

1. CFL:

1. $\neg S(x_1) \vee I(x_1)$
2. Negated goal: $\neg \forall x \neg I(x) \supset \neg S(x)$ becomes:
 2. a. $\neg I(a)$
 2. b. $S(a)$

[The alternative gives : 1. a. $\neg S(x_1, y_1) \vee \neg M(y_1) \vee I(f(x_1))$. 1. b. $\neg S(x_2, y_2) \vee \neg M(y_2) \vee E(x_2, f(x_2))$. 2. Negated goal: $\exists u I(u) \supset \forall v \forall w ((S(v, w) \supset \neg M(w))$ becomes: 2. a. $\neg I(u)$. 2. b. $S(a, b)$. 2. c. $M(b)$.]

2. CFL:

1. $\neg MAN(x_1) \vee MORTAL(x_1)$ *MAN(Conf)*
2. Negated goal: $\neg MORTAL(Conf)$ which doesn't change in CFL.

3. CFL:

1. $\neg P(x) \vee \neg M(x)$ *P(J)*
2. Negated goal: $\neg M(J)$ which doesn't change in CFL.

4. CFL:

1. Same as in FOPC.
2. Negated goal: $\neg \exists x HUSB(x, M)$ which becomes $\neg HUSB(y, M)$ which itself becomes $\neg HUSB(y, M) \vee \text{ANS}(y)$

5. CFL:

1. $\neg F(x_1, y_1) \vee \neg F(z_1, x_1) \vee GF(z_1, y_1)$
2. $F(f(w), w)$
3. Negated goal: $\neg \exists x \exists y GF(y, x)$ which becomes $\neg GF(y_2, x_2) \vee \text{ANS}(y_2)$

6. CFL:

1. a. $\neg M_g O \vee \neg H_2 \vee M_g$,
1. b. $\neg M_g O \vee \neg H_2 \vee H_2 O$
2. $\neg C \vee \neg O_2 \vee CO_2$
3. $\neg CO_2 \vee \neg H_2 O \vee H_2 CO_3$
4. $M_g O$
5. H_2
6. O_2
7. C
8. Negated goal: $\neg H_2 CO_3$ becomes $\neg H_2 CO_3$ in CFL

7. CFL:

1. a. $P(a)$
1. b. $\neg D(y) \vee L(a, y)$
2. a. $\neg P(x_1) \vee \neg Q(y_1) \vee \neg L(x_1, y_1)$
2. b. $\neg P(x_2) \vee \neg Q(y_2) \vee \neg L(x_2, y_2)$
3. Negated goal: $\neg \forall x (D(x) \supset \neg Q(x))$ becomes
3. a. $D(b)$
3. b. $Q(b)$

8. CFL:

1. a. $\neg E(x_1) \vee V(x_1) \vee S(x_1, f(x_1))$
1. b. $\neg E(x_2) \vee V(x_2) \vee C(f(x_2))$
2. a. $P(a)$
2. b. $E(a)$
2. c. $\neg S(a, y) \vee P(y)$
3. $\neg P(x_3) \vee \neg V(x_3)$
4. Negated goal: $\neg \exists x (P(x) \wedge C(x))$ becomes $\neg P(x_4) \vee \neg C(x_4)$

9. CFL:

1. If inclusive: $RAIN \vee HOT$; if exclusive: 1.a. $RAIN \vee HOT$; 1.b. $\neg RAIN \vee \neg HOT$
2. $\neg RAIN \vee HOT$
3. $RAIN \vee \neg HOT$
4. Negated goal: $\neg (HOT \supset \neg RAIN)$ becomes:
4. a. HOT
4. b. $RAIN$

10. CFL:

1. $\neg J(x) \vee MTA(x)$
2. $\neg AOP(y) \vee \neg J(y)$
3. Negated goal: $\neg \forall x (AOP(x) \supset \neg MTA(x))$ becomes:
3. a. $AOP(a)$
3. b. $MTA(a)$

11. CFL:

1. $\neg MISFIRE \vee \neg INT \vee IGLOOSE \vee BATLOOSE$
- 2-4. as in the original FOPC.
5. Negated goal : $\neg BATLOOSE$ doesn't change either.

12. CFL:

1. a. $\neg \text{MAR}(x_1, y_1) \vee \neg Q(y_1) \vee \text{MALE}(x_1)$
1. b. $\neg \text{MAR}(x_2, y_2) \vee \neg Q(y_2) \vee \text{MON}(x_2) \vee \text{PR}(x_2)$
2. $\neg \text{MON}(x_3) \vee \neg \text{MALE}(x_3) \vee K(x_3) \vee \text{PR}(x_3)$
3. Negated goal: $\neg \forall x \forall y ((\text{MAR}(x, y) \wedge Q(y)) \supset (K(x) \vee \text{PR}(x)))$
becomes:
3. a. $\text{MAR}(a, b)$
3. b. $Q(b)$
3. c. $\neg K(a)$
3. d. $\neg \text{PR}(a)$

13. CFL:

- 1-2. as in the original FOPC.
3. Negated goal: $\neg \text{SPY}(\text{PM})$ doesn't change either.

14. CFL:

1. $\neg R(x_1) \vee L(x_1)$
2. $\neg D(x_2) \vee \neg L(x_2)$
3. a. $D(\text{flipper})$
3. b. $I(\text{flipper})$
4. Negated goal: $\neg \exists x (I(x) \wedge \neg R(x))$ becomes: $\neg I(x_3) \vee R(x_3)$

15. CFL:

1. $\neg \text{HEADS} \vee \text{WIN}(\text{me})$
2. $\neg \text{TAILS} \vee \text{LOSE}(\text{you})$
3. $\text{HEADS} \vee \text{TAILS}$
4. $\neg \text{LOSE}(\text{you}) \vee \text{WIN}(\text{me})$
5. Negated goal: $\neg \text{WIN}(\text{me})$ doesn't change in CFL.

16. CFL:

1. a. $\neg C(x_1) \vee \neg E(x_1) \vee S(f(x_1))$
1. b. $\neg C(x_2) \vee \neg E(x_2) \vee H(f(x_2))$
2. $\neg C(x_3) \vee \neg \text{HASEXAM}(x_3) \vee \neg S(y) \vee \neg H(y)$
3. Negated goal: $\neg \forall x ((C(x) \wedge \text{HASEXAM}(x)) \supset \neg E(x))$ becomes
3. a. $C(a)$
3. b. $\text{HASEXAM}(a)$
3. c. $E(a)$

17.

In CFL:

1. a. $I(A) \vee I(B)$
1. b. $I(A) \vee I(C)$
1. c. $I(B) \vee I(C)$
2. $\neg I(A) \vee \text{FRIEND}(B, V)$
3. $\neg I(A) \vee \neg \text{FRIEND}(C, V)$
4. $\neg I(B) \vee \neg \text{IN-TOWN}(B)$
5. $\neg I(B) \vee \neg \text{KNOW}(B, V)$
6. $\neg I(C) \vee \text{SAW-WITH}(A, V)$
7. $\neg I(C) \vee \text{SAW-WITH}(B, V)$
8. Negated goal: $\neg \exists x \neg I(x)$ becomes $I(x_3)$ which itself becomes $I(x_3) \vee \text{ANS}(x_3)$
9. $\neg \text{SAW-WITH}(x_1, V) \vee \text{IN-TOWN}(x_1)$
10. $\neg \text{FRIEND}(x_2, y_2) \vee \text{KNOW}(x_2, y_2)$

As I have said in lectures, proofs should be shown as trees in exam answers!

1. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2a = 3: & \neg S(a) \\ 3 + 2b = & \square \end{aligned}$$

2. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2 = 4: & \text{MORTAL}(\text{Conf}) \\ 4 + 3 = & \square \end{aligned}$$

3. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2 = 4: & \neg M(J) \\ 4 + 3 = & \square \end{aligned}$$

4. Proof:

$$1 + 2 = \text{ANS}(J)$$

5. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 3 = 4: & \text{ANS}(y_3) \vee \neg F(x_4, x_5) \vee \neg F(y_3, x_4) \\ 4 + 2 = 5: & \text{ANS}(f(x_6)) \vee \neg F(x_6, x_7) \\ 5 + 2 = 6: & \text{ANS}(f(f(x_8))) \end{aligned}$$

6. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 8 + 3 = 9: & \neg \text{CO}_2 \vee \neg \text{H}_2\text{O} \\ 9 + 2 = 10: & \neg \text{C} \vee \neg \text{O}_2 \vee \neg \text{H}_2\text{O} \\ 10 + 6 = 11: & \neg \text{C} \vee \neg \text{H}_2\text{O} \\ 11 + 7 = 12: & \neg \text{H}_2\text{O} \\ 12 + 1b = 13: & \neg \text{M}_g\text{O} \vee \neg \text{H}_2 \\ 13 + 4 = 14: & \neg \text{H}_2 \\ 14 + 5 = & \square \end{aligned}$$

7. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 3a + 1b \{b/y\} = 4: & L(a, b) \\ 4 + 2b \{a/x_1, b/y_1\} = 5: & \neg P(a) \vee \neg Q(b) \\ 5 + 3b = 6: & \neg P(a) \\ 6 + 1a = & \square \end{aligned}$$

8. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}1a + 2c \{a/x_1, f(x_1)/y\} &= 5: \neg E(a) \vee V(a) \vee P(f(x_1)) \\5 + 2b &= 6: V(a) \vee P(f(x_1)) \\6 + 4 \{f(x_1)/x_4\} &= 7: V(a) \vee \neg C(f(x_1)) \\7 + 1b = \{f(x_2)/f(x_1)\} &= 8: V(a) \vee \neg E(x_2) \vee V(x_2) \\8 + 2b &= 9: V(a) \vee V(x_2) \\9 + 3 \{a/x_3\} &= 10: \neg P(a) \vee V(x_2) \\10 + 3 \{x_2/x_3\} &= 11: \neg P(a) \vee \neg P(a) \\11 + 2a &= 12: \neg P(a) \\12 + 2a &= \square\end{aligned}$$

9. Proof:

The answer is “no” (i.e. not provable) if “or” is inclusive: the resolvents we generate are no different from the original clauses, so we get no nearer to \square . But if “or” is exclusive:

$$\begin{aligned}1b + 4a &= 5: \neg \text{RAIN} \\5 + 4b &= \square\end{aligned}$$

10. Proof:

$$3a + 2 \{a/y\} = 4: \neg J(a)$$

\square not found, so not proved. Reason: things other than jokes might be meant to amuse.

11. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}5 + 1 &= 6: \neg \text{MISFIRE} \vee \neg \text{INT} \vee \text{IGLOOSE} \\6 + 2 &= 7: \neg \text{INT} \vee \text{IGLOOSE} \\7 + 3 &= 8: \text{IGLOOSE} \\8 + 4 &= \square\end{aligned}$$

12. Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}3a + 1b \{a/x_2, b/y_2\} &= 4: \neg Q(b) \vee \text{MON}(a) \vee \text{PR}(a) \\4 + 3b &= 5: \text{MON}(a) \vee \text{PR}(a) \\5 + 3d &= 6: \text{MON}(a) \\6 + 2 \{a/x_3\} &= 7: \neg \text{MALE}(a) \vee K(a) \vee \text{PR}(a) \\7 + 3d &= 8: \neg \text{MALE}(a) \vee K(a) \\8 + 3c &= 9: \neg \text{MALE}(a) \\9 + 1a \{a/x_1\} &= 10: \neg \text{MAR}(a, y_1) \vee \neg Q(y_1) \\10 + 3a \{b/y_1\} &= 11: \neg Q(b) \\11 + 3b &= \square\end{aligned}$$