

Atrial Fibrillation & Stroke Prevention

Risk Stratification, Prevention Strategies, Emerging Evidence, & Knowledge Gaps
(Neurologist Perspective)

Rizwan Kalani

Objectives

- 1 Evaluate stroke risk stratification tools including performance metrics & limitations
- 2 Understand stroke prevention strategies: anticoagulation, timing after stroke, alternatives
- 3 Introduce emerging approaches and select knowledge gaps in AF-related stroke prevention


Clinical Risk Stratification

AF & Stroke Risk

AF ↑ stroke risk ~5X

- Absolute risk varies widely

Anticoagulation ↓ stroke risk ~60-70%, but ↑ bleeding



Decision Framework & Treatment Threshold

Net Clinical Benefit = stroke risk reduction – major hemorrhage risk increase

CHA₂DS₂-VASc Risk Score

TABLE 4 CHA₂DS₂-VASc Score for Prediction of Stroke in Atrial Fibrillation Patients

C	Congestive heart failure	1
H	Hypertension (>140/90 mm Hg)	1
A	Age ≥ 75 yrs	2
D	Diabetes mellitus	1
S ₂	Prior TIA or stroke	2
V	Vascular disease (MI, aortic plaque, and so on)	1
A	Age 65-74 yrs	1
Sc	Sex category (female = 1 point)	1

MI = myocardial infarction; TIA = transient ischemic attack.

J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020 Apr 14;75(14):1689-1713.
 Eur Heart J. 2012 Jun;33(12):1500-1510.
 Circulation. 2023 Dec 5;148(23):e1-e125.
 Heart. 2020 Oct;106(19):1463-1468.





Figure 1 Effects of atrial fibrillation on the various elements of Virchow's triad (hypercoagulability, structural changes and blood stasis).




CHA₂DS₂-VASc: Performance Characteristics

Discrimination & Calibration

- C-statistic: ~0.65 (modest)
- Variable across populations
- Overestimates in certain subgroups

Limitations

- Binary variables (loss of granularity)
- No AF burden/type or renal function



Why is it clinically useful?

- High negative predictive value (identifies true “low risk” from “not low risk”) → is risk low enough to avoid anticoagulation?
- Simplicity → clinical factors, reproducible, scalable

2024 ESC: CHA₂DS₂-VA

- Female sex is modifier (not independent risk factor)

Bleeding Risk

HAS-BLED Score

Score ≥ 3 = High hemorrhage risk

C-index ~ 0.6


High hemorrhage risk \neq "Don't anticoagulate"

- Identify & address modifiable risk factors
- Guide monitoring intensity

Often hemorrhage risk $<$ stroke risk

* Developed in warfarin treatment era


Condition	Points
H – Hypertension	1
A – Ab(N) liver/renal	1 point each
S – Stroke	1
B – Bleeding	1
L – Labile INRs	1
E – Elderly (>65)	1
D – Drugs or ETOH	1 point each



Am J Med. 2020 Oct;133(10):1195-1202.e2.
Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2024 Feb 1;37:100797.
Chest. 2010 Nov;138(5):1093-1100.
Eur Heart J. 2012 Jun;33(12):1500-1510.

Risk is Dynamic


Cumulative incidence of new stroke risk factors for patients with a baseline CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 0 (M) or 1 (F)



Multimorbidity → Δ net clinical benefit of treatment

- Age
- Accumulation risk factors for stroke & hemorrhage

CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION: Decreasing Nonanticoagulated Stroke Risk in Atrial Fibrillation Patients Despite Rising Age and Stroke Risk Scores




Teppo K, et al. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2025;11(3):583-592.

J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71(2):122-132.
Thromb Haemost. 2018 Jul;118(7):1296-1304.
Eur Heart J. 2024 May 27;45(20):1819-1827.



Discussion Question



FinACAF 2024 cohort shows higher stroke rates at each score compared to Friborg 2012 - what factors contribute to temporal shift?

1. Competing risks from AC uptake
2. Survivor bias
3. AF detection changes
4. Comorbidity burden shift
5. Methodological differences

Anticoagulation

- DOACs > warfarin
 - Among DOACs → no direct comparative trials
 - Apixaban preferred (↓ hemorrhage risk)
 - Warfarin → mechanical valves, mitral stenosis
- * Aspirin alone NOT recommended

DOACs vs. Warfarin




Figure 1. Comparison of standard-dose and lower-dose direct oral anticoagulants vs warfarin for efficacy, safety, and net clinical benefit outcomes.

Comprehensive Atrial Fibrillation Management




Figure 10. Antithrombotic Options in Patients With AF.

Timing After Acute Ischemic Stroke

CATALYST meta-analysis

Individual participant data meta-analysis of 4 trials
(TIMING, ELAN, OPTIMAS, START)

- Population: acute ischemic stroke + AFib
- Treatment/Comparator: DOAC initiation ≤ 4 days (early) or ≥ 5 days (late)
- Outcome: (composite) recurrent ischemic stroke or symptomatic ICH in ≤ 30 days

- Early DOAC initiation reduced risk
- Limited generalizability to severe stroke, hemorrhagic transformation




Figure 1. Comparison of head CTs: (A) Acute ischemic stroke showing hypodensity. (B) Hemorrhagic transformation within the ischemic region following anticoagulation therapy. Infiltrated hv humanfase hinnt




Figure 2: Primary composite outcome at 30 days by DOAC initiation timing (cumulative hazard)
Log-rank p value: 0.034. DOAC=direct oral anticoagulant.

- Small-moderate infarct → $\leq 2-4$ days
- Large infarct → 1-2 weeks

Lancet. 2025 Jul 5;406(10498):43-51.
Lancet Neurol. 2024 Apr;23(4):404-417.

Low-Burden AF

- Asymptomatic
- Device-detected
- 6 minutes – 24 hours
- Lower stroke risk than clinical AF
- AC decisions require more nuanced shared decision-making

Table. Comparison of DOAC vs No Anticoagulation in Randomized Clinical Trials

Characteristic	AVERROES ^{7,8}	NOAH-AFNET 6 ²	ARTESIA ³
Mean age, y	70	78	77
AF classification	Paroxysmal (27%) Persistent (20%) Permanent (52%)	>6 min Atrial high-rate event	6 min to 24 h of Subclinical AF
CHA ₂ DS ₂ Vasc score	3.2 ^a	4 ^b	3.9 ^a
Treatment arm	Apixaban	Edoxaban	Apixaban
Control arm	Aspirin	Placebo	Aspirin
Annualized risk of stroke or systemic embolism without DOAC, %	3.7% ^c	1.07 ^c	1.02 ^c
Annualized risk of stroke or systemic embolism with DOAC, %	1.6 ^c	0.86 ^c	0.64 ^c
No. needed to treat	48	476	263
Annualized risk of major bleeding without DOAC, %	3.8 ^c	1.00 ^c	0.94 ^d
Annualized risk of major bleeding on DOAC, %	4.5 ^c	2.09 ^c	1.71 ^d
No. needed to harm	143	92	130

JAMA Neurol. 2024 Jun 1;81(6):573-574.
Lancet Neurol. 2025 Feb;24(2):140-151.




Figure 2: Time to stroke or systemic embolism during 3.5 years of follow-up in participants with a history of stroke or transient ischaemic attack (A) and those without (B) according to treatment assignment (apixaban vs aspirin)
HR=hazard ratio.

Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion (LAAO) - Percutaneous


Rationale

~80-90% of AF thrombi form in the LAA
Occlude LAA → eliminate source of most thromboembolism → Avoid long-term anticoagulation

Endocardial LAA Occluders



Epicardial LAA Excluders




Other Endocardial LAA Occluders



- Considered for ABSOLUTE contraindication to long-term AC → NOT first-line alternative to DOACs
- Non-inferiority of LAAO to DOACs uncertain (high-risk groups)
- Requires post-procedure anticoagulation (or dual AP treatment)


Summary Plot of Clinical Outcomes





Discussion Question

Left Atrial Appendage Occlusion (LAAO) - Surgical



Mean CHADS₂VASc ~4
~77% on AC @ 3 y

Why was AC continued in most?
How should findings be interpreted?






Figure 1. Cumulative Incidence of Stroke or Systemic Arterial Embolism.

- Lack of clinical equipoise
- Real-world uncertainty
- How were 23% that stopped AC different?
→ Supports LAAO + AC may further reduce stroke risk

Knowledge Gap: Detection – Outcome Disconnect


Graphical Abstract




- Screening ↑ detection, BUT early detection ≠ ↓ stroke/mortality
- Low-burden → higher burden
- Treatment threshold

Eur Heart J. 2026 Jan 7;47(2):170-187.

Eur Heart J. 2024 Feb 1;45(5):396-398.



Knowledge Gap: Recurrent Stroke Despite AC



AF patients with breakthrough ischemic stroke while on anticoagulants

OAC adherence/dosing failure


- Inadequate OAC interruption
- ↓ OAC adherence/persistence
- Off-label OAC underdosing
- Drug-drug interactions
- Drug-food interactions

Competing causes


- Large vessel
- Small vessel
- Aortic arch
- Cancer
- Other causes

Residual Risk


LAA shape



LAA orifice



LAA bend angle





Slow LAA flow



🚫 Switching DOACs or
addition of aspirin 🤲

- LAAO + AC
- Factor XI inhibitors

Knowledge Gap: Early Rhythm Control




- ↓ stroke risk (by ↓ AF burden)
- Successful restoration of sinus rhythm ≠ discontinuation of AC
 - High risk of recurrence
 - Subgroup? – early onset, low-burden, low CHA₂DS₂VASC

Int J Stroke. 2025 Apr;20(4):385-400.


Eur Heart J. 2026 Jan 7;47(2):170-187.

Image generated with Google Gemini

Knowledge Gap: Risk & Therapeutic Biomarkers



- Cardiac Structural
- Electrophysiologic (EKG markers)
- Circulating (proteins, metabolites)



Questions?

