Temporal Difference Methods are Not Gradient Descent COMP 767

Matthew Smith

Summary of: Temporal Difference Methods and Markov Models by Etienne Barnard

Overview

Introduction

Problem Setting: Sequential Prediction Temporal Difference Methods and Markov Models Value Case

TD is Not Gradient Descent Explanation Results

Problem Setting: Sequential Prediction

- ▶ Tabular Markov Process, in which the state s_t of the system is observed at each time step t.
- ▶ After m_{σ} such steps, a terminal state z_{σ} is reached. (σ indexes the trajectory)
- ▶ The goal is to predict the (binary) value of the terminal state from the previous states: $P(z_{\sigma} = Z | s_t = s)$.

Temporal Difference Methods and Markov Models

► We can express the probability of terminating in state *Z*, given a current state, *s* as the empirical ratio:

$$w_s = n_Z s/n_s$$

where n_s is the number of trajectories in which state s is reached, and $n_Z s$ is the number of such trajectories that end in Z

Temporal Difference Methods and Markov Models

► We can express the probability of terminating in state *Z*, given a current state, *s* as the empirical ratio:

$$w_s = n_Z s/n_s$$

where n_s is the number of trajectories in which state s is reached, and $n_Z s$ is the number of such trajectories that end in Z

► However, this is not data efficient - we don't leverage the Markov structure.

▶ Instead, represent *w_s* as the sum:

$$w_s = h_s + \sum_{s'} P(s'|s) w_{s'}$$

where h_s is the probability of terminating in Z directly from state s, and P denotes the transition probability.

▶ Instead, represent *w_s* as the sum:

$$w_s = h_s + \sum_{s'} P(s'|s) w_{s'}$$

where h_s is the probability of terminating in Z directly from state s, and P denotes the transition probability.

▶ Since *h* and *P* are also probabilities, we can estimate them using empirical frequencies:

$$h_s = m_{sZ}/n_s$$
 $P(s'|s) = m_{ss'}/n_s$

• multiply through by $n_{s'}$:

$$\sum_{s'} m_{ss'} w_s - n_{s'} w_s' + m_{sZ} = 0$$

• multiply through by $n_{s'}$:

$$\sum_{s'} m_{ss'} w_s - n_{s'} w_s' + m_{sZ} = 0$$

Or in matrix form:

$$(\mathsf{M}-\mathsf{N})\mathsf{w}+\mathsf{m}=0$$

• multiply through by $n_{s'}$:

$$\sum_{s'} m_{ss'} w_s - n_{s'} w_s' + m_{sZ} = 0$$

Or in matrix form:

$$(\mathsf{M}-\mathsf{N})\mathsf{w}+\mathsf{m}=\mathsf{0}$$

Which can be solved iteratively by:

$$\mathbf{w} \rightarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha \left[(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{N}) \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{m} \right]$$

► Here we apply the one-step contributions to *M* and *N* at every step.

- ► Here we apply the one-step contributions to *M* and *N* at every step.
- Assuming x_t represents the one-hot state encoding vector, we can express this as:

$$\mathbf{w} \to \mathbf{w} + \alpha \left[(\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_{t+1}^\top - \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{x}_t \delta_{s_{t+1} Z} \right]$$
(1)

$$= \mathbf{w} + \alpha \left[(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}^{\top} \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top} \mathbf{w} + \delta_{s_{t+1}Z}) \mathbf{x}_{t} \right]$$
 (2)

which looks like TD.

Value Accumulation

▶ Similarly, we can express the value as the sum:

$$v_s = r_s + \sum_{s'} P(s'|s)v_{s'}$$

.

Value Accumulation

▶ Similarly, we can express the value as the sum:

$$v_s = r_s + \sum_{s'} P(s'|s)v_{s'}$$

▶ Now r_s is no longer a probability, so this gives us:

$$(\mathsf{M}-\mathsf{N})\mathsf{v}+\mathsf{N}\mathsf{r}=0$$

Value Accumulation

Similarly, we can express the value as the sum:

$$v_s = r_s + \sum_{s'} P(s'|s) v_{s'}$$

Now r_s is no longer a probability, so this gives us:

$$(M-N)v+Nr=0$$

And using the same approximations as before gives the TD update that we all know:

$$\mathbf{v} \to \mathbf{v} + \alpha \left[(\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_{t+1}^\top - \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{r} \right]$$
 (3)

$$= \mathbf{v} + \alpha \left[(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}^{\top} \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_{t}^{\top} \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{x}_{t} \right]$$
(4)

▶ If TD were gradient descent, we would have:

$$abla J(\mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_{t+1}^{ op} - \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^{ op}) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^{ op} \mathbf{r}$$

▶ If TD were gradient descent, we would have:

$$abla J(\mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_{t+1}^{ op} - \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^{ op}) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_t \mathbf{x}_t^{ op} \mathbf{r}$$

However, we then have:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_i} = x_{ti} \left[(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}^\top - \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{r} \right]$$
 (5)

and

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_i} = x_{tj} \left[(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}^\top - \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{r} \right]$$
 (6)

We have:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_i} = x_{ti} \left[(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}^\top - \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{r} \right] \text{ and }$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_j} = x_{tj} \left[(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}^\top - \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{r} \right]$$

We have:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_i} = x_{ti} \left[(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}^\top - \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{r} \right] \text{ and }$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_j} = x_{tj} \left[(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}^\top - \mathbf{x}_t^\top) \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{r} \right]$$

▶ But this means that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial v_i \partial v_j} = x_{ti} (x_{(t+1)j} - x_{tj})$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial v_i \partial v_i} = x_{tj} (x_{(t+1)i} - x_{ti})$$

Since:

$$\frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial v_i \partial v_j} \neq \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial v_j \partial v_i}$$

TD updates do not come from the derivative of a differentiable function.

Note that this slide does not actually prove anything, but in a two parameter, nonabsorbing, 4 state environment, with 0 reward everywhere, we see that TD does not follow the gradient of the MSVE function.

