

# Programming Paradigms Fall 2022 — Problem Sets

by Nikolai Kudasov

September 19, 2022

## 1 Problem set №3

1. Implement the following functions over the list of binary digits in Racket using higher-order functions (`apply`, `map`, `andmap`, `ormap`, `filter`, `foldl`) and **without explicit recursion**.

- (a) Convert into a decimal number:

```
(binary-to-decimal '(1 0 1 1 0)) ; ==> 22
```

- (b) Remove leading zeros:

```
(remove-leading-zeros '(0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0)) ; ==> '(1 0 1 1 0)
```

- (c) Count zeros in a binary string (not counting leading zeros):

```
(count-zeros '(0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0)) ; ==> 2
```

- (d) Group consecutive digits into lists:

```
(group-consecutive '(0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0)) ; ==> '((0 0 0) (1) (0) (1 1) (0))
```

- (e) Encode a binary string by removing leading zeros and replacing each consecutive substring of digits with its length. For example, '(0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0)' has some leading zeros, then 2 ones, then 1 zero, then 3 ones, then 2 zeros, so it should be encoded as '(2 1 3 2):

```
(encode-with-lengths '(0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0)) ; ==> '(2 1 3 2)
```

- (f) Decode a binary string from an encoded representation from the previous exercise:

```
(decode-with-lengths '(2 1 3 2)) ; ==> '(1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0)
```

2. Consider the following sample definition:

```
(define employees
  '(("John" "Malkovich" . 29)
    ("Anna" "Petrova" . 22)
    ("Ivan" "Ivanov" . 23)
    ("Anna" "Karenina" . 40)))
```

Recall that '("Anna" "Petrova" . 22) is equivalent to (cons "Anna" (cons "Petrova" 22)). This value is a pair where first element is the first name of an employee and second element is a pair of last name and age.

- (a) Implement a function **fullname** that takes employee and returns their full name as a pair of first and last name:

```
(fullname '("John" "Malkovich" . 29))
; '("John" . "Malkovich")
```

- (b) Using higher-order functions (**map**, **ormap**, **andmap**, **filter**, **foldl**) and without explicit recursion, write down an expression that computes a list of entries from **employees** where employee's first name is **"Anna"**.
- (c) Using higher-order functions (**map**, **ormap**, **andmap**, **filter**, **foldl**) and without explicit recursion, implement a function **employees-over-25** that computes a list of full names of employees whose age is greater than 25 given a list of employee entries as input:

```
(employees-over-25 employees)
; '(("John" . "Malkovich") ("Anna" . "Karenina"))
```

3. Consider the following definitions:

```
(define (remove-odd values) (filter even? values))
(define (sum-even values)
  (cond
    [(empty? values) 0]
    [(even? (first values))
     (+ (first values) (sum (rest values)))]
    [else
     (sum (rest values))]))
```

Using the Substitution Model, we can prove that for any valid list of numbers `values`, the following two expressions are equivalent:

- `(apply + (remove-odd values))`
- `(sum-even values)`

Indeed, when `values` is an empty list we get

```
(apply + (remove-odd '()))
= (apply + (filter even? '())) ; by definition of remove-odd
= (apply + '())                ; by definition of filter
= 0                            ; by definition of apply
= (sum-even '())               ; by definition of sum-even (inverted)
```

**Complete the proof** for the case when `values` is not empty:

```
(apply + (remove-odd (cons x xs)))
= ... ; <- your proof as a sequence of equalities goes here
= (sum-even (cons x xs))
```

In addition to regular Substitution Model, you can use the following equivalences:

- (a) `(apply + (remove-odd xs))`  $\equiv$  `(sum-even xs)` (inductive hypothesis)
- (b) for all `p`, `y`, `ys`, the following expressions are equivalent:
  - `(filter p (cons y ys))`
  - `(cond`  
    `[(p y) (cons y (filter p ys))]`  
    `[else (filter p ys)])`
- (c) for all `y`, `ys`, `(apply + (cons y ys))`  $\equiv$  `(+ y (apply + ys))`
- (d) for all `f`, `c1`, `c2`, `e1`, `e2`, the following expressions are equivalent:
  - `(f (cond [c1 e1] [c2 e2]))`
  - `(cond [c1 (f e1)] [c2 (f e2)])`