# Text Detoxification

#### Solution Process Report Roman Makarov

### Baseline + Hypothesis 0

As a baseline, we had a main dataset composed of approximately 500k entries of reference and translation sentences.

Upon a thorough examination of the dataset, I discovered that I could significantly reduce its size by filtering out irrelevant entries, specifically those with a reference toxicity score of less than 0.95 or a translation toxicity score greater than 0.01. A detailed explanation of this process can be found in the first section of the Main Solution Report.

#### Hypothesis 1 - LSTM

I tried to fine-tune LSTM from scratch for our dataset. However, I quickly realized that it would not work, since LSTM does not have any internal language representations (no pre-training), so it can only try to map word to word, while in our case a change of form for some words is needed. Such, this resulted in a failure.

## Hypothesis 2 - T5-small

Next, I tried to fine-tune a pre-trained T5-small model. It worked well, but I had an interesting notice.

Throughout the training phase, I noted a substantial drop in the model's loss during the first epoch. However, the reduction in loss occurred more gradually, with little to no further changes afterwards. This led me to consider an alternative approach: utilizing more data and reducing the number of training epochs. This strategy allows to create a more generalized model, one that could adapt more effectively across various inputs.

#### Hypothesis 3 - Another Metric

The default metric for sequence-to-sequence training in Hugging Face is the exponential of the cross-entropy loss.

Initially, I considered adding a toxicity metric, but then I noticed that the model removes all toxic words and constructions with ease, and it's only challenge is preserving semantic meaning and sentence completeness.

Consequently, I wanted to track my performance in a slightly different way. I wanted to check how similar it is to a reference translation. Additionally, I did not want to use

BLUE score, as it focuses on n-gram overlaps and lacks semantic similarity.. Instead, I chose to utilize a BERT similarity score to evaluate and track the model's performance.

#### Result

As a result, my project involves optimizing a dataset by filtering out low-toxicity reference and high-toxicity translation entries, and fine-tuning a pre-trained T5 model on it. Additionally, high-quality human-translated sentences were added to enhance dataset quality. Training was set up with a focus on utilizing more data and fewer epochs to achieve a generalistic model, and a BERT similarity score was used for semantic performance tracking.