## Assessment Schedule - 2021

## **Scholarship Health and Physical Education (93501)**

## **Judgement Statement**

Performance Descriptors	Outstanding Scholarship		Scholarship		Just Below Scholarship		Below scholarship
	8	7	6	5	4	3	2, 1, 0
Critical thinking	High level, sophisticated, critical response and synthesised report.  Issues, theories, and practices are questioned and challenged with sophisticated insight and perception.  Independent reflection and extrapolation, employing sophisticated insight and perception.  Divergent ideas surrounding the selected topic.		A critical response and synthesised report.  Issues, theories, and practices are questioned and challenged with insight and perception.  Independent reflection substantiated coherently and logically.		Some evidence of critical response, but not sustained. Issues, theories, and practices are examined in a superficial manner. Independent reflection, however judgements may lack coherence and are not sufficiently substantiated.		2 = Minimal evidence of critical thinking or evaluation. 1 = Significant lack of critical thinking or evaluation. 0 = No relevant critical thinking or evaluation.

Note: The candidate is expected to show use of knowledge, critical thinking, and communication to evaluate a Health and Physical Education learning area context.

## **Cut Scores**

Scholarship	Outstanding Scholarship				
13 – 19	20 – 24				

Performance Descriptors	Outstanding Scholarship		Scholarship		Just Below Scholarship		Below scholarship
	8 7		6	5	4	3	2, 1, 0
Application of knowledge	Sophisticated integration and abstraction of concepts and ideas. (This is inclusive of, but not limited to, appropriate use of issues, theories, practices, and learning experience.)  Exceptional depth of understanding and a balanced response (theory and practice).		Integration and abstraction of concepts and ideas. (This is inclusive of, but not limited to, appropriate use of issues, theories, practices, and learning experience.)		Minimal integration and abstraction, and a lack of development of concepts and ideas.		Many unsubstantiated assumptions and generalisations.
			Theoretical and practical balance, although limited viewpoints may have been considered. (There is sufficient depth in some areas.)		Possible imbalance between theory and practical, viewpoints are limited, breadth over depth. Covers too many points inadequately, or may have a narrow focus.		
	Complex syntheses of highly developed knowledge, concepts, and ideas.		Logical syntheses of highly developed knowledge, concepts, and ideas.		Knowledge, concepts, and ideas are not logically synthesised.		
	Divergent ideas and independent conclusions related to future actions to enhance well-being.		Includes coherent conclusions related to future actions to enhance well-being.		Future actions may have been addressed, but lack relevance and / or cohesion.		

Note: Concepts are inclusive of the HPE underlying concepts (hauora, socio-ecological perspective, attitudes and values, and health promotion), and topic-specific concepts. There is an expectation that the candidate draws from their own learning experiences, which may include collection of primary data, and / or personal reflections.

Performance Descriptors	Outstanding Scholarship		Scholarship		Just Below Scholarship		Below scholarship
	8	7	6	5	4	3	2, 1, 0
Communication	Sophisticated and convincing communication. Supports argument with relevant referenced evidence that provides insight and illumination.		Communicates convincingly through a structured and coherent discussion that is supported with relevant referenced evidence.		Although report is structured and includes substantiated arguments and referenced evidence, it may be unconvincing and lacks coherence.		Account based writing and explanation alone.
	Compelling a that is sophis logically devi Clear, precis creative use terminology.	sticated and eloped. e, and / or	_	ate use of Use of terminology.			