

SUPERVISOR'S USE ONLY

93006





NEW ZEALAND QUALIFICATIONS AUTHORITY MANA TOHU MĀTAURANGA O AOTEAROA

QUALIFY FOR THE FUTURE WORLD KIA NOHO TAKATŪ KI TŌ ĀMUA AO!

SCHOLARSHIP EXEMPLAR

Scholarship 2016 German

2.00 p.m. Wednesday 30 November 2016 Time allowed: Three hours Total marks: 24

Check that the National Student Number (NSN) on your admission slip is the same as the number at the top of this page.

You should answer ALL the questions in this booklet.

If you need more room for any answer, use the extra space provided at the back of this booklet.

Check that this booklet has pages 2–15 in the correct order and that none of these pages is blank.

YOU MUST HAND THIS BOOKLET TO THE SUPERVISOR AT THE END OF THE EXAMINATION.

[1]

[2]

QUESTION ONE

Erklären Sie, warum viele junge Deutsche heutzutage keinen Führerschein machen wollen. Was halten Sie von den Argumenten, die Sie gehört haben? Welchen Argumenten stimmen Sie zu und welchen nicht? Begründen Sie Ihre Meinung mit Beispielen aus dem Interview und beziehen Sie sich auch auf Erfahrungen, die Sie selbst in Neuseeland oder Deutschland gemacht machen.

Write your response in German.

wirkt ein Führerschein für die Heutzutage rape noch enger erhält ihn iter vor seinem Geburtstag Verschredeine Wisachen Enerst Huch ich anstall sence sich demnächst ein Auto

Aspella ist auch mit Tatsache ver-Erwallsene viele runge German 93006, 2016

[5]

Schonen wollen Meiner Meinung nach ist es Un sehr lobensweiter Gedantse Abgase vernngorn zu wollen Immer wenn mežne Großmutter mich beim Hous einer Beunden gesetzt hat nur um ansolvießend wieder during denselben weg zunickzusehren mir dies ein Schlechtes Gewissen Ein Fahrrad ware zum Einen viel Schonender gowesen Zuen Anderen hätte I'm down aber Propleme Winderbuyen das Hays Zu verlassen. Nativlice man auch ofine etgenes to represent versuchen von anderen mitgenommen aker auch dies bat seine Nochteile vielleicht nicht immer jemanden für die Uhuzert Kinden Fahrer abbidygig Keine ocler Payson einzulegen wenn einem geroide danach ist , Wornte sit unter diesen Unständen Schwienig werden daher sich sagen, dass ein Auto heutasst dulsich besonders nicht rencliy Großeren Stadlen ternatives On centrescen Dennoch wirkt ein Führerschein für mich noch (Sear nistzlich. - Lann sich in Notfall of selve wichtig weeted to HOY außerdem which zwingenderweis 4ctc> aber moglich Solle 1000

Ğerman 93006, 2016

Himperso

[6]

INSTRUCTIONS

ASSESSOR'S USE ONLY

[7]

This question requires a response written in English or te reo Māori. In your written responses, you are expected to:

- effectively communicate with perception and insight, and create meaning in English or te reo Māori
- express ideas convincingly, and hold the interest of the intended readers.
- show understanding of the text and its inferences, through analysis and evaluation, independent reflection and extrapolation
- justify your own arguments in a logical, coherent, and seamless manner.

QUESTION TWO

Discuss, with close reference to the text, the findings of the 2015 Shell study. What apparent changes are there compared with earlier studies? What are possible reasons for these changes?

Shows Constaut intermotion 0790U1

German 93006, 2016

Since today most Germans live in a safe are guite System Hree fortunate support the increasing number enterny their actions float authormence that to Eurhermore 16 macele Shave easily accessable Show not known things maanisc It was very viritely that OUVER would Something big. Podrly connect communities and Every Shing, May ideas SUPPORT petitions a quickly alteady online and_ 19C

ASSESSOR'S USE ONLY

Values, aspirations and dreams have changed a faintly Having Mas come instead they Hueronove their about probably Stature. levelopment recent Most CIONETG Germous REFER for epears. seems 20ssibility even Herem. them Hacke actions they went tof medias Toenous Nerall, the study described many cut Compared with Pourlier Studies Mary factors internet, Cleve Copment young adults and its politic Mese processes in the 405 German 93006, 2016

ANNOTATIONS

- 1. In this question the candidate produced an essay of five paragraphs, each clearly dealing with a particular point raised in the listening text a standard but effective structure. It is clear that the candidate planned carefully and shaped the direction of his/her essay appropriately. There is evidence throughout that the candidate understood the points raised in the text, which he/she integrates successfully with his/her personal reactions, in so doing demonstrating the ability to see both sides of an argument, particularly with reference to the situation in New Zealand.
- 2. The candidate adheres closely to the questions asked. He/she outlines the arguments presented and reacts to them. He/she agrees or disagrees with certain points and uses personal examples from both New Zealand and Germany to elaborate his/her case.
- **3.** Language use is formal, fluent and natural, showing evidence of recrafting for accuracy and stylistic effect. He/she uses a wide variety of complex sentence structures, a range of tenses and a variety of connectors (eg. darüber hinaus, außerdem, andererseits, abschließend, zwingenderweise). Vocabulary is varied and accurately used (eg. Abhängigkeit, schonen, Abstecher, erwünschen).
- **4.** Examples from the text are woven appropriately into the arguments the candidate presents, to support or elaborate on a point made.
- 5. Here the candidate explores the environmental issues raised in the text, but develops them in a different direction, offering a counter-argument via a personal example. This is evidence of the candidate's ability to develop ideas that go beyond the given material. He/she demonstrates the ability to see both sides of an argument, acknowledging the disadvantages of a possible solution.
- **6.** In the concluding paragraph the candidate avoids the common pitfall of simply repeating what has already been stated. Here he/she summarises the issues and offers further reflective comments to the reader.
- 7. In question 2 the candidate follows a similar approach to the German essay of question 1, that is he/she reflects on the points raised in the reading text from a personal perspective, integrating both evidence of understanding of the text, interpretation of the message and personal viewpoints in an integrated approach. If anything, he/she tends to err on the side of too much personal reflection, without addressing arguments behind the points raised. It would have been good to see more probing analysis and evaluation of the reading text, particularly with reference to the New Zealand situation (though it is conceded the questions did not specifically demand that).
- **8.** The candidate reflects frequently on why certain trends might have occurred, relying on sound knowledge of German culture and events in Germany's history. Question 2 was a competent answer, though not high scoring.