IITs are glorified placement agencies.

IITs "success" is measured by *foreign MNCs hiring 80%* of *graduates* .

This is not progress — it's **neocolonial outsourcing**.

Moving from ₹10 lakhs to ₹30 lakhs annually isn't class mobility — it's inflating the same rat wheel.

Elite status requires ownership (equity, IP, power), which IITs don't teach.

The IIT system is a pyramid scheme:

- that extracts India's brightest,
- strips them of ambition,
- and sells them to Silicon Valley.

Indian elites see "success" as serving U.S. firms, not building rivals.

IITians chase H-1Bs, not unicorns.

- Indian HNIs park wealth in real estate, not startups.
- US VCs bet on 18-year-olds with ideas;
- India's VCs bet on *IIT grads copying U.S. apps.*

IITs Faculty are judged by papers, not patents.

- A Stanford prof gets rich from student startups;
- an IIT prof gets a promotion for publishing in dead journals.

eats the world.

IITs teach 1980s engineering while AI

If you want IITs to create billionaires, burn down the current model.

Replace "placements" with

"rebellions."

Meritocracy without capital access = glorified talent factories for Western corporations.

IITs produce world-class engineers, but India's startup ecosystem remains starved of domestic risk capital and patronage.

- India's rich see IITs as "government schools" for the middle class .
- Their kids go to Ivy Leagues or liberal arts colleges, not to JEE-cramming factories.
- The few IIT-linked billionaires (e.g., Khosla) made their money in the U.S., reinforcing the brain drain.

IIT professors earn *less than FAANG* interns.

- Their research budgets are laughable,
- their kids rarely get into IITs,
- and their social capital is zero.

India's academic class: Tamil/Bengali Brahmins and business class: Gujarati/Marwari traders have *no overlap*.

- In the U.S., a Stanford professor's kid (e.g., Sam Bankman-Fried) can tap Silicon Valley's network.
- In India, an IIT professors' kid grind for the same JEE seats as everyone else.

FIITJEE/Allen are parasites that hijack meritocracy.

They turn IIT admissions into a pay-to-play game for the upper-middle class.

IITs teach students to obey, not conquer.

IIT labs are museums, not innovation machines.

IITs are full of "good boys" who fear risk.

China's hustlers have "996" grit: 9 AM-9 PM, 6 days/week.

Campus placements are a betrayal.

IITs sell students to Microsoft/Google like sugarcane to Britannia in 1850.

Stop celebrating "IITians" as a caste. Start celebrating them as conquerors.

- If a student isn't plotting to disrupt a global industry, expel them.
- If a professor isn't scheming to patent a world-changing invention, fire them.

The goal isn't social mobility. It's total domination.

IITs are kept weak to serve as talent feeders for Western firms. Indian billionaires profit from this brain drain: A Goldman Sachs-bound IIT grad will inflate real estate prices in Mumbai, not build competitors.

India's conglomerates deliberately avoid funding IIT R&D.

Why? They rely on imported tech and foreign consultants to maintain monopolies. Innovation would threaten their rent-seeking empires. Many professors run "consulting" side hustles for corporates, using IIT labs and students as free labor.

The student who builds a breakthrough algorithm for a professor's private client?

They get a "letter of recommendation," not equity.

Foreign MNCs lobbied to keep IIT curricula outdated.

Example: IITs still teach COBOL and VLSI design — skills irrelevant to modern AI/Web3 startups but critical for maintaining India's back-office IT coolie workforce.

The JEE's brutality is a feature, not a bug.

It filters for hyper-adaptive, stress-resistant ones who thrive in high-pressure environments.

Mediocrity is discarded early.

A Stanford-educated IITian founding a unicorn in the Valley (e.g., Perplexity) does more for India's "brand" than 100 failed startups in Bengaluru.

Nationalism is relevant; but *you need to know how to.*