

# **Lecture 2: Insertion Sort**

 $CS 360 \rightarrow Lecture Notes \rightarrow Lecture 2$ 

# **Insertion Sort**

All sorting algorithms have the property that given a set of **keys**:

**Input**: Sequence of numbers  $\langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \rangle$ 

**Output**: Permutation  $\langle a_1', a_2', ..., a_n' \rangle$  such that  $a_1' \leq a_2' \leq ... \leq a_n'$ 

# **Algorithm**

*Insertion Sort* accomplishes this task by looping through each element moving it into place within the preceding elements in the array. Thus insertion sort *sorts in place*, i.e. the array can be reordered with a *constant* (regardless of input size) amount of extra storage (in this case just a single temp variable for swapping). The pseudocode for the algorithm is:

```
INSERTION-SORT(A)
1  for j = 2 to A.length
2   key = A[j]
3    // Insert A[j] into the sorted sequence A[1..j-1]
4   i = j - 1
5   while i > 0 and A[i] > key
6    A[i+1] = A[i]
7   i = i - 1
8   A[i+1] = key
```

#### **Proof of Correctness**

In order to *prove* the correctness of an algorithm, i.e. that it will work for *any* input set, we construct a *loop invariant* which is a condition that holds for each iteration of the loop (thus the name invariant). Then the proof consists of verifying that the algorithm maintains the loop invariant for three conditions:

- 1. **Initialization** the loop invariant holds prior to the first iteration.
- 2. **Maintenance** if the loop invariant is true prior to an iteration, then it is still true after the iteration.
- 3. **Termination** the loop invariant is true *when the loop terminates*, i.e. after the last iteration thus producing the desired result

Note: This procedure is similar to an inductive proof with a base case and induction step but with an added termination criteria.

The loop invariant for insertion sort can be stated as follows:

```
At each step, A[1..j-1] contains the first j-1 elements in SORTED order.
```

The proof of correctness is then straightforward:

7/29/2017 Lecture 2: Insertion Sort

**Initialization**: Prior to the loop  $j = 2 \Rightarrow A[1...j-1] = A[1]$  which contains only the A[1...j-1] elements (of which there is only one) and since there is only a single element they are trivially sorted.

**Maintenance**: The outer **for** loop selects element A[j] and positions it properly into A[1...j-1] via the while loop. Since the array A[1...j-1] began sorted, inserting element A[j] into the proper place produces A[1...j] in sorted order (and contains the first j elements).

**Termination**: The loop terminates when  $j = n+1 \Rightarrow A[1...j-1] = A[1...(n+1)-1] = A[1...n]$  which since the array remains sorted after each iteration gives A[1...n] is sorted when the loop terminates (and contains *all* the original elements)  $\Rightarrow$  the entire *original* array is sorted.

### **Analysis**

For all analysis in this course we assume that the algorithm will be implemented by a *program* run on a *generic computer*, i.e. single processor with random access memory (parallel algorithms are beyond the scope of this course but are extremely important in today's computing environments).

We will define the *input size*, n, to typically be the number of elements in the input set (but it could represent the number of bits in a representation or other appropriate enumeration). The *running time* will then be the total number of execution steps as a function of n the algorithm takes to complete. We will assume that each line of pseudocode executes in a *constant* amount of time (although that amount may vary from line to line). Thus we multiply the (constant) time each line takes to execute by the number of times the line executes to find a cost per line and then sum the costs of all lines to give the *run time* of the algorithm.

For insertion sort we will define a variable  $t_j$  to be the number of times the while loop test is performed (which will be one more time than the body of the loop is executed) where j = 2,3,...,n with n = A.length.

General Run Time

The run time for insertion sort can then be written as

$$T(n) = c_1 n + c_2(n-1) + c_4(n-1) + c_5 \sum_{j=2}^{n} t_j + c_6 \sum_{j=2}^{n} (t_j - 1) + c_7 \sum_{j=2}^{n} (t_j - 1) + c_8(n-1)$$

where the  $c_i$ 's are the cost of each line (noting that  $c_3 = 0$  since line 3 is a comment).

Case 1: Best Case

The best case for insertion sort is when the input array is already sorted, in which case the while loop never executes (but the condition must be checked once). Thus  $t_j = 1$  for all j = 2,3,... n (i.e.  $t_{j-1} = 0$ ) and the run time reduces to:

$$T(n) = c_1 n + c_2 (n-1) + c_4 (n-1) + c_5 \sum_{j=2}^{n} 1 + c_8 (n-1)$$

$$= c_1 n + c_2 (n-1) + c_4 (n-1) + c_5 (n-1) + c_8 (n-1)$$

$$= (c_1 + c_2 + c_4 + c_5 + c_8) n - (c_2 + c_4 + c_5 + c_8)$$

$$= an + b$$

which is a *linear* function of n.

#### Case 2: Worst Case

The worst case for insertion sort is when the input array is in reverse (decreasing) sorted order, in which case the while loop executes the maximum number of times. Thus  $t_j = j$  for j = 2,3,...,n. Using Appendix A of CLRS, the summation terms can be reduced as follows:

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n} j = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} j\right) - 1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1$$

and

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n} (j-1) = (\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k) = \frac{(n-1)n}{2}$$

Thus the run time becomes

$$T(n) = c_1 n + c_2 (n-1) + c_4 (n-1) + c_5 \left( \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1 \right) + c_6 \left( \frac{(n-1)n}{2} \right) + c_7 \left( \frac{(n-1)n}{2} \right) + c_8 (n-1)$$

$$= \left( \frac{c_5}{2} + \frac{c_6}{2} + \frac{c_7}{2} \right) n^2 + \left( c_1 + c_2 + c_4 + \frac{c_5}{2} - \frac{c_6}{2} - \frac{c_7}{2} + c_8 \right) n - (c_2 + c_4 + c_5 + c_8)$$

$$= an^2 + bn + c$$

which is a *quadratic* function of n.

Usually we are only concerned with the worst case behavior for several reasons

- it gives an upper bound on the run time
- it occurs often for certain problems, e.g. searching for a non-existant element
- average case is usually close to the worst case, e.g. for insertion sort average case has  $t_i = j/2$  which still gives quadratic run time (only with different constants)

## Asymptotic Analysis

As far as the run time dependency on n, the actual statement execution times are irrelevant, i.e. the  $c_i$ 's can simply be lumped together. Furthermore as n becomes large (i.e. asymptotically), the highest order term will ultimately dominate the *growth* of the function (and is known as the measure of the *order of growth*). Finally we ignore the leading constant to give the *asymptotic* run time of insertion sort as  $\Theta$  ( $n^2$ ).

7/29/2017 Lecture 2: Insertion Sort

	Note: Asymptotic notation gives the <i>rate of growth</i> , i.e. performance, of the run time for <i>large input sizes</i> and is <b>not</b> a measure of the <i>particular</i> run time for a specific input size (which should be done empirically).
Ī	