

$$\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3 + \sqrt{4 + \dots}}}}$$

$$1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 \dots\dots\dots = ?$$

Discrete mathematics



The Foundations: Logic and Proofs



$$\exists_{x \in \mathfrak{R}} \exists_{y \in \mathfrak{R}} (x = y)$$

$$\forall_x (\mathfrak{R} / x)$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} = ?$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x = ?$$

RIZOAN TOUFIQ

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING
RAJSHAHI UNIVERSITY OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY

Propositional Equivalences

Section 1.3



Section Summary

- ◆ Tautologies, Contradictions, and Contingencies.
- ◆ Logical Equivalence
 - Important Logical Equivalences
 - Showing Logical Equivalence
- ◆ Propositional Satisfiability
 - Sudoku Example

Tautologies, Contradictions, and Contingencies

- ◆ A **tautology** is a proposition which is always **true**.
 - Example: $p \vee \neg p$
- ◆ A **contradiction** is a proposition which is always **false**.
 - Example: $p \wedge \neg p$
- ◆ A **contingency** is a proposition which is neither a **tautology** nor a **contradiction**, such as p

p	$\neg p$	$p \vee \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p$
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F

Logically Equivalent

- ◆ Two **compound** propositions p and q are logically **equivalent** if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology.
- ◆ We write this as $p \leftrightarrow q$ or as $p \equiv q$ where p and q are compound propositions.
- ◆ This truth table shows that $\neg a \vee b$ is equivalent to $a \rightarrow b$.
 - $p: \neg a \vee b$
 - $q: a \rightarrow b$

a	b	$\neg a$	$\neg a \vee b$	$a \rightarrow b$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

De Morgan's Laws

TABLE 2 De Morgan's Laws.

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$



Augustus De Morgan
1806-1871

This truth table shows that De Morgan's Second Law holds.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$(p \vee q)$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

Key Logical Equivalences

TABLE 6 Logical Equivalences.	
<i>Equivalence</i>	<i>Name</i>
$p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$	Identity laws
$p \vee \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Domination laws
$p \vee p \equiv p$ $p \wedge p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	Commutative laws

Key Logical Equivalences (*cont*)

TABLE 6 Logical Equivalences.	
<i>Equivalence</i>	<i>Name</i>
$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	Associative laws
$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	Distributive laws
$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	De Morgan's laws
$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws
$p \vee \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \wedge \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Negation laws

More Logical Equivalences

TABLE 7 Logical Equivalences Involving Conditional Statements.

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$$

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

$$p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$$

$$p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$$

$$(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$$

TABLE 8 Logical Equivalences Involving Biconditional Statements.

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

$$\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$$

Equivalence Proofs

Example: Show that $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$ is logically equivalent to $\neg p \wedge \neg q$

Solution:

$\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$	\equiv	$\neg p \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q)$	by the second De Morgan law
	\equiv	$\neg p \wedge [\neg(\neg p) \vee \neg q]$	by the first De Morgan law
	\equiv	$\neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q)$	by the double negation law
	\equiv	$(\neg p \wedge p) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$	by the second distributive law
	\equiv	$F \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$	because $\neg p \wedge p \equiv F$
	\equiv	$(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee F$	by the commutative law for disjunction
	\equiv	$(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$	by the identity law for F

Equivalence Proofs

Example: Show that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$ is a tautology.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q) &\equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q) && \text{by truth table for } \rightarrow \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \vee q) && \text{by the first De Morgan law} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee p) \vee (\neg q \vee q) && \begin{array}{l} \text{by associative and} \\ \text{commutative laws} \end{array} \\ &&& \text{laws for disjunction} \\ &\equiv T \vee T && \text{by truth tables} \\ &\equiv T && \text{by the domination law}\end{aligned}$$

Propositional Satisfiability

- ◆ A compound proposition is *satisfiable* if there is an assignment of truth values to its variables that make it true. When no such assignments exist, the compound proposition is *unsatisfiable*.
- ◆ A compound proposition is unsatisfiable if and only if its negation is a tautology.

Questions on Propositional Satisfiability

Example: Determine the satisfiability of the following compound propositions:

$$(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p)$$

$$(p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$$

$$(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p) \wedge (p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$$

Solution: Satisfiable. Assign **T** to p , q , and r .

Solution: Satisfiable. Assign **T** to p and **F** to q .

Solution: Not satisfiable. Check each possible assignment of truth values to the propositional variables and none will make the proposition true.

Notation

$\bigvee_{j=1}^n p_j$ is used for $p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_n$

Needed for the next example.

Sudoku

- ◆ A **Sudoku puzzle** is represented by a 9×9 grid made up of nine 3×3 subgrids, known as **blocks**. Some of the 81 cells of the puzzle are assigned one of the numbers 1, 2, ..., 9.
- ◆ The puzzle is solved by assigning numbers to each blank cell so that every row, column and block contains each of the nine possible numbers.
- ◆ Example

	2	9				4		
			5			1		
	4							
				4	2			
6							7	
5								
7			3					5
	1			9				
							6	

Encoding as a Satisfiability Problem

- ◆ Let $p(i,j,n)$ denote the proposition that is true when the number n is in the cell in the i th row and the j th column.
- ◆ There are $9 \times 9 \times 9 = 729$ such propositions.
- ◆ In the sample puzzle $p(5,1,6)$ is true, but $p(5,j,6)$ is false for $j = 2,3,\dots,9$

	2	9				4		
			5			1		
	4							
				4	2			
6							7	
5								
7			3					5
	1			9				
							6	

Encoding (cont)

- ◆ For each cell with a given value, assert $p(i,j,n)$, when the cell in row i and column j has the given value.
- ◆ Assert that every row contains every number.

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^9 \bigwedge_{n=1}^9 \bigvee_{j=1}^9 p(i, j, n)$$

- ◆ Assert that every column contains every number.

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^9 \bigwedge_{n=1}^9 \bigvee_{i=1}^9 p(i, j, n)$$

	2	9				4		
			5			1		
	4							
				4	2			
6							7	
5								
7			3					5
	1			9				
							6	

Query???



$$\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3 + \sqrt{4 \dots}}}}$$

$$\exists_{x \in \mathfrak{R}} \exists_{y \in \mathfrak{R}} (x = y) = ?$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x = ?$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} = ?$$

$$\forall_x (\mathfrak{R} / x) = ?$$

$$\exists_{x \in \mathfrak{R}} \exists_{y \in \mathfrak{R}} (x = y) = ?$$



$$\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3 + \sqrt{4 \dots}}}} = ?$$

$$1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 \dots \dots = ?$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} = ?$$