## Assessment Schedule - 2011

## Scholarship English (93001)

## **Evidence Statement**

The <b>Performance Descriptor 1</b> essay will:	indicated by:
<ul> <li>demonstrate extensive knowledge of texts and methods used in crafting them</li> <li>respond critically with mature ideas and independent reflection</li> <li>demonstrate an exceptional level of sustained critical response, showing consistent ability to synthesise knowledge, understanding and argument.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>fluency</li> <li>confident use of terminology to describe content, processes and crafting</li> <li>use of texts and references suitable to purposes of the argument / question / topic</li> <li>references are interwoven</li> <li>perception</li> <li>some substantiated independent thought</li> <li>high level of response</li> <li>close academic and critical referencing</li> <li>coherent discussion</li> <li>independent views</li> <li>arguments structured, coherent and deliberate response to topic</li> <li>high level of engagement</li> </ul>
7, 8 marks (Outstanding Scholarship)	personal response.

The <b>Performance Descriptor 2</b> essay will:	indicated by:
demonstrate extensive knowledge of texts and methods used in crafting them     respond critically with mature ideas and independent reflection     sustain coherent, substantiated and engaging argument.	<ul> <li>fluency</li> <li>use of terminology to describe content, processes and crafting</li> <li>use of texts and references suitable to purposes of the argument / question / topic</li> <li>references are interwoven</li> <li>perception</li> <li>some independent thought, not necessarily orthodox, but nevertheless substantiated and argued coherently and logically</li> <li>arguments structured, coherent and deliberate response to topic</li> <li>high level of engagement</li> </ul>
5, 6 marks (Scholarship)	personal response.

The <b>Performance Descriptor 3</b> essay will:	indicated by :
demonstrate extensive knowledge of texts and methods used in crafting them     respond critically with mature ideas and independent reflection     demonstrate coherent and substantiated argument.	<ul> <li>fluency</li> <li>use of terminology to describe content, processes and crafting</li> <li>texts and references chosen are suitable for the purposes of the argument / question / topic</li> <li>references are interwoven</li> <li>ideas showing perception, some independent thought, not necessarily representing orthodox critical views</li> <li>arguments structured, coherent, substantiated</li> </ul>
4 marks	personal response.

Below Scholarship The essay with Scholarship substance will:	indicated by aspects of:
<ul> <li>demonstrate knowledge of texts and methods used in crafting them</li> <li>respond critically with mature ideas and independent reflection</li> <li>demonstrate coherent and substantiated argument.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>fluency</li> <li>use of terminology to describe content, processes and crafting</li> <li>texts and references chosen are suitable for the purposes of the argument / question / topic</li> <li>discussion may be unbalanced in terms of the question itself and / or the use of support evidence</li> <li>some interweaving of references</li> <li>ideas showing independent thought, not necessarily representing orthodox critical views</li> <li>arguments substantiated</li> </ul>
1, 2, 3 marks	personal response.

## **Notes**

- A nine-point marking scale (0–8) is used to assess each essay. A mark of 0 will be awarded where any essay is wholly lacking in **Scholarship** substance.
- Essays containing substantial and integral repetition of material in Sections B and C will be awarded no more than 2 marks.
- Although NOT explicitly required in the criteria at Scholarship level, essays should nevertheless show accurate use and control of the conventions of academic writing.