , in Figure 4.5 and Table 4.1

show the dominance of rapid quenching ($\tau < 1$ Gyr) within the AGN-HOST population, particularly for smooth galaxies. With increasing mass the dominant quenching rate becomes slow ($\tau > 2$ Gyr) especially for disc galaxies hosting an AGN. Similar trends in the density are observed within the INACTIVE population but the overall distribution is very different.

The distributions for the AGN-HOST galaxies therefore show evidence for the dominance of rapid, recent quenching within this population. This result implies the importance of AGN feedback for the evolution of these galaxies.

4.1.3 Discussion

The differences between the population density distributions of the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE populations reveal that an AGN can have a significant effect on the SFH of its host galaxy. Both recent, rapid quenching and early, slow quenching are observed in the population density within the AGN-HOST population.

There are minimal differences between the smooth and disc weighted distributions of the quenching parameters within the AGN-HOST population. This is agreement with the conclusions of Kauffmann et al. (2003a) who found that the structural properties of AGN hosts depend very little on AGN power.

The difference between the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE population distributions in Figure 4.5 for the rate of quenching, τ , tells a story of gas reservoirs. The density distribution for higher mass AGN-HOST galaxies is dominated by slow, early quenching implying another mechanism is responsible for the cessation of star formation within a proportion of these high mass galaxies prior to the triggering of the current AGN. This preference for slow evolution timescales follows from the ideas of previously isolated discs evolving slowly by the Kennicutt-Schmidt (Schmidt, 1959; Kennicutt, 1997) law which can then undergo an interaction or merger to reinvigorate star formation, feed the central black hole and trigger an AGN (Varela et al., 2004; Emsellem et al., 2015). These galaxies would need a large enough gas reservoir to fuel both SF throughout their lifetimes and the recent AGN. These high mass galaxies also play host to the most luminous AGN (mean $\log(L[OIII] [\text{erg s}^{-1}]) \sim 41.6$) and so this SFH challenges the usual explanation for the co-evolution of luminous black holes and their host galaxies driven by merger growth.

Quenching at early times is also observed within a subsample of the INACTIVE population, where the density for the quenching time is roughly constant until recent times where the distribution drops off. This drop-off occurs at earlier times with increasing mass with a significant lack of quenching occurring at early times for low mass INACTIVE galaxies (right panels Figure 4.4). This is evidence of downsizing within the INACTIVE galaxy population whereby stars in massive galaxies form first and quench early (Cowie et al., 1996; Thomas et al., 2010).

Some of the most massive AGN-HOST galaxies also show a preference for earlier quenching (bottom left panel Figure 4.4) occurring at slow rates; we speculate that this is also due to the effects of downsizing rather than being caused by the current AGN. This earlier evolution would first form a slowly ‘dying’ or ‘dead’ galaxy typical of massive elliptical galaxies which can then have a recent infall of gas either through a minor merger, galaxy interaction or environmental change, triggering further star formation and feeding the central black hole, triggering an AGN (?). In turn this AGN can then quench the recent boost in star formation. This track is similar to the evolution history proposed for blue ellipticals (Kaviraj et al., 2013; McIntosh et al., 2014; Haines et al., 2015). This SFH would then give rise to the distribution seen within the high mass AGN-HOST population for both time and rate parameters.

These recently triggered AGN in both massive disc and smooth galaxies do not have the ability to impact the SF across the entirety of a high mass galaxy in a deep gravitational potential (Ishibashi & Fabian, 2012; Zinn et al., 2013). This leads to the lower peak for recent, rapid quenching within the high mass AGN-HOST population for both morphologies.

Conversely, rapid quenching, possibly caused by the AGN itself through negative feedback, is the most dominant history within the low mass AGN-HOST population with lower gravitational potentials from which gas may be more readily expelled or heated (Tortora et al., 2009).

Tortora et al. (2009) model the effects of jet-induced AGN feedback on a typical early type (i.e. smooth) galaxy and observe a drastic suppression of star formation on a timescale of ~ 3 Myr. Comparing their synthetic colours with observed colours of SDSS elliptical galaxies, they find the time between the current galaxy age, t_{gal} and the time that the feedback began, t_{AGN} , peaks at $t_{\text{gal}} - t_{\text{AGN}} \sim 0.85$ Gyr. This agrees with the location of the peak in Figure 4.4 for low mass galaxies which have

undergone quenching, where the difference between the peak of the distribution and the average age of the population (galaxy age is calculated as the age of the Universe at the observed redshift, by assuming all galaxies form at $t = 0$) is ~ 0.83 Gyr. This implies that this SFH dominated by recent quenching is caused directly by negative AGN feedback.

However, there still remains the possibility that the AGN is merely a consequence of an alternative quenching mechanism. This idea is supported by simulations showing that the exhaustion of gas by a merger fuelled starburst could cause such a rapid quench in star formation and in turn also trigger an AGN (Croton et al., 2006; Wild et al., 2009; Snyder et al., 2011; Hayward et al., 2014). Yesuf et al. (2014) also showed that AGN are more commonly hosted by post starburst galaxies, with the peak AGN activity appearing $\geq 200 \pm 100$ Myr after the starburst. Such a SFH is not accounted for in the models presented here, however this scenario is still consistent with the results presented in this paper; that AGN which are *currently* active have been detected in host galaxies ~ 1 Gyr after the onset of quenching.

This rapid quenching is particularly dominant for low-to-medium mass smooth galaxies. Smethurst et al. (2015) suggest that incredibly rapid quenching rates could be attributed to mergers of galaxies in conjunction with AGN feedback, which are thought to be responsible for creating the most massive smooth galaxies (Conselice et al., 2003; Springel et al., 2005; Hopkins et al., 2008). This dominance of rapid quenching across the smooth AGN-HOST population supports the idea that a merger, having caused a morphological transformation to a smooth galaxy, can also trigger an AGN, causing feedback and cessation of star formation (Sanders et al. 1988).

Within the medium mass AGN-HOST population we see a bimodal distribution between these two quenching histories, highlighting the strength of this method which is capable of detecting such variation in the SFHs within a population of galaxies.

Indeed not all galaxies in the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE samples are quenching, as seen in Figure 4.3, with a significant proportion of both the AGN-HOST and INACTIVE samples lying on the star forming sequence. A galaxy can therefore still maintain star formation whilst hosting an AGN. The results presented in Section 4.1.2 only reflect the trends for galaxies that have undergone or are currently undergoing quenching within a population and can therefore be accurately fit by an exponentially declining SFH. This prevalence of star forming AGN host galaxies, combined with the results

above allows us to consider that either: (i) the AGN are the cause of the rapid quenching observed but only in gas-poor host galaxies where they can have a large impact, (ii) the AGN are a consequence of another quenching mechanism but can also be triggered by other means which do not cause quenching, or (iii) the SFR of a galaxy can recover post-quench and return to the star forming sequence after a few Gyr (see recent simulations by Pontzen et al. 2016). Further investigation will therefore be required to determine the nature of this quenching.

4.2 Bulgeless galaxies hosting growing black holes

The work in the following chapter has been submitted to MNRAS in Simmons, Smethurst & Lintott (subm.). I was responsible for the data reduction, statistical analysis and assisted in the interpretation.

In Section 4.1, I showed how disc galaxies currently hosting an AGN could have started quenching at early times. This secular co-evolution of the galaxy and black hole has been proposed before and investigated in Simmons et al. (2013) with AGN which reside in disk dominated hosts whose accretion histories are assumed to be merger free. In the following work we investigate a larger sample of disc galaxies identified as bulgeless or disk dominated with an AGN and measure their black hole masses.

4.2.1 Observational Data

The goal of this study is to investigate black hole growth in galaxies whose growth histories have been dominated by relatively calm processes. We therefore require a sample of growing black holes hosted in disk-dominated galaxies. Optimally, the AGN should have broad emission lines to facilitate measurement of black hole masses via well-established relations between line flux and width and black hole masses. Previously, ? investigated a sample of 13 pure disk galaxies hosting AGN. The selection method used in that study selected against very massive black holes with unobscured emission: only 2 AGN of 13 show clear signs of broadened line emission in their SDSS spectra, with masses of 4×10^6 and $1 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. Here we aim to select AGN at all masses but with broad emission lines, and which are hosted in disk-dominated galaxies. Below we describe the method used to select this sample and the data sets from which the sample is drawn.

4.2.1.1 AGN Selection

Examining the black hole-galaxy relation requires selection of a sample of unobscured AGN with broad emission lines, so that black hole masses may be measured from well-established correlations between emission line properties and black hole masses (e.g., Jiang et al., 2011; ?).




Figure 4.6: Example postage stamp SDSS images of galaxies within the sample of 101 bulgeless galaxies for which we have spectra. The last 5 images on the bottom row are the galaxies observed with the IDS on the INT. The scale for each image is shown by the $5''$ ruler in each panel.

Unobscured AGN have characteristic colours in multi-wavelength imaging, particularly in X-ray, optical and infrared bands (???). Given the existence of all-sky surveys at many of the wavelengths relevant to the selection of unobscured AGN, it is now possible to construct samples of sources identified as unobscured AGN with high likelihood.

We select an initial sample of AGN using the W2R sample of ?, comprised of 4316 sources identified using multi-wavelength data from the *Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer* (*WISE*; Wright et al., 2010), Two Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS; ?), and *ROSAT* all-sky survey (RASS; ?). This is a photometric, all-sky selection, which selects unobscured AGN at > 95 per cent confidence ?.

4.2.1.2 Selecting disk-dominated AGN host galaxies

Following the AGN selection described above, we further sub-select galaxies imaged by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. There are 1,844 W2R sources with positional matches having reported coordinates within $3''$ of a source in SDSS (York et al., 2000) Data Release 8 (?), a fraction consistent with the fractional area of the SDSS versus an

all-sky catalog. 76 per cent of this sub-sample has measured redshifts, with a peak redshift distribution of $z \approx 0.12$. 90 percent of sources with redshifts have $z < 0.6$; the distribution has a long tail to $z_{\text{max}} = 2.35$.

We then examine each SDSS colour cutout to identify disk-dominated features. One author (BDS) identified ??? (SDSS+13+INTB) disk-dominated AGN host galaxies on the basis of clearly identifiable spiral arms, bars or obvious edge-on disks. Figure 4.2.1.2 shows a sample of these galaxies.

4.2.1.3 Spectra

Of the ??? disk-dominated AGN host galaxies with SDSS imaging observations, 73 have spectra from SDSS Data Release 9 (?). The others are not included because they lie outside the Data Release 7 footprint (which had high spectral completeness) and were not targets for any SDSS-III projects (which have specific requirements and generally do not include AGN host galaxies with low enough redshift to identify spiral arms in SDSS imaging). [23 also have spectra and were identified from Chen and Edelson & Malken.](#) We measured the broad $H\alpha$ emission for [5 additional sources](#) using long-slit spectra using IDS on INT from 21st-23rd May 2014. Spectra were reduced using the standard reduction pipeline of Massey, Valdes & Barnes (1992) using IRAF modules to debiased, dark subtract, flat field, calibrate, sky subtract, flux calibrate and extract spectra. These reduced spectra are shown in Figure 4.2.1.3 for the 5 galaxies observed.

Figure 4.2.1.3 shows the redshift distribution of all 101 sources for which we have spectra; the mean redshift of the sample is $\langle z \rangle = 0.129$, with the highest-redshift source having $z = 0.244$.

4.2.2 Stellar and Black Hole Masses

[Probably want a short intro paragraph here. We compute masses because we want to explore co-evolution, below we describe how we do that. etc. Just something to keep the narrative going and prevent the section and subsection headings from colliding.](#)




Figure 4.7: Reduced spectra from the IDS on the INT for the 5 galaxies observed with the corresponding measured redshift values shown. Each panel shows the same rest-frame wavelength range (bottom axis of each panel); observed wavelengths are shown on the top axis of each panel, with redshifts in the top right of each panel. All spectra show broadened H α emission, confirming that the multi-wavelength AGN selection employed here efficiently selects 65 obscured AGN.




Figure 4.8: Normalised redshift distribution for all 101 sources (solid) for which we have spectra, either from SDSS or measurements with the IDS on the INT. Also shown is the overall redshift distribution of SDSS DR7 in the relevant redshift range of our sources (dashed).

4.2.2.1 Galaxy and bulge stellar masses

We calculate stellar masses using the well-studied relation between stellar mass, absolute galaxy r -band magnitude, and $u - r$ galaxy colour (corrected for galactic extinction; ?), following the method of ?. We remove the AGN contribution to the luminosity and colour of each galaxy by subtracting the flux in the SDSS `psfMag` from the flux in `modelMag`. `psfMag` is the best estimate of unresolved emission, while `modelMag` is the optimal quantity for computing aperture-matched source colours¹.

The full sample of total stellar masses spans the range from $7 \times 10^9 M_\odot < M_* < 2 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, with a mean galaxy stellar mass of $12345 M_\odot$. Each individual mass has an uncertainty of 0.3 dex from the scatter in the colour-luminosity relation, with typically an additional $????$ uncertainty due to the AGN subtraction (dependent on the nuclear luminosity, e.g. Simmons & Urry, 2008). The typical combined uncertainty in the stellar mass is $????$ dex.

The nuclear emission, as estimated via comparison of `psfMag` to `modelMag`, is generally between 20 to 200 per cent of the galaxy-only emission. The presence of the luminous AGN severely compromises the estimates of the bulge-to-total ratio in the

¹<https://www.sdss3.org/dr10/algorithms/magnitudes.php>

host galaxy provided by, e.g., the `fracDeV` parameter reported in the SDSS catalogs. That parameter estimates that 80 per cent of the galaxies in this sample are pure de Vaucouleurs (1953) bulges in the r -band, despite the fact that the sample was selected on the basis of clear visual signatures of dominant disks (Figure 4.2.1.2). None of the photometric parameters measured by the SDSS pipeline allow for the dual presence of an AGN and a host galaxy; without such considerations the unresolved AGN light is likely to be attributed to the compact bulge component in a bulge-disk model fit (Simmons & Urry, 2008; ?; Koss et al., 2011).

AGN-host decomposition based on 2-dimensional image fitting (e.g., Peng et al., 2002, 2010; ?) is more reliable (e.g. McLure et al., 1999; Urry et al., 2000; McLure & Dunlop, 2001; Sánchez et al., 2004; Pierce et al., 2007; Gabor et al., 2009; ?; ?; ?; Koss et al., 2011; ?), although even in high-resolution *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) images (Simmons & Urry, 2008; ?) or SDSS imaging at $z \gtrsim 0.06$ (Koss et al., 2011; ?) the recovered bulge-to-total ratio can be highly uncertain, particularly for disk-dominated galaxies with a small bulge or pseudo-bulge component. While the AGN-host decompositions of the sample of ? recovered reliable parameters to compact pseudo-bulge components for 11 of 13 galaxies, those sources are at substantially lower redshift than this sample, and their AGN are significantly less luminous ([quantify this more](#)).

We undertook a similar procedure as in that study to attempt bulge-to-total fits to 5 exemplar galaxies in this sample (Figure 4.2.1.2), with a median redshift of $z = 0.13$ and typical r -band nuclear fluxes [approximately equal to the total galaxy \$r\$ -band flux](#). We recovered stable, but highly uncertain, bulge-to-total ratios for only 2 of the 5 galaxies; in the remaining cases the nuclear emission is too bright and the resolution too low for a reliable bulge-to-disk decomposition. Detailed AGN-host fits to the SDSS images in the entire sample are not likely to produce useful measurements of bulge masses; *HST* imaging, which would enable this, is currently not available for the galaxies in this sample.

Nevertheless, it is possible to constrain the bulge contribution to the host galaxies using existing structural parameters from large-scale studies performing bulge-disk decompositions of SDSS galaxies. While such studies do not account for the presence of an AGN, their tendency to overestimate the bulge-to-total ratio as a result means that bulge masses derived from these quantities may be taken to be conservative upper limits.

We use the bulge-disk decompositions of Simard et al. (2011), who fit multiple models to 1.12 million galaxies in the SDSS catalog and determined best-fit models and structural parameters for each. We take the r -band bulge-to-total ratio [of the best-fit model](#) as an upper limit to the true bulge-to-total ratio of these AGN host galaxies. To convert limits on bulge luminosities to limits on bulge masses, we assume the mass-to-light ratio of the bulge is equal to the mass-to-light ratio of the disk. In disk-dominated galaxies, where many of the “bulge” components are likely to be rotationally-supported pseudo-bulges (Kormendy & Kennicutt, 2004) whose stellar populations are similar to that of the disk ([e.g., Graham, 2001; ?](#)), this is a reasonable assumption.

The upper bulge-to-total limits of the 89 galaxies in this sample which were included in the Simard et al. study range from $0.13 \leq (B/\text{Tot})_{\text{max}} \leq 1.0$, [with a mean value of 0.5](#). Applying these bulge-to-total limits to the stellar masses computed using the colour-luminosity relation (Bell & de Jong, 2001; ?) results in bulge mass upper limits of $3 \times 10^9 M_\odot < M_{\text{bulge}} < 7 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$. These mass limits will be compared with black hole masses for the sample in Section 4.2.3.

4.2.2.2 Black hole mass estimates

The selection of unobscured AGN facilitates accurate estimates of black hole masses. Unobscured AGN have broad emission lines originating from within the black hole sphere of influence, which can be used to estimate the velocity of the orbiting broad-line clouds and the radius of the emitting region (??). Each AGN in the sample has 1 spectrum, meaning the broad-line-derived black hole masses are “single-epoch”, relying on simplifying geometric assumptions and empirically established relationships between observed and physical quantities to compute masses using the virial theorem (??). Single-epoch black hole mass estimates are more uncertain than those derived from reverberation mapping and other more precise methods (??), but they are considerably more accurate than many other methods ([e.g., Eddington-based estimates](#)). We use the established relation between black hole mass and the FWHM and luminosity in the broad H α line (Greene & Ho, 2007).

We perform spectral fitting on each of the SDSS and INT spectra described in Section 4.2.1.3 to recover narrow-line strengths and broad-line strengths and widths to the H α 6563 Å line, using **GANDALF** (Sarzi et al., 2006) [to fit multiple simultaneous](#)

lines as well as the continuum. GANDALF is optimised for use with SDSS spectra; using the program with the INT spectra required minimal data re-formatting: we binned logarithmically and de-redshifted the spectra. From the continuum-subtracted best fit, we determine the FWHM and line flux of the broad H α line simultaneously with the narrow H α component via `emcee`², a Python implementation of a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC; Mackay, 2003; ?) affine invariant ensemble sampler by Foreman-Mackey et al. (2013).

The uncertainties reported by `emcee` are limited to those from the separation of narrow and broad line components in measurement of the FWHM. We also determine uncertainties from the GANDALF fits using a bootstrap method sampling within the spectral noise and re-fitting with $N = 1000$ iterations for each spectrum. The reported uncertainties on black hole masses include both these sources of uncertainty as well as the reported uncertainties in the black hole-broad line relation (Greene & Ho, 2007). There are other sources of uncertainties, such as those involved in implicitly assuming a fixed geometric correction factor f for each SMBH, and the error introduced by assuming a Gaussian line profile for all measured broad lines. Determining uncertainties for the former is outside the scope of this study; based on visual inspection of the line fits the latter is very small (not sure how to estimate how small? Could try it out on the fits with the worst non-artifact residuals?) compared to the other uncertainties. The fits to the INT spectra are shown in Figure 4.9.

The black hole masses for the sample of ??? galaxies range from $10^6 M_\odot \leq M_{\text{BH}} \leq 2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. The median mass is $3 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. We compare these to galaxy stellar masses and bulge mass upper limits in Section 4.2.3.

4.2.3 Galaxy-Black Hole Mass Relations

The total stellar mass (estimated bulge masses - see Section 4.2.2.1) and black hole masses of the 101 galaxies in our sample are shown in the left (right) panel of Figure 4.2.3. We fit a linear regression model to both of these relations using a Bayesian method which encompasses both the two-dimensional uncertainties on each measurement and the intrinsic scatter in the data. This method is outlined in ? and is publicly available as a *Python* module LINMIX³. For the bulge mass in the right panel

²dan.iel.fm/emcee/

³<http://linmix.readthedocs.org/>




Figure 4.9: Reduced spectra from the IDS on the INT for the 5 galaxies observed with the corresponding measured redshift values shown. Spectra are aligned with the broad $H\alpha$ emission line, the gaussian fits to which are shown by the dashed red line.




Figure 4.10: Total stellar mass against the black hole mass (left) of the 101 galaxies, including those observed by SDSS (crosses), with the IDS on INT (blue squares) and detections from ?, open circles. The best fit line to the data points and two-dimensional errors from linear regression is shown (solid line) with $\pm 3\sigma$ (grey shaded). For 89 of the galaxies we have upper limits (black arrows) on the $(B/T)_g$ ratio from which we can determine an upper limit on the stellar bulge mass. We plot this value against the black hole mass (right) and show the best fit to these upper limits and two-dimensional errors using linear regression methods (solid line) with $\pm 3\sigma$ (grey shaded). The dashed line shows the fit if the upper limits are not treated as such. In both panels we also show the best fit found using this same method to the early-type galaxies of Häring & Rix (2004) (dashed line) with $\pm 3\sigma$ (red shaded) and the measured values shown by the red circles. Despite the fact that these galaxies are predominantly disk dominated they will are most likely to lie above the Häring & Rix (2004) relationship found for bulge dominated systems (see discussion in Section 4.2.3).

of Figure 4.2.3 this method can also incorporate the upper limits on the measurements for the 89 SDSS galaxies (see Section 4.2.2.1).

Using this same method, we fit to the observations of 30 early-type galaxies from Häring & Rix (2004). Despite the fact that our sample of galaxies is disk dominated and contain either a pseudo-bulge or no bulge, they most likely lie above the relationship derived using the bulge dominated galaxies of Häring & Rix (2004).

We consider how the black hole mass compares to the Eddington ratio, or accretion rate of these disk dominated galaxies and compare with a redshift matched subsample of 198 galaxies in the DR7 quasar sample from Shen et al. (2011). This is shown in Figure 4.11. The 101 galaxies in our disk dominated sample have both lower black hole masses and lower bolometric luminosities in comparison to the Shen et al. (2011) sample, however the Eddington ratio's are very similar, see Figure 4.12. In fact, the Eddington ratio's of the redshift matched sample are on average, lower than that for the disk dominated sample. We can reject the null hypothesis that the disk dominated galaxies are drawn from the same distribution as the redshift matched quasar sample from Shen et al. (2011) but not for the entire quasar sample of Shen et al. (2011).

'ERE BE SCIENCE!

Within this redshift matched sample, 108 galaxies were morphologically classified by the Galaxy Zoo 1 project Lintott et al. (2008); ?, all of which have a debiased combined spiral vote fraction (Galaxy Zoo 1 did not ask whether a galaxy was a disk, therefore we can approximate the combined spiral vote fraction as a disk vote fraction) of $p_{CS} < 0.5$ and mean value of $\langle p_{CS} \rangle = 0.17$.

Slightly higher accretion rates are therefore occurring in the AGN of these disk dominated galaxies than in a bulge dominated quasar sample from SDSS. **'ERE BE SCIENCE!.**

4.2.4 Discussion

- Eddington ratios - use WISE W3 for Lbol and compare with BH masses to talk about Eddington ratios of the sample in Figure 4.11.
- Do the Lbol or Eddington ratios or masses look different for disk-dominated hosts in clear interactions?




Figure 4.11: Black hole mass against bolometric luminosity for the 101 galaxies, including those observed by SDSS (crosses) and with the IDS on INT (squares). We also show detections from [Simmons et al. \(2013\)](#) (open circles) and those from the redshift matched sample of [Shen et al. \(2011\)](#). For reference we show lines of example Eddington ratios of $\Lambda_{Edd} = 1$ (dashed), $\Lambda_{Edd} = 0.1$ (dot-dashed) and $\Lambda_{Edd} = 0.01$ (dotted).




Figure 4.12: Normalised distributions of logarithmic Eddington ratio for the sample of 101 disk dominated galaxies (solid line), compared with that for the redshift matched sample from Shen et al. (2011; dashed line) and the entire sample (dot-dashed line). We also provide the p-values of a 2 sample KS test between the disk dominated sample and each of the quasar samples. We reject the null hypothesis that the two samples are drawn from the same population for the redshift matched quasar sample but accept the null hypothesis for the entire quasar sample of Shen et al. (2011).

Chapter 5

The influence of the group environment

5.1 Group Identification

We used the Berlind et al. (2006) catalogue, which uses a friends-of-friends algorithm to identify group and cluster galaxies in the SDSS. This was cross matched to the GZ-GALEX sample and limited to $z < 0.1$ to ensure GALEX completeness of the red sequence (see ?). Centrals were selected as the brightest galaxy in a group and all others were designated as satellites. This resulted in a sample of 14,199 group galaxies with 3,468 centrals and 10,731 satellites within a projected cluster centric radius range of $0 < R/R_{200} < 25$ and $z < 0.084$.

In this work we focus on galaxies which are either quenching or quenched and are more than $\pm 1\sigma$ below the star forming ‘main sequence’. This encompasses 4629 satellite and 2314 central galaxies and will be referred to as the GZ-GROUP sample. These galaxies are highlighted in red on Figure ??.

5.1.1 Field sample

For all galaxies in the GZ-GALEX sample, we calculated the smallest projected cluster centric radii from each of the central galaxies in the Berlind et al. (2006) catalog and selected candidate field galaxies as those with (i) $R/R_{200} > 25$ and (ii) $\log \Sigma < -0.8$




Figure 5.1: Randomly selected SDSS *gri* composite images of satellite and central galaxies in the GZ-GROUP sample in comparison to those from the GZ-FIELD sample. All galaxies lie within $0.04 < z < 0.05$ and in the central galaxy mass range $10^{10.5} < M_*(M_\odot) < 10^{11}$, used as a proxy for halo mass. The galaxies are ordered from least to most featured according to their debiased ‘disc or featured’ vote fraction, p_d (see Willett et al. 2013). The scale for each image is 0.099 arcsec/pixel.

from ?. This sample of field galaxy candidates was then matched in redshift and stellar mass firstly to the central galaxies of the GZ-GROUP sample to give 2,309 field galaxies with $z < 0.084$ which will be referred to as the GZ-CENT-FIELD sample. Secondly, the field galaxy candidates were then matched in redshift and stellar mass to the satellite galaxies of the GZ-GROUP sample to give 6,849 field galaxies with $z < 0.084$ which will be referred to as the GZ-SAT-FIELD. These galaxies in the GZ-SAT-FIELD sample will be used as a control when investigating the morphological trends of satellite galaxies with environment.

As in Section 5.1 we select all those galaxies in the central matched sample $\pm 1\sigma$ below the star forming ‘main sequence’, giving 1596 quenching or quenched field galaxies for use as a control sample, which will be referred to as the GZ-CENT-FIELD-Q sample. These galaxies will be used as a control when investigating the quenching parameters of the different environments in order to ensure that each galaxy under comparison resides in similar stellar mass halos.




Figure 5.2: Redshift distributions of quenching or quenched central galaxies in the GZ-GROUP sample (black solid line) in comparison to the redshift and mass matched GZ-CENT-FIELD-Q sample (blue dashed line).




Figure 5.3: Mean GZ vote fraction for disc (top) and smooth (bottom) galaxies in the GZ-GROUP sample binned in projected cluster centric radius, normalised by R_{200} , a proxy for the virial radius of a group. The shaded region shows $\pm 1\sigma$ on the mean vote fraction. The mean vote fraction of the FIELD sample are also shown (blue solid lines) with $\pm 1\sigma$ (blue dashed lines).

5.2 Results

With the output from STARPY we can also study the time since quenching onset ($\Delta t = t_{obs} - t_q$, see Section ??) binned in projected cluster centric radius, normalised by R_{200} (a proxy for virial radius) for satellite galaxies and central galaxies in the GZ2-GROUP sample, compared with galaxies in the GZ2-FIELD sample. We can investigate these trends with group properties as shown in Figures 5.8 & 5.9.

Across all the panels in Figures 5.8 & 5.9 we see a general trend for increasing time since quenching onset with decreasing distance from the group centre, which is suggestive that this trend is due to an environmental quenching mechanism. As earlier, in Figures 5.3–5.6 significant differences from the field value arise inside \sim one virial radius.

If mergers are an important evolutionary mechanism for satellite galaxies as the morphological evidence in Figures 5.2 & 5.6 suggests, we would expect to see a difference in the quenching histories of satellites in groups with a higher number of galaxies, N_{group} . However, the bottom panel of Figure 5.8 shows that there is no trend with time since quenching onset with increasing N_{group} for the satellite galaxies. The cen-




Figure 5.4: Bar fraction (number of barred disc galaxies over number of disc galaxies) in the GZ-GROUP sample binned in projected cluster centric radius, normalised by R_{200} , a proxy for the virial radius of a group. The shaded region shows $\pm 1\sigma$ on the bar fraction. The bar fraction of the GZ-SAT-FIELD sample is also shown (blue solid line) with $\pm 1\sigma$ (blue dashed line).




Figure 5.5: Merger fraction in the GZ-GROUP sample binned in projected cluster centric radius, normalised by R_{200} , a proxy for the virial radius of a group. The shaded region shows $\pm 1\sigma$ on the merger fraction. The merger fraction of the GZ-SAT-FIELD sample is also shown (blue solid line) with $\pm 1\sigma$ (blue dashed line).




Figure 5.6: Fraction of galaxies with none/just noticeable bulge classifications (left) and with obvious/dominant bulge classifications (right) in the GZ-GROUP sample binned in projected cluster centric radius, normalised by R_{200} , a proxy for the virial radius of a group. The shaded regions shows $\pm 1\sigma$ on the bulge fractions. The bulge fractions of the GZ-SAT-FIELD sample are also shown (blue solid lines) with $\pm 1\sigma$ (blue dashed lines).




Figure 5.7: Median $H\alpha$ derived star formation rates of satellite galaxies in the GZ-GROUP sample, binned in projected cluster centric radius, normalised by R_{200} , a proxy for the virial radius of a group. The shaded region shows the SFRs encompassed by 50% of the population in a given bin. The median SFR of the GZ-SAT-FIELD sample is shown (blue solid line) along with the 25th and 75th percentiles (blue dashed lines).

tral galaxies (shown by the square points at $\sim 0.01R/R_{200}$) however, do show a trend for increasing time since quenching with N_{group} . Suggesting that mergers are not the dominant quenching mechanism for satellite galaxies but are for centrals.

In the middle panel of Figure 5.8 the satellite galaxies of the GZ2-GROUP sample are now split by their stellar mass (calculated from the absolute r-band magnitude and $u - r$ colour by the method outlined in ?) and we do see a clear trend for increasing time since quenching onset with increasing stellar mass for both satellite and central galaxies. This is suggestive of mass quenching among the group galaxy population. This is contrary to previous work suggesting that mass quenching is only of import for central galaxies (???). Interestingly, the inner satellites of a given mass have quenched less recently than the centrals at the same mass range, suggesting some episode of more recent star formation may have occurred in the central galaxies but not in the inner satellites. This is once again suggestive of a merger dominated evolutionary history for central galaxies, with mergers postulated to cause a burst of star formation before then quenching the remnant galaxy (??Pontzen et al., 2016).

In simulations, the three things that are found to most constrain galaxy evolution are redshift, mass and halo mass ???. To study the effect that halo mass has on the quenching properties of group galaxies we shall use a proxy for halo mass by splitting by the GZ2-GROUP sample by the stellar mass of the corresponding central galaxy of a group.

This is shown in the top panel of Figure 5.8 where we can once again see a clear trend for increasing time since quenching onset with increasing stellar mass of the group central for both satellite and central galaxies. More massive halos therefore have a greater impact on the star formation histories of their satellites than less massive halos. This is often though to be attributed to hotter inter galactic medium (IGM) temperatures in higher mass halos which can then impact on a galaxy through ram pressure stripping (RPS) of gas for star formation. If RPS is indeed a dominant environmental quenching mechanism we should therefore see a trend in Δt with the speed of a satellite galaxy relative to the group central. In the bottom panel of Figure 5.9 we split the satellite galaxies of the GZ2-GROUP sample into bins of relative velocity to their central galaxies. We can see that there is no trend with time since onset of quenching with increasing relative velocity for satellite galaxies, however the trend with decreasing projected group centric radius, seen in each panel in Figure 5.8

is still present. This suggests that any environmental processes causing this quenching are not corrected with satellite velocity and therefore RPS is not the dominant environmental quenching mechanism, in support of the conclusions of (?).

We can also account for both the stellar mass and the halo mass of the central galaxy simultaneously by considering the stellar mass ratio of the satellite to its central galaxy, $\mu_* = M_*/M_{*,c}$, once again using the stellar mass of the central galaxy as a proxy for halo mass. In the middle panel of Figure 5.9 we show the time since quenching of the GZ2-GROUP sample with projected cluster centric radius split into bins of μ_* . The change in Δt with projected cluster centric radius occurs more steeply (particularly beyond \sim a virial radius) for satellite galaxies with much smaller masses than their group central ($-2.0 < \log_{10} \mu_* < -1.0$, shown by the blue curve). Since the stellar mass of the central galaxy is correlated with the halo mass and therefore the potential of the system, this suggests that smaller mass galaxies in larger halos are most effected by environmental effects, therefore the dominant environmental quenching mechanism must be correlated with the group potential.

Previous studies have claimed that the property which correlates most with whether a galaxy is quenched is the stellar velocity dispersion, σ_* . Shown in the top panel of Figure 5.9 is the time since quenching of the GZ2-GROUP sample with projected cluster centric radius split into bins of σ_* . Along with the stellar mass (shown in the middle panel of Figure 5.8), the stellar velocity dispersion shows the largest trend in Δt for satellite galaxies, with galaxies with the smallest (largest) stellar velocity dispersions have quenched more (less) recently.

5.3 Discussion

Across all panels of Figures 5.8-5.9 a trend for increasing time since quenching onset with decreasing projected cluster centric radius was present. This suggests that the environment does directly cause quenching; galaxies closer in, fell into the group earlier and as they did so they started to quench giving rise to a larger Δt . However, as seen in Figure 5.9 there is no trend in the time since quenching onset with the relative velocity of the satellites to their corresponding central and a steeper trend with R/R_{200} for lower mass satellites in larger mass halos. This suggests that whatever environmental mechanism is at play here, it is dependant on the size of the halo, either




Figure 5.8: The time since quenching onset ($\Delta t = t_{obs} - t_q$) binned in projected cluster centric radius, normalised by R_{200} , for satellite galaxies (triangles) split by stellar mass of the corresponding central galaxy (top), stellar mass (middle) and the number of galaxies within the group (bottom). The corresponding values for central galaxies (squares) and galaxies in the GZ-CENT-FIELD sample (circles) are shown and connected by the dashed lines to aid the reader.




Figure 5.9: The time since quenching onset ($\Delta t = t_{\text{obs}} - t_q$) binned in projected cluster centric radius, normalised by R_{200} , for satellite galaxies (triangles) split by velocity dispersion (top), stellar mass ratio ($\mu_* = M_*/M_{*,c}$) (middle) and the difference in velocity from the associated central galaxy (bottom). The corresponding values for central galaxies (squares) and galaxies in the GZ-CENT-FIELD sample (circles) are shown and connected by the dashed lines to aid the reader in the top panel where appropriate.

due to the potential or temperature of the halo, but not dependant on the speed of the satellite. This suggests that ram pressure stripping is not the dominant environmental quenching mechanism at play.

We have shown that mergers are important for centrals not for satellites in the bottom panel of Figure 5.8. That mass quenching is important for satellites as well as centrals in the middle panel of Figure 5.8 and that larger halos have a stronger environmental effect on their satellites in the top panel of Figure 5.8.

Chapter 6

Discussion

In Section 4.1.2 we have discussed how our results of the changing morphological features and quenching timescales with projected cluster centric radius in the group environment show evidence for both merger driven, secular and environmentally driven evolutionary histories. This suggests that not one mechanism is dominant in the group environment but that a superposition of all these effects gives rise to the observed morphology-density and morphology-SFR relations.

All these mechanisms are striving towards the same end result with no single mechanisms dominating over the other. Those mechanisms traditionally associated with the field, such as secular evolution can also occur in more dense environments, however will often eventually be overwhelmed by those more rapid and violent mechanisms of mergers and interactions (and the triggered outflows from AGN that are associated with such mechanisms; see Smethurst et al. 2016). Similarly, the environmental quenching mechanisms are at work as soon as a galaxy falls into a group or cluster, but such a process can be interrupted momentarily by an interaction or a merger as a galaxy enters the more dense environment.

Just as morphology is a spectrum from disc-dominated to spheroid-dominated systems, so to are the quenching mechanisms which cause this morphological transformation. Mergers and interactions are a spectrum of mass ratios from the micro mergers (?) through to major mergers, with increasing impact upon the morphology and SFR of a galaxy. Secular quenching mechanisms are a spectrum of stellar mass, with a larger impact on those galaxies with smaller masses. Environmental quenching mechanisms are a spectrum of increasing halo potential, giving rise to a stronger

impact on the SFR of smaller mass galaxies in larger halos.

All of these mechanisms coalesce will give rise to the distributions in galaxy properties we see across the Universe through their constant interplay across cosmic time.

Chapter 7

Conlusions

Quenching is morphologically dependant.

AGN may be responsible for some of this quenching.

The environment plays less of a role than typical mass quenching.

Bibliography

- Abramson L. E., Gladders M. D., Dressler A., Oemler A., Poggianti B., Vulcani B., 2016, ArXiv e-prints, 1604.00016
- Arnouts S. et al., 2007, A&A, 476, 137
- Astropy Collaboration et al., 2013, A&A, 558, A33
- Baldry I. K., Balogh M. L., Bower R. G., Glazebrook K., Nichol R. C., Bamford S. P., Budavari T., 2006, MNRAS, 373, 469
- Baldry I. K., Glazebrook K., Brinkmann J., Ivezić Ž., Lupton R. H., Nichol R. C., Szalay A. S., 2004, ApJ, 600, 681
- Baldwin J. A., Phillips M. M., Terlevich R., 1981, PASP, 93, 5
- Ball N. M., Loveday J., Brunner R. J., 2008, MNRAS, 383, 907
- Bamford S. P. et al., 2009, MNRAS, 393, 1324
- Barnes J. E., 2002, MNRAS, 333, 481
- Barro G. et al., 2013, ApJ, 765, 104
- Bell E. F., de Jong R. S., 2001, ApJ, 550, 212
- Bell E. F., Phleps S., Somerville R. S., Wolf C., Borch A., Meisenheimer K., 2006, ApJ, 652, 270
- Bell E. F. et al., 2004, ApJ, 608, 752
- Bell E. F., Zheng X. Z., Papovich C., Borch A., Wolf C., Meisenheimer K., 2007, ApJ, 663, 834
- Berlind A. A. et al., 2006, ApJS, 167, 1

- Béthermin M. et al., 2012, ApJ, 757, L23
- Bower R. G., Benson A. J., Malbon R., Helly J. C., Frenk C. S., Baugh C. M., Cole S., Lacey C. G., 2006, MNRAS, 370, 645
- Bower R. G., Lucey J. R., Ellis R. S., 1992, MNRAS, 254, 601
- Brammer G. B. et al., 2009, ApJ, 706, L173
- Brinchmann J., Charlot S., White S. D. M., Tremonti C., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., Brinkmann J., 2004, MNRAS, 351, 1151
- Bruzual G., Charlot S., 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000
- Buat V. et al., 2005, ApJ, 619, L51
- Bundy K., Treu T., Ellis R. S., 2007, ApJ, 665, L5
- Canalizo G., Stockton A., 2001, ApJ, 555, 719
- Casteels K. R. V. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 429, 1051
- Chabrier G., 2003, PASP, 115, 763
- Chen X. Y., Liang Y. C., Hammer F., Prugniel P., Zhong G. H., Rodrigues M., Zhao Y. H., Flores H., 2010, A&A, 515, A101
- Chester C., Roberts M. S., 1964, AJ, 69, 635
- Cheung E. et al., 2013, ApJ, 779, 162
- Cheung E. et al., 2012, ApJ, 760, 131
- Coil A. L. et al., 2008, ApJ, 672, 153
- Conroy C., Gunn J. E., White M., 2009, ApJ, 699, 486
- Conselice C. J., Bershady M. A., Dickinson M., Papovich C., 2003, AJ, 126, 1183
- Constantin A., Hoyle F., Vogeley M. S., 2008, ApJ, 673, 715
- Cowie L. L., Barger A. J., 2008, ApJ, 686, 72
- Cowie L. L., Songaila A., Hu E. M., Cohen J. G., 1996, AJ, 112, 839
- Croton D. J. et al., 2006, MNRAS, 365, 11

- Daddi E. et al., 2007, ApJ, 670, 156
- Daddi E. et al., 2010, ApJ, 714, L118
- Darg D. W. et al., 2010, MNRAS, 401, 1043
- De Lucia G., Tornatore L., Frenk C. S., Helmi A., Navarro J. F., White S. D. M., 2014, MNRAS, 445, 970
- de Vaucouleurs G., 1953, MNRAS, 113, 134
- Di Matteo T., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, Nature, 433, 604
- Dressler A., 1980, ApJ, 236, 351
- Driver S. P. et al., 2006, MNRAS, 368, 414
- Elbaz D. et al., 2007, A&A, 468, 33
- Eminian C., Kauffmann G., Charlot S., Wild V., Bruzual G., Rettura A., Loveday J., 2008, MNRAS, 384, 930
- Emsellem E., Renaud F., Bournaud F., Elmegreen B., Combes F., Gabor J. M., 2015, MNRAS, 446, 2468
- Faber S. M. et al., 2007, ApJ, 665, 265
- Fabian A. C., 2012, ARA&A, 50, 455
- Falkenberg M. A., Kotulla R., Fritze U., 2009, MNRAS, 397, 1954
- Fang J. J., Faber S. M., Koo D. C., Dekel A., 2013, ApJ, 776, 63
- Foreman-Mackey D., Hogg D. W., Lang D., Goodman J., 2013, PASP, 125, 306
- Gabor J. M. et al., 2009, ApJ, 691, 705
- Genel S. et al., 2008, ApJ, 688, 789
- Glazebrook K. et al., 2003, ApJ, 587, 55
- Gonçalves T. S., Martin D. C., Menéndez-Delmestre K., Wyder T. K., Koekemoer A., 2012, ApJ, 759, 67
- González V., Labb   I., Bouwens R. J., Illingworth G., Franx M., Kriek M., Brammer G. B., 2010, ApJ, 713, 115

- Goodman J., Weare J., 2010, CAMCS, 5, 65
- Graham A. W., 2001, AJ, 121, 820
- Greene J. E., Ho L. C., 2007, ApJ, 670, 92
- Haines T., McIntosh D. H., Sánchez S. F., Tremonti C., Rudnick G., 2015, MNRAS, 451, 433
- Häring N., Rix H.-W., 2004, ApJ, 604, L89
- Hayward C. C., Torrey P., Springel V., Hernquist L., Vogelsberger M., 2014, MNRAS, 442, 1992
- Heinis S. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 437, 1268
- Hickox R. C. et al., 2009, ApJ, 696, 891
- Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Kereš D., Hernquist L., 2008, ApJS, 175, 390
- Ishibashi W., Fabian A. C., 2012, MNRAS, 427, 2998
- Jiang Y.-F., Greene J. E., Ho L. C., 2011, ApJ, 737, L45
- Kauffmann G. et al., 2003a, MNRAS, 346, 1055
- Kauffmann G. et al., 2003b, MNRAS, 341, 33
- Kaviraj S., 2014a, MNRAS, 437, L41
- Kaviraj S., 2014b, MNRAS, 437, L41
- Kaviraj S. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 428, 925
- Kaviraj S., Tan K.-M., Ellis R. S., Silk J., 2011, MNRAS, 411, 2148
- Kennicutt R. C., 1997, in Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 161, Astrophysics and Space Science Library, pp. 171–195
- Kennicutt, Jr. R. C., Roettiger K. A., Keel W. C., van der Hulst J. M., Hummel E., 1987, AJ, 93, 1011
- Kewley L. J., Groves B., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., 2006, MNRAS, 372, 961
- Kewley L. J., Heisler C. A., Dopita M. A., Lumsden S., 2001, ApJS, 132, 37

- Ko J., Hwang H. S., Lee J. C., Sohn Y.-J., 2013, ApJ, 767, 90
- Kormendy J., Drory N., Bender R., Cornell M. E., 2010, ApJ, 723, 54
- Kormendy J., Kennicutt, Jr. R. C., 2004, ARA&A, 42, 603
- Koss M., Mushotzky R., Veilleux S., Winter L. M., Baumgartner W., Tueller J., Gehrels N., Valencic L., 2011, ApJ, 739, 57
- Kriek M. et al., 2010, ApJ, 722, L64
- Lahav O., Bridle S. L., Hobson M. P., Lasenby A. N., Sodré L., 2000, MNRAS, 315, L45
- Lintott C. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 410, 166
- Lintott C. J. et al., 2009, MNRAS, 399, 129
- Lintott C. J. et al., 2008, MNRAS, 389, 1179
- Lotz J. M., Jonsson P., Cox T. J., Croton D., Primack J. R., Somerville R. S., Stewart K., 2011, ApJ, 742, 103
- Lotz J. M., Jonsson P., Cox T. J., Primack J. R., 2008, MNRAS, 391, 1137
- Mackay D. J. C., 2003, Information Theory, Inference and Learning Algorithms. p. 640
- Magorrian J. et al., 1998, AJ, 115, 2285
- Marasco A., Fraternali F., Binney J. J., 2012, MNRAS, 419, 1107
- Maraston C., 2005, MNRAS, 362, 799
- Marconi A., Hunt L. K., 2003, ApJ, 589, L21
- Martin D. C. et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 342
- Masters K. L. et al., 2010a, MNRAS, 405, 783
- Masters K. L. et al., 2010b, MNRAS, 404, 792
- Masters K. L. et al., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 2180
- Masters K. L. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 411, 2026

- McIntosh D. H. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 442, 533
- McLure R. J., Dunlop J. S., 2001, MNRAS, 327, 199
- McLure R. J., Kukula M. J., Dunlop J. S., Baum S. A., O'Dea C. P., Hughes D. H., 1999, MNRAS, 308, 377
- Melbourne J. et al., 2012, ApJ, 748, 47
- Mendez A. J., Coil A. L., Lotz J., Salim S., Moustakas J., Simard L., 2011, ApJ, 736, 110
- Miner J., Rose J. A., Cecil G., 2011, ApJ, 727, L15
- Nair P. B., Abraham R. G., 2010, ApJL, 714, L260L264
- Nandra K. et al., 2007, ApJ, 660, L11
- Noeske K. G. et al., 2007, ApJ, 660, L43
- Oh K., Yi S. K., Schawinski K., Koss M., Trakhtenbrot B., Soto K., 2015, ApJS, 219, 1
- Pan Z., Li J., Lin W., Wang J., Kong X., 2014, ApJ, 792, L4
- Peng C. Y., Ho L. C., Impey C. D., Rix H.-W., 2002, AJ, 124, 266
- Peng C. Y., Ho L. C., Impey C. D., Rix H.-W., 2010, AJ, 139, 2097
- Peng Y.-j., Lilly S. J., Renzini A., Carollo M., 2012, ApJ, 757, 4
- Pierce C. M. et al., 2007, ApJ, 660, L19
- Pontzen A., Tremmel M., Roth N., Peiris H. V., Saintonge A., Volonteri M., Quinn T., Governato F., 2016, ArXiv e-prints, 1607.02507
- Robertson B., Bullock J. S., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., Springel V., Yoshida N., 2006, ApJ, 645, 986
- Sánchez S. F. et al., 2004, ApJ, 614, 586
- Saintonge A. et al., 2012, ApJ, 758, 73
- Salim S. et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 267

- Sánchez-Blázquez P., Gorgas J., Cardiel N., González J. J., 2006, A&A, 457, 809
- Sanders D. B., Soifer B. T., Elias J. H., Madore B. F., Matthews K., Neugebauer G., Scoville N. Z., 1988, ApJ, 325, 74
- Sarzi M. et al., 2006, MNRAS, 366, 1151
- Sarzi M. et al., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 2187
- Schawinski K., Thomas D., Sarzi M., Maraston C., Kaviraj S., Joo S.-J., Yi S. K., Silk J., 2007, MNRAS, 382, 1415
- Schawinski K. et al., 2014, MNRAS, 440, 889
- Schawinski K. et al., 2010, ApJ, 711, 284
- Schawinski K., Virani S., Simmons B., Urry C. M., Treister E., Kaviraj S., Kushkuley B., 2009, ApJ, 692, L19
- Schiminovich D. et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 315
- Schmidt M., 1959, ApJ, 129, 243
- Scoville N. et al., 2007, ApJS, 172, 1
- Shen Y. et al., 2011, ApJS, 194, 45
- Sheth K., Melbourne J., Elmegreen D. M., Elmegreen B. G., Athanassoula E., Abraham R. G., Weiner B. J., 2012, ApJ, 758, 136
- Silk J., Rees M. J., 1998, A&A, 331, L1
- Simard L., Mendel J. T., Patton D. R., Ellison S. L., McConnachie A. W., 2011, ApJS, 196, 11
- Simmons B. D. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 429, 2199
- Simmons B. D., Urry C. M., 2008, ApJ, 683, 644
- Simmons B. D., Van Duyne J., Urry C. M., Treister E., Koekemoer A. M., Grogin N. A., The GOODS Team, 2011, ApJ, 734, 121
- Singh R. et al., 2013, A&A, 558, A43

- Sivia D., Skilling J., 2006, Data Analysis: A Bayesian Tutorial, Oxford science publications. OUP Oxford
- Skibba R. A. et al., 2009, MNRAS, 399, 966
- Smethurst R. J. et al., 2016, MNRAS
- Smethurst R. J. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 435
- Snyder G. F., Cox T. J., Hayward C. C., Hernquist L., Jonsson P., 2011, ApJ, 741, 77
- Somerville R. S., Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Robertson B. E., Hernquist L., 2008, MNRAS, 391, 481
- Springel V., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., 2005, ApJ, 620, L79
- Strateva I. et al., 2001, AJ, 122, 1861
- Thomas D., Maraston C., Schawinski K., Sarzi M., Silk J., 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1775
- Tojeiro R., Heavens A. F., Jimenez R., Panter B., 2007, MNRAS, 381, 1252
- Tojeiro R. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 359
- Tortora C., Antonuccio-Delogu V., Kaviraj S., Silk J., Romeo A. D., Becciani U., 2009, MNRAS, 396, 61
- Trager S. C., Faber S. M., Worthey G., González J. J., 2000, AJ, 120, 165
- Urry C. M., Scarpa R., O'Dowd M., Falomo R., Pesce J. E., Treves A., 2000, ApJ, 532, 816
- van der Wel A., Rix H.-W., Holden B. P., Bell E. F., Robaina A. R., 2009, ApJ, 706, L120
- Varela J., Moles M., Márquez I., Galletta G., Masegosa J., Bettoni D., 2004, A&A, 420, 873
- Vazdekis A., Sánchez-Blázquez P., Falcón-Barroso J., Cenarro A. J., Beasley M. A., Cardiel N., Gorgas J., Peletier R. F., 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1639
- Wild V., Walcher C. J., Johansson P. H., Tresse L., Charlot S., Pollo A., Le Fèvre O., de Ravel L., 2009, MNRAS, 395, 144

- Willett K. W. et al., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 2835
- Willmer C. N. A. et al., 2006, ApJ, 647, 853
- Wong O. I. et al., 2012, MNRAS, 420, 1684
- Wright E. L. et al., 2010, AJ, 140, 1868
- Wyder T. K. et al., 2007, ApJS, 173, 293
- Yan R., Blanton M. R., 2012, ApJ, 747, 61
- Yesuf H. M., Faber S. M., Trump J. R., Koo D. C., Fang J. J., Liu F. S., Wild V., Hayward C. C., 2014, ApJ, 792, 84
- York D. G. et al., 2000, AJ, 120, 1579
- Zinn P.-C., Middelberg E., Norris R. P., Dettmar R.-J., 2013, ApJ, 774, 66