

$$\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3 + \sqrt{4 + \dots}}}}$$

$$1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 \dots\dots\dots = ?$$

Discrete mathematics

The Foundations: Logic and Proofs

$$\exists_{x \in \mathfrak{R}} \exists_{y \in \mathfrak{R}} (\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y})$$

$$\forall_x (\mathfrak{R} / x)$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} = ?$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{x} = ?$$

RIZOAN TOUFIQ

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING
RAJSHAHI UNIVERSITY OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY

Introduction to Proofs

Section 1.7



Section Summary

- ◆ Mathematical Proofs
- ◆ Forms of Theorems
- ◆ Direct Proofs
- ◆ Indirect Proofs
 - Proof of the Contrapositive
 - Proof by Contradiction

Proofs of Mathematical Statements

- ◆ A *proof* is a valid argument that establishes the truth of a statement.
- ◆ In math, CS, and other disciplines, informal proofs which are generally shorter, are generally used.
 - More than one rule of inference are often used in a step.
 - Steps may be skipped.
 - The rules of inference used are not explicitly stated.
 - Easier for to understand and to explain to people.
 - But it is also easier to introduce errors.
- ◆ Proofs have many practical applications:
 - verification that **computer programs** are **correct**
 - establishing that **operating systems** are **secure**
 - enabling programs to make inferences in **artificial intelligence**
 - showing that **system specifications** are consistent

Some Terminology

- ◆ A **theorem** is a statement that can be shown to be true using:
 - definitions
 - other theorems
 - *axioms* (statements which are given as true)
 - rules of inference
- ◆ A **lemma** is a ‘helping theorem’ or a result which is needed to prove a theorem.
- ◆ A **corollary** is a result which follows directly from a theorem.
- ◆ Less important theorems are sometimes called **propositions**.
- ◆ A **conjecture** is a statement that is being proposed to be true. Once a proof of a conjecture is found, it becomes a theorem. It may turn out to be false.

Understanding How Theorems Are Stated

- ◆ Many theorems assert that a property holds for all elements in a domain, such as the integers, the real numbers, or some of the discrete structures that we will study in this class.
- ◆ Often the universal quantifier (needed for a precise statement of a theorem) is omitted by standard mathematical convention.

For example, the statement:

“If $x > y$, where x and y are positive real numbers, then $x^2 > y^2$ ”

really means

“For all positive real numbers x and y , if $x > y$, then $x^2 > y^2$.”

Methods of Proving Theorems

- ◆ Many theorems have the form:

$$\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$$

- ◆ To prove them, we show that where c is an arbitrary element of the domain, $P(c) \rightarrow Q(c)$
- ◆ By universal generalization the truth of the original formula follows.
- ◆ So, we must prove something of the form: $p \rightarrow q$

Even and Odd Integers

Definition: The integer n is **even** if there exists an integer k such that $n = 2k$, and n is **odd** if there exists an integer k , such that $n = 2k + 1$. Note that every integer is either even or odd and no integer is both even and odd.

Direct Proof

(Proving Conditional Statements: $p \rightarrow q$)

- ◆ **Direct Proof:** Assume that p is true. Use rules of inference, axioms, and logical equivalences to show that q must also be true.

Example: Give a direct proof of the theorem “If n is an odd integer, then n^2 is odd.”

Solution: Assume that n is odd. Then $n = 2k + 1$ for an integer k . Squaring both sides of the equation, we get:
$$n^2 = (2k + 1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1 = 2r + 1,$$
where $r = 2k^2 + 2k$, an integer.

We have proved that if n is an odd integer, then n^2 is an odd integer.



(◀ marks the end of the proof. Sometimes **QED** is used instead.)

Direct Proof

(Proving Conditional Statements: $p \rightarrow q$)

Definition: The real number r is *rational* if there exist integers p and q where $q \neq 0$ such that $r = p/q$

Example: Prove that the sum of two rational numbers is rational.

Solution: Assume r and s are two rational numbers. Then there must be integers p, q and also t, u such that

$$r = p/q, \quad s = t/u, \quad u \neq 0, \quad q \neq 0$$

Thus the sum is rational.

$$r + s = \frac{p}{q} + \frac{t}{u} = \frac{pu + qt}{qu} = \frac{v}{w} \quad \text{where } v = pu + qt \\ w = qu \neq 0$$



Direct Proof

(Proving Conditional Statements: $p \rightarrow q$)

Example: Give a direct proof that if m and n are both perfect squares, then nm is also a perfect square.

(An integer a is a perfect square if there is an integer b such that $a = b^2$.)

Solution:



Home Task

Proof by Contraposition

(Proving Conditional Statements: $p \rightarrow q$)

- **Proof by Contraposition:** Assume $\neg q$ and show $\neg p$ is true also. This is sometimes called an *indirect proof* method. If we give a direct proof of $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ then we have a proof of $p \rightarrow q$.

Example: Prove that if n is an integer and $3n + 2$ is odd, then n is odd.

Solution: Assume n is even. So, $n = 2k$ for some integer k .
Thus

$$3n + 2 = 3(2k) + 2 = 6k + 2 = 2(3k + 1) = 2j \text{ for } j = 3k + 1$$

Therefore $3n + 2$ is even. Since we have shown $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$, $p \rightarrow q$ must hold as well. If n is an integer and $3n + 2$ is odd (not even), then n is odd (not even).



Proof by Contraposition

(Proving Conditional Statements: $p \rightarrow q$)

Example: Prove that for an integer n , if n^2 is odd, then n is odd.

Solution: Use proof by **contraposition**. Assume n is even (i.e., not odd). Therefore, there exists an integer k such that $n = 2k$. Hence,

$$n^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$$

and n^2 is even (i.e., not odd).

We have shown that if n is an even integer, then n^2 is even. Therefore by contraposition, for an integer n , if n^2 is odd, then n is odd.



Vacuous And Trivial Proofs

Proving Conditional Statements: $p \rightarrow q$

- ◆ **Trivial Proof:** If we know q is true, the $p \rightarrow q$ is true as well.

“If it is raining then $1=1$.”

- ◆ **Vacuous Proof:** If we know p is false then $p \rightarrow q$ is true as well.

“If I am both rich and poor then $2 + 2 = 5$.”

[Even though these examples seem silly, both trivial and vacuous proofs are often used in mathematical induction, as we will see in Chapter 5)]

Proof by Contradiction

(Proving Conditional Statements: $p \rightarrow q$)

◆ Proof by Contradiction:

- ✓ To prove p
- ✓ Assume $\neg p$
- ✓ Derive a contradiction such as $p \wedge \neg p$. (an indirect form of proof).

Since we have shown that $\neg p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is true ,
it follows that the contrapositive $\mathbf{T} \rightarrow p$ also holds.

Proof by Contradiction

(Proving Conditional Statements: $p \rightarrow q$)

- ♦ **Example:** Use a proof by contradiction to give a proof that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Solution: Suppose $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then there exists integers a and b with $\sqrt{2} = a/b$, where $b \neq 0$ and a and b have no common factors (see Chapter 4). Then

$$2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2} \qquad 2b^2 = a^2$$

Therefore a^2 must be even. If a^2 is even then a must be even (an exercise). Since a is even, $a = 2c$ for some integer c . Thus,

$$2b^2 = 4c^2 \qquad b^2 = 2c^2$$

Therefore b^2 is even. Again then b must be even as well.

But then 2 must divide both a and b . This contradicts our assumption that a and b have no common factors. We have proved by contradiction that our initial assumption must be false and therefore $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.



Proofs Of Equivalence

- ◆ To prove a theorem that is a **biconditional** statement, that is, a statement of the form $p \leftrightarrow q$, we show that $p \rightarrow q$ and $q \rightarrow p$ are both true.

Example: Prove the theorem: “If n is an integer, then n is odd if and only if n^2 is odd.”

Solution: We have already shown (previous slides) that both $p \rightarrow q$ and $q \rightarrow p$. Therefore we can conclude $p \leftrightarrow q$.

Sometimes *iff* is used as an abbreviation for “if and only if,” as in

“If n is an integer, then n is odd iff n^2 is odd.”

Counterexamples

- ♦ $\forall_x P(x)$ is false, we need only find a counterexample.
- ♦ **Example:** x for which $P(x)$ is false

Example: Show that the statement “Every positive integer is the sum of the squares of two integers” is false.

Solution: there is no way to get 3 as the sum of two terms each of which is 0 or 1.

$$2^2 = 4$$

$$\{0, 1\},$$

$$0 + 1 = 1 \neq 3$$

Mistakes in Proofs

“Proof” that $1 = 2$

Step

1. $a = b$

2. $a^2 = a \times b$

3. $a^2 - b^2 = a \times b - b^2$

4. $(a - b)(a + b) = b(a - b)$

5. $a + b = b$

6. $2b = b$

7. $2 = 1$

Reason

Premise

Multiply both sides of (1) by a

Subtract b^2 from both sides of (2)

Algebra on (3)

Divide both sides by $a - b$

Replace a by b in (5) because $a = b$

Divide both sides of (6) by b

Solution: Step 5. $a - b = 0$ by the premise and division by 0 is undefined.

Query???



$$\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3 + \sqrt{4 \dots}}}}$$

$$\exists_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \exists_{y \in \mathbb{R}} (x = y) = ?$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x = ?$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} = ?$$

$$\forall_x (\mathbb{R} / x) = ?$$

$$\exists_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \exists_{y \in \mathbb{R}} (x = y) = ?$$



$$\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3 + \sqrt{4 \dots}}}} = ?$$

$$1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 \dots \dots = ?$$

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} = ?$$