

The power of the pipe

Chris Bidmead takes some pipeline code on a driving test: manoeuvre, signal, reverse, find a space...

he other morning I needed to come up with a string representing the name of the newest file in a particular directory. From the command line, the simplest way to achieve this is by using:

ls -t <directory> | head -1 In other words, list the files in <directory>, newest files first (ls -t) and pipe the result into the head utility, chopping off all but the first line.

There's a nice opportunity here to explore the power of the pipe at the Unix command line. The example above is oversimplified, because there's a chance, of course, that the most recent file - the one at the

top of the ls -t list isn't a file. It could be a directory.

Another way of achieving the same thing would be to change the grep pattern

An easy way to distinguish files from directories is by using the -I switch to create a 'long' listing. This gives you an expanded list that includes the attribute flags as well as the date-last-modified of each file and its size (see Fig 1).

Now if you just want to list the directories, you can do:

ls -l | grep ^d

In other words, pipe the full listing to the grep filter utility and only allow through the lines beginning with a letter d (the caret represents the beginning of the line).

To do the reverse - filter out the directories - it is possible to negate the grep with the -v switch (think inVert). By the way, the discussion here relates to the GNU version of these common Unix tools. On proprietary systems your mileage may vary.

Now we can expand our original short pipeline a bit:

ls -lt | grep -v ^d | head -1 This effectively says: 'Give us a long listing, in inverse date order, filter out the directories, and then filter out everything except the first line.'

However, it doesn't quite work in the way that you might expect, because the -I switch creates a summary first line, which gives a total of the number of files:

total 1198

This means we need to extend the pipeline a step further, increasing the head lines to two and then use tail (the inverse of head) to isolate the second line:

1650 Oct 15 08:15 issue

(Key: ✓ code string continues) Another way of achieving the same

thing would be to change our grep pattern and look for that -r which starts the flag array for normal files. This is complicated by the need to protect the

> hyphen with a backslash so that grep doesn't think it's a command switch, and

then wrap the pattern in single or double quotes to insulate it from the shell.

ls -lt /etc | grep '\-r' | ✓ head -1

The new grep pattern slightly changes the rules. Links (where the flag array begins with an 'l') are now excluded, whereas a negated '^d' still allowed them. And we're also now insisting that the file should be readable. We probably don't want links and we do want a readable file, so let's stick with this.

But we're not done yet. We wanted

just the filename. But because we're using the -I switch to Is we end up with a line full of other stuff such as flags, ownership and date. Is there an easy way to strip this away?

The heavy duty way of breaking up a line is with awk, but there's a lightweight utility we can use here called cut. Cut can operate in various ways, but in the present context we'll think of the line as a series of fields. As you can see, the filename is in the ninth field, so we might expect the cut command to be something such as:

cut -f 9

But, we're not quite there yet, because we have to tell cut what delimiter we're using to separate the fields. The default is a tab, but there are no tabs in the line. We'd like to use a regex such as /[]+/ (one or more spaces), but, alas, cut doesn't understand regexs. Cut lets us use only a single character to indicate the field boundaries, so in a line whose fields are broken by one or more spaces we have no way of counting to the ninth field.

We could isolate the first field very nicely with:

cut -f 1 -d " "

This is because we know there are no spaces in the flag field, so the first space must demark the end of the field.

Let's change the exercise and say I want to end up with a string that contains the flag field of the newest

(FIG 1)

```
1024
                                    Jul 19 18:13 CORBA
drwxr-xr-x
             3 root
                       root
-rw-r--r--
             1 root
                              2241
                                    Aug 11 20:53 DIR_COLORS
                       root
                                    Sep 8 09:33 HOSTNAME
-rw-r--r--
             1 root
                               24
                       root
                               41
                                    Aug 6 18:29 MACHINE.SID
-rw-r--r--
             1 root
                       root
             1 root
                              5441
                                    May 9 19:14 Muttrc
                       root
            15 root
                             1024
                                    Aug 11 11:26 X11
                       root
             1 root
                       root
                                    May 26 01:55 adjtime
             1 root
                              732
                                    Apr 13 1999 aliases
                       root 16384
                                    Jul 19 18:21 aliases.db
             1 root
                               406
                                   Apr 27 14:19 anacrontab
                               1
             1 root
                       root
                                    May 18 23:28 at.deny
                              302
                                    May 25 13:27 bashrc
             1 root
                       root
                             130
                                    Oct 12 08:16 bc.conf
             1 root
                       root
```





Stick to pipelining simple,

readable plain file in a directory. Here's the pipeline to do that:

ls -lt /etc | grep "\-r" | head -1 | cut -f 1 -d " " QED. Er... but as you've noticed, I cheated by changing the goal. What we really want is the last field.

But, hey, the last field is the first field - it just depends on how you look at it. The utility for looking at a line backwards is called rev.

If we pipe our output through rev just before the cut operation,

we get:
eussi 51:80 51 tc0 0561

toor
toor 1
--r--wr-

So now we just apply the cut as before and pipe the output from that through another rev – to get the filename the right way round:

ls -lt /etc | grep "\-r" | /
head -1 | rev | cut -f 1 -d /
" " | rev

Of course there's one pesky case where this fails – if the filename contains a space, as under Unix it's perfectly entitled to do. I'm not too bothered, because pipelines such as this are typically quick fixes used in '80 per cent solutions'. In any case this has been an exercise in pipelining, not an attempt at rock solid program building.

But if you can think of an improve-

ment that covers this case I'd love to hear from you. Stick to pipelining simple,

standard utilities — Perl is cheating (isn't it always?)

standard utilities - Perl is cheating (isn't it always?).

The best I can do for now improves on the above by taking us into the murky reaches of sed, the streaming text editor. But this relies on the fact that the filename doesn't contain a colon followed by a couple of digits. It's a more remote eventuality than an embedded space, but by no means a watertight assumption.

ls -lt | head -2 | tail -1 | 🗸

MOST NETWORK PRINTERS CAN BE
ADMINISTERED REMOTELY, BUT THIS TYPICALLY
REQUIRES SPECIAL SOFTWARE RUNNING ON THE
CLIENT MACHINES. THE GREAT JOY OF A WEB
SERVER IS THAT IT'S TOTALLY CROSS-PLATFORM.
THE WEB PAGE IN THE SCREENSHOT IS FROM THE
LATEST TEKTRONIX PHASER 840, WHICH IS NOW
CAPABLE OF PRINTING PHOTO-QUALITY COLOUR
ON BOTH SIDES OF THE PAPER

sed -ne 's/^.*:[0-9][0-9][
]*//; p'

■ My FIFO-LIFO email queue

Spencer Lavery (species1@playaz. greatxscape.net) wrote to me this month with a question that keeps cropping up about 'any sites where I could either download Linux (preferably the latest version) or order a CD for free'.

He was mailing from a Windows system using Microsoft Outlook Express, and, like many beginners, had it set to do HTML by default. I mentioned the unnecessary crud this adds in my reply to Spencer, and also told him – as regular readers will already know – that The Linux Emporium (http://linux emporium.co.uk) is a great place to get all kinds of Linux (and other) CDs at a range of prices, from zero pounds upwards. John Winters who runs the outfit has a laudable policy of giving away older versions of the GPL distributions.

Spencer wrote back (in plain ASCII, which was cool): 'I just want to say thank you again for your amazingly quick and helpful response. I was unsure if you would reply as it was an old issue I was reading, but I am pleasantly surprised'.

Other readers who've emailed me may also be wondering about that 'amazingly quick' response. I revealed my secret to Spencer:

Well, I have a queue of incoming email for the column that's about a month long. This in itself isn't impressive, and if I just keep answering all my mail a month late that isn't too impressive either. So I cheat and alternately answer mail at the beginning of the queue and at the end. That way I build half a reputation for responding quickly to email...:-)

■ Web servers everywhere

They say that in a few years' time our fridges and freezers will all have web

servers in them, so we can quiz them about their contents. Back in the summer of 1997 I showed you the web server in my Tektronix Phaser solid inkjet printer. This lets you check the status and change parameters remotely, and also contains links to online documentation.

Network printers should be comfortable with any operating system. However, the Tektronix Phaser range has a particular empathy with Unix, because it contains its own BSD-like print queue and you can treat it like any remote Unix machine with a local printer attached to it.

This snippet from my /etc/printcap file - which was created using the Red

Hat printtool utility - illustrates the point: ##PRINTTOOL3## REMOTE ~ POSTSCRIPT 600x600 a4 {} PostScript Default 1 lp:\

> :sd=/var/spool/lpd/lp:\ :mx#0:\

:sh:\

:rm=tek:\

:rp=AUT0:\

:if=/var/spool/lpd/✓

lp/filter:

The printer's internal queue, called AUTO, includes a magic filter than can distinguish between PostScript and PCL.

This means that the input filter line (:if above) added by printtool is

The BJ-10e isn't a PostScript printer. Well, yes it is -Ghostscript just made it one

unnecessary in this context.

But of course not everyone has a network PostScript printer. It's when you're working with a low-cost printer attached to a Unix machine that this input filter comes into its own. For example, I used it to turn a modest old Canon BJ-10e bubblejet into something reasonably equivalent to a PostScript laser printer.

To do this you need Ghostscript, the

n u m MICHAEL BREEZE OF INTERACTIVE IDEAS, THE COMPANY THAT DISTRIBUTES LINUX MANDRAKE IN THE UK, HAS SENT ME A

> free PostScript emulator that comes with most Linux (and many other free Unix) distributions. Full details about how to set this up are in the Printing HOW-TO and the Printing Usage HOW-TO (which you can find at, for example, www.metalab.unc.edu). But the bare bones of it go like this...

> The output that your application directs to the printer gets stacked in a local queue, typically in a directory somewhere below /var/spool/lpd/. Once there, it is intercepted by the filter, which analyses it in order to guess what kind of

printer it's meant for. The filter (strictly speaking a set of filter scripts and

utilities that interact) will then rewrite the file as PostScript.

But the BJ-10e, which you could probably pick up in a charity shop these days for about £5, isn't a PostScript printer. Well, yes it is, because Ghostscript just made it one. Instead of sending the file directly to the printer, the input filter mechanism diverts it to Ghostscript, which in turn converts the PostScript page-by-page into a set of

STACK OF LINUX UTILITY CDS PUT TOGETHER BY A GERMAN OUTFIT CALLED HEMMING. ON ONE OF THEM, GRAPHIC, SOUND AND MULTIMEDIA FOR X, I FOUND SOMETHING CALLED IMPRESS, A VECTOR-BASED LAYOUT PROGRAM FOR CREATING PRESENTATIONS AND POSTSCRIPT DOCUMENTS. IT TOOK ONLY A MOMENT TO KNOCK UP THIS LOGO. THE **BOTTOM RIGHT-HAND WINDOW IS** GHOSTVIEW, A FRONT END TO GHOSTSCRIPT, WHICH IS DISPLAYING THE POSTSCRIPT FILE CREATED BY IMPRESS

low-level graphics instructions the BJ-10e

This depends on Ghostscript knowing about the internal codes used by your printer. You can check the list of known devices - you'll find it bundled with your distribution in a file called devices.txt. A more recent version of Ghostscript including drivers for more printers, but under a less liberal licence - can be found at: www.cs.wisc.edu/~ghost.

PCW CONTACTS

Chris Bidmead welcomes your comments on the Unix column. Contact him via the PCW editorial office or email unix@pcw.co.uk

The Hemming CDs cost £4.99 from Interactive Ideas (0208) 805 1000 or you can download Impress directly from www.ntlug.org/~ccox/impress