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# Introduction

S

TROKE kills about 140,000 Americans every year, meaning 1 out of every 20 deaths in the United States are stroke-related [1]. Put in a more startling way: someone in the United States has a stroke every 40 seconds, and, every 4 minutes, someone dies of stroke [1]. It is a serious, widespread issue, touching most people’s lives. Prevention research and specific preemptive drug treatments are making great strides in lowering the risk of strokes, but the reality is that they still happen. Therefore, treatment of ischemic stroke patients is an absolute priority - particularly with a focus on maximizing the recovery of affected tissue. Integral to tissue recovery is the usage of tissue outcome prediction - the process of determining which stroke-affected areas may survive - to inform clinical decision-making. As such, given the common-place nature of strokes, and the importance of predicting its survivability, deducing better methods of prediction is absolutely imperative.

Conventional methods of obtaining images for the prediction of tissue outcome after the treatment of ischemic stroke patients include Computed Tomography (CT) Scan and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Scan [5]. Both generally use techniques consisting of Cerebral Angiography or Source Perfusion Imaging [6]. Cerebral Angiography uses contrast material to produce detailed pictures of major blood vessels in the brain including blood clots or narrowing arteries [7]. The scans are timed perfectly with the arrival of the contrast into the brain [2]. Perfusion Imaging, on the other hand, use nonradioactive substances through the blood vessels and takes scans over time to see what areas of the brain got the most blood - giving information about blood blow throughout the brain [3]. Grey areas indicate occluded or clotted blood flow that comes with negative side effects and symptoms.

Three days after surgery to open up the blood vessel physically, another MRI Scan will be performed to see possible post-op damage. Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) images are produced from this MRI Scan to annotate lesions post-surgery [5]. For this study, we will use Source Perfusion Weighted MRI scans obtained after the admission of the stroke patient and Machine Learning to predict possible Lesion growth after surgery. The main advantage of Machine Learning approaches to such images is that we can take advantage of a large number of previous surgeries and estimate future surgeries based on largely non-linear functions. These functions are obtained by methods including Linear Regression, Decision Trees, K-Nearest Neighbors, Logistic Regression, Support Vector Machines (SVMs), and Mixture Models.

Previously, we would rely on doctors analyzing contrast-intensity time curves which have a lot of noise and motion artifacts [8]. Manual analysis is time intensive and can be unreliable. In current practice, programs use the spatial differences between diffusion and perfusion-weighted MRI to differentiate irreversible blockage of blood supply from salvageable tissue. Early models of tissue outcome based on computer vision and pattern recognition techniques have been trained on a voxel-by-voxel basis using perfusion imaging. However, more recently, relative to single-voxel-based methods, models of tissue prediction that use data in the surrounding area of the target have been shown an improved accuracy in relation to the predictive model. [10]

In Machine Learning, parameters are continually adjusted to achieve better performance with new training data. Past research studies on Hemorrhage Severity in the Brain have shown high accuracy using Machine Learning Models [9]. We will use Lesion labels and PWI - MRI Scans from past surgeries to predict Lesion labels for new surgeries.

Ultimately, the aim of this paper is singular: to examine the various machine learning methods of tissue outcome prediction, rank them, and determine which is the best. By best, we mean the method which has the highest rates of successful prediction, the most amount of the time; put shortly: the most consistently accurate. To achieve this goal, we utilize multiple machine learning algorithms available in the sci-kit learn package. First, we train them on sets of images such that they can observe before and after shots of brain tissue. Then, we allow them to operate on a broader set of images, this time predicting various tissue regions’ survival via a binary survive or did not survive. We then compare the algorithms’ predictions with the actual results of survival, and determine the accuracy. Clearly, more accurate is better, and so, in this way, we can deduce which algorithm is best suited to this problem domain. By creating such a ranking, we hope to create a reference for clinicians to use to better inform their decisions regarding tissue outcomes. Knowing the strengths and weaknesses of each machine-aided analysis, and their relative accuracy, will give decision-makers a greater context on which to base their understanding, and their ultimate choices. With clear-eyed, open data regarding various methods’ effectiveness, clinicians will be able to more scientifically formulate plans of action, ultimately improving patient care and outcomes.

# Ease of Use

## Selecting a Template (Heading 2)

First, confirm that you have the correct template for your paper size. This template has been tailored for output on the A4 paper size. If you are using US letter-sized paper, please close this file and download the Microsoft Word, Letter file.

## Maintaining the Integrity of the Specifications

The template is used to format your paper and style the text. All margins, column widths, line spaces, and text fonts are prescribed; please do not alter them. You may note peculiarities. For example, the head margin in this template measures proportionately more than is customary. This measurement and others are deliberate, using specifications that anticipate your paper as one part of the entire proceedings, and not as an independent document. Please do not revise any of the current designations.

# Prepare Your Paper Before Styling

Before you begin to format your paper, first write and save the content as a separate text file. Complete all content and organizational editing before formatting. Please note sections A-D below for more information on proofreading, spelling and grammar.

Keep your text and graphic files separate until after the text has been formatted and styled. Do not use hard tabs, and limit use of hard returns to only one return at the end of a paragraph. Do not add any kind of pagination anywhere in the paper. Do not number text heads-the template will do that for you.

## Abbreviations and Acronyms

Define abbreviations and acronyms the first time they are used in the text, even after they have been defined in the abstract. Abbreviations such as IEEE, SI, MKS, CGS, sc, dc, and rms do not have to be defined. Do not use abbreviations in the title or heads unless they are unavoidable.

## Units

* Use either SI (MKS) or CGS as primary units. (SI units are encouraged.) English units may be used as secondary units (in parentheses). An exception would be the use of English units as identifiers in trade, such as “3.5-inch disk drive”.
* Avoid combining SI and CGS units, such as current in amperes and magnetic field in oersteds. This often leads to confusion because equations do not balance dimensionally. If you must use mixed units, clearly state the units for each quantity that you use in an equation.
* Do not mix complete spellings and abbreviations of units: “Wb/m2” or “webers per square meter”, not “webers/m2”. Spell out units when they appear in text: “. . . a few henries”, not “. . . a few H”.

Identify applicable funding agency here. If none, delete this text box.

* Use a zero before decimal points: “0.25”, not “.25”. Use “cm3”, not “cc”. (*bullet list*)

## Equations

The equations are an exception to the prescribed specifications of this template. You will need to determine whether or not your equation should be typed using either the Times New Roman or the Symbol font (please no other font). To create multileveled equations, it may be necessary to treat the equation as a graphic and insert it into the text after your paper is styled.

Number equations consecutively. Equation numbers, within parentheses, are to position flush right, as in (1), using a right tab stop. To make your equations more compact, you may use the solidus ( / ), the exp function, or appropriate exponents. Italicize Roman symbols for quantities and variables, but not Greek symbols. Use a long dash rather than a hyphen for a minus sign. Punctuate equations with commas or periods when they are part of a sentence, as in:

*a**b* 

Note that the equation is centered using a center tab stop. Be sure that the symbols in your equation have been defined before or immediately following the equation. Use “(1)”, not “Eq. (1)” or “equation (1)”, except at the beginning of a sentence: “Equation (1) is . . .”

## Some Common Mistakes

* The word “data” is plural, not singular.
* The subscript for the permeability of vacuum **0, and other common scientific constants, is zero with subscript formatting, not a lowercase letter “o”.
* In American English, commas, semicolons, periods, question and exclamation marks are located within quotation marks only when a complete thought or name is cited, such as a title or full quotation. When quotation marks are used, instead of a bold or italic typeface, to highlight a word or phrase, punctuation should appear outside of the quotation marks. A parenthetical phrase or statement at the end of a sentence is punctuated outside of the closing parenthesis (like this). (A parenthetical sentence is punctuated within the parentheses.)
* A graph within a graph is an “inset”, not an “insert”. The word alternatively is preferred to the word “alternately” (unless you really mean something that alternates).
* Do not use the word “essentially” to mean “approximately” or “effectively”.
* In your paper title, if the words “that uses” can accurately replace the word “using”, capitalize the “u”; if not, keep using lower-cased.
* Be aware of the different meanings of the homophones “affect” and “effect”, “complement” and “compliment”, “discreet” and “discrete”, “principal” and “principle”.
* Do not confuse “imply” and “infer”.
* The prefix “non” is not a word; it should be joined to the word it modifies, usually without a hyphen.
* There is no period after the “et” in the Latin abbreviation “et al.”.
* The abbreviation “i.e.” means “that is”, and the abbreviation “e.g.” means “for example”.

An excellent style manual for science writers is [7].

# Using the Template

After the text edit has been completed, the paper is ready for the template. Duplicate the template file by using the Save As command, and use the naming convention prescribed by your conference for the name of your paper. In this newly created file, highlight all of the contents and import your prepared text file. You are now ready to style your paper; use the scroll down window on the left of the MS Word Formatting toolbar.

## Authors and Affiliations

**The template is designed for, but not limited to, six authors.** A minimum of one author is required for all conference articles. Author names should be listed starting from left to right and then moving down to the next line. This is the author sequence that will be used in future citations and by indexing services. Names should not be listed in columns nor group by affiliation. Please keep your affiliations as succinct as possible (for example, do not differentiate among departments of the same organization).

### For papers with more than six authors: Add author names horizontally, moving to a third row if needed for more than 8 authors.

### For papers with less than six authors: To change the default, adjust the template as follows.

#### Selection: Highlight all author and affiliation lines.

#### Change number of columns: Select the Columns icon from the MS Word Standard toolbar and then select the correct number of columns from the selection palette.

#### Deletion: Delete the author and affiliation lines for the extra authors.

## Identify the Headings

Headings, or heads, are organizational devices that guide the reader through your paper. There are two types: component heads and text heads.

Component heads identify the different components of your paper and are not topically subordinate to each other. Examples include Acknowledgments and References and, for these, the correct style to use is “Heading 5”. Use “figure caption” for your Figure captions, and “table head” for your table title. Run-in heads, such as “Abstract”, will require you to apply a style (in this case, italic) in addition to the style provided by the drop down menu to differentiate the head from the text.

Text heads organize the topics on a relational, hierarchical basis. For example, the paper title is the primary text head because all subsequent material relates and elaborates on this one topic. If there are two or more sub-topics, the next level head (uppercase Roman numerals) should be used and, conversely, if there are not at least two sub-topics, then no subheads should be introduced. Styles named “Heading 1”, “Heading 2”, “Heading 3”, and “Heading 4” are prescribed.

## Figures and Tables

#### Positioning Figures and Tables: Place figures and tables at the top and bottom of columns. Avoid placing them in the middle of columns. Large figures and tables may span across both columns. Figure captions should be below the figures; table heads should appear above the tables. Insert figures and tables after they are cited in the text. Use the abbreviation “Fig. 1”, even at the beginning of a sentence.

1. Table Type Styles

| Table Head | Table Column Head | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table column subhead | Subhead | Subhead |
| copy | More table copya |  |  |

1. Sample of a Table footnote. (*Table footnote*)
2. Example of a figure caption. (*figure caption*)

Figure Labels: Use 8 point Times New Roman for Figure labels. Use words rather than symbols or abbreviations when writing Figure axis labels to avoid confusing the reader. As an example, write the quantity “Magnetization”, or “Magnetization, M”, not just “M”. If including units in the label, present them within parentheses. Do not label axes only with units. In the example, write “Magnetization (A/m)” or “Magnetization {A[m(1)]}”, not just “A/m”. Do not label axes with a ratio of quantities and units. For example, write “Temperature (K)”, not “Temperature/K”.

##### Acknowledgment *(Heading 5)*

The preferred spelling of the word “acknowledgment” in America is without an “e” after the “g”. Avoid the stilted expression “one of us (R. B. G.) thanks ...”. Instead, try “R. B. G. thanks...”. Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

##### References

The template will number citations consecutively within brackets [1]. The sentence punctuation follows the bracket [2]. Refer simply to the reference number, as in [3]—do not use “Ref. [3]” or “reference [3]” except at the beginning of a sentence: “Reference [3] was the first ...”

Number footnotes separately in superscripts. Place the actual footnote at the bottom of the column in which it was cited. Do not put footnotes in the abstract or reference list. Use letters for table footnotes.

Unless there are six authors or more give all authors’ names; do not use “et al.”. Papers that have not been published, even if they have been submitted for publication, should be cited as “unpublished” [4]. Papers that have been accepted for publication should be cited as “in press” [5]. Capitalize only the first word in a paper title, except for proper nouns and element symbols.

For papers published in translation journals, please give the English citation first, followed by the original foreign-language citation [6].
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