

# Scholarship 2011 Assessment Report Mathematics with Calculus

# **COMMENTARY**

Many candidates had difficulty approaching several of the questions in the examination. Working without being given an appropriate method to solve a problem challenged many candidates. Constructing mathematical models to fit a context or satisfy given conditions was also required, and frequently not attempted.

On the other hand, the top ten candidates showed considerable flair and insight, writing clever and creative answers.

- 1(a) Many candidates found  $x > -\ln(2-a)$ , but few noted that this holds only when 0 < a < 2. Fewer still noted that when the denominator is negative,  $x < -\ln 2$ .
- 1(b) Almost all candidates worked with  $T = A_A + A_B$ , and had difficulty finding the common factor or cancelled the common factor without recognising its significance.
- 1(c) Only the most able candidates used the chain rule to simply show  $\frac{d}{dx}(y^n) = ny^{n+m}$ , with most working instead with the unwieldy expression for  $y^n$ .
- 2(a) Relatively few candidates found  $\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t}$  correctly.
- 2(b)(i) Several candidates found *A* as a function of *x* because of a minor error of working but did not find and correct the error (or did not see that *A* should not be a function of *x*).
- 2(b)(ii) Candidates could gain marks for this question with an incorrect or missing answer to 2(b)(i).
- 3(a) Most candidates performed well on this question, with about half answering correctly.
- 3(b) Many candidates tried (and failed) to convince their marker that they had found  $\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{4}\right) = \tan\left(\frac{\tan^{-1}20\sqrt{6}}{4}\right) = 0.4082 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$  without any intermediate working.
- 3(c) Constructing a mathematical model to fit a context was a difficult skill for most candidates.
- 4(a) Most candidates found the horizontal tangent lines, and many drew a diagram.
- 4(b) Many candidates had difficulty solving  $\frac{1}{x^3} = \frac{1}{(d-x)^3}$  and did unnecessary algebraic work.
- 4(c) Many candidates drew an appropriate diagram to begin their work on this guestion.
- 5(a) Most able candidates could work with this unfamiliar function.
- 5(b) Very few candidates saw that for an even polynomial with real coefficients, one root implies three others:  $-c,\pm\overline{c}$ .
- 5(c) Only the very best candidates saw the roots as translated from a simpler form at the origin.

# SCHOLARSHIP WITH OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCE

# Candidates who were awarded Scholarship with Outstanding Performance typically:

- showed insight and flair with unorthodox solution methods
- applied skills and knowledge across different strands of the curriculum
- constructed and worked with mathematical models without guidance
- introduced new variables to a context where appropriate
- · worked well with difficult inequalities
- used geometric symmetry
- wrote clear explanations of their answers where required
- moved between algebraic and geometric contexts successfully
- checked for and self-corrected errors in working.

### **SCHOLARSHIP**

# Candidates who were awarded Scholarship but not Scholarship with Outstanding Performance typically:

- used separation of variables to solve a simple differential equation
- · worked well with trigonometric identities
- demonstrated the ability to fit a conic section to the given data
- demonstrated the ability to find factors and cancel appropriately
- used diagrams to support their answers
- demonstrated strong algebraic manipulation skills
- demonstrated strong differentiation skills
- · worked confidently with unfamiliar mathematical functions
- identified key mathematical concepts in context
- · showed good understanding of related rates.

# **OTHER CANDIDATES**

# Candidates who were not awarded Scholarship or Scholarship with Outstanding Performance typically:

- relied heavily on the second derivative test where the first derivative test may have been simpler
- worked poorly with logs and exponentials
- inappropriately cancelled common factors
- did not check their answers fit the question
- oversimplified real-world contexts inappropriately
- made mistakes working with mixed units
- did not give answers in the required form
- did unnecessary algebraic manipulation
- did not use trigonometric identities in an algebraic context.