

93201A



S

SUPERVISOR'S USE ONLY

OUTSTANDING SCHOLARSHIP EXEMPLAR



NEW ZEALAND QUALIFICATIONS AUTHORITY
MANA TOHU MĀTAURANGA O AOTEAROA

Scholarship 2014 Statistics

9.30 am Wednesday 12 November 2014

Time allowed: Three hours

Total marks: 40

ANSWER BOOKLET

Check that the National Student Number (NSN) on your admission slip is the same as the number at the top of this page.

Write all your answers in this booklet.

Show ALL working. Start your answer to each question on a new page. Clearly number each question.

Check that this booklet has pages 2–24 in the correct order and that none of these pages is blank.

YOU MUST HAND THIS BOOKLET TO THE SUPERVISOR AT THE END OF THE EXAMINATION.

1a. Diabetic rate vs obesity rate

The trend for the relationship between the diabetic rate and obesity rate is a linear trend. This trend has a positive association. It is a fairly weak relationship as there is a relatively large amount of scatter about the regression line. The scatter is non-constant as there is more scatter at higher values of the diabetic rate. The graph shows us that for every 1% increase in the diabetic rate in the data gathered by WHO and World Fact book from 70 countries, there is an average increase of 1% in the obesity rate.

• Diabetic rate vs GDP per Capita

The trend for the relationship between the diabetic rate and GDP per capita is a linear trend with a positive association. The relationship is moderate as, although there is some scatter, it is nowhere near as much as graph 1. There is one atypical point at \$10,000 GDP per capita and 7% Diabetic rate. If we looked into this and found that it was in fact an outlier, removing this point would increase the strength of our relationship. The scatter is non-constant. At small values of GDP Per capita there is a lot more scatter of data points compared to at higher values of GDP. The regression equation shows that for a

0.6445% increase in the diabetic rate, there is an average of an \$10,000 increase in the GDP per capita of the 79 countries.

Life expectancy vs Diabetic rate

The trend for the relationship between the life expectancy and diabetic rate is a linear trend. This trend has a positive association. The relationship is the strongest of the three graphs as it has the least amount of scatter of data points. The graph has a constant scatter across the regression line. The graph shows us that for an increase in life expectancy of 2 years, there is an average of a 1% increase in the Diabetic rate.

bi) Using the regression equation $Y = 0.6445x + 2.1082$ for GDP per capita of \$5000.

$$Y = 0.6445 \times 5000 + 2.1082 = 2.43\%$$

Using regression equation $Y = 0.0344x + 2.3718$ for obesity of 71%.

$$Y = 0.0344 \times 71 + 2.3718 = 4.81\%$$

The average diabetic rate for this country is $\frac{2.43 + 4.81}{2} = 3.62\%$

The prediction for 2.43% diabetic rate for \$5000 GDP per capita is not very precise due to their being large amounts of scatter. By looking at the graph, the range of values at \$5000 GDP per capita is from about 0.5% to 4%. However, I am more confident with this prediction than I am with my prediction of 4.81% when

there was obesity rate of 71%. This is because 71% is outside the data range meaning I have to extrapolate, giving me less confidence. It is likely that the graph will begin to flatten off outside data range as there is a limit to the diabetic rate % therefore giving me little confidence with the prediction of 4.81% ^{diabetic} rate for a country with 71% obesity. I am more confident with the prediction of 2.43% than 4.81%.

bii) Although the GDP per capita is only \$5000, and the percentage of the population is obese is 71%, according to the percentages calculated the diabetic rate in Nauru will still be relatively low. The country has a very small population ⁹⁴³⁴ with a large unemployment rate 90% indicating that the Standard of living in Nauru is very low. The average life expectancy is only 25.3 years. ~~which could indicate why the predicted diabetic rate calculated above is still fairly low when compared to other~~ Although we would expect a relatively low ~~low~~ diabetic rate of 2.43% calculated above, their actual ~~diabetic~~ rate is 22%. The ~~average~~ diabetic rate in Nauru is very large when compared to the expected diabetic rate. The small population size will result in decreased variation.

within the population which may ~~mean~~ that
 explain this large diabetic rate. There
 are other factors than just obesity rate
 of 71% and gdp of \$5000 in Nauru which
 will impact this ~~diabetic~~ rate, indicating
 that there are some confounding variables
 which have not been looked at, but actually
 affect diabetic rate as well. These include
 median age, unemployment rate, population,
 location etc. These could also be affecting
~~of~~ diabetic rate so therefore there are
 underlying factors present which are also affecting
 diabetes rate ~~which~~ which explains
 Nauru's relatively large diabetes rate
 of 22%

- c) (2a) Although at first glance it may appear that the obesity rate has increased by 2.1%, this may not actually be the case due to the confidence intervals overlapping. (25.5 - 27.5 overlaps with 27.4 - 29.8) meaning that it is possible that in fact the obesity rate has remained the same or even decreased slightly.

Q1
SP005
P.1.

2a(i) Random assignment was used to form the 2 groups as it will ^{help} balance out the traits of the students. It will ~~also~~ result in their being less sampling variability between the groups, meaning we will be presented with more accurate results.

ii) From this re-randomisation output, we can see that these ~~results~~ result of a mean difference of ^{at least} 0.137 proportion of overweight students will only occur by chance 8.4% of the time. 8.4% is less than 10% so therefore we ~~can~~ can make the claim that those who had non-curricular activities were more likely to not be overweight when compared to those without extra curricular activities ~~as this only~~ as this only occurs due to chance 8.4% of the time meaning we can be somewhat confident with this claim as the tail proportion is relatively small.

bi) Both of these box and whisker plots are roughly represented by normal distributions, and the mean and median are very similar.

more similar for survey 1 with a difference of 0.1 than survey 2 with 0.3. There are tails at either end of the graphs, and they are both roughly symmetrical apart from both having an unusual amount of data at 0 sugar containing drinks. This is due to some participants not drinking those types of drinks at all. In the first survey, the mean ~~was~~ number consumed ~~was~~ of 4.9 drinks was larger than in the second survey after the advertisement of 4.3 drinks per secondary school student on average. The lower quartile in both surveys was 3 drinks whereas the upper quartile in survey one was 7 but the upper quartile in Survey 2 was only 5.5 this indicates the range of the middle 50% of data points for ~~survey group 1~~ was larger than that of group 2 by 1.5 drinks. The overall range of ~~group~~ survey 1 was also 1 drink larger than that of group two. By looking at the graph we cannot make a call using the $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{4}$ rule as the mean of survey 1 (4.9 drinks) is not larger than the upper quartile of ~~group~~ survey 2 (5.5 drinks) so we cannot make the claim whether or not the advertisement worked. In order to do this we would

need inferential confidence intervals, or to bootstrap our data.

ii) Bootstrapping works by ~~taking resampling~~ ~~from~~ taking smaller samples from the sample taken, and recording the mean ~~total~~ difference a large number of times. The samples are done with replacement so the same person could be selected twice within the same sample. After this has been done many times, we cut 2.5% of data off each side of the graph we are given. In this case students would have been randomly picked and recorded, then the mean difference recorded, and then repeat the process many times. ~~After doing this~~ This is done to reduce sampling variation in the initial sample. It gives us a 95% confidence interval of by how much more ~~for~~ sample 1 drinks than sample 2 which we can use to infer about the whole secondary school student population.

iii) From this output, we are given a 95% confidence interval of 0.19 to 1.24. This shows us that sample 1 could drink up to 1.24 more sugar containing drinks than sample 2, or as little as

0.19 sugar containing drinks more than sample 2. Therefore we can claim that the advertising has worked as, with 95% confidence, we can say the reduction of sugar drinks for all secondary students in New Zealand is between these values of 0.19 and 1.24 drinks. We can say this as the interval does not straddle zero meaning in no case does the number of drinks on average increase after advertising.

O
P

Q2

O+P

7

P+5+P
5

3a) Obesity rates between male and female.
 Over the 2004-2013 period, the obesity rate for male and female has been increasing. Females tended to have an average of about 0.8% more obese & when compared to males, however in 2012 both males and females had obesity rates of 28.6%. Males have been steadily increasing at a roughly constant rate of about 0.4% per year, with a slight dip in 2009. Females however have been much more volatile and, although overall has increased ^{0.3%} they keep increasing and decreasing in obesity rate. Both males ~~and~~ females have their largest decrease in 2009 (females fell by of a 0.8% decrease, and this is also the year when the rate of increase in obesity of males fell slightly to 0.2%. This is likely due to the Global financial crisis making households spend less on food and luxury items.

For age group over these 10 years, there has been steady increases for those 15-24 and 35-44, and a steady decrease for those 55-64. The difference between 35-44 and 15-24 at any given time is on average 14.3% more for the 35-44 group. Up until the 2012 year, 55-64

year olds were consistently higher than 35-44, but due to the decreasing trend, in 2012 the between 2011 and 2012, the obesity rate in the 35-44 became larger than 55-64 at 32.6% compared to 32.4% for 55-64 in 2012. The gap between the obesity rates of 15-24 and 55-64 is also narrowing. There was a large spike in 2008 obesity levels for 35-44 year olds. This is most likely due to the Global Financial Crisis putting stress on this age group, increasing diabetes rate. This age group was affected the most by this as young people who do not work and live at home, and older people who are retired/have saved up will not be impacted too much whereas those who are in the middle of their careers will be.

b) For men:

~~predict the obesity rate~~ By extending the male curve, I would expect males to have an obesity rate of 30% in 2015.

For Women:

By extending the female curve I would expect females to have an obesity rate of about 30.5% in 2015.

Predicting into the future is always ~~not~~

going to contain some inaccuracy as we have not yet got any concrete data points together. My prediction of 30% for males however, is much more valid than the 30.5% obesity rate for females. This is due to males increasing at an almost completely linear rate over the past 10 years, meaning this trend is likely to continue giving me confidence in this prediction. My women prediction however is much less valid as across the course of the 10 years, there have been many fluctuations making it hard to predict into the future as it is very possible these fluctuations will continue to occur, making it much harder to ~~predict~~ predict in the future, and ~~making~~ making my 30.5% obesity rate in 2015 somewhat unreliable.

- ci) ~~One reason~~ One reason why the obesity rates for women have fluctuated more than men over these 10 years could be attributed to the ~~global~~ economy. In times when the economy has been worsenly like in 2008/2009, the obesity rates for women has fallen, and at boom times in the economy it has risen. This ~~can~~ means that, when in a downturn of economic crisis like in 2008/2009, couples will be much less

likely to have babies as their future outlook will be bad, so less babies will be born, and as babies give their mothers "baby fat" and less are being born, the baby fat gained by women will decrease with these times decreasing women obesity. The opposite occurs when the economy is in a good position.

- ii) The surveyors would have taken samples of the population and recorded their results to get these numbers. The reason for the 0.6% points difference between the two is likely due to sampling variation. Both surveyors would have taken ~~same~~ different people from the 45-54 age group which means that due to the nature of sampling these groups will have different characteristics. This is why the results were conflicting. The more people you take to sample, the less sampling variability that will occur, so it is likely that one,

if not both surveys took relatively small sample sizes resulting in high sampling variability ///

d) For the 15-24 age group, the obesity rate has been increasing at about steadily over the 10 years at about 0.9% per year. Overall there has been an increase of 9.7% across the 10 years

For the 35-44 age group, the obesity rate has been increasing over the five years at about 0.4% per year, with a spike in 2008/2009. Overall there has been an increase of 5.2%.

For the 55-64 age group, the obesity rate has been decreasing by an average of 0.6% per year by 6%.

Overall, 15-24 age group increased the most by 9.7%, 35-44 age group increased, but by much less (5.2%) which can mainly be attributed to 2008/2009, and the 55-64 age group were the only group to decrease and they decreased by 6% obesity rate. ///

5
5

Q3

Q3

5+5+2p
2p

6

4a) Using binomial:

- There is a discrete number of people (cannot have half-people)
- ~~Each~~ either are obese or are not obese
- Fixed number of people between 65-74
- Assume one person being obese is independent to another person being obese
- There is a constant probability that a person is obese - this probability is 0.386.
- $\lambda = 0.386$ $x = 360$

using binomial distribution, the probability that 360 people or less out of 1000 are obese is: 0.0484

b)

	obese	not obese	total
65-74	0.386		
7-65			
total	0.313		1

number of people in 65-74 age group is

~~$0.14 \times 1115000 = 156100$~~

~~from those 156100 $\times 0.386 = 602845$ are obese. total number of obese people~~

Population size is $\frac{1115000}{0.313} = 3562300$ People

From those people, $0.14 \times 3562300 = 498722$ are between ages of 65-74 group.

from this 498722, ~~38~~ 38.6% are obese.

$$498722 \times 0.386 = 192507 \text{ people}$$

192507 are 65-74 and obese.

$$\frac{192507}{1115000} = 0.1727$$

an obese ^{randomly chosen} person is in the 65-74 category is 65-74

c)

	obese	not obese	total
65-74	0.054	0.086	0.14
75 but ≤ 15	0.259	0.601	0.86
total	0.313	0.687	1

the percentage of the population that is obese and 65-74 is $\frac{192507}{3562300} = 0.054$

the likelihood a person 65-74 is obese is $\frac{0.054}{0.14} = 0.3857$

the likelihood a person not in that age is obese is $\frac{0.259}{0.86} = 0.301$.

The relative risk is therefore

$$\frac{0.3857}{0.301} = 1.28$$

This means that it is 1.28 times more likely that a person who is 65-74 is obese when compared to a person who is not in this age group.

5a) Overweight

- ~~Comparing~~ in Brazil the ~~over~~ ~~for~~ when comparing Brazil to China for 6-9 year olds, the Brazil obesity rate increased by far more as shown in the table. Brazil increased from 4.9 ± 0.18^3 to 17.4 ± 1.35^4 so increased by ~~about~~ an average 12.5% over the years whereas China 6-9 year olds increased from 10.5 ± 1 to 11.3 ± 1.1 by about 0.8% over the 23 years. This shows that ~~China's~~ ~~the~~ Brazil's obesity rate for 6-9 year olds has increased by about 167% more when compared to China.

- Overall, for ~~6-18~~ ~~year olds~~ 10-18 year olds, the obesity rate in USA has increased ~~by~~ ^{from} 16.8 ± 0.86^4 to 27.3 ± 1.47^{51} so an increase of about 10.5% over the years. In Russia the obesity rate has ~~increased~~ ^{decreased} from 11.5 ± 0.46^4 to 8.5 ± 0.74 giving about a 3% decrease. This shows for USA, the obesity rate in young people has increased whereas in Russia it has decreased by 3%. ~~If we were to~~

~~create~~

- If we were to create confidence intervals, for B.P are, ~~USA's~~ ^{Brazil} would not

~~overlap~~ overlap, however China's would meaning it is ~~4th~~ possible that the obesity rate did not actually increase (there only a small increase in report)

For Bullet point 2, Russia's confidence interval would not overlap and neither would USA's meaning it is likely that the changes explained above have occurred

- For Children 6-9 in China, the confidence interval before is $9.5 < TI < 11.5$ and after is $10.19 < TI < 12.41$ ~~so~~ there is some overlap meaning it may not have increased at all, or only slightly. When compared to Russia's interval of $25.35 < TI < 27.45$ to $10.89 < TI < 11.51$ which shows ~~that~~ we are confident that overweight has decreased. So overall, China's overweight for 6-9 has remained similar whereas Russia's has decreased

Underweight

- For 6-9 year olds, the amount of underweight in Brazil has gone from $12.03 < TI < 12.57$ to $5.31 < TI < 6.89$. No overlap so confident it has decreased. Compared to Russia which has gone from $6.48 < TI < 7.72$ to $6.81 < TI < 9.19$ so there is overlap so no concrete evidence, however, it is likely to have increased in Russia for this age group, but not certain when compared

to Brazil:

When comparing USA to Russia for 6-9 year olds, USA has gone from $3.56 < TT < 4.64$ to $2.73 < TT < 4.07$

There is overlap so cannot be confident underweight has actually fallen. Compared to Russia which has gone from $6.48 < TT < 7.72$ to $6.81 < TT < 9.19$ overlap here too so cannot say underweight has increased/decreased for these two countries with confidence for 6-9 year olds

10-18 year olds in Brazil compared to 10-18 year olds in China.

For ^{China} ~~Brazil~~ underweight went from $15.84 < TT < 16.36$ to $8.95 < TT < 10.25$.

No overlap so confident that it decreased. For 10-18 Y.O's for ^{Brazil} ~~China~~ went from $15.84 < TT < 16.36$ to

b) For ^{table} Figure 1, it could be improved by actually displaying confidence intervals which will allow them to be read more easily in order to identify whether or not the percentage has actually changed with confidence, or if there is overlap meaning we cannot be confident that a change has occurred. ✓ 1/6

• For Figure 2, & Figure 1, it would have been good if they had told us what they classify Low medium and high earners as (what income level they are receiving) so we can better see the and understand the changes occurring. 25
 • They could also have an extra bar showing the change making it more easy to read. 25

c) For Figure 1, if they were to introduce another bar for the change in weight, it would be nice to support the argument in each case, as it will give us actual figures for the changes.

allowing us to identify the changes and compare to other countries in order to make claims like "tripling in Brazil, doubling in USA, increased by $\frac{1}{5}$ China" for example as the figures will be readily available.

- If confidence intervals were displayed in table 1, it would make it easier to support the argument, as we would be ~~not~~ able to see the actual changes occurring in the 4 countries and give us confidence with our ~~predictions~~ arguments. It will make ~~the~~ comparisons easier. Likewise with figure 1.

Q5

Q5

6

Outstanding Scholarship

1. An O was score here due to a correct prediction, a justification that the best choice of line to make this prediction had been chosen, a correct comment about the precision of the prediction along with an appropriate comment about Nauru.
2. Both the increase in the point estimate was stated along with the interval overlap leading to a correct conclusion.
3. Despite Q2 (a) (ii) being correct, the answer to why random assignment was used to form the two groups was incomplete.
4. This question part was moderated down from an S to a P due to an insufficient comparison of the difference in spread between the first and second surveys.
5. The key word "mean" was missed out so this answer was moderated downwards from an O to a P.
6. The prediction for the male obesity rate was correct along with the justification for making that prediction. There was no account taken in the fluctuations of the women obesity rates in making the other prediction.
7. Both points in (c) were correct however the percentage differences were stated rather than the percentage changes in the two end points for each age range.
8. All conditions for the Binomial were stated correctly in context along with a correct answer.
9. Four comparisons were deemed correct for comparisons of over-weights (2) and under-weights (2) between two countries all observed from the Table.
10. In (b) and (c), the improvement brought about by constructing confidence intervals for the differences along with an appropriate reason gave two further points so 2S was scored for the complete question.