# **Linear Regression Exercise 1**

```
library(tidyverse)
```

## Part 1

Given a matrix of explanatory variables X and a vector with response variable Y, write a function that calculates the coefficients of an OLS model. The function should output a list with three elements:

- beta\_hat: A vector of the coefficient estimates
- v\_beta\_hat: A matrix with the estimated variance of beta\_hat
- S2: A scalar estimate of  $\sigma^2$

For this function, you may assume that the regression matrix X has full rank.

First, we will create a simulated dataset to test our function.

```
set.seed(100)
n <- 20
beta_0 <- 3
beta_1 <- 2
beta_2 <- 0.75
sigma2 <- 2.25
x0 <- rep(1, n)
x1 <- rexp(n, rate = 2)
x2 <- rgeom(n, prob = 0.2)
epsilon <- rnorm(n, sd = sqrt(sigma2))
y <- beta_0 + (beta_1 * x1) + (beta_2 * x2) + epsilon
x_mat <- data.frame(x0, x1, x2) %>%
    as.matrix()
y_mat <- matrix(y, ncol = 1)</pre>
```

```
df <- data.frame(x1, x2, y)</pre>
  ols <- function(X, Y) {</pre>
    beta_hat <- solve(t(X) %*% X) %*% t(X) %*% Y
    S2 <- (t(Y - (X %*% beta_hat)) %*% (Y - (X %*% beta_hat)) / (nrow(X) - ncol(X))) %>%
      as.numeric()
    v_beta_hat <- S2 * solve(t(X) %*% X)</pre>
    out_list <- list(beta_hat, v_beta_hat, S2)</pre>
    return(out_list)
  }
  ols_test <- ols(x_mat, y_mat)</pre>
  lm_test <- lm(y ~ x1 + x2, data = df)
  summary(lm_test)
Call:
lm(formula = y \sim x1 + x2, data = df)
Residuals:
     Min
               1Q
                    Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
-2.43785 -0.76162 0.01469 1.01106 1.54212
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                         0.5876 5.690 2.66e-05 ***
(Intercept)
              3.3435
                         0.7544
x1
              1.5202
                                   2.015
                                             0.06 .
x2
              0.6494
                         0.1013 6.408 6.49e-06 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 1.274 on 17 degrees of freedom
                                Adjusted R-squared: 0.6853
Multiple R-squared: 0.7185,
F-statistic: 21.69 on 2 and 17 DF, p-value: 2.095e-05
  ols_test
```

[[1]]

```
[,1]
x0 3.3434914
x1 1.5201703
x2 0.6493905
[[2]]
            x0
                          x1
                                       x2
x0 0.34526483 -0.244297883 -0.042867755
                0.569052435
x1 -0.24429788
                              0.005935634
x2 -0.04286775
                0.005935634 0.010269394
[[3]]
[1] 1.622479
```

To compare these results, we see that lm\_test has coefficient estimates of 3.3435, 1.5202, and 0.6494, while ols\_test has coefficient estimates of 3.34349, 1.52017, and 0.64939. Therefore, the coefficient estimates are identical between the two functions.

Next, compare the values given in the covariance matrix for  $\hat{\beta}$  to the standard errors shown in the lm() output summary. The standard errors for the coefficient estimates are 0.5876, 0.7544, and 0.1013. After squaring these standard errors, we have 0.34526, 0.56905, and 0.01027. We can then see that these values are equivalent to the values on the diagonal of the variance of the coefficient estimate matrix for ols\_test.

Finally, we compare the residual standard error to our function's estimate for  $\sigma^2$ . From the lm() function summary, we see that we have a residual standard error of 1.274. When squared, this is equal to 1.623, roughly equal to the  $S^2$  value of 1.622.

## Part 2

Load the Boston Housing Dataset contained in HousingData.csv. There are 14 variables:

- CRIM: per capita crime rate by town
- ZN: proportion of residential land zoned for lots over 25,000 square feet
- INDUS: proportion of non-retail business acres per town
- CHAS: Does tract bound the Charles River?
- NOX: Nitric oxides concentration
- RM: Average number of rooms per dwelling
- AGE: Proportion of owner-occupied units built prior to 1940
- DIS: Weighted distances to five Boston employment centers
- RAD: Index of accessibility to radial highways
- TAX: Full-value property tax rate per \$10,000
- PTRATIO: Pupil-teacher ratio by town

- B: A measure of the proportion of Black residents by town
- LSTAT: Percentage lower status of the population
- MEDV: Median value of owner-occupied homes in \$1000s

Use the regression function you defined previously to estimate the coefficients of a regression model using the average number of rooms per dwelling (RM) as the explanatory variable and the median value of owner-occupied homes (MEDV) as the response variable. Then, compare the results of your function to the results you receive using the lm() function.

```
housing <- read_csv("HousingData.csv")</pre>
Rows: 506 Columns: 14
-- Column specification ------
Delimiter: ","
dbl (14): CRIM, ZN, INDUS, CHAS, NOX, RM, AGE, DIS, RAD, TAX, PTRATIO, B, LS...
i Use `spec()` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.
i Specify the column types or set `show_col_types = FALSE` to quiet this message.
  housing_x1 <- housing %>%
    select(RM) %>%
    as.matrix(ncol = 1)
  housing_x1 <- cbind(rep(1, nrow(housing_x1)), housing_x1)
  housing_y <- housing %>%
    select(MEDV) %>%
    as.matrix(ncol = 1)
  housing_ols1 <- ols(housing_x1, housing_y)</pre>
  housing_lm1 <- lm(MEDV ~ RM, data = housing)
  housing_ols1
[[1]]
        MEDV
   -34.670621
RM
    9.102109
[[2]]
                    R.M
    7.021456 -1.1034766
```

```
RM -1.103477 0.1755833
[[3]]
[1] 43.77357
  summary(housing_lm1)
Call:
lm(formula = MEDV ~ RM, data = housing)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q
                 Median
                              3Q
                                     Max
-23.346 -2.547
                  0.090
                          2.986
                                  39.433
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
             -34.671
                          2.650
                                  -13.08
                                           <2e-16 ***
RM
               9.102
                          0.419
                                   21.72
                                           <2e-16 ***
                0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Signif. codes:
Residual standard error: 6.616 on 504 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.4835,
                                 Adjusted R-squared:
F-statistic: 471.8 on 1 and 504 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

The model results indicate that increasing the number of rooms in a home is associated with an increase of \$9,102 in median home price. Proceeding with the checks conducted in part 1, we see that the coefficients and variance estimates are equivalent in the models fit using the lm() and ols() functions.

## Part 3

Economists typically model real estate prices as a function of the amenities provided by the house (e.g. number of rooms, age, distance to workplace, education quality, etc.). In this section, we focus on the effect of education on real estate prices. We assume that a higher pupil-teacher ratio usually indicates lower funding for education. Notably, in the given dataset, there are two conflicting effects on home values:

• A lower pupil-teacher ratio indicates higher funding for education, leading to higher home values

• Higher funding for education often requires higher property taxes, which likely leads to lower home values

Using your regression function defined above, fit a regression model to quantify the associations between pupil-teacher ratio (PTRATIO), property taxes (TAX), and home values (MEDV). Compare the results of this model to the results you receive using the lm() function.

```
housing_x2 <- housing %>%
    mutate(intercept = 1) %>%
    select(intercept, PTRATIO, TAX) %>%
    mutate(INTERACT = PTRATIO * TAX) %>%
    as.matrix(ncol = 4)
  housing_ols2 <- ols(housing_x2, housing_y)</pre>
  housing lm2 <- lm(MEDV ~ PTRATIO + TAX + PTRATIO * TAX, data = housing)
  housing ols2
[[1]]
                 MEDV
intercept 134.1388588
PTRATIO
           -5.4641826
TAX
           -0.2413332
INTERACT
            0.0113943
[[2]]
             intercept
                            PTRATIO
                                               TAX
                                                        INTERACT
intercept 168.31939410 -8.668999465 -4.738378e-01 2.404752e-02
PTRATIO
           -8.66899947 0.449284652 2.417041e-02 -1.232145e-03
TAX
           -0.47383780 0.024170414 1.413103e-03 -7.129543e-05
INTERACT
            0.02404752 -0.001232145 -7.129543e-05 3.609143e-06
[[3]]
[1] 53.38392
  summary(housing_lm2)
Call:
lm(formula = MEDV ~ PTRATIO + TAX + PTRATIO * TAX, data = housing)
```

#### Residuals:

```
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -15.698 -4.477 -1.097 2.830 33.676
```

#### Coefficients:

```
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 134.13886
                        12.97380
                                  10.339 < 2e-16 ***
PTRATIO
             -5.46418
                         0.67029
                                  -8.152 2.88e-15 ***
                                  -6.420 3.16e-10 ***
TAX
             -0.24133
                         0.03759
PTRATIO:TAX
                                   5.998 3.83e-09 ***
              0.01139
                         0.00190
                0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Residual standard error: 7.306 on 502 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.3726, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3689 F-statistic: 99.39 on 3 and 502 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Again, a comparison of the model results indicate that the lm() and ols() functions are providing the same estimates. The model fit indicates that an increase of pupil:teacher ratio by one (one additional student per teacher) is associated with a decrease in median home value of \$5,464, and that an increase in property taxes of \$1 per \$10,000 of home value is associated with a decrease in median home value of \$241. We also see a positive interaction coefficient between pupil:teacher ratio and property taxes.