Gravitational Lensing Theories, Questions and Applications

Renkun Kuang

Outline

- History
- Gravitational Lensing Theories
- Related Questions
- Applications

Outline

- History
- Gravitational Lensing Theories
- Related Questions
- Applications

Predictions from Newtonian and GR


 First proposed by Soldner (1801) using Newtonian theory, given a deflection angle (Appendix-1 for derivation)

$$\alpha = \frac{2GM}{c^2r}$$
 , 0.85 arcsec for the Sun

- Einstein (1911) derived the same result using Equivalence principle and Euclidean metric
- Einstein (1915) derived the new result using General Relativity $\alpha = \frac{4GM}{c^2 r} \ , \ 1.7 \ {\rm arcsec} \ {\rm for} \ {\rm the} \ {\rm Sun}$

Eddington's obs of the Solar Eclipse


• In 1919, Eddington measured a value close to GR's prediction using the data collected during an eclipse, stars with apparent position near the sun become visible.



IX. A determination of the deflection of light by the sun's gravitational field, from observations made at the total eclipse of May 29, 1919 https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/pdf/10.1098/rsta.1920.0009

The first example for GravLens: 0957+561

- Eddington (1920): Multiple light paths ==> multi images
- Walsh et al., (1979) quasar QSO 957+561 A,B found at z~1.4, two seen images separated by 6 arcsec
- Lens: evidence
- 1. Lensing galaxy at z~0.36
- 2. Similar spectra
- 3. Ratio of optical and radio flux
- 4. VLBI imging: small scale features



Walsh, Carswell & Weymann 1979, 0957+561 A, B: twin quasistellar objects or gravitational lens? https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1979Natur.279..381W/abstract

Outline

- History
- Gravitational Lensing Theories
- Related Questions
- Applications

General Relaticity and light deflection

- Einstein Field equations: $R_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu}$
- ==> Geodesic equations: $\frac{d^2x^\beta}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma^\beta_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} = 0$ Calculate how gravity bends light by solving geodesic eqution
- To compute the Christoffel symbols $\Gamma^{\beta}_{\mu\nu}$, requires solving for the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, which requires solving the curvature equations $R_{\mu\nu}=0$, --- ten partial-differential equations.

General Relaticity and light deflection

Or, the velocity of the photon from the Schwarzshild metric,

$$ds^{2} = -(1+2\Phi)dt^{2} + (1-2\Phi)(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2})$$

- and Poisson Equation, $\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho$
- and lightlike interval: $g_{\mu\nu}\frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\lambda}\frac{dx^{\nu}}{d\lambda} = 0$

• ==>
$$v = \frac{\sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\Phi}{1 - 2\Phi}} \approx 1 + 2\Phi$$

The gravitational field decreases the speed of propagation

General Relaticity and light deflection

$$v = \frac{\sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2}}{dt} = \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2\Phi}{1 - 2\Phi}} \approx 1 + 2\Phi$$


- define refraction index $n = 1 \frac{2}{c^2}\Phi = 1 + \frac{2}{c^2}|\Phi| \ge 1$
- deflection angle: $\hat{\alpha} = -\int \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} n dl = \frac{2}{c^2} \int \vec{\nabla}_{\perp} \Phi dl$
- which is twice of Newtonian prediction (Appendix-1)
- for point mass lens, $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{4GM}{bc^2}$

Thin screen approximation

- Most deflection occurs near to the lens (|z|~b)
- ==> treat all deflection as in the lens plane
- Projected surface density: $\Sigma(\vec{\xi}) = \int \rho(\vec{\xi},z)dz$ Deflection angle: $\hat{\alpha}(\vec{\xi}) = \frac{4G}{c^2} \int \frac{(\vec{\xi} \vec{\xi'})\Sigma(\vec{\xi'})}{|\vec{\xi} \vec{\xi'}|^2} d^2 \vec{\xi'}$
 - In citcular symmetry cases:


$$\hat{\alpha}(\xi) = \frac{4GM(\xi)}{c^2 \xi}$$

$$M(\xi) = 2\pi \int_0^{\xi} \Sigma(\xi') \xi' d\xi'$$



The Lens Equation

connecting the Lens plane and the Source plane



$$\vec{\beta} = \vec{\theta} - \vec{\alpha}(\vec{\theta})$$

$$\vec{\alpha}(\vec{\theta}) = \frac{D_{ds}}{D_s} \hat{\alpha}(D_d \vec{\theta})$$

Case 1: Point mass Lens

$$\hat{\alpha}(\xi) = \frac{4GM(\xi)}{c^2 \xi} \qquad ==> \qquad \vec{\alpha}(\vec{\theta}) = \frac{4GM}{c^2} \frac{D_{LS}}{D_S D_L} \frac{\vec{\theta}}{|\vec{\theta}|^2}$$

$$\vec{\alpha}(\vec{\theta}) = \frac{D_{ds}}{D_S} \hat{\alpha}(D_d \vec{\theta})$$


$$\bullet \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\beta} = \vec{\theta} - \vec{\alpha}(\vec{\theta})$$

- if $\beta = 0$, gives Einstein Radius

$$\theta_E = \sqrt{\frac{4GM}{c^2} \frac{D_{LS}}{D_S D_L}}$$


- Lens equation: $\vec{\beta} = \vec{\theta} \theta_E^2 \frac{\vec{\theta}}{|\vec{\theta}|^2}$
- $\beta > \theta_E$, weakly lensed and weakly distorted image $\beta < \theta_E$, stronly lensed and multi images

$$\theta_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\theta_E^2})$$



Case 1: Point mass Lens


Hewitt+ 1987, First Einstein Ring discovered in Radio Band & VLA




Hewitt, J., Turner, E., Schneider, D. et al. Unusual radio source MG1131+0456: a possible Einstein ring. Nature 333, 537–540 (1988) doi:10.1038/333537a0

Magnification

 Gravitational lensing preserves surface brightness, but changes the apparent solid angle of the source ==> magnification



arXiv:astro-ph/9309052v1, https://arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/9309052v1.pdf Possible Gravitational Microlensing of a Star in the Large Magellanic Cloud



Magnification

Local properties of the lens mapping, described by its Jacobian matrix A

$$A \equiv \frac{\partial \vec{\beta}}{\partial \vec{\theta}} = (\delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial \alpha_i(\vec{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j}) = (\delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial^2 \Psi(\vec{\theta})}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j})$$
$$\mu = |\det(\frac{\partial \vec{\beta}}{\partial \vec{\theta}})|^{-1} \equiv |\det(\frac{\partial \beta_i}{\partial \theta_j})|^{-1}$$

If circularly symmetric,

$$\mu = \frac{\theta}{\beta} \frac{d\theta}{d\beta}$$

Case 1: Point mass Lens - Magnification

 $u = \beta \theta_E^{-1}$

• Images: $\theta_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\beta \pm \sqrt{\beta^2 + 4\theta_E^2})$


• Magnification:
$$\mu_{\pm} = [1 - (\frac{\dot{\theta}_E}{\theta_{\pm}})^4]^{-1} = \frac{u^2 + 2}{2u\sqrt{u^2 + 4}} \pm \frac{1}{2}$$

• Total magnification:

$$\mu = |\mu_+| + |\mu_-| = \frac{u^2 + 2}{u\sqrt{u^2 + 4}}$$

• e.g. for source on the Einstein Ring:

$$\beta = \theta_E, u = 1$$
 $\mu = 1.17 + 0.17 = 1.34$



Shapiro time delay

- Passage through potential also leads to time delay
- without potential: $t_0 = \int \frac{dl}{c}$

• with potential:
$$t_0 = \int \frac{-c}{c}$$
• with potential:
$$t_1 = \int_{src}^{obs} \frac{dl}{v} = \int_{src}^{obs} \frac{dl}{c - \frac{2}{c}|\Phi|}$$

$$= \int_{src}^{obs} \frac{dl/c}{1 - \frac{2}{c^2}|\Phi|} = \int_{src}^{obs} \frac{dl}{c} [1 + \frac{2}{c^2}|\Phi|]$$

- so, $\Delta t = \int_{src}^{obs} \frac{\dot{2}}{c^3} |\Phi| dl \quad ==> \text{Shapiro delay (1964)}$ Total time delay is the sum of the extra path length from the deflection
- and the gravitational time delay

$$t(\vec{\theta}) = \frac{1 + z_d D_d D_s}{c} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\vec{\theta} - \vec{\beta})^2 - \psi(\vec{\theta}) \right] = t_{geom} + t_{grav}$$

Case 2: Singular Isothemal Sphere (SIS)

- Galaxy lenses, the distributed nature of mass
- Simple model assumes that mass ==> particles of ideal gas
- ideal gas:

equation of state: $p = \frac{\rho kT}{m}$

In thermal equilibrium, T is related to the 1-d velocity dispersion:

$$m\sigma_v^2 = kT$$

 $m\sigma_v^2=kT$ In hydrostatic equilibrium, $\frac{p^{'}}{\rho}=-\frac{GM(r)}{r^2}, M^{'}(r)=4\pi r^2\rho$

solve the EOS ==> density profile: $\rho(r) = \frac{\sigma_v^2}{2\pi G} \frac{1}{r^2}$ so mass profile: $M(r) = \frac{2\sigma_v^2 r}{C}$

Case 2: Singular Isothemal Sphere (SIS)

ideal gas:

rotational velocity in circular orbit: $v_{rot}^2(r) = \frac{GM(r)}{r} = 2\sigma_v^2 = constant$

Surface mass density: $v_{rot}^2 = GM/r \rightarrow$

$$dM = \frac{v_{rot}^2}{G}dr = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) \rightarrow$$

$$\rho(r) = \frac{v_{rot}^2}{4\pi G} \frac{dr}{r^2} \to$$

$$\Sigma(\xi) = \frac{v_{rot}^2}{4\pi G} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{(z+\xi)^2} = \frac{v_{rot}^2}{4G\xi} = \frac{\sigma_v^2}{2G\xi}$$

$$\hat{\alpha}(\xi) = \frac{4GM(\xi)}{c^2 \xi}$$

==> constant deflection angle:

$$\hat{\alpha} = 4\pi \frac{\sigma_v^2}{c^2}$$

Outline

- History
- Gravitational Lensing Theories
- Related Questions
- Applications

A galaxy at redshift 0.5 can be modelled as a singular isothermal sphere; its dispersion is 200km/s. A background


source at redshift 2 is lensed by the foreground galaxy into two images with a brightness ratio of 3:1, what are the

angular separation and time delay between the two images? You can assume the usual cosmology.

First assume $H_0 = 69.6 km s^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.286$, $\Omega_{vac} = 0.714$ then the distance of z ~ 0.5 and z ~ 2 can be derived, using theories of SIS model and the time delay function can esstimate the angular separation ~ 1.2 arcsec and time delay ~ 45 days

Two (N=2) galaxies are aligned perfectly with the Earth and a distant quasar. Each galaxy can be modelled as a singular

isothermal sphere. How many Einstein rings are formed as a result? How will your results generalize when you have N>2 galaxies?



next step could consider general situation which obs, lens, src are not aligned perfectly ==> gravitational lensing simulation

code: https://github.com/rkkuang/aeroastro/tree/master/gravlen/num_einstain_rings

A background source is multiply-imaged, can the brightest image arrive last?

Using the relation between effective potential and magnification and the time delay

Study the caustics and critical curves of two singular isothermal spheres lensing a background quasar. Study two cases

1) Both galaxies are at the same redshift and, 2) these two galaxies are at different redshifts.

Mass density ==> solve for lens equation ==> draw those points which make magnification largest ==> critical lens ==> caustics

Outline

- History
- Gravitational Lensing Theories
- Related Questions
- Applications

Gravitational Lensing Applications

- Cosmic telescopes: distant, faint objects observation
- 2-d mass distribution of lenses, dark matter
- Hubble constant, cosmological constant, density parameter
- •

References

- https://lacosmo.com/DeflectionOfLight/index.html
- The Mathematical Theory of Relativity, Arthur Stanley Eddington (P101)
- http://web.mit.edu/6.055/old/S2009/notes/bending-of-light.pdf
- https://www.mathpages.com/rr/s6-03/6-03.htm
- Gravitation and Spacetime, Hans C. Ohanian, Remo Ruffini. 3rd ed
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gauss%27s_law_for_gravity
- Lectures On Gravitational Lensing, Narayan & Bartelmann
- https://www.cfa.harvard.edu/~dfabricant/huchra/ay202/lectures/lecture12.pdf

Equations in this slides are generated by a helpful tool KLatexFormular on linux

Appendix-1

 $\Phi(b,z) = -\frac{GM}{(b^2 + z^2)^{1/2}}$

- Newtonial prediction
- b: impact factor
- gravitational potential simplify the calculation by integrating not along the deflected ray but along z axis

$$\alpha = \frac{v_b}{c} = \frac{1}{c} \int \frac{d\Phi}{db} dt = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{d\Phi}{db} dl \approx \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{d\Phi}{db} dz$$

$$= \frac{GMb}{c^2} \int \frac{dz}{(b^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}$$

$$= \frac{GMb}{c^2} \left[\frac{z}{b^2 \sqrt{b^2 + z^2}} \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$$

$$= \frac{2GM}{c^2b}$$

