## 24.118: Paradox and Infinity

Ryan Lacey <rlacey@mit.edu>
Collaborator(s): Evan Thomas

## April 1, 2014

## 1. PLACEHOLDER

- 2. (a) Proof by contradiction, assume that there is some  $\alpha$  that is a smaller ordinal than  $\emptyset$ . Then by our definition  $\alpha$  must be an element of  $\emptyset$ . But  $\emptyset$  has no elements. Thus we arrive at a contradiction and can conclude that there is no smaller ordinal than  $\emptyset$ .
  - (b) Proof by contradiction, assume that there is some  $\alpha$  containing infinitely many members that is a smaller ordinal than  $\omega$ . Then  $\omega$  must contain some element N that is not within  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha$  could be represented as  $1_0, 2_0, 3_0, ...$  and that  $\omega$  can be represented as  $1_0, 2_0, 3_0, ..., N$ . By definition, however,  $\alpha$  is infinite so any N chosen will be a member of that ordinal. Thus  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  contain the same elements, so we can conclude that no infinite sequence can be smaller than  $\omega$ .
- 3. (a) Map element  $0_0$  from ordinal  $\omega$  to the element  $\omega$  from the ordinal  $\omega'$ . Then map each element  $n_0$  from ordinal  $\omega$  to the element  $(n-1)_0$  from the ordinal  $\omega'$ . Pairing elements in this manner would give the following 1-to-1 correspondence between  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$

- (b) The shape of  $\omega$  is |||... while the shape of  $\omega'$  is |||...| so they are not isomorphic. As was shown with the 1-to-1 correspondence above, the positions had to be rearranged to get from one ordering to the other (specifically element  $\omega$  from  $\omega'$  was brought from the last position to the first position).
- 4. (i) True
  - (ii) False
  - (iii) True
  - (iv) False
  - (v) True
  - (vi) False
  - (vii) True
  - (viii) False
  - (ix) False
  - (x) True