**ADVANCED MACHINE LEARNING**

**ASSIGNMENT – 2**

**Raghuveer Manusanipalli (811298559)**

**Summary**

Special attention is being given to the development of the new convolutional neural network for computer vision applications. The "Dog-vs-Cats" dataset that I am using on Kaggle has a problem with its small size. Convolutional neural networks, also referred to as convents, are highly renowned for their exceptional capabilities in computer vision due to their ability to recognize and comprehend patterns in the spatial organization of images. This makes them ideal for tasks like object detection and classification, as well as segmentation, which is the process of breaking down an image into its component parts.

Even with the limited amount of data available, I think this convolutional neural network model can still yield good results. Because they are skilled at identifying the crucial details in photographs, convolutional neural networks, or convnets, are well known for their capacity to learn from and apply their knowledge to new situations, even with small amounts of data. The model will be trained using the available data, and its performance will then be assessed using targeted metrics after additional refinements are made via transfer learning. In essence, our goal is to create a convolutional neural network (convnet) that uses the least amount of data feasible to correctly sort images in the "Dog-vs-Cats" dataset.

**Problem**

Deciding if an image belongs in the dog or cat category is the goal of the Cats-vs-Dogs dataset binary classification task.

**Techniques**

**Dataset**

The Cats-vs-Dogs dataset contains 25,000 images of dogs and cats (12,500 from each class). The new dataset I am putting together will consist of three subsets: a training set with 1000 samples per class, a validation set with 500 samples per class, and a test set with 500 samples for each class. After being downloaded, each sample has been uncompressed. Because the problem I am attempting to solve is more complex and demands a wider viewpoint, our neural network must be larger. Conv2D + MaxPooling2D is our current setup; to handle the increased complexity of our problem, I am adding a stage. As we approach the flattened layer, this modification increases the network's capacity and aids in controlling feature map sizes. The feature maps in our input images start at 150x150 and progressively shrink as we proceed through the network layers, arriving at 7x7 just before the Flatten layer. Although the chosen input size appears somewhat arbitrary, it is effective for the task at hand.

**Preprocessing:**

Access the image files.

Decode the JPEG content into RGB pixel grids.

Transform them into floating-point tensors.

As smaller input values are better for neural networks, scale the pixel values (which range from 0 to 255) to lie inside the [0, 1] range.

**Data Augmentation:**

My objective is to use data augmentation methods to improve this model's accuracy. With data augmentation, we can obtain good results even with small datasets by adding random variations to preexisting training samples to create new data. This technique improves generalization by ensuring the model sees variations of the images it hasn't seen previously during training. I intend to randomly apply different transformations, such as flipping, rotating, and zooming, to the training set images to accomplish our specific goal. This process yields multiple original image versions, which improves the dataset's diversity and strengthens our model's resilience.

**Pre-trained model:**

Numerous animal classifications, including various dog and cat breeds, are included in this dataset. VGG16 is a well-known and straightforward convnet design for ImageNet that serves as an illustration of this kind of network architecture.   
A pre-trained network can be used as a generic model and its features applied to a range of computer vision applications if the original dataset is large and diverse. One of deep learning's main advantages over other machine learning methods is its capacity to transfer learned characteristics to new tasks. Using the 1,000 different classes and 1.4 million annotated images in the ImageNet dataset, one can investigate a large-scale trained convolutional neural network as an example.

The two primary techniques for using a pre-trained network are feature extraction and fine-tuning. In this case, we'll focus on feature extraction to enhance the results. Prior to adding data, we will first extract features without altering the original data.

**Question 1: Consider the Cats & Dogs example. Start initially with a training sample of 1000, a validation sample of 500, and a test sample of 500 (half the sample size as the sample Jupiter notebook on Canvas). Use any technique to reduce overfitting and improve performance in developing a network that you train from scratch. What performance did you achieve?**

The training sample of 1000 (validation = 500 and test = 500) was taken into consideration for the Cats & Dogs Data Set. Given that the training sample size of 1000 has a tendency to be overfit, I have employed a 50% dropout strategy to address this problem.

**Hypertuning parameters:**
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Description automatically generatedWe've converted the data transformation using the data flattening technique, and I've set the batch size at 32. We were able to ascertain that the validation accuracy was 77 and the test accuracy was 72.

**Question 2: Increase your training sample size. You may pick any amount. Keep the validation and test samples the same as above. Optimize your network (again training from scratch). What performance did you achieve?**

The results are:

Validation accuracy: 80

Test accuracy: 81

The results demonstrate that they were superior to the previous for the reasons mentioned below (Question 1)
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Description automatically generatedOur 500 (1000–1500) training sample increase has improved the model's performance. The train and validation accuracy have both increased by more than 10%, as can be seen. In addition to the convolution layer, we also used data augmentation, which helped us improve the featured extractions and achieve better performance.

**Question 3: Now change your training sample so that you achieve better performance than those from Steps1 and 2. This sample size may be larger, or smaller than those in the previous steps. The objective is to find the ideal training sample size to get best prediction results.**

While increasing the amount of training data is a tried-and-true method of improving model performance, figuring out the right sample size can be difficult.

In this instance, utilising data augmentation techniques and adding 500 samples to the data set produced a notably improved model performance, which increased from 81.5% to 80.2%

Despite the enhanced data and larger sample size inside the specified convolutional architecture, the model shows a restricted ability to acquire new information, which seems to be an obvious example of this phenomena.

This finding raises the possibility that other approaches to improving the model's performance should be investigated.

**Question 4: Repeat Steps 1-3, but now using a pretrained network. The sample sizes you use in Steps 2 and 3 for the pretrained network may be the same or different from those using the network where you trained from scratch. Again, use any and all optimization techniques to get best performance.**

Pre-Trained model without Augmentation

**The model achieved a validation accuracy of 98% and a test accuracy of 98.1%. While the test accuracy is encouraging compared to the initial training of a smaller model, there is a concerning trend of overfitting.**

Plots illustrate this overfitting even with dropout regularisation applied at a relatively high dropout rate.

Although the dropout plots, which suggest overfitting is occurring early in the training process, suggest that the T model may not generalise well to unseen data, it is performing well on the validation data (data used to fine-tune hyperparameters).
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**Pre-Trained Model with Data Augmentation**

A model's evaluation data set needs to be carefully selected. Because every dataset has a different level of complexity, findings from one sample set might not apply to other datasets in general.

The accuracy of the pre-trained model, which was 98% without data augmentation and 97% with data augmentation, is used to illustrate this.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Model** | **Training Samples** | **Validation Accuracy** | **Test Accuracy** |
| Model 1 | 1000 | 77 | 72 |
| Model 2 | 1500 | 80 | 81 |
| Model 3 | 2000 | 80.5 | 81.2 |
| Model 4 | Pretrained Model without data augmentation | 98 | 98.1 |
| Model 4 (Final Model) | Pretrained Model with data augmentation | 98 | 97 |

**Conclusion:**

The performance of pre-trained and scratch-built models is evaluated in terms of data augmentation techniques, validation set size, and training data size. The primary conclusions are as follows: Reducing the size of the validation set or increasing the size of the training set will improve accuracy. This is applicable to both scratch and pre-trained models. Data augmentation did not significantly improve accuracy for either model type. Pre-trained models typically outperform scratch models, particularly in situations with limited data. This is caused by their use of previous task knowledge.