

Quantitative Macroeconomics Project

Richard Sparkes

January 2020

Question 1

Proof of proposition 3, Harenberg and Ludwig (2015)

The proof is by guessing and verifying. As all households are ex-ante indetical, we guess that:

$$a_{2,t+1} = s(i - \tau)w_t = s(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha)Y_t C_t K_t^\alpha$$

If this is correct, then the equilibrium dynamics are given by

$$K_{t+1} = a_{2,t+1} = s(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha)Y_t C_t K_t^\alpha$$

$$\text{as } K_{t+1} = \frac{(k_{t+1})}{(Y_{t+1}(1 + \lambda))}$$

$$\text{we get } K_{t+1} = \frac{1}{(1 + g)(1 + \lambda)} s(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha)C_t K_t^\alpha$$

To verify

$$K_{t+1} = \frac{1}{(1 + g)(1 + \lambda)} s(\tau)(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha)C_t K_t^\alpha$$

notice that our guess for

$$a_{2,t+1}$$

implied that:

$$C_{1,t} = (1 - s)(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha)Y_t C_t K_t^\alpha$$

$$C_{1,2,t+1} = (s)(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha)Y_t C_t K_t^\alpha (\alpha)(C_{t+1})(\rho)_{t+1}(k_{t+1}^{\alpha-1}) + (1 - \alpha)Y_{t+1}C_{t+1}K^{\alpha}_{t+1}(\lambda)(\eta_{i,2,t+1}) + \tau(1 + \lambda(1 - \eta_{i,2,t+1}))$$

where we used the budget constraint.

employing K_{t+1}

$$K_{t+1} = \frac{1}{(1 + g)(1 + \lambda)} s(\tau)(1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha)C_t K_t^\alpha$$

we get

$$C_{i,2,t+1} = (\alpha(\rho_{t+1}(1 + \lambda) + (1 - \alpha)(\lambda)(\eta_{i,2,t+1} + \tau(1 + \lambda)(1 - \eta_{i,2,t+1})))Y_{t+1}C_{t+1}K^{\alpha}_{t+1})$$

Next notice that the first-order condition of household maximization gives

$$1 = (\beta)E_t\left[\frac{c_{1,t}(1+r_{t+1})}{c_{i,2,t+1}}\right]$$

$$1 = (\beta)E_t\left[\frac{c_{1,t}^\alpha C_{t+1} \rho_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha)(rho_{t+1})(1+\lambda)+(1-\alpha)(\lambda\eta_{i,2,t+1}+\tau(1+\lambda(1-\eta_{i,2,t+1})))Y_{t+1}C_{t+1}K_{t+1}^\alpha}\right]$$

$$1 = \frac{\beta(1-s)}{s} \Phi$$

Where Φ is defined in

$$S(\tau) = \frac{\beta\Phi(\tau)}{1+\beta\Phi(\tau)} \leq \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}$$

Equation $\Phi(\tau) = E_t[\frac{1}{1+\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha(1+\lambda)\rho_{t+1}}(\lambda\eta_{i,2,t+1}+\tau(1+\lambda(1-\eta_{i,2,t+1})))}]$ immediately follows.

Since the problem is concave, the solution is unique. As for the upper bound on Φ observe that $\Phi = 1$ for $\lambda = 0$.

For $\lambda > 0$, $\Phi = E_t[\frac{1}{1+x}]$ for $x = \frac{1-\alpha}{(\alpha)(\rho_{t+1})(1+\lambda)}(\lambda\eta_{i,2,t+1} + \tau(1 + \lambda(1 - \eta_{i,2,t+1})))$

Our assumptions ensure that $x \leq 0$, hence $\Phi = E_t[\frac{1}{1+x}] \leq 1$,

which implies that $s \leq \frac{\beta}{1+\beta}$

Question 2

Steps of algorithm to solve:

1. Guess p_{s10} p_{si1} given this and K_t we have law of motion of k .
2. Now we can solve policy function by using Euler equation by employing a unit root solver.
3. We can simulate forward find k , c_t , c_{t+1} for a given $T=50,000$
4. Run regressions to find ψ when $X=k$, $y=k$ (t-1]
5. Now we can solve for Household problem $-\psi_4 - \psi_0 \geq \epsilon$ until convergence.

Question 3

The value of the variable saving from Matlab was 0.0293.

The savings grid was as follows:

-0.68676 -0.41211 -0.13746 0.13719 0.41185

Complex Variant of Krussell-Smith Algorithm

For the Complex Variant I roughly tried to use the same five steps outlined above as in the Simple Krussell-Smith case. Except in this case the saving variable now 5 dimensions. The policy function x_t is five dimensions along with other policies. However I was unable to reproduce the results. I was attempting to generate a five node grid for the state variables of the household (j, a, η, z, k) .