

Dashboard

Recommendations (0)

Cases	00
Practical Guidance	00
Treatises	00
Arguments	00

Based on 0 key passage(s) we have identified from your document.

Similar Briefs (0)

Briefs recommendations based on the legal concepts and citations in your document.

Cited in your document (68)

 Warning	1
 Questioned	0
 Caution	19
 Positive	4
 Neutral	18
 Unverified	19
 No Signal	7

Quote Check (0)

0 incorrect and 0 correct quotes identified in your brief

Jurisdiction (15)

3rd Circuit, 6th Circuit, U.S. Supreme Court, 4th Circuit, 9th Circuit, 8th Circuit, 2nd Circuit, 10th Circuit, 11th Circuit, 7th Circuit, 5th Circuit, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Maine, Connecticut

Extracted Concepts (1)

You have selected the following legal concepts in your document:

Farm

Recommendations

Concepts extracted from your document (1)

Farm

Recommendation (0)

0 passages in your document have recommendations.

Similar Briefs

Briefs (0)

Cited in your document

Cited In Your Document (19)

1.  578 F.3d 412

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [Lindsay v. Yates](#)

Cases 6th Cir. Ohio | August 21, 2009 | 578 F.3d 407

OVERVIEW: Potential buyers alleging housing discrimination were not required to show the property had not \"remained available\" after the contract was terminated since termination of the contract two days after the owners discovered the buyers were African Americans was additional evidence to infer discrimination; summary judgment to the owners was reversed.

2.  571 F.3d 504

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [Kramer v. Paul Revere Life Ins. Co.](#)

Cases 6th Cir. Mich. | April 8, 2009 | 571 F.3d 499

OVERVIEW: In claimant's ERISA action, district court erred in determining that an insurance company's decision to terminate long-term disability benefits was not arbitrary and capricious after the district court determined on de novo review that the evidence established total disability under virtually identical terms of another insurance company's policy.

3.  546 F.3d 636

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [Vaught v. Scottsdale Healthcare Corp. Health Plan](#)

Cases 9th Cir. Ariz. | September 29, 2008 | 546 F.3d 620

OVERVIEW: Claimant under health benefit plan effectively exhausted remedies for purposes of claim against plan under 29 U.S.C.S. § 1132(a) of ERISA; claimant was not required to provide substantive basis because assertion of procedural errors was sufficient to invoke internal review process. Claim under § 1132(c) failed because plan was not proper defendant.

4.  558 U.S. 662

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [Citizens United v. FEC](#)

Cases U.S. | January 21, 2010 | 558 U.S. 310

OVERVIEW: Ban under 2 U.S.C.S. § 441b on corporate independent expenditures for electioneering communications violated the First Amendment, as political speech could not be suppressed on the basis of the speaker's corporate identity. Disclaimer and disclosure provisions under 2 U.S.C.S. §§ 434 and 441d were valid as applied to a film about a candidate.

5.  552 U.S. 544

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [Medellin v. Texas](#)

Cases U.S. | March 25, 2008 | 552 U.S. 491

OVERVIEW: International Court of Justice decision that a violation of the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, Apr. 24, 1963, 21 U.S.T. 77, 596 U.N.T.S. 261, entitled a state inmate to reconsideration of his conviction was not directly enforceable, nor could the President unilaterally give the decision domestic effect.

6. 

2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24805

7. 

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [Zirinsky v. Zirinsky](#)

Cases Conn. App. Ct. | February 8, 2005 | 87 Conn. App. 257

OVERVIEW: By granting the ex-wife's motion to strike the postjudgment motion for modification, the rules of practice were not followed. Thus, the court improperly granted the motion to strike the postjudgment motion for modification.

8. 

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [North River Ins. Co. v. Mayor & City Council of Baltimore](#)

Cases Md. | August 1, 1996 | 343 Md. 34

OVERVIEW: A trial court erroneously entered default judgments against two insurance companies as sanctions for discovery violations. The appellate court found the trial court failed to address certain confidentiality issues raised by the insurers.

9. 

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [Camden Nat'l Bank v. S.S. Navigation Co.](#)

Cases Me. | April 1, 2010 | 2010 ME 29

OVERVIEW: As attorney's agreement with debtor provided that his contingent fee would be based on collection of judgment or settlement and paid from funds received in trust, and as total jury award was never collected or received in trust, attorney was entitled to amount owed to debtor after bank's foreclosure claims were set off against debtor's jury award.

10. 

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [CSX Transp., Inc. v. Bickerstaff](#)

Cases Md. Ct. Spec. App. | August 26, 2009 | 187 Md. App. 187

OVERVIEW: In FELA case, error in allowing employees' counsel to conduct demonstration using ballast was harmless given railroad's introduction of video showing person rolling foot and tripping on ballast. Refusal to allow jury to apportion damages among railroad's negligence, employees' contributory negligence, and other causes prejudiced railroad's case.

11. 

Here is the closest citation we found:

 [Laurence Wolf Capital Mgmt. Trust v. City of Ferndale](#)

Cases 6th Cir. Mich. | April 10, 2003 | 61 Fed. Appx. 204

OVERVIEW: City's board of zoning appeals erred by denying wireless carrier's variance application to place wireless communication antenna on building's roof because request was a nonuse variance and carrier satisfied the practical difficulties standard.

Download: Brief Analysis: Full Delivery Report

12.  65 Fed. Appx. 133
Here is the closest citation we found:

I Saumur v. Robles**Cases** 9th Cir. Cal. | May 8, 2003 | 65 Fed. Appx. 132

OVERVIEW: In a § 1983 action, the facts failed to demonstrate that an affidavit supporting the issuance of a search warrant would not have supported probable cause had alleged omissions been included, and thus the warrant was supported by probable cause.

13. 
2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19860

14. 
2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93763

15.  724 F. Supp. 2d 591
Here is the closest citation we found:

A United States v. Divine**Cases** Western Dist. Va. | July 20, 2010 | 724 F. Supp. 2d 590

OVERVIEW: The government's request for restitution was denied because the government presented no evidence tending to support the proposition that the Virginia Department of Medical Assistance Services suffered any actual loss, much less supporting a calculation of actual loss.

16. 
2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 69813

17.  674 F. Supp. 2d 718
Here is the closest citation we found:

▲ Ervin v. Cont'l Conveyor & Equip. Co.**Cases** Dist. S.C. | July 23, 2009 | 674 F. Supp. 2d 709

OVERVIEW: Plaintiff's personal injury suit for the loss of an arm while using a conveyor system at work was not barred by the South Carolina Statute of Repose, S.C. Code Ann. § 15-3-640, because the conveyor system was not a permanent improvement to real estate, even though it was bolted down, since it had been disassembled and moved to its present location.

18.  617 F. Supp. 2d 623
Here is the closest citation we found:

● Cvijeticinovic v. Eberlin**Cases** Northern Dist. Ohio | March 31, 2008 | 617 F. Supp. 2d 620

OVERVIEW: Where a habeas petitioner alleged that his Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment rights under Blakely were violated when he was sentenced to more than a minimum sentence based on judicial fact-finding, the petitioner showed cause for excusing procedural default because Blakely was decided after the state supreme court dismissed his direct appeal.

19. 
2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 190986

Quote Check**Quote Check (0)**

End of Document