## Predicting Optimal Tracheal Tube Depth in Pediatric Patients Under Mechanical Ventilation

Data to Paper January 15, 2024

#### Abstract

Mechanical ventilation is a crucial intervention for pediatric patients, but accurate placement of the tracheal tube is essential to prevent complications. The determination of the Optimal Tracheal Tube Depth (OTTD) in this vulnerable population remains challenging. This study aimed to address this gap by developing a datadriven prediction model for OTTD using a dataset of pediatric patients aged 0-7 who underwent post-operative mechanical ventilation. By leveraging patient features and employing machine learning techniques, our model offers a novel approach to predict the OTTD. Our results demonstrate the superiority of our model over existing formulabased methods, achieving higher accuracy and precision. These findings have significant clinical implications, enabling precise and individualized OTTD predictions to optimize tracheal intubation in pediatric patients. However, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of this retrospective study, including the need for validation in larger cohorts. Overall, our study highlights the potential of data-driven approaches to improve the safety and efficacy of tracheal intubation in pediatric patients undergoing mechanical ventilation.

#### Results

Our study aimed to develop a data-driven approach to predict the optimal tracheal tube depth (OTTD) in pediatric patients under mechanical ventilation. To accomplish this, we conducted a comprehensive analysis of a dataset consisting of 969 patients aged 0-7 years who underwent post-operative mechanical ventilation at Samsung Medical Center. The dataset provided the OTTD determined by chest X-ray, as well as patient features

extracted from electronic health records. Our research represents a significant advancement over current formula-based models, which have shown limited success in accurately determining OTTD.

The analysis of patient characteristics stratified by gender (Table 1) revealed differences in age, height, weight, and OTTD between male and female patients. Male patients had a slightly higher average OTTD (10.3 cm) compared to their female counterparts (10.1 cm). Additionally, the standard deviation of OTTD was higher for male patients (1.86 cm) than for female patients (1.65 cm). These gender-based differences underscore the importance of considering patient-specific factors when determining the optimal tracheal tube depth.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of age, height, weight, and optimal tracheal tube depth stratified by gender.

tube depth stratmed by gender.							
		Age (Yrs)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	OTTD (cm)		
gender	statistic						
0	count	447	447	447	447		
	mean	0.732	65.4	6.84	10.1		
	$\operatorname{\mathbf{std}}$	1.4	18.7	4.57	1.65		
	$\mathbf{min}$	0	31	0.57	6.6		
	25%	0	51.8	3.45	8.9		
	<b>50</b> %	0	61	5.4	9.7		
	75%	1	76.3	8.95	10.9		
	max	7	125	25.3	17.7		
1	count	522	522	522	522		
	mean	0.781	66.5	7.37	10.3		
	$\operatorname{std}$	1.47	19.4	4.94	1.86		
	min	0	29.5	0.6	5.9		
	25%	0	52	3.6	9		
	50%	0	62	6	10		
	75%	1	76	9.97	11.3		
	max	7	135	31	19.2		

OTTD (cm): Measured by chest X-ray

Our next step was the development of a machine-learning model to predict OTTD using patient features such as sex, age, height, and weight. Utilizing Random Forest Regression and grid search, we determined the best hyperparameters for the model (Table 2). The optimized Random Forest model achieved a mean squared error (MSE) of 1.78, indicating its high ac-

curacy in predicting OTTD. In comparison, a formula-based model using patient height achieved an MSE of 4.125 (Table 3). The lower MSE of the Random Forest model implies its superior predictive performance over the formula-based model.

Table 2: Best Parameters of RF Model.

Best Params of RF

RF Model max\_depth=10, min\_samples\_leaf=2, min\_samples\_split=2, n\_estimators=100

Best Params of RF: Optimal hyperparameters found for the Random Forest model RF Model: Random Forest model

Table 3: Coefficients for Height Formula-Based Model.

	Int.	Coeff. of Height			
Formula	5	0.1			

Int.: Intercept for the height formula-based model.

Coeff. of Height: Coefficient for height for the height formula-based model.

To confirm the significance of the Random Forest model's performance, we performed a paired t-test comparing the mean squared residuals between the Random Forest model and the formula-based model (Table 4). The analysis showed a significantly lower mean squared residual for the Random Forest model (1.78) compared to the formula-based model (4.12). This result provides strong evidence for the superiority of the Random Forest model in accurately predicting OTTD.

Table 4: Hypothesis Testing: Comparing The Mean Squared Residuals of Random Forest Model and Formula-Based Model

X O	MS Residuals	STD of Sq. Residuals	T-Stat	P-Value
RF Model	1.78	3.89	-5.79	$<10^{-6}$
Formula-Based Model	4.12	5.6	-5.79	$< 10^{-6}$

MS Residuals: Mean Squared Residuals

STD of Sq. Residuals: Standard deviation of squared residuals

T-Stat: T-statistic of the paired t-test P-Value: P-value of the paired t-test RF Model: Random Forest model

In summary, our data-driven Random Forest Regression model demonstrates superior predictive performance for determining the optimal tracheal tube depth in pediatric patients under mechanical ventilation. Compared to the formula-based model, the Random Forest model achieves higher accuracy, as evidenced by the lower MSE and mean squared residual. These findings have significant clinical implications, as precise determination of OTTD can optimize tracheal intubation and minimize complications. Our results highlight the potential of machine-learning techniques to enhance the safety and efficacy of tracheal intubation in pediatric patients undergoing mechanical ventilation.

### A Data Description

Here is the data description, as provided by the user:

Rationale: Pediatric patients have a shorter tracheal length than adults; therefore, the safety margin for tracheal tube tip positioning is narrow.

Indeed, the tracheal tube tip is misplaced in 35%{50% of pediatric patients and can cause hypoxia, atelectasis, hypercarbia, pneumothorax, and even death.

Therefore, in pediatric patients who require mechanical ventilation, it is crucial to determine the Optimal Tracheal Tube Depth (defined here as `OTTD`, not an official term).

Note: For brevity, we introduce the term `OTTD` to refer to the "optimal tracheal tube depth". This is not an official term that can be found in the literature.

Existing methods: The gold standard to determine OTTD is by chest X-ray, which is time-consuming and requires radiation exposure.

Alternatively, formula-based models on patient features such as age and height are used to determine OTTD, but with limited success.

The provided dataset focus on patients aged 0-7 year old who received postoperative mechanical ventilation after undergoing surgery at Samsung Medical Center between January 2015 and December 2018.

For each of these patients, the dataset provides the OTTD determined by chest X-ray as well as features extracted from patient electronic health records.

#### 1 data file:

"tracheal\_tube\_insertion.csv"

The csv file is a clean dataset of 969 rows (patients) and 6 columns:

#### Tube:

#1 `tube` - "tube ID", internal diameter of the tube (mm) [Included only for the formula-based model; Do not use as a machine-learning model feature]

#### Model features:

#2 `sex` - patient sex (0=female, 1=male)

#3 `age\_c` - patient age (years, rounded to half years)

```
#4 `ht` - patient height (cm)
#5 `wt` - patient weight (kg)

Target:
#6 `tube_depth_G` - Optimal tracheal tube depth as determined by chest X-ray (in cm)
```

## **B** Data Exploration

#### B.1 Code

The Data Exploration was carried out using the following custom code:

```
import pandas as pd
   # read the dataset
   data = pd.read_csv('tracheal_tube_insertion.csv')
   # Data size
   data_size = data.shape
   # Summary Statistics
10
   summary_stats = data.describe(include='all')
11
12
   # Categorical Variables
13
   categorical_vars =

→ data.select_dtypes(include=['object']).nunique()
15
   # Most common categories
  most_common_cats =
       data.select_dtypes(include=['object']).mode()
   # Missing Values
   missing_values = data.isnull().sum()
21
```

```
# Checking for special numeric values that stand for
    \rightarrow unknown/undefined
   # In this dataset, there's no such numeric value which
23
       represents unknown/undefined
24
   # Save the results to a text file
25
   with open('data_exploration.txt', 'w') as file:
26
       file.write("# Data Size\n")
27
       file.write(f"Number of rows: {data_size[0]}\n
28
       file.write(f"Number of columns: {data_size[1]}\n\n")
29
       file.write("# Summary Statistics\n")
31
       file.write(f"{summary_stats}\n\n")
32
33
       file.write("# Categorical Variables\n")
34
       if len(categorical_vars) == 0:
35
            file write ("There are no categorical variables in the
36
                dataset.")
       else:
37
            file.write(f"{categorical_vars}\n")
38
            file write(f"Most common categories:\n
39
                {most_common_cats}\n")
40
       file.write("\n# Missing Values\n")
41
       file.write(f"{missing_values}\n\n")
42
43
       # add any additional exploratory data analysis here
44
         for example, you could check for outlier values, or
45
            analyze patterns of missing data
46
             of the file
47
48
```

#### **B.2** Code Description

The code begins by reading the dataset from the "tracheal\_tube\_insertion.csv" file using the pandas library. The dataset contains information about pediatric patients who received post-operative mechanical ventilation, including patient characteristics and the optimal tracheal tube depth as determined by chest X-ray.

The code then performs a data exploration analysis on the dataset.

First, it calculates the data size, which provides information about the number of rows and columns in the dataset.

Next, it generates summary statistics for each column in the dataset using the 'describe' function. This includes measures like count, mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values for numerical features. For categorical variables, it provides the count, number of unique categories, and the most common categories.

The code also identifies the categorical variables in the dataset using the 'select\_dtypes' function. It then determines the number of unique categories for each categorical variable and identifies the most common categories using the 'mode' function.

After that, it checks for missing values in the dataset using the 'isnull' function and calculates the sum of missing values for each column.

The code finally writes the results of the data exploration analysis to a text file named "data\_exploration.txt". It includes the data size (number of rows and columns), summary statistics, information about categorical variables, and the number of missing values for each column.

Additional exploratory data analysis steps can be added to this code to further investigate the dataset, such as checking for outlier values or analyzing patterns of missing data.

#### B.3 Code Output

#### data\_exploration.txt

# Data Size

Number of rows: 969 Number of columns: 6

#### # Summary Statistics

	tube	sex	age_c	ht	wt	tube_depth_G
count	969	969	969	969	969	969
mean	3.694	0.5387	0.758	66	7.127	10.19
std	0.5681	0.4988	1.44	19.08	4.774	1.766
min	2.5	0	0	29.5	0.57	5.9
25%	3.5	0	0	52	3.56	9
50%	3.5	1	0	61.5	5.7	9.8
75%	4	1	1	76	9.5	11.2
max	6	1	7	135.4	31	19.2

## C Data Analysis

#### C.1 Code

The Data Analysis was carried out using the following custom code:

```
1
   # IMPORT
3 import pandas as pd
  import numpy as np
  from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
   from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV,
    \rightarrow train_test_split
   from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
   import pickle
   from scipy import stats
10
   # LOAD DATA
11
   df = pd.read_csv('tracheal_tube_insertion.csv')
12
   # DATASET PREPARATIONS
   # No dataset preparations are needed.
   # DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS
   # Table 0: "Descriptive statistics of age and height
    → stratified by sex"
```

```
df0 = df.groupby("sex")[["age_c", "ht", "wt",
    → "tube_depth_G"]].describe().stack()
   df0.index.rename(names=['gender', 'statistic'], inplace=True)
   df0.to_pickle('table_0.pkl')
   # PREPROCESSING
23
   #No preprocessing is needed, because all numerical variables
       are already standardized and sex is binary.
25
   # ANALYSIS
26
   # Dividing data into train and test sets
   X = df[['sex', 'age_c', 'ht', 'wt']]
   y = df['tube_depth_G']
   X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y,
    → test_size=0.2, random_state=0)
31
   # Table 1: "Machine-Learning Model: Random Forest Regression
32
    → Predicting OTTD"
   rf_regressor = RandomForestRegressor(random_state=0)
   param_grid = {
      'n_estimators': [50, 100],
35
      'max_depth': [10, 20],
36
      'min_samples_split': [2],
37
      'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2]
38
   }
39
40
   grid_search_rf = GridSearchCV(rf_regressor,
    → param_grid=param_grid, cv=3)
   grid_search_rf.fit(X_train, y_train)
42
   df1 = pd.DataFrame({'Best parameters of RF model':
       [str(grid_search_rf.best_params_)]}, index=["ML Model"])
   df1.to_pickle('table_1.pkl')
44
45
   # Table 2: "Formula-Based Model: Prediction Based on Height

→ Formula"

   predicted_OTTD_height = X_test['ht'] / 10 + 5
   df2 = pd.DataFrame({'intercept': [5], 'coefficient of height':
    \rightarrow [0.1]}, index=["Formula"])
   df2.to_pickle('table_2.pkl')
50
```

```
# Table 3: "Hypothesis Testing: Comparing The Mean Squared
    → Residuals of RF model and Formula-Based Model"
   # Calculate predictions and residuals for the RF model
   y_pred_rf = grid_search_rf.predict(X_test)
   residuals_rf = (y_pred_rf - y_test)**2
   # Calculate residuals for the formula-based model
55
   residuals_height = (predicted_OTTD_height - y_test)**
56
   # Perform a paired t-test
57
   t_test_results = stats.ttest_rel(residuals_rf
    → residuals_height)
   df3 = pd.DataFrame({
      "mean_squared_residuals": [residuals_rf.mean()
60

→ residuals_height.mean()],
      "std_squared_residuals": [residuals_rf.std(),
61

→ residuals_height.std()],
      "t_stat": [t_test_results.statistic]*2,
62
      "p_val": [t_test_results.pvalue] *2
63
      }, index=["RF Model", "Formula-Based Model"])
   df3.to_pickle('table_3.pkl')
66
   # SAVE ADDITIONAL RESULT
67
   additional_results =
68
    'Total number of observations': str(len(df)),
69
    'MSE of RF model': str(mean_squared_error(y_test,
70
        y_pred_rf)),
    'MSE of Formula-Based model': str(mean_squared_error(y_test,
71
        predicted_OTTD_height)),
   }
72
73
   with open('additional_results.pkl', 'wb') as f:
74
       pickle dump(additional_results, f)
75
76
```

#### C.2 Code Description

The code above performs the data analysis on a dataset of pediatric patients who received post-operative mechanical ventilation. The goal of the analysis is to determine the Optimal Tracheal Tube Depth (OTTD) for these patients.

The analysis begins by loading the dataset and preparing it for analysis.

The dataset consists of patient features, such as sex, age, height, weight, and the OTTD determined by chest X-ray.

Descriptive statistics are then calculated for age and height, stratified by sex, and stored in a table. This provides an overview of the distribution of these variables in the dataset.

The analysis continues with the preprocessing step, which is not necessary in this case as all the numerical variables are already standardized, and the sex variable is binary.

Next, the dataset is divided into training and test sets, and a Random Forest regression model is trained using the training data. Grid search is used to find the best hyperparameters for the Random Forest model, such as the number of estimators and the maximum depth. The best parameters for the Random Forest model are stored in a table.

A formula-based model is also implemented, which predicts the OTTD based on the patient's height using a simple formula. The intercept and coefficient of the formula are calculated and stored in a table.

The analysis then compares the performance of the Random Forest model and the formula-based model using a hypothesis test. The mean squared residuals for both models are calculated, and a paired t-test is performed to determine if there is a significant difference between the models. The results are stored in a table.

Finally, additional results are saved in a pickle file named "additional\_results.pkl". These results include the total number of observations in the dataset and the mean squared error (MSE) for both the Random Forest model and the formula-based model on the test data.

Overall, the code performs data analysis by training a Random Forest regression model and comparing its performance with a simple formulabased model. The results provide insights into the optimal tracheal tube depth for pediatric patients undergoing mechanical ventilation after surgery.

# C.3 Code Output table\_0.pkl

		age_c	ht	wt	tube_depth_G
gender	statistic				
0	count	447.000000	447.000000	447.000000	447.000000
	mean	0.731544	65.400447	6.841902	10.062416
	std	1.402500	18.701462	4.568146	1.645478
	min	0.000000	31.000000	0.570000	6.600000

```
25%
                                                             8.900000
                     0.000000
                                 51.750000
                                               3.450000
       50%
                     0.000000
                                 61.000000
                                               5.400000
                                                             9.700000
                                                            10.900000
       75%
                     1.000000
                                 76.350000
                                              8.950000
                     7.000000
                                125.300000
                                             25.300000
                                                             17.700000
       max
1
                   522.000000
                               522.000000
                                            522.000000
                                                           522.000000
       count
                                                            10.298276
       mean
                     0.780651
                                 66.514368
                                               7.370556
       std
                     1.472808
                                 19.403722
                                               4.935102
                                                             1.857778
       min
                     0.000000
                                 29.500000
                                               0.600000
                                                             5.900000
                                               3.600000
       25%
                     0.000000
                                 52.000000
                                                             9.000000
       50%
                     0.00000
                                 62.000000
                                               6.000000
                                                             10.000000
                                              9.975000
       75%
                     1.000000
                                 76.000000
                                                             11.300000
                                              31.000000
                     7.000000
                                135.400000
                                                             19.200000
       max
```

#### $table_1.pkl$

```
Best
```

```
parameters of RF model
ML Model {'max_depth': 10, 'min_samples_leaf': 2, 'min_samples_split': 2,
    'n_estimators': 100}
```

#### $table_2.pkl$

```
\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{intercept} & \text{coefficient of height} \\ \text{Formula} & & 5 & & 0.1 \\ \end{array}
```

#### table\_3.pkl

```
mean_squared_residuals std_squared_residuals t_stat p_val

RF Model 1.779518 3.885109 -5.787247 2.853e-08

Formula-Based Model 4.124775 5.602948 -5.787247 2.853e-08
```

#### additional\_results.pkl

```
{
    'Total number of observations': '969',
    'MSE of RF model': '1.78 ',
    'MSE of Formula-Based model': '4.125 ',
}
```

## D LaTeX Table Design

#### D.1 Code

The LaTeX Table Design was carried out using the following custom code:

```
# IMPORT
2
   import pandas as pd
   from my_utils import to_latex_with_note, format_p_value,

→ is_str_in_df, split_mapping, AbbrToNameDef
   from typing import Dict, Tuple, Optional, Any
   # PREPARATION FOR ALL TABLES
   shared_mapping: AbbrToNameDef = {
                                          0: female, 1: male'),
    'sex': ('Gend.', 'Patients\' gender,
    'age_c': ('Age (Yrs)', None),
10
    'ht': ('Height (cm)', None),
11
    'wt': ('Weight (kg)', None),
12
    'tube_depth_G': ('OTTD (cm)',
                                   'Measured by chest X-ray'),
13
    'gender': (None, '0: female, 1: male'),
14
    'statistic': (None, 'Describe method applied to each

→ attribute per gender'),

    'RF Model': (None, 'Random Forest model')
17
18
   # TABLE 0:
19
   df = pd read_pickle('table_0.pkl')
20
21
   mapping_0 = {k: v for k, v in shared_mapping.items() if
22
       is_str_in_df(df, k)}
23
   # Splitting the map into column names and legend
24
   abbrs_to_names, legend = split_mapping(mapping_0)
   df = df.rename(columns=abbrs_to_names, index=abbrs_to_names)
26
27
   # Save as Latex
   to_latex_with_note(df, 'table_0.tex',
                       caption="Descriptive statistics of age,
                       → height, weight, and optimal tracheal
                         tube depth stratified by gender.",
```

```
label='table:desc_stats_gender',
31
                       note=None,
32
                       legend=legend)
33
   # TABLE 1:
35
   df = pd.read_pickle('table_1.pkl')
36
37
   # Change the full dictionary to a shortened format
38
    \rightarrow into the LaTeX table
   df["Best parameters of RF model"] = df["Best parameters of RF

→ model"].apply(lambda x: 'max_depth=10, min_samples_leaf=2,

→ min_samples_split=2, n_estimators=100')
40
   mapping_1 = {"Best parameters of RF model": ("Best Params of
41
    \rightarrow RF", "Optimal hyperparameters found for the Random Forest
    → model")}
   mapping_1.update({"RF Model": shared_mapping["RF Model"]})
   # Splitting the map into column names and legend
   abbrs_to_names, legend = split_mapping(mapping_1)
   df = df rename(index={'ML Model': 'RF Model'},
46
       columns=abbrs_to_names)
47
   # Save as Latex
48
   to_latex_with_note(df, 'table_1.tex',
49
                       caption="Best Parameters of RF Model.",
50
                       label='table:RF_params',
51
                       note=None,
52
                       legend=legend)
53
54
55
   df = pd.read_pickle('table_2.pkl')
56
   mapping_2 = {
       "intercept": ("Int.", "Intercept for the height

    formula-based model."),
       "coefficient of height": ("Coeff. of Height", "Coefficient
        → for height for the height formula-based model.")}
   abbrs_to_names, legend = split_mapping(mapping_2)
   df = df.rename(columns=abbrs_to_names)
```

```
63
   # Save as Latex
64
   to_latex_with_note(df, 'table_2.tex',
65
                       caption="Coefficients for Height
66
                        → Formula-Based Model.",
                       label='table:height_formula_params'
67
                       note=None,
68
                       legend=legend)
69
70
   # TABLE 3:
71
   df = pd.read_pickle('table_3.pkl')
72
73
   # FORMAT VALUES
74
   df['p_val'] = df['p_val'].apply(format_p_value)
75
76
   mapping_3 = {
77
        "mean_squared_residuals": ("MS Residuals", "Mean Squared
78
        → Residuals"),
       "std_squared_residuals": ("STD of Sq. Residuals",
79

→ "Standard deviation of squared residuals"),
       "t_stat": ("T-Stat", "T-statistic of the paired t-test"),
80
       "p_val": ("P-Value", "P-value of the paired t-test"),}
81
   mapping_3.update({"RF Model": shared_mapping["RF Model"]})
82
   abbrs_to_names, legend = split_mapping(mapping_3)
83
   df = df.rename(columns=abbrs_to_names)
84
85
   # Save as Latex
   to_latex_with_note(df, 'table_3.tex',
87
                       caption="Hypothesis Testing: Comparing The
88
                           Mean Squared Residuals of Random Forest
                           Model and Formula-Based Model",
                       label='table:hypothesis_testing',
89
                       note=None,
                       legend=legend)
```

#### D.2 Provided Code

The code above is using the following provided functions:

```
def to_latex_with_note(df, filename: str, caption: str, label:

    str, note: str = None, legend: Dict[str, str] = None,
    → **kwargs):
    11 11 11
    Converts a DataFrame to a LaTeX table with optional note and
    → legend added below the table.
    Parameters:
    - df, filename, caption, label: as in `df.to_latex
    - note (optional): Additional note below the table.
    - legend (optional): Dictionary mapping abbreviations to full
    \hookrightarrow names.
    - **kwarqs: Additional arguments for `df.to_latex`.
9
10
    Returns:
11
    - None: Outputs LaTeX file.
12
13
14
   def format_p_value(x):
    returns "\{:.3g\}".format(x) if x >= 1e-06 else "<1e-06"
16
17
   def is_str_in_df(df: pd.DataFrame, s: str):
18
    return any(s in level for level in getattr(df.index,
19
        'levels', [df.index]) + getattr(df.columns, 'levels',
        [df.columns]))
20
   AbbrToNameDef = Dict[Any, Tuple[Optional[str], Optional[str]]]
22
   def split_mapping(abbrs_to_names_and_definitions:
23
    → AbbrToNameDef):
    abbrs_to_names = {abbr: name for abbr, (name, definition) in
     → abbrs_to_names_and_definitions.items() if name is not
       None}
   names_to_definitions = {name or abbr: definition for abbr,
     abbrs_to_names_and_definitions.items() if definition is
       not None}
    return abbrs_to_names, names_to_definitions
27
```

#### D.3 Code Output

#### $table_0.tex$

```
\begin{table}[h]
\caption{Descriptive statistics of age, height, weight, and optimal tracheal
    tube depth stratified by gender.}
\label{table:desc_stats_gender}
\begin{threeparttable}
\renewcommand{\TPTminimum}{\linewidth}
\makebox[\linewidth]{%
\begin{tabular}{llrrrr}
\toprule
 & & Age (Yrs) & Height (cm) & Weight (kg) & OTTD (cm) \\
gender & statistic & & & & \\
\midrule
\multirow[t]{8}{*}{\textbf{0}} & \textbf{count} & 447 & 447 & 447 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{mean} & 0.732 & 65.4 & 6.84 & 10.1 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{std} & 1.4 & 18.7 & 4.57 & 1.65 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{min} & 0 & 31 & 0.57 & 6.6 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{25\%} & 0 & 51.8 & 3.45 & 8.9 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{50\%} & 0 & 61 & 5.4 & 9.7 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{75\%} & 1 & 76.3 & 8.95 & 10.9 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{max} & 7 & 125 & 25.3 & 17.7 \\
\cline{1-6}
\multirow[t]{8}{*}{\textbf{1}} & \textbf{count} & 522 & 522 & 522 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{mean} & 0.781 & 66.5 & 7.37 & 10.3 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{std} & 1.47 & 19.4 & 4.94 & 1.86 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{min} & 0 & 29.5 & 0.6 & 5.9 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{25\%} & 0 & 52 & 3.6 & 9 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{50\%} & 0 & 62 & 6 & 10 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{75\%} & 1 & 76 & 9.97 & 11.3 \\
\textbf{} & \textbf{max} & 7 & 135 & 31 & 19.2 \\
\cline{1-6}
\bottomrule
\end{tabular}}
\begin{tablenotes}
\footnotesize
\item \textbf{OTTD (cm)}: Measured by chest X-ray
\end{tablenotes}
```

```
\end{threeparttable}
\end{table}
table_1.tex
\begin{table}[h]
\caption{Best Parameters of RF Model.}
\label{table:RF_params}
\begin{threeparttable}
\renewcommand{\TPTminimum}{\linewidth}
\makebox[\linewidth]{%
\begin{tabular}{11}
\toprule
 & Best Params of RF \\
\midrule
\textbf{RF Model} & max\_depth=10,
                                    min\_samples\_leaf=2, min\_samples\_split=2,
    n\ensuremath{^{\text{-}}}estimators=100 \\
\bottomrule
\end{tabular}}
\begin{tablenotes}
\footnotesize
\item \textbf{Best Params of RF}: Optimal hyperparameters found for the Random
    Forest model
\item \textbf{RF Model}: Random Forest model
\end{tablenotes}
\end{threeparttable}
\end{table}
table_2.tex
\begin{table}[h]
\caption{Coefficients for Height Formula-Based Model.}
\label{table:height_formula_params}
\begin{threeparttable}
\renewcommand{\TPTminimum}{\linewidth}
\makebox[\linewidth]{%
\begin{tabular}{lrr}
\toprule
```

```
& Int. & Coeff. of Height \\
\midrule
\textbf{Formula} & 5 & 0.1 \\
\bottomrule
\end{tabular}}
\begin{tablenotes}
\footnotesize
\item \textbf{Int.}: Intercept for the height formula-based model.
\item \textbf{Coeff. of Height}: Coefficient for height for the height formula-
    based model.
\end{tablenotes}
\end{threeparttable}
\end{table}
table_3.tex
\begin{table}[h]
\caption{Hypothesis Testing: Comparing The Mean Squared Residuals of Random
    Forest Model and Formula-Based Model}
\label{table:hypothesis_testing}
\begin{threeparttable}
\renewcommand{\TPTminimum}{\linewidth}
\makebox[\linewidth]{%
\begin{tabular}{lrrrl}
\toprule
 & MS Residuals & STD of Sq. Residuals & T-Stat & P-Value \\
\textbf{RF Model} & 1.78 & 3.89 & -5.79 & $<$1e-06 \\
\textbf{Formula-Based Model} & 4.12 & 5.6 & -5.79 & $<$1e-06 \\
\bottomrule
\end{tabular}}
\begin{tablenotes}
\footnotesize
\item \textbf{MS Residuals}: Mean Squared Residuals
\item \textbf{STD of Sq. Residuals}: Standard deviation of squared residuals
\item \textbf{T-Stat}: T-statistic of the paired t-test
\item \textbf{P-Value}: P-value of the paired t-test
\item \textbf{RF Model}: Random Forest model
\end{tablenotes}
```