<u>Lab Notes</u> Rachel Lew

**Image Rotation - ppmtrans** 

Stage	Benchmark	Time	Instruction	Rel to	Rel to
			Fetches	Start	Prev
1. Initial	Big Image	20s		1.00	1.00
	Small Image	140ms	4.22x10 <sup>8</sup>	1.00	1.00
2. Compile with -01	Big Image	12s		0.60	0.60
and link with -lcii40-					
01	Small Image	80ms	3.53x10 <sup>8</sup>	0.57	0.57
3. Compile with -02	Big Image	12s		0.60	1.00
and link with -lcii40-					
02	Small Image	80ms	3.53x10 <sup>8</sup>	0.57	1.00
4. Eliminating UArrays	Big Image	11s		0.55	0.91
as underlying					
structure in UArray2 in	Small Image	80ms	$3.10 \times 10^{8}$	0.57	1.00
favor of c-arrays					
5. Eliminating UArrays	Big Image	10s		0.5	0.90
and UArray2s as	_ ,,,				
underlying structure in	Small Image	60ms	2.10x10 <sup>8</sup>	0.43	0.75
UArray2b in favor of c-					
arrays	D: I	0.74		0.40	0.05
6. Storing height and	Big Image	9.71s		0.49	0.97
width of original array	C 11.1	60	1.00.109	0.42	1.00
in the closure rather	Small Image	60ms	$1.88 \times 10^{8}$	0.43	1.00
than calling method for					
each pixel	D: I	0.60		0.40	0.00
7. Making the height,	Big Image	9.69		0.48	0.99
width, and methods	Carallitara	F0	1.00.108	0.26	0.02
global constants to	Small Image	50ms	$1.88 \times 10^{8}$	0.36	0.83
eliminate closure					
dereferencing					

- **1 3.** Following the specified steps to utilize various levels of compiler optimization
- **4.** Eliminating Hansen's data structures in favor of simple C-Arrays will reduce the number of dereferences necessary to access the elements in the data structure. The number of function calls will also be reduced because each call to a UArray2 function will not require a subsequent call to the corresponding UArray function.
- **5.** Similar to the above change, this significantly reduces the number of dereferences and function calls necessary to access the elements within the array. By representing the UArray2b as a single C-Array, we are removing the levels of

indirection required by accessing a UArray2 and subsequently a UArray to retrieve an element.

- **6.** Each time the apply function was called, extra dereferencing of the closure and methods were required to access the height and width information of the original array. This unnecessary dereferencing of a value that could be declared a constant was adding to each iteration of the for loops of the mapping function, and by removing them we saved at least one dereference for each element in the pixmap.
- **7.** In order to avoid the dereferencing that occurs with each iteration of the map function and each call to the apply function, we have made the methods, height, and width of the original pixmap global variables that are accessible to the rotation functions. This did not result in any significant performance improvements because it was at such a low cost in comparison to the at function.

## **Universal Machine**

Stage	Benchmar	Time	Instruction	Rel to	Rel
	k		Fetches	Start	to
					Prev
1. Initial	Midmark	9.26s	$3.73x10^{10}$	1.0	1.0
	Sandmark	230s		1.0	1.0
				4.0	4.0
	Adventure	72s		1.0	1.0
2. Compile with -01 and	Midmark	6.35s	$2.99 \times 10^{10}$	0.69	0.69
link with -lcii40-01					
	Sandmark	158s		0.68	0.68
	Adventure	51s		0.71	0.71
3. Compile with -02 and	Midmark	6.41s	$2.97 \times 10^{10}$	0.69	1.01
link with -lcii40-02					
	Sandmark	158s		0.68	1.00
	Adventure	50s		0.69	0.98
4. Implementing	Midmark	4.93s	$2.41 \times 10^{10}$	0.53	0.72
mappedIDs as arrays					
instead of Seq	Sandmark	123s		0.53	0.77
	Adventure	39s		0.54	0.78
5. Removing	Midmark	4.86s	2.37x10 <sup>10</sup>	0.52	0.99
"unmappedIDs" and					
creating array of already	Sandmark	121s		0.52	0.98
used IDs					
	Adventure	39s		0.54	1.00
6. Static inline functions	Midmark	3.18s	1.23 x10 <sup>10</sup>	0.34	0.45

		ı	T		
for bitpack					
	Sandmark	79s		0.34	0.65
	Adventure	27s	_	0.38	0.69
7. Removing UArrays in	Midmark	2.74s	8.84 x10 <sup>9</sup>	0.30	0.86
place of UM_Word* for					
individual segments	Sandmark	68s		0.30	0.86
		00		0.00	0.05
	Adventure	23s		0.32	0.85
8. Moving	Midmark	2.59s	8.11 x10 <sup>9</sup>	0.28	0.95
segmentLength	Midilark	2.373	0.11 X10	0.20	0.93
computation out of for	Sandmark	64s		0.28	0.94
loop and creating a	Sanamark	0 13		0.20	0.51
global variable for	Adventure	23s		0.32	1.00
number of instructions					
9. Making loadValue	Midmark	2.49s	7.43 x10 <sup>9</sup>	0.27	0.96
register function in um.c					
	Sandmark	62s		0.27	0.97
	Adventure	21s		0.29	0.91
10. Storing instructions	Midmark	2.47s	7.27 x10 <sup>9</sup>	0.27	0.99
separately to eliminate		C4		0.05	0.00
additional dereference	Sandmark	61s		0.27	0.98
	Advontus	216		0.20	1.00
11 Inlining	Adventure	21s	7.271.00	0.29	1.00
11. Inlining resize functions	Midmark	2.47s	7.27 x10 <sup>9</sup>	0.27	1.00
lunctions	Sandmark	61s		0.27	1.00
	Janumark	013		0.27	1.00
	Adventure	21s		0.29	1.00
12. Removing assert	Midmark	2.32s	6.78 x10 <sup>9</sup>	0.25	0.94
statements from					
segmentLoad and	Sandmark	57s		0.25	0.93
segmentStore					
	Adventure	20s		0.28	0.95
_	_				
13. Making instructions	Midmark	2.25s	$6.69 \times 10^9$	0.24	0.97
a static constant instead		-		0.04	0.00
of passing with	Sandmark	56s		0.24	0.98
memorySegments	Adventure	19s		0.26	0.95
14. Static inline for	Midmark	830ms	3.83x10 <sup>9</sup>	0.26	0.95
execute_instruction	Miulial K	OSUIIS	3.03X10 <sup>-</sup>	0.09	0.57
execute_inisti uttivii	Sandmark	20s		0.09	0.36
	Janumark	403		0.09	0.50

Adventure	7s	0.10	0.37

- 1 3. Using different compiler optimization levels significantly reduced our time
- **4 5.** These steps encompassed both a change of abstraction and a change of underlying data structures. The latter change reflects the inherent speed up of removing Hansen's data structures in favor of C-Arrays that require fewer dereferences. The former implemented a stack using C-Arrays in order optimize the reuse of IDs. By only keeping track of the IDs that have been mapped and unmapped, we are reducing the number of additional items to store as well as optimizing the reuse of these IDs.
- **6.** Because the Bitpack interface is required for every instruction in setting up the machine, we sacrificed a degree of modularity in favor of performance by moving these simple functions into the um where they are used. This eliminated four function calls for each instruction as they were read in as well as five function calls for each instruction that was executed and needed to be parsed. The significant reduction in instruction fetches as seen in the table is in line with this reasoning.
- 7. Although the outer structures for mappedIDs and unmappedIDs were updated to be C-Arrays, the underlying structure of mappedIDs, the individual segments themselves, were slowing down the program due to the additional dereferences and function calls required of the UArray interface. Eliminating UArrays in favor of C-Arrays saved immensely on instruction fetches because each function call to manipulate the segments did not necessitate an additional function call to access the element from the UArray. Because UArrays store their length, this step necessitated a change in abstraction as well: storing the length of the segment as the 0<sup>th</sup> element. We then used a static inline function to address the necessary offset changes for the accessing of elements in memory.
- **8.** The length of the instruction segment required an additional dereference for each iteration of the loop. Because this value remains constant with the exception of when a new program is loaded, it can be stored and reset when said situation arises. This removed a dereference of the memory segments and an additional function call from every iteration of the instruction execution loop.
- **9.** While abstraction is useful for several of the register functions (aka placing them in their own module), loadValue was called with such frequency that the additional overhead of function calling for this simple store within registers was hurting performance more than it was proving a useful abstraction.
- **10.** Instructions being stored as the first element of the memory segments array necessitated two dereferences for each instruction fetch from memory. This could be easily reduced to a single dereference by moving the instructions outside of

mappedIDs and making it a separate member of the memory struct. While the 0<sup>th</sup> element of the mappedIDs is still mapped when the program is loaded, the actual segment containing the instructions is only loaded into the separate instructions array. This achieves the optimization without interfering with the data structure invariants.

- **11.** Inlining resize functions did not prove to speed up the program given the trivial number of times they were called and executed; however, we thought that this may have added savings depending on the original size of our mappedIDs, which affects the calling rate for this function.
- **12.** The assert statements in each call to the segmentedLoad and segmentedStore was adding two function calls to each execution of the function. While these statements would provide useful error messages upon exiting the program, they are not required in the specs as the machine is allowed to segfault if the program asks to load and store memory in segments that are not yet mapped.
- **13.** Moving the instructions entirely outside of the memory segments struct eliminated a dereference with each instruction load.
- **14.** After examining kcachegrind, it was apparent that the actual calling of the function execute\_instruction was presenting a greater cost than the actual contents of the function. Inlining it eliminated a function call from each loop iteration of instruction execution while preserving the separation of ideas created by having it as its own function.