

	True False Chric acid <= 0.3 gini = 0.6 gini = 0.6 gini = 0.6 gini = 0.6 gini = 0.8 gini = 0.8
	Decision Trees is one of the ML algorithms that can be readily interpretated by humans. So suppose that we have a sample such that chlorides<=0, density<=1, alcohol<=11.1 then we would end up at the first leaf on the left. Here we can see that the leaf is far from pure with a high gini of 0.6. We see that we have 86 samples and the classified class will be clabecause it has the largest number of samples, namely 71 (at the value array, classes start from 3 and end to 9). On Random Forests If we see the number of samples in the head node it is 2507 which is significantly lower than the total number of training samples (3917). This is because Random Forests incorporate two kind of random processes: 1. Pick a random number of samples 2. Pick a random number of features from the feature vector
[26]:	This ensures the uniqueness of its trees. This method is called bootstrap agreggating or short bagging. Variable Importances Lets investigate the importance of each variable. We already tried to do such a thing earlier with correlation but this is the real deal! It is not at an uncommon occurrence that too many variables might spoil the model. Who knows, maybe we could even further boost our model. importances = list(rf.feature_importances_) imp_variables = [(feat, imp) for feat, imp in zip(features_list, importances)] # Sort imp_variables.sort(key=lambda x: x[1], reverse=True) imp_variables [('alcohol', 0.11638419885565435),
	<pre>('density', 0.10592626788719835), ('volatile acidity', 0.09957170080572005), ('free sulfur dioxide', 0.09378964518565094), ('total sulfur dioxide', 0.09084575824914852), ('residual sugar', 0.08537025163174733), ('pH', 0.0852806344591873), ('chlorides', 0.08267180779843683), ('citric acid', 0.08244597618217847), ('sulphates', 0.08221810955677061), ('fixed acidity', 0.07549564938830727)]</pre> # Visualize variable importances plt.bar(features_list, importances) plt.xticks(rotation='vertical') plt.xlabel("Features"); plt.ylabel("Importances"); plt.title("Variable Importances"); plt.show()
	0.12 0.10 \$\frac{0.08}{0.08} 0.04 0.02 0.00
	tixed acidity fixed acidity fixed acidity volatile acidity citric acid chlorides Chlorides The result is quite different from the SVN output as reported in the paper. For the white wine the top 5 variable importances are:
	 Sulphates: 20% Alcohol: 14% Residual Sugar: 13% Citric acid: 11% Total Sulfur dioxide 10.5% In our model the results are quite different with only two results being in the top five for both models. This tells us that for predicting the quality of a white wine, alcohol is the most important feature, follower by volatile acidity and density will have more than 10% of the importance. Maybe this is a sign of why our model is doing better. After all the paper is from 2009 and it is quite likely that the state-of-the-art implementation of scikit-learn is more robust than the algorithm used by the paper. To conclude, all our variables seem to be important and only minor differences exist between them.
	Conclusion The model that we build can classify with 96.22% the quality of the wine (with a tolerance of 1 point in both directions). This is much bett than the 89% accuracy of the paper (from 2009). Next we will take a look at possible ways to adjust and improve the model.