

# Chapter 15

## Service Department Costing: An Activity Approach

### Solutions to Questions

**15-1** Operating departments are the units in an organization within which the central purposes of the organization are carried out. By contrast, service departments provide support or assistance to the operating departments. Examples of service departments include laundry services, internal auditing, airport maintenance services (ground crews), cafeteria, personnel, cost accounting, and so on.

**15-2** Service department costs are allocated to products and services in two stages. Service department costs are first allocated to the operating departments. These allocated costs are then included in the operating departments' overhead rates, which are used to cost products and services.

**15-3** Interdepartmental service costs exist whenever two service departments perform services for each other. Under the step method, the costs of the service department performing the greatest amount of service for the other service departments are allocated first, the costs of the service department performing the next greatest amount of service are allocated next, and so forth through all the service departments. Once a service department's costs have been allocated, costs are not reallocated back to it under the step method.

**15-4** Under the direct method, costs are not allocated from one service department to

another. Rather, all service department costs are allocated directly to operating departments.

**15-5** If a service department generates revenues, these revenues should be offset against the department's costs and only the net amount of cost remaining after this offset should be allocated to other departments within the organization.

**15-6** Two general guidelines govern the allocation of fixed service department costs to other departments: (1) allocate only budgeted costs, and (2) allocate fixed costs in predetermined, lump-sum amounts, according to how much of the service department's capacity is acquired to serve each of the other departments.

Two general guidelines also govern the allocation of variable service department costs to other departments: (1) allocate at budgeted rates, and (2) allocate the costs according to whatever activity (direct labor-hours, pounds of laundry, etc.) causes their incurrence.

**15-7** If a variable base is used to allocate fixed costs, the costs allocated to one department will depend in large part on what is happening in other departments. As a consequence, the amount of service department cost allocated to a department will increase or decrease depending on the activity in other departments.

**Exercise 15-1** (20 minutes)

	<i>Service Departments</i>			<i>Operating Departments</i>		
	<i>Admini- strative</i>	<i>Jani- torial</i>	<i>Mainte- nance</i>	<i>Prep</i>	<i>Finishing</i>	<i>Total</i>
Overhead costs.....	\$84,000	\$67,800	\$36,000	\$256,100	\$498,600	\$942,500
Allocation:						
Administrative:(5%; 20%; 50; 25%)* ... (84,000)		4,200	16,800	42,000	21,000	
Janitorial: (1/10; 2/10; 7/10) .....		(72,000)	7,200	14,400	50,400	
Maintenance: (1/4; 3/4) .....			(60,000)	15,000	45,000	
Total overhead costs after allocations.....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$327,500</u>	<u>\$615,000</u>	<u>\$942,500</u>

\* Allocations can be done using percentages, fractions, or a rate per unit of activity. Administrative allocations, for example, have been shown as percentages above, but they could have been shown as 1/20; 4/20; 10/20; and 5/20 or they could have been shown as \$70 per employee. Fractions should be used if percentages result in rounding error.

### Exercise 15-1 (continued)

Supporting computations:

Administrative allocated to:

Janitorial.....	60 employees	5%
Equipment Maintenance .....	240 employees	20
Prep .....	600 employees	50
Finishing.....	<u>300 employees</u>	<u>25</u>
Total.....	<u>1,200 employees</u>	<u>100%</u>

Janitorial allocated to:

Equipment Maintenance .....	10,000 sq. ft.	1/10
Prep .....	20,000 sq. ft.	2/10
Finishing.....	<u>70,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>7/10</u>
Total.....	<u>100,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>10/10</u>

Equipment Maintenance allocated to:

Prep .....	10,000 MH	1/4
Finishing.....	<u>30,000 MH</u>	<u>3/4</u>
Total.....	<u>40,000 MH</u>	<u>4/4</u>

**Exercise 15-2** (20 minutes)

	<i>Service Departments</i>			<i>Operating Departments</i>		
	<i>Admini- strative</i>	<i>Jani- torial</i>	<i>Equip- ment Mainte- nance</i>	<i>Prep</i>	<i>Finishing</i>	<i>Total</i>
Overhead costs.....	\$84,000	\$67,800	\$36,000	\$256,100	\$498,600	\$942,500
Allocation:						
Administrative: (2/3; 1/3) .....	(84,000)			56,000	28,000	
Janitorial: (2/9; 7/9).....		(67,800)		15,067	52,733	
Equipment Maintenance: (1/4; 3/4)...			(36,000)	9,000	27,000	
Total overhead costs after allocations...	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$336,167</u>	<u>\$606,333</u>	<u>\$942,500</u>

Supporting computations:

	<i>Administrative</i>		<i>Janitorial</i>		<i>Equipment Maintenance</i>	
Prep.....	600 employees	2/3	20,000 sq. ft.	2/9	10,000 MH	1/4
Finishing .....	300 employees	1/3	70,000 sq. ft.	7/9	30,000 MH	3/4
Total .....	<u>900 employees</u>	<u>3/3</u>	<u>90,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>9/9</u>	<u>40,000 MH</u>	<u>4/4</u>

**Exercise 15-3** (15 minutes)

	<i>Arbon Refinery</i>	<i>Beck Refinery</i>
Variable costs:		
\$0.30 per gallon × 270,000 gallons .....	\$ 81,000	
\$0.30 per gallon × 130,000 gallons .....		\$ 39,000
Fixed costs:		
60% × \$200,000 .....	120,000	
40% × \$200,000 .....		<u>80,000</u>
Total allocated costs .....	<u><u>\$201,000</u></u>	<u><u>\$119,000</u></u>

**Exercise 15-4** (15 minutes)

1. and 2.

End-of-year allocations of variable costs should be based on the *actual* level of activity multiplied by the *budgeted* rate. End-of-year allocations of fixed costs should be based on the same predetermined lump-sum amounts as at the beginning of the year. Actual costs in excess of (or less than) the budgeted rate for variable costs or the budgeted total fixed costs should not be allocated to the refineries. Therefore, the allocations of transport services cost at the end of the year would be:

	<i>Arbon Refinery</i>	<i>Beck Refinery</i>	<i>Total</i>
Variable costs:			
\$0.30 per gallon × 260,000 gallons....	\$ 78,000		
\$0.30 per gallon × 140,000 gallons....		\$ 42,000	\$120,000
Fixed costs:			
60% × \$200,000 .....	120,000		
40% × \$200,000 .....		<u>80,000</u>	<u>200,000</u>
Total allocated costs .....	<u>\$198,000</u>	<u>\$122,000</u>	<u>\$320,000</u>

3. Part of the \$365,000 in total actual cost will not be allocated to the refineries, as follows:

	<i>Variable Cost</i>	<i>Fixed Cost</i>	<i>Total</i>
Total cost incurred .....	\$148,000	\$217,000	\$365,000
Total cost allocated (above) .....	<u>120,000</u>	<u>200,000</u>	<u>320,000</u>
Amount of cost not allocated .....	<u>\$ 28,000</u>	<u>\$ 17,000</u>	<u>\$ 45,000</u>

The "cost not allocated" represents cost incurred in excess of the budgeted \$0.30 per gallon variable cost and budgeted \$200,000 in fixed costs. This \$45,000 in unallocated cost is the responsibility of the Transport Services Department and is a cost variance for the year.

**Exercise 15-5** (10 minutes)

The budgeted rate of \$60 per employee should be multiplied by the actual number of employees in each operating department during the year for the end-of-year allocations.

	(1) <i>Budgeted Rate</i>	(2) <i>Actual Number of Employees</i>	(1) × (2) <i>Total Allocation</i>
Cutting Department .....	\$60	500	\$ 30,000
Milling Department .....	\$60	400	24,000
Assembly Department.....	\$60	<u>800</u>	<u>48,000</u>
Total .....		<u>1,700</u>	<u>\$102,000</u>

The difference between the budgeted and actual cost per employee is the responsibility of the Medical Services Department and will not be allocated to the operating departments. This variance totals \$20,400 for the year.

$$1,700 \text{ employees} \times (\$72 - \$60 = \$12 \text{ per employee}) = \$20,400.$$

**Exercise 15-6** (15 minutes)

1.	<i>Janitorial Services</i>	<i>Medical Services</i>	<i>Cutting</i>	<i>Milling</i>	<i>Assembly</i>
Cost to be allocated.....	\$350,000	\$596,000			
Allocations:					
Janitorial Services					
(4%; 20%; 16%; 60%).....	(350,000)	14,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 56,000	\$210,000
Medical Services (3/10; 2/10; 5/10).....		(610,000)	<u>183,000</u>	<u>122,000</u>	<u>305,000</u>
Total costs after allocations.....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$253,000</u>	<u>\$178,000</u>	<u>\$515,000</u>

Supporting computations:

Janitorial Services:

Medical Services .....	6,000 sq. ft.	4%
Cutting .....	30,000 sq. ft.	20
Milling .....	24,000 sq. ft.	16
Assembly.....	<u>90,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>60</u>
Total .....	<u>150,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>100%</u>

Medical Services:

Cutting .....	600 employees	3/10
Milling.....	400 employees	2/10
Assembly .....	<u>1,000 employees</u>	<u>5/10</u>
Total .....	<u>2,000 employees</u>	<u>10/10</u>

2. The allocations would be the same as in part 1, since budgeted fixed costs are always allocated to consuming departments. Thus, \$11,000 of the actual fixed costs in Janitorial Services (\$361,000 – \$350,000) and \$9,000 of the actual fixed costs in Medical Services (\$605,000 – \$596,000) would not be allocated to other departments.



**Exercise 15-7** (20 minutes)

1.

	<i>Men's</i>	<i>Women's</i>	<i>Shoes</i>	<i>House- wares</i>	<i>Total</i>
Percentage of 2002 sales .....	8%	40%	28%	24%	100%
Allocation of 2002 fixed administrative expenses (based on the above percentages) .....	\$ 72,000	\$360,000	\$252,000	\$216,000	\$900,000
2. 2002 allocation (above) .....	\$ 72,000	\$360,000	\$252,000	\$216,000	\$900,000
2001 allocation.....	<u>90,000</u>	<u>225,000</u>	<u>315,000</u>	<u>270,000</u>	<u>900,000</u>
Increase (decrease) in allocation .....	<u>\$(18,000)</u>	<u>\$135,000</u>	<u>\$(63,000)</u>	<u>\$(54,000)</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>

The manager of the Women's Department undoubtedly will be upset about the increased allocation to the department but will feel powerless to do anything about it. Such an increased allocation may be viewed as a penalty for an outstanding performance.

3. Sales dollars is not ordinarily a good base for allocating fixed costs. The costs allocated to a department will be affected by the sales in *other* departments. In our illustration above, the sales in three departments remained static and the sales in the fourth increased. As a result, less cost was allocated to the departments with static sales and more cost was allocated to the one department that showed improvement during the period.

**Problem 15-8** (60 minutes)

1.	<i>Factory Admin.</i>	<i>Custodial Services</i>	<i>Personnel</i>	<i>Mainte- nance</i>	<i>Stamping</i>	<i>Assembly</i>
<i>Step method</i>						
Operating department costs .....					ω 752,600	ω351,800
Costs to be allocated .....	ω540,000	ω137,520	ω57,680	ω 90,400	—	—
Allocations:						
Factory Admin.						
@ ω1.80 per labor-hr. ....	(540,000)	10,800	18,000	79,200	108,000	324,000
Custodial Services						
@ ω1.44 per sq. meter .....		(148,320)	4,320	14,400	100,800	28,800
Personnel						
@ ω320/employee .....			(80,000)	16,000	25,600	38,400
Maintenance						
@ ω1.25 per MH .....	_____	_____	_____	<u>(200,000)</u>	<u>175,000</u>	<u>25,000</u>
Total overhead after allocations .....	<u>ω 0</u>	<u>ω 0</u>	<u>ω 0</u>	<u>ω 0</u>	ω1,162,000	ω768,000
Divide by machine-hours .....					÷140,000	
Divide by direct labor-hours .....						÷160,000
Overhead rate .....					<u>ω 8.30</u>	<u>ω 4.80</u>

**Problem 15-8** (continued)

2.	<i>Factory Admin.</i>	<i>Custodial Services</i>	<i>Personnel</i>	<i>Mainte- nance</i>	<i>Stamping</i>	<i>Assembly</i>
<i>Direct method</i>						
Operating department costs .....				-	ω 752,600	ω351,800
Costs to be allocated .....	ω540,000	ω137,520	ω57,680	ω90,400	—	—
Allocations:						
Factory Admin. (1/4 and 3/4) .....	(540,000)				135,000	405,000
Custodial Services (7/9 and 2/9) .....		(137,520)			106,960	30,560
Personnel (2/5 and 3/5) .....			(57,680)		23,072	34,608
Maintenance (7/8 and 1/8) .....	_____	_____	_____	(90,400)	79,100	11,300
Total overhead after allocations .....	<u>ω 0</u>	<u>ω 0</u>	<u>ω 0</u>	<u>ω 0</u>	ω1,096,732	ω833,268
Divide by machine-hours .....					÷140,000	
Divide by direct labor-hours .....						÷160,000
Overhead rate .....					<u>ω 7.83</u>	<u>ω 5.21</u>

### Problem 15-8 (continued)

#### 3. Plantwide rate

$$\frac{\text{Total overhead cost}}{\text{Total direct labor-hours}} = \frac{\text{₱1,930,000}}{200,000 \text{ DLHs}} = \text{₱9.65 per DLH}$$

#### 4. The amount of overhead cost assigned to the job would be:

Step method:

Stamping Department:

₱8.30 per machine-hour × 190 machine hours ..... ₱1,577

Assembly Department:

₱4.80 per direct labor-hour × 75 direct labor-hours.... 360

Total overhead cost..... ₱1,937

Direct method:

Stamping Department:

₱7.83 per machine-hour × 190 machine-hours ..... ₱1,488

Assembly Department:

₱5.21 per direct labor-hour × 75 direct labor-hours.... 391

Total overhead cost..... ₱1,879

Plantwide method:

₱9.65 per direct labor-hour × 100 direct labor-hours .... ₱ 965

The plantwide method, which is based on direct-labor hours, assigns very little overhead cost to the job since it requires little labor time. Assuming that Factory Administrative costs really do vary in proportion to labor-hours, Custodial Services with square meters occupied, and so on, the company will tend to undercost such jobs if a plantwide overhead rate is used (and it will tend to overcost jobs requiring large amounts of labor time). The direct method is better than the plantwide method, but the step method will generally provide the most accurate overhead rates of the three methods.

**Problem 15-9** (45 minutes)

1.	<i>Machine Tools Division</i>	<i>Special Products Division</i>
Variable costs:		
\$0.50 per machine-hour × 90,000 machine-hours.....	\$45,000	
\$0.50 per machine-hour × 60,000 machine-hours.....		\$30,000
Fixed costs:		
65% × \$80,000 .....	52,000	
35% × \$80,000 .....		<u>28,000</u>
Total cost allocated.....	<u>\$97,000</u>	<u>\$58,000</u>

The variable costs are allocated by multiplying the budgeted rate per machine-hour by the budgeted number of machine-hours that will be worked in each division during the month. The fixed costs are allocated in predetermined, lump-sum amounts based on the peak-period maintenance needs in each division.

## Problem 15-9 (continued)

2.

	<i>Machine Tools Division</i>	<i>Special Products Division</i>
Variable costs:		
\$0.50 per machine-hour × 60,000 machine-hours.....	\$30,000	
\$0.50 per machine-hour × 60,000 machine-hours.....		\$30,000
Fixed costs:		
65% × \$80,000 .....	52,000	
35% × \$80,000 .....		<u>28,000</u>
Total cost allocated.....	<u>\$82,000</u>	<u>\$58,000</u>

The variable costs are allocated according to the budgeted rate per machine-hour and not according to the actual rate. Also notice that the fixed costs are again allocated in predetermined, lump-sum amounts based on budgeted fixed costs. Any difference between budgeted and actual costs is not allocated but rather is treated as a spending variance of the maintenance department:

	<i>Variable</i>	<i>Fixed</i>
Total actual costs for the month .....	\$78,000	\$85,000
Total cost allocated above.....	<u>60,000</u>	<u>80,000</u>
Spending variance—not allocated.....	<u>\$18,000</u>	<u>\$ 5,000</u>

**Problem 15-9** (continued)

3. Actual variable costs.....	\$ 78,000
Actual fixed costs .....	<u>85,000</u>
Total actual costs .....	<u>\$163,000</u>

One-half of the cost, or \$81,500, would be allocated to each division, since an equal number of machine-hours was worked in each division during the month.

4. This method has two major problems. First, the spending variances should not be allocated since this forces the inefficiencies of the service department onto the using departments. Second, the fixed costs should *not* be allocated according to month-by-month usage of services, since this causes the allocation to one division to be affected by what happens in another division.
5. Their strategy probably will be to underestimate their peak-period needs in order to force a greater proportion of any allocation onto other departments. Top management can control such ploys by careful follow-up, with rewards being given to those managers who estimate accurately, and severe penalties assessed against those managers who underestimate long-run usage. For example, departments that exceed their estimated peak-period maintenance requirements may be forced to hire outside maintenance contractors, at market rates, to do their maintenance work during peak periods.

**Problem 15-10** (30 minutes)

1. Beginning-of-year allocations of variable costs are computed by multiplying the budgeted rate by the budgeted level of activity. Fixed costs are allocated in lump-sum amounts based on the peak-period needs of the using departments. The computations are:

	<i>Milling Department</i>	<i>Finishing Department</i>	<i>Total</i>
Variable costs:			
20K per meal × 10,000 meals..	200,000K		
20K per meal × 5,000 meals ...		100,000K	300,000K
Fixed costs:			
70% × 200,000K .....	140,000		
30% × 200,000K .....		<u>60,000</u>	<u>200,000</u>
Total cost allocated .....	<u>340,000K</u>	<u>160,000K</u>	<u>500,000K</u>

2. a. End-of-year allocations of variable costs are computed by multiplying the budgeted rate by the actual level of activity. Fixed costs are again allocated in predetermined lump-sum amounts based on budgeted costs. The computations are:

	<i>Milling Department</i>	<i>Finishing Department</i>	<i>Total</i>
Variable costs:			
20K per meal × 12,000 meals..	240,000 K		
20K per meal × 4,000 meals....		80,000 K	320,000 K
Fixed costs:			
70% × 200,000K .....	140,000		
30% × 200,000K .....		<u>60,000</u>	<u>200,000</u>
Total cost allocated .....	<u>380,000 K</u>	<u>140,000 K</u>	<u>520,000 K</u>



**Problem 15-10** (continued)

- b. Any difference between the budgeted and actual variable cost per meal or between the budgeted and actual total fixed cost would not be allocated to the other departments. The amount not allocated would be:

	<i>Variable Cost</i>	<i>Fixed Cost</i>	<i>Total</i>
Actual cost incurred during the year .....	384,000K	215,000K	599,000K
Cost allocated above.....	<u>320,000</u>	<u>200,000</u>	<u>520,000</u>
Cost not allocated (spending variance)...	<u>64,000K</u>	<u>15,000K</u>	<u>79,000K</u>

The costs that are not allocated to the other departments are spending variances of the cafeteria and are the responsibility of the cafeteria's manager.

**Problem 15-11** (30 minutes)

1. Yes, there is merit to the complaint. The company is using a variable base (lines of print) to allocate costs that are largely fixed. Thus, the amount of cost that is charged to a division during a given month will depend to a large extent on usage in other divisions. A reduction in usage in one division can result in shifts of costs from it onto the other divisions, even though the other divisions receive no additional service.

	<i>Lines of Print</i>	<i>Total Cost</i>
2. May activity .....	200,000	\$182,000
June activity.....	<u>150,000</u>	<u>179,000</u>
Difference.....	<u>50,000</u>	<u>\$ 3,000</u>

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Variable cost element} &= \frac{\text{Change in cost}}{\text{Change in activity}} \\ &= \frac{\$3,000}{50,000 \text{ lines}} = \$0.06 \text{ per line}\end{aligned}$$

Fixed costs per month:

Total cost, May .....	\$182,000
Less variable cost (\$0.06 per line × 200,000 lines) ....	<u>12,000</u>
Fixed cost .....	<u>\$170,000</u>

**Problem 15-11** (continued)

3.

	<i>Division</i>			
	<i>Lending</i>	<i>Retail</i>	<i>Com- mercial</i>	<i>Total</i>
May allocation:				
Variable cost at \$0.06 per line:				
\$0.06 × 80,000 lines .....	\$ 4,800			
\$0.06 × 20,000 lines .....		\$ 1,200		
\$0.06 × 100,000 lines .....			\$ 6,000	
Fixed cost:				
40% × \$170,000.....	68,000			
12% × \$170,000.....		20,400		
48% × \$170,000.....			81,600	
Total cost allocated.....	<u>\$72,800</u>	<u>\$21,600</u>	<u>\$87,600</u>	<u>\$182,000</u>
June allocation:				
Variable cost at \$0.06 per line:				
\$0.06 × 75,000 lines .....	\$ 4,500			
\$0.06 × 30,000 lines .....		\$ 1,800		
\$0.06 × 45,000 lines .....			\$ 2,700	
Fixed cost:				
40% × \$170,000.....	68,000			
12% × \$170,000.....		20,400		
48% × \$170,000.....			81,600	
Total cost allocated.....	<u>\$72,500</u>	<u>\$22,200</u>	<u>\$84,300</u>	<u>\$179,000</u>

**Problem 15-12** (45 minutes)

	<i>Food Services</i>	<i>Admin. Services</i>	<i>X-Ray Services</i>	<i>Out- patient Clinic</i>	<i>OB Care</i>	<i>General Hospital</i>
Variable costs .....	\$73,150	\$ 6,800	\$38,100	\$11,700	\$ 14,850	\$ 53,400
Food Services allocation:						
\$1.90 per meal × 1,000 meals.....	(1,900)	1,900				
\$1.90 per meal × 500 meals .....	(950)		950			
\$1.90 per meal × 7,000 meals.....	(13,300)			0	13,300	
\$1.90 per meal × 30,000 meals.....	(57,000)					57,000
Admin. Services allocation:						
\$0.50 per file × 1,500 files .....		(750)	750			
\$0.50 per file × 3,000 files .....		(1,500)		1,500		
\$0.50 per file × 900 files.....		(450)			450	
\$0.50 per file × 12,000 files .....		(6,000)				6,000
X-Ray Services allocation:						
\$4 per X-ray × 1,200 X-rays.....			(4,800)	4,800		
\$4 per X-ray × 350 X-rays .....			(1,400)		1,400	
\$4 per X-ray × 8,400 X-rays.....			(33,600)			33,600
Total variable costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$18,000</u>	<u>\$ 30,000</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>

**Problem 15-12** (continued)

	<i>Food Services</i>	<i>Admin. Services</i>	<i>X-Ray Services</i>	<i>Out- patient Clinic</i>	<i>OB Care</i>	<i>General Hospital</i>
Fixed costs .....	\$48,000	\$33,040	\$59,520	\$26,958	\$ 99,738	\$344,744
Food Services allocation:						
2% × \$48,000 .....	(960)	960				
1% × \$48,000 .....	(480)		480			
17% × \$48,000 .....	(8,160)			0	8,160	
80% × \$48,000 .....	(38,400)					38,400
Admin. Services allocation:						
10% × \$34,000 .....		(3,400)	3,400			
20% × \$34,000 .....		(6,800)		6,800		
30% × \$34,000 .....		(10,200)			10,200	
40% × \$34,000 .....		(13,600)				13,600
X-Ray Services allocation:						
13% × \$63,400 .....			(8,242)	8,242		
3% × \$63,400 .....			(1,902)		1,902	
84% × \$63,400 .....			(53,256)			53,256
Total fixed costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$42,000</u>	<u>\$120,000</u>	<u>\$450,000</u>
Total overhead costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$60,000</u>	<u>\$150,000</u>	<u>\$600,000</u>

**Problem 15-12** (continued)

Computation of allocation rates:

Variable Food Services:

$$\frac{\text{Variable food service cost}}{\text{Total meals served}} = \frac{\$73,150}{38,500 \text{ meals}} = \$1.90 \text{ per meal}$$

Variable Admin. Services:

$$\frac{\text{Variable administrative cost}}{\text{Files processed}} = \frac{\$6,800 + \$1,900}{17,400 \text{ files}} = \$0.50 \text{ per file}$$

Variable X-Ray Services:

$$\frac{\text{Variable X-ray cost}}{\text{X-rays taken}} = \frac{\$38,100 + \$950 + \$750}{9,950 \text{ X-rays}} = \$4.00 \text{ per X-ray}$$

**Problem 15-13** (45 minutes)

	<i>Grounds &amp; Maint.</i>	<i>General Admin.</i>	<i>Laundry</i>	<i>Conven- tion Center</i>	<i>Food Services</i>	<i>Lodging</i>
Variable costs .....	\$ 0	\$ 915	\$13,725	\$ 0	\$ 48,000	\$ 36,450
General Administrative allocation:						
\$15 per employee × 10 employees ...		(150)	150			
\$15 per employee × 5 employees .....		(75)		75		
\$15 per employee × 25 employees ...		(375)			375	
\$15 per employee × 21 employees ...		(315)				315
Laundry allocation:						
\$0.30 per item × 1,000 items .....			(300)	300		
\$0.30 per item × 5,250 items .....			(1,575)		1,575	
\$0.30 per item × 40,000 items.....			(12,000)			12,000
Total variable costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 375</u>	<u>\$ 49,950</u>	<u>\$ 48,765</u>

**Problem 15-13** (continued)

	<i>Grounds &amp; Maint.</i>	<i>General Admin.</i>	<i>Laundry</i>	<i>Conven- tion Center</i>	<i>Food Services</i>	<i>Lodging</i>
Fixed costs .....	\$17,500	\$12,150	\$18,975	\$28,500	\$ 64,000	\$ 81,000
Grounds and Maintenance allocation:						
\$0.14 per sq. ft. × 2,500 sq. ft.....	(350)	350				
\$0.14 per sq. ft. × 3,750 sq. ft.....	(525)		525			
\$0.14 per sq. ft. × 15,000 sq. ft.....	(2,100)			2,100		
\$0.14 per sq. ft. × 6,250 sq. ft.....	(875)				875	
\$0.14 per sq. ft. × 97,500 sq. ft. ....	(13,650)					13,650
General Admin. allocation:						
20% × \$12,500 .....		(2,500)	2,500			
14% × \$12,500 .....		(1,750)		1,750		
36% × \$12,500 .....		(4,500)			4,500	
30% × \$12,500 .....		(3,750)				3,750
Laundry allocation:						
3% × \$22,000 .....			(660)	660		
13% × \$22,000 .....			(2,860)		2,860	
84% × \$22,000 .....			(18,480)			18,480
Total fixed costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$33,010</u>	<u>\$ 72,235</u>	<u>\$116,880</u>
Total overhead costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$33,385</u>	<u>\$122,185</u>	<u>\$165,645</u>



**Problem 15-13** (continued)

Computation of allocation rates:

Variable General Admin. costs:

$$\frac{\text{Variable general administrative cost}}{\text{Number of employees}} = \frac{\$915}{75-14=61 \text{ employees}} \\ = \$15 \text{ per employee}$$

Variable Laundry costs:

$$\frac{\text{Variable laundry cost}}{\text{Items processed}} = \frac{\$13,725 + \$150}{46,250 \text{ items processed}} \\ = \$0.30 \text{ per item}$$

Fixed Grounds and Maintenance cost:

General Admin. ....	2,500 sq. ft.
Laundry .....	3,750 sq. ft.
Convention Center .....	15,000 sq. ft.
Food Services .....	6,250 sq. ft.
Lodging .....	<u>97,500</u> sq. ft.
Total .....	<u>125,000</u> sq. ft.

$$\$17,500 \div 125,000 \text{ sq. ft.} = \$0.14 \text{ per sq. ft.}$$

**Problem 15-14** (60 minutes)

1. and 2.

	<i>Medical Services</i>	<i>Mainte- nance</i>	<i>Metals</i>	<i>Plastics</i>
Variable costs to be allocated .....	\$3,630	\$12,536		
Medical services allocation:				
\$33 per employee × 8 employees.....	(264)	264		
\$33 per employee × 38 employees...	(1,254)		\$ 1,254	
\$33 per employee × 64 employees...	(2,112)			\$ 2,112
Maintenance allocation:				
\$0.80 per DLH × 6,000 DLH.....		(4,800)	4,800	
\$0.80 per DLH × 10,000 DLH.....		(8,000)		8,000
Total variable costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 6,054</u>	<u>\$ 10,112</u>

**Problem 15-14** (continued)

	<i>Medical Services</i>	<i>Mainte- nance</i>	<i>Metals</i>	<i>Plastics</i>
Fixed costs to be allocated .....	\$7,500	\$6,000		
Medical services allocation:				
6.67% × \$7,500 .....	(500)	500		
40.00% × \$7,500 .....	(3,000)		\$ 3,000	
53.33% × \$7,500 .....	(4,000)			\$ 4,000
Maintenance allocation:				
40% × \$6,500 .....		(2,600)	2,600	
60% × \$6,500 .....		(3,900)		3,900
Total fixed costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 5,600</u>	<u>\$ 7,900</u>
Total allocated costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	\$ 11,654	\$ 18,012
Other budgeted overhead costs .....			<u>104,000</u>	<u>155,000</u>
Total overhead costs (a) .....			<u>\$115,654</u>	<u>\$173,012</u>
Direct labor-hours (b) .....			6,000	10,000
Predetermined overhead rate (a) ÷ (b) ..			\$19.28	\$17.30

### Problem 15-14 (continued)

Supporting computations:

Variable medical services allocation:

Maintenance.....	8 employees
Metals.....	38 employees
Plastics .....	<u>64 employees</u>
Total .....	<u>110 employees</u>

$\$3,630 \div 110 \text{ employees} = \$33 \text{ per employee}$

Variable maintenance allocation:

Metals.....	6,000 DLH
Plastics .....	<u>10,000 DLH</u>
Total .....	<u>16,000 DLH</u>

$\$12,800 \div 16,000 \text{ DLHs} = \$0.80 \text{ per DLH}$

Fixed medical services allocation:

Long-run employee needs:

Maintenance.....	10	6.67%
Metals.....	60	40.00
Plastics .....	<u>80</u>	<u>53.33</u>
Total .....	<u>150</u>	<u>100.00%</u>

Fixed maintenance allocation:

Square footage occupied:

Metals.....	8,000 sq. ft.	40%
Plastics .....	<u>12,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>60</u>
Total .....	<u>20,000 sq. ft.</u>	<u>100%</u>

**Problem 15-14** (continued)

3. Direct labor cost per unit:

$$\frac{10,000 \text{ DLHs}}{20,000 \text{ units}} = 0.5 \text{ DLH per unit}$$

$$\frac{\text{Direct labor cost}}{\text{Direct labor-hours}} = \frac{\$40,000}{10,000 \text{ DLHs}} = \$4.00 \text{ per DLH}$$

$$0.5 \text{ DLH per unit} \times \$4.00 \text{ per DLH} = \$2 \text{ per unit}$$

Direct materials cost per unit:

$$\frac{\$80,000}{20,000 \text{ units}} = \$4.00 \text{ per unit}$$

Overhead cost per unit:

$$0.5 \text{ DLH per unit (above)} \times \$17.30 \text{ per DLH} = \$8.65 \text{ per unit}$$

Total cost per unit:

Direct labor .....	\$ 2.00
Direct material .....	4.00
Overhead .....	<u>8.65</u>
Total .....	<u>\$14.65</u>

**Case 15-15** (75 minutes)

1. Step method:

	<i>Cafeteria</i>	<i>Custodial Services</i>	<i>Mach- inery Mainte- nance</i>	<i>Milling</i>	<i>Finishing</i>
Total costs before allocations .....	\$320,000	\$65,400	\$ 93,600	\$416,000	\$166,000
Allocations:					
Cafeteria (@ \$640 per employee)* .....	(320,000)	25,600	38,400	64,000	192,000
Custodial Services (@ \$1.30 per sq. ft.):** ..		(91,000)	13,000	52,000	26,000
Machinery Maintenance (4/5; 1/5).....			(145,000)	116,000	29,000
Total overhead after allocations .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	\$648,000	\$413,000
Divide by machine-hours .....				÷160,000	
Divide by direct labor-hours.....					÷ 70,000
Predetermined overhead rate .....				<u>\$ 4.05</u>	<u>\$ 5.90</u>

\* Based on 40 + 60 + 100 + 300 = 500 employees.

\*\* Based on 10,000 + 40,000 + 20,000 = 70,000 sq. ft.

**Case 15-15** (continued)

2. Direct method:

	<i>Cafeteria</i>	<i>Custodial</i>	<i>Mach- inery Mainte- nance</i>	<i>Milling</i>	<i>Finishing</i>
Total costs before allocations.....	\$320,000	\$65,400	\$93,600	\$416,000	\$166,000
Allocations:					
Cafeteria (1/4; 3/4)* .....	(320,000)			80,000	240,000
Custodial Services (2/3; 1/3)** .....		(65,400)		43,600	21,800
Machinery Maintenance (4/5; 1/5).....			(93,600)	74,880	18,720
Total overhead after allocations .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	\$614,480	\$446,520
Divide by machine-hours .....				÷160,000	
Divide by direct labor-hours.....					÷70,000
Predetermined overhead rate .....				<u>\$ 3.84</u>	<u>\$ 6.38</u>

\* Based on 100 + 300 = 400 employees.

\*\* Based on 40,000 + 20,000 = 60,000 sq. ft.

### Case 15-15 (continued)

3. a. The amount of overhead cost assigned to the job would be:

Step method:

Milling Department:

\$4.05 per machine-hour  $\times$  2,000 machine-hours..... \$ 8,100

Finishing Department:

\$5.90 per DLH  $\times$  13,000 DLHs ..... 76,700

Total overhead cost..... \$84,800

Direct method:

Milling Department:

\$3.84 per machine-hour  $\times$  2,000 machine-hours..... \$ 7,680

Finishing Department:

\$6.38 per DLH  $\times$  13,000 DLHs ..... 82,940

Total overhead cost..... \$90,620

- b. The step method provides a better basis for computing predetermined overhead rates than the direct method because it gives recognition to services provided between service departments. If this interdepartmental service is not recognized, then either too much or too little of a service department's costs may be allocated to a producing department. The result will be an inaccuracy in the producing department's predetermined overhead rate.

For example, notice from the computations in (2) above that using the direct method and ignoring interdepartmental services causes the predetermined overhead rate in the Milling Department to fall to only \$3.84 per MH (from \$4.05 per MH when the step method is used), and causes the predetermined overhead rate in the Finishing Department to rise to \$6.38 (from \$5.90 when the step method is used). These inaccuracies in the predetermined overhead rate can cause corresponding inaccuracies in bids for jobs. Since the direct method in this case understates the rate in the Milling Department and overstates the rate in the Finishing Department, it is not surprising that the company tends to bid low on jobs requiring a lot of milling work and tends to bid too high on jobs that require a lot of finishing work.



**Case 15-16** (90 minutes)

1. The plantwide rate would include overhead costs for both the service departments and the manufacturing departments. It would be computed as follows:

	<i>Manufacturing Department</i>			
	<i>Molding</i>	<i>Assembly</i>	<i>Finishing</i>	<i>Total</i>
Variable overhead .....	\$ 100,000	\$200,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 350,000
Fixed overhead .....	<u>1,200,300</u>	<u>702,300</u>	<u>597,400</u>	<u>2,500,000</u>
Total overhead.....	<u>\$1,300,300</u>	<u>\$902,300</u>	<u>\$647,400</u>	<u>\$2,850,000</u>

Service department costs:

Quality Control Department .....	200,000
Maintenance Department.....	<u>86,000</u>
Total company overhead costs.....	<u>\$3,136,000</u>

Estimated direct labor-hours:

Molding .....	10,000
Assembly .....	40,000
Finishing.....	<u>30,000</u>
Total direct labor-hours .....	<u>80,000</u>

$$\text{Plantwide overhead rate} = \frac{\text{Estimated overhead cost}}{\text{Estimated direct labor-hours}}$$

$$= \frac{\$3,136,000}{80,000 \text{ DLHs}}$$

$$=\$39.20 \text{ per DLH}$$

**Case 15-16** (continued)

2. a. Allocation rates for variable service department costs are as follows:

Variable quality control costs:

$$\frac{\$60,000}{8,000 \text{ quality control hours}} = \$7.50 \text{ per quality control hour}$$

Variable maintenance costs:

$$\frac{\$8,000}{1,600 \text{ maintenance hours}} = \$5.00 \text{ per maintenance hour}$$

**Case 15-16** (continued)

	<i>Quality Control</i>	<i>Mainte- nance</i>	<i>Molding</i>	<i>Assembly</i>	<i>Finishing</i>
Variable cost.....	\$ 60,000	\$ 8,000			
Quality Control allocations at \$7.50 per quality control hour:					
\$7.50 per hour × 4,000 hours .....	(30,000)		\$ 30,000		
\$7.50 per hour × 3,000 hours .....	(22,500)			\$ 22,500	
\$7.50 per hour × 1,000 hours .....	(7,500)				\$ 7,500
Maintenance allocations at \$5 per maintenance hour:					
\$5.00 per hour × 200 hours.....		(1,000)	1,000		
\$5.00 per hour × 600 hours.....		(3,000)		3,000	
\$5.00 per hour × 800 hours.....		(4,000)			4,000
Total variable costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>31,000</u>	<u>25,500</u>	<u>11,500</u>
Fixed costs .....	\$140,000	\$ 78,000			
Quality Control allocations on the basis of peak-period quality control hours:					
50% × \$140,000.....	(70,000)		70,000		
35% × \$140,000.....	(49,000)			49,000	
15% × \$140,000.....	(21,000)				21,000
Maintenance allocations on the basis of peak-period maintenance hours:					
15% × \$78,000 .....		(11,700)	11,700		
40% × \$78,000 .....		(31,200)		31,200	
45% × \$78,000 .....		(35,100)			35,100
Total fixed costs .....	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>81,700</u>	<u>80,200</u>	<u>56,100</u>
Total allocated costs.....			<u>\$112,700</u>	<u>\$105,700</u>	<u>\$67,600</u>

**Case 15-16** (continued)

	<i>Molding</i>	<i>Assembly</i>	<i>Finishing</i>
2. b. Allocated service department costs (above) .....	\$ 112,700	\$ 105,700	\$ 67,600
Manufacturing department overhead costs:			
Variable .....	100,000	200,000	50,000
Fixed .....	<u>1,200,300</u>	<u>702,300</u>	<u>597,400</u>
Total overhead costs.....	<u>\$1,413,000</u>	<u>\$1,008,000</u>	<u>\$715,000</u>
Machine-hours .....			÷ 50,000
Direct labor-hours .....	÷ 10,000	÷ 40,000	
Predetermined overhead rate.....	<u>\$ 141.30</u>	<u>\$ 25.20</u>	<u>\$ 14.30</u>

3. a. Overhead cost allocated under the plantwide rate:  
 $\$39.20 \text{ per direct labor-hour} \times 2,300 \text{ direct labor-hours} = \$90,160$

Overhead cost allocated under the departmental rates:

Molding department:

$\$141.30 \text{ per direct labor-hour} \times 500$

direct labor-hours..... \$ 70,650

Assembly department:

$\$25.20 \text{ per direct labor-hour} \times$

1,000 direct labor-hours ..... 25,200

Finishing department:

$\$14.30 \text{ per machine-hour} \times$

1,500 machine-hours ..... 21,450

Total cost allocated ..... \$117,300

- b. The Pipeline model is allocated only \$90,160 in overhead cost if a plantwide rate is used, whereas it is allocated \$117,300 in overhead cost if departmental rates are used. Since Sun Concepts is using a plantwide rate, it is not surprising that the company is pricing this model well below the price of competitors.

On the other hand, use of a plantwide rate is resulting in too much overhead cost being allocated to other products. This probably accounts for the fact that Sun Concepts' prices for some products are well above the prices of competitors.

**Case 15-16** (continued)

4. Sun Concepts could take two additional steps to improve its overhead costing. First, it could use the step method to allocate service department costs. And second, it could use activity-based costing (as discussed in an earlier chapter) to assign overhead costs from operating departments to products.

### **Group Exercise 15-17**

1. The answer to this part will depend on the industry the group selects.
2. The answer to this part will depend on the industry the group selects.
3. The answer to this part will depend on the industry the group selects.

4. & 5.

Generally speaking, the wider the range of products made or services offered, the greater the support costs. More products and services require additional support resources for scheduling, planning, billing, shipping, and so on. As the resources demanded of the support departments increase, their costs increase as well.

6. Service department costs are reduced by decreasing spending on the resources the service departments consume. This can be accomplished by: (1) decreasing the activities the service departments are required to perform—perhaps by reducing the range and complexity of products and services offered by the company; (2) improving the business processes in the service departments so that fewer resources are required to carry out those activities; or (3) spending less on the resources—perhaps by negotiating for better prices from suppliers.