Supplement to: On the Robustness of Reciprocal Associations Between Personality and Religiosity in a German Sample

Richard E. Lucas¹ & Julia Rohrer²

- ¹ Department of Psychology, Michigan State University
 - ² Department of Psychology, University of Leipzig

Author Note

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Richard E. Lucas, 316 Physics Rd., Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48823. E-mail: lucasri@msu.edu

Supplement to: On the Robustness of Reciprocal Associations Between Personality and Religiosity in a German Sample

State Specific Estimates and Convergence Problems

Table 1
Estimates (with 95% confidence intervals) and convergence and estimation problems in the CLPM with latent traits and all traits modeled sin

	,	Agreeableness	ပိ	Conscientiousness		Extraversion		Neurchcism
State	Prob.	Prob. Estimate	Prob.	Estimate	Prob.	Estimate	Prob.	Estinate
Schleswig-Holstein	No	0.05 (-0.00, 0.10)	No	0.02 (-0.03, 0.07)	No	0.03 (-0.02, 0.08)	No	0.04 \$0.02, 0.
Hamburg	Yes	0.05 (-0.02, 0.12)	Yes		Yes	0.04 (-0.02, 0.10)	Yes	$0.00 \oplus 0.05, 0$
Lower Saxony	$N_{\rm o}$	0.02 (-0.01, 0.04)	$_{\rm o}^{ m N}$		$N_{\rm o}$	0.00(-0.02, 0.03)	$N_{\rm o}$	-0.0147-0.03, (
North Rhine-Westphalia	$N_{\rm o}$	$0.03 \ (0.01, \ 0.05)$	$_{\rm o}^{ m N}$	0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)	$N_{\rm o}$	$0.01 \ (-0.00, \ 0.03)$	$N_{\rm o}$	0.00塔0.02, 0.
Hesse	$N_{\rm O}$	$0.05 \ (0.01, \ 0.08)$	$N_{\rm o}$		$N_{\rm o}$	0.02 (-0.01, 0.05)	$N_{\rm o}$	$-0.02\overline{2}$ -0.05, (
Rhineland-Palatinate	$N_{\rm O}$	-0.01 (-0.05, 0.03)	$_{\rm o}^{ m N}$	-0.02 (-0.06, 0.02)	$N_{\rm o}$	0.00 (-0.03, 0.04)	$N_{\rm o}$	$0.01 \oplus 0.03, 0$
Baden-Wuerttemberg	$N_{\rm O}$	0.02 (-0.01, 0.05)	$N_{\rm o}$	-0.02 (-0.05, 0.00)	$N_{\rm o}$	-0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)	$N_{\rm o}$	-0.00 (-0.03, (
Bavaria	$N_{\rm O}$	-0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)	$_{\rm o}^{ m N}$	-0.01 (-0.03, 0.01)	$N_{\rm o}$	-0.00 (-0.02, 0.02)	$N_{\rm o}$	0.00 (-0.02, 0
Berlin	$N_{\rm O}$	$0.01 \ (-0.03, \ 0.05)$	$N_{\rm o}$	0.02 (-0.02, 0.05)	$N_{\rm o}$	$0.01 \ (-0.02, \ 0.04)$	$N_{\rm o}$	0.00 (-0.04, 0.
Brandenburg	$N_{\rm O}$	$0.01 \ (-0.03, \ 0.05)$	$N_{\rm o}$	-0.02 (-0.06, 0.02)	$N_{\rm o}$	0.02 (-0.02, 0.05)	$N_{\rm o}$	0.02 (-0.02, 0.02)
Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania	$N_{\rm O}$	0.02 (-0.04, 0.08)	$N_{\rm o}$	-0.01 (-0.06, 0.04)	$N_{\rm o}$	$0.01 \ (-0.04, \ 0.05)$	$N_{\rm o}$	-0.02 (-0.07, 0
Saxony	$N_{\rm O}$	0.00 (-0.03, 0.03)	$N_{\rm o}$	0.00 (-0.03, 0.03)	$N_{\rm o}$	0.00 (-0.02, 0.03)	$N_{\rm o}$	0.00 (-0.03, 0.
Saxony-Anhalt	$N_{\rm O}$	0.02 (-0.02, 0.06)	$N_{\rm o}$	$0.01 \ (-0.03, \ 0.04)$	$N_{\rm o}$	-0.01 (-0.05, 0.02)	$N_{\rm o}$	0.01 (-0.03, 0.
Thuringia	$_{ m O}^{ m N}$	$0.00 \ (-0.03, \ 0.04)$	$_{\rm o}^{ m No}$	0.02 (-0.02, 0.05)	m No		m No	-0.02 (-0.06, 0

References