Learning Strategies for Poker with a Genetic Algorithm

Raphaël Morsomme 2019-01-09

Contents

1	Intr	oducti	ion				
2	Background						
	2.1 A Simple Version of Poker						
	2.2		$_{ m gies}$				
	2.3	Simula	ating a Hand				
		2.3.1	Setup				
		2.3.2	The Naive Approach				
		2.3.3	The Matrix-Oriented Approach				
3	The Genetic Algorithm						
	3.1 Overview						
	3.2		ation of Strategies				
			Cleaning Player B's Strategies				
	3.3 Fitness of a Strategy						
		3.3.1	Confrontations: one v. one				
		3.3.2	Confrontations: all v. all	1			
	3.4						
		3.4.1	Parent Selection	1			
		3.4.2	Children Generation	1			
		3.4.3	Code	1			
4	The	Gene	tic Algorithm in Action	1			

```
library(tidyverse)
library(gdata)
```

1 Introduction

In this script, I use a traditional genetic algorithm (GA) to learn strategies for a fairly simple version of poker. I investigate poker because I love playing this game, and to use a GA because it offers a nice coding challenge and is a suitable method for learning good strategies for this game. A question that I want to answer at the end of the script is if the GA will learn strategies containing an element of bluff.

2 Background

2.1 A Simple Version of Poker

We consider a fairly simple version of poker opposing two players: player A and player B. A hand starts with each player paying an *ante* (small predetermined amount) and receiving a single card. Player A then effectuates a *bet* and player B decides to either *fold* or *call* it. If player B folds, then player A wins the hand and recuperates the pot (the two antes). If player B calls player A's bet, then the players show their cards and the one with the highest card wins the hand and recuperates the pots (the two antes and the two bets)¹. If player B calls player A's bet and both players have the same card, they share the pot.

In short, a hand follows **5 steps** in which we determine:

- 1. Player A's bet based on player A's strategy and player A's card.
- 2. Player B's action based on player B's strategy, player B's card and player A's bet.
- 3. The size of the pot based on player A's bet and player B's action.
- 4. The winner of the hand given the cards of the two players and player B's action.
- 5. **The gain/loss** of each player at the end of the hand.

Before delving into the GA itself, we examine the nature of the strategies, and how to simulate a hand.

2.2 Strategies

Player A's strategy consists of a vector of numeric values indicating the amount to bet for each card (s)he could receive. If we consider a game where player A can effectuate the following bets 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and the cards are 1, 2, 3, 4, the following is a possible strategy for player A

##		Strategy	Α
##	1		0
##	2	1	10
##	3		2
##	4		8

which prescribes to bet 0 if (s)he receives a card 1, 10 (a bluff!) if (s)he receives a 2, 2 if (s)he receives a 3, and to bet 8 if (s)he receives a 4.

Player B's strategy consists of a matrix whose entries indicate the action to realise – to fold or to call – for each possible combination of her/his card and player A's bet. The following is a possible strategy for player B

¹For instance, if the ante is 5, player A makes a bet of 7 and player B decides to call, then the player with the highest card recuperates the pot of 2*5+2*7=24.

```
## , , Strategy B
##
##
## 0 "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
## 2 "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Fold"
## 4 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call"
## 6 "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Fold"
## 8 "Call" "Call" "Fold"
## 10 "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Call"
```

which prescribes to fold if (s)he receives a card 1 and player A bets 0, to call if (s)he receives a 2 and player A bets 4 and to call if (s)he receives a 4 and player A bets 10. Now that we can generate a strategy for each player, let us simulate a hand.

2.3 Simulating a Hand

2.3.1 Setup

##

We assign the cards we play with, the possible bets for player A and the value of the ante to cards, bets and ante respectively.

```
cards <- 1:4
bets
       \leftarrow seq(from = 0, to = 10, by = 2)
ante
# for convenience
n_card
            <- length(cards)
            <- length(bets)
n bet
            <- c(n card, n card) # (see matrix-oriented approach)
dim_mat
dimname_mat <- list(cards, cards) # (see matrix-oriented approach)</pre>
```

We use sample() in array() to create random strategies for the two players and assign them to strategy_A and strategy_B.

```
set.seed(123)
strategy_A <- array(sample(x = bets, size = n_card, replace = T),</pre>
                    dim = c(n_card, 1), dimnames = list(cards, "Strategy A"))
strategy_B <- array(sample(x = c("Call", "Fold"), n_card * n_bet, T),</pre>
                    dim=c(n_card, n_bet, 1), dimnames = list(cards, bets, "Strategy B"))
# Random strategy for player A
print(strategy_A)
```

```
Strategy A
## 1
              2
## 2
              8
## 3
              4
             10
# Random strategy for player B
print(strategy_B)
```

```
## , , Strategy B
##
## 1 "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
## 2 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold"
```

```
## 3 "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold"
## 4 "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
```

2.3.2 The Naive Approach

To simulate a hand, one could be tempted to imitate what would happen if two players sat down around a table to play a hand. Such code would be painfully slow for simulating a large number of hands – which is exactly what the GA requires – as one would need to use loops (slow on R) to accomplish this. We therefore need a more efficient approach.

2.3.3 The Matrix-Oriented Approach

The matrix-oriented approach uses matrices and matrix operations (fast on R) to simulate a large number of hands at once. We generate 5 matrices (one for each step of the hand) whose columns and rows respectively correspond to player A's and player B's cards. This way, we can simulate all possible hands between two given strategies at once. This makes the matrix-oriented approach much more efficient than the naive one. The matrices' entries respectively represent (i) player A's bet (ii) player B's action (iii) the pot size (iv) the winner of the hand and (v) player A's gain/loss.

1. Determining player A's bet

The matrix bet_A represents player A's bet for each possible hand. Since player A's bet only depends on her/his card, each column of bet_A contains the same values.

```
bet_A <- array(rep(strategy_A, each = n_card), dim = dim_mat, dimnames = dimname_mat)
# Player A's bet
print(bet_A)

## 1 2 3 4
## 1 2 8 4 10
## 2 2 8 4 10
## 3 2 8 4 10
## 4 2 8 4 10</pre>
```

2. Determining player B's action

2 "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"

The matrix action_B represents player B's action. Since bet_A's columns are uniform, action_B is simply a re-ordering of strategy_B's columns.

```
action_B <- array(strategy_B[, match(strategy_A, bets), 1], dim = dim_mat, dimnames = dimname_mat)
# Player B's strategy
print(strategy_B)
##
   , , Strategy B
##
##
           2
                                       10
## 1 "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
## 2 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold"
## 3 "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold"
## 4 "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
# Player B's action
print(action_B)
## 1 "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
```

```
## 3 "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" ## 4 "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
```

3. Determining the size of the pot

pot_size indicates the size or the pot. If player B folds, then the pot only consists of the two antes.

```
## 1 2 3 4
## 1 4 4 4 4
## 2 8 4 4 4
## 3 4 4 12 4
## 4 8 4 4 4
```

4. Determining the winner of hand

win_game indicates the winner of the hand (1: player A wins, 0: a draw and -1: player B wins).

```
win_game <- array(numeric(n_card * n_card), dim = dim_mat, dimnames = dimname_mat)
upperTriangle(win_game, diag = F) <- 1
lowerTriangle(win_game, diag = F) <- -1
win_game[action_B == "Fold"] <- 1
# Winner of the hand
print(win_game)</pre>
```

```
## 1 2 3 4
## 1 1 1 1 1
## 2 -1 1 1 1
## 3 1 1 0 1
## 4 -1 1 1 1
```

4 4 -2 -2 -2

5. Determining player A's gain/loss

gain_A represents the amount won by player A. A negative amount indicates that player A loses money. Since this is a zero-sum game between two players, player B's gain/loss is simply the opposite of player A's.

```
gain_A <- pot_size * win_game / 2</pre>
# Player A's qain/loss
print(gain_A)
##
      1 2 3 4
## 1 2 2 2 2
## 2 -4 2 2 2
## 3 2 2 0 2
## 4 -4 2 2 2
# Player B's gain/loss
print(- gain_A)
      1 2 3 4
##
## 1 -2 -2 -2 -2
## 2 4 -2 -2 -2
## 3 -2 -2 0 -2
```

3 The Genetic Algorithm

3.1 Overview

In order to learn good strategies for our two players, we use a GA. Simply put, a GA simulates how a process of *natural selection* iteratively selects from an existing population the fittest individuals and cross over their genes to generate new individuals that replace the old ones. A *good* GA produces, after some time, a population of fit individuals. In our case, the GA learns profitable strategies for the two players. The question that we want to answer is whether the strategies that the GA learns contain contain an element of bluff.

In practice, we first consider an initial population of strategies for each player; these are the strategies with which we start the GA². We then make each strategy of player A's population play against each strategy of player B's population. Based on the results of these confrontations, we determine how competitive (or fit) each strategy is. Finally, for each player, we combine the most competitive strategies together to generate new populations of strategies. This way, features that make strategies competitive are passed on to the next generation. These new populations replace the old ones and the genetic algorithm repeats the cycle: confrontation, evaluation, generation and replacement.

In short, the GA follows 5 steps:

- 1. Creation of initial populations of strategies for player A and player B.
- 2. Confrontation between strategies.
- 3. Evaluation of strategies' fitness based on the results of the confrontations.
- 4. Generation of new populations of strategies from the fittest strategies.
- 5. Repeat from step 2.

The following sections explore in more depth the concepts of population of strategies, fitness, confrontataion, evaluation and generation of strategies. At the end of the section, we will be able to apply the GA.

3.2 Population of Strategies

A population of strategies is simply a collection of strategies for a player. A population of strategies for player A is a matrix where each column correspond to a strategy. For player B, it is a 3-dimensional array where each layer (dimension 3) corresponds to a strategy. The following code creates populations of 10 random strategies for player A and player B. We use the function sample() in the function array() to create populations of strategies for each player and assign them to pop_A and pop_B. To easily identify the strategies, we name them s1, s2, s3, s4, s5, s6, s7, s8, s9, s10.

```
## s1 s2 s3 s4 s5 s6 s7 s8 s9 s10
```

²How we obtained these strategies does not matter for the moment. They could for instance be randomly generated (as will be the case in this script), but could also come from an external source.

```
2
        8
           8
              0
                 0
                    2
## 2 0
        8
           2
                          8
                                 4
              4
                 0 10
                       0
## 3 10
                       6 10
## 4 10
                                 8
        4
           2
              4
                 4
                    4
                       2
pop_B <- array(sample(x = c("Call", "Fold"), size = prod(dim_pop_B), replace = T),</pre>
              dim = dim_pop_B, dimnames = dimname_pop_B)
# Population of strategies for player B (2 strategies)
print(pop_B[,,1:2])
  , , s1
##
##
## 1 "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Fold"
## 2 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call"
## 3 "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call"
## 4 "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
##
##
  , , s2
##
##
## 1 "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call"
## 2 "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Fold"
## 3 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
## 4 "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call"
```

3.2.1 Cleaning Player B's Strategies

We can slightly modify player B's strategies to make them more realistic. Following common sense, we impose that player B *calls* if her/his card is the highest or if player A bets 0. Indeed, in both cases, player B risks nothing by calling player A's bet: those hands either end up in a draw or a win for player B.

```
clean_pop_B <- function(pop, cards){</pre>
                    ,] <- "Call"
  pop[max(cards),
                , "0",] <- "Call"
 return(pop)
}
pop_B <- clean_pop_B(pop = pop_B, cards = cards)</pre>
# Clean population for player B
print(pop_B[,,1:2]) # Compare with the strategies before the cleaning (printed above).
  , , s1
##
##
##
## 1 "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold"
## 2 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call"
## 3 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call"
## 4 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call"
##
##
  , , s2
##
                          6
## 1 "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call"
## 2 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Fold"
```

```
## 3 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" ## 4 "Call" "Call"
```

3.3 Fitness of a Strategy

The notion of *fitness* is central to the GA: it allows the algorithm to select the best performing strategies from which to generate new ones. In our case, the goal of the GA is to generate competitive strategies. An obvious measure of fitness of a strategy is thus how much money it yields, on average, against the strategies of the other player's population.

3.3.1 Confrontations: one v. one

To determine the fitness of a strategy, we must thus determine its average gain/loss against each strategy of the other player's population. The function confront does exactly this. It follows the matrix-oriented approach to simulate all possible hands between two strategies and then simply return the mean of gain_A which corresponds to the average gain/loss of player A's strategy when opposed to that of player B³.

```
win_game <-
               win_game <- array(numeric(n_card*n_card),</pre>
dim = dim_mat)
upperTriangle(win_game, diag = F) <- 1</pre>
lowerTriangle(win_game, diag = F) <- -1</pre>
confront <- function(strategy_A, strategy_B, n_card, dim_mat, bets, ante, win_game){</pre>
  # Matrix-oriented approach
  bet A
         <- array(rep(strategy_A, each = n_card</pre>
                                                            ) , dim = dim mat)
  action_B <- array(strategy_B[ , match(strategy_A, bets)], dim = dim_mat)</pre>
                             <- (ante + bet_A) * win_game
  gain_A[action_B=="Fold"] <- ante</pre>
  # Average gain for player A
  return(mean(gain_A))
}
```

Here is an example of the function confront in action.

 $^{^3}$ To make the function confront run faster, we create win_game outside and consequently adapt how we compute gain_A.

```
ante = ante, win_game = win_game)
# Average gain of player A
print(average_gain_A)
```

```
## [1] -0.75
```

This means that, on average, strat_A, wins -0.75 for each hand played against strat_B. Since the matrix-oriented approach simulates each possible hand once, taking the mean of gain_A produces the *true* average gain of strat_A when opposed to strat_B.

3.3.2 Confrontations: all v. all

The function confront_populations uses two loops to make each strategy of player A play against each strategy of player B and return the result of these confrontations. In the loops, we use the function confront to determine the average gain of player A's strategy against that of player B and record the value in the matrix fitness whose columns and rows respectively correspond to player A's and player B's strategies.

Here is an example of the function confront_populations in action.

```
print(pop_A)
```

```
s1 s2 s3 s4 s5 s6 s7 s8 s9 s10
##
## 1 2 8 8 0
                 0 2
                         0
## 2 0 8
           2
              4
                 0 10
                       0
                         8
                            0
                                4
## 3 10
       0
           2
              4
                 2
                    0
                       6 10
                                8
## 4 10 4 2
              4
                 4
                    4
                       2
                         4
print(pop_B[, , 1:2])
```

```
## , , s1
##
##
            2
                   4
                          6
                                  8
                                         10
## 1 "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold"
## 2 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call"
## 3 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call"
## 4 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call"
##
##
   , , s2
##
##
     0
            2
                          6
                                 8
                                         10
```

```
## 1 "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call"
## 2 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Fold"
## 3 "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
## 4 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call"
fitness <- confront_populations(pop_A = pop_A, pop_B = pop_B, fitness = fitness,
                               name_strategy = name_strategy, win_game = win_game,
                               n_card = n_card, dim_mat = dim_mat, bets = bets, ante = ante)
# Average gains of player A's strategies
print(fitness)
##
          g 1
                 52
                        s3
                              s4
                                    s5
                                           s6
                                                  s7
                                                         s8
                                                                s9
                                                                      s10
## s1
       1.000
              0.000 -0.750 0.375 0.500 -1.000 -0.500
                                                      0.750 - 0.250
                                                                    0.750
              0.000 -0.750 0.000 0.500 0.625 -0.375
## s2
       0.500
                                                      0.875
                                                             0.375
       -0.750
              0.500 0.125 0.375 0.500 0.000
                                               0.500 - 0.125
                                                             0.375 - 0.375
## s3
       0.500 - 2.125 - 1.375 \ 0.750 \ 0.250 - 0.375 - 0.750 - 0.625 - 0.750
                                                                    0.000
## s4
##
  s5
       ##
       3.000 -0.625 -0.500 0.375 0.500 0.375 -0.625
                                                      0.750
                                                            0.125
                                                                    0.125
  s6
       1.250 0.250 0.875 0.750 0.500 -1.000 0.750
##
  s7
                                                      0.125 - 0.750
                                                                   0.125
       2.625 -0.375 -0.750 0.375 0.375 0.000 -0.875
                                                     0.875 -0.250 -0.125
## s8
       1.375 -2.125 -1.500 0.750 0.125 -0.875 -0.750 -0.750 -0.250 0.000
       1.250 -0.625 -0.375 0.750 0.250 -1.000 -0.375 0.000 -0.625 0.375
## s10
colMeans of fitness gives player A's strategies's fitness and rowMeans of -fitness that of player B's.
# Fitness of player A's strategy
sort(colMeans( fitness), decreasing = T)
##
       s1
                       s5
                               s8
                                      s10
                                                                       s3
##
   1.2000
           0.4500 0.3750 0.1625 0.1375 -0.1750 -0.3250 -0.4500 -0.4875
##
## -0.6000
# Fitness of player B's strategy
sort(rowMeans(-fitness), decreasing = T)
##
       s4
                       s5
                              s10
                                       s1
                                               s3
                                                       s8
           0.4000 0.0625 0.0375 -0.0875 -0.1125 -0.1875 -0.2125 -0.2875
##
   0.4500
       s6
## -0.3500
```

Let us have a closer look at player A' strategies (easier to analyze than player B's). s5 is the best performing strategy against pop_B. It is a conservative strategy with small bets for small cards and large bets for large cards. With s5, player A wins on average 0.375 per hand against player B's strategies. On the opposite spectrum, s1 and s8 have the lowest fitness. Both strategies prescribe to bet 10 (largest bet) for a 0 (lowest card) and s8 even prescribes to bet 0 for a 4 (highest card)! Although the large bets for small cards could be bluffs and are thus not bad per se, betting 0 for the highest card is a bad idea⁴. Player A loses on average -1.2 with s1 and -0.1625 with s8.

3.4 Generating New Strategies

Now that we have the strategies' fitness, we can generate a new population following three steps:

- 1. Parent Selection
- 2. Crossover

⁴For instance, if the ante is 5, player A makes a bet of 7 and player B decides to call, then the player with the highest card recuperates the pot of 2*5+2*7=24.

3. Mutation

For convenience, we use the term $parent\ strategy$ to refer to a strategy from which new strategies are generated and $child\ strategy$ to denote a newly generated strategy. We demonstrate how to generate 5 child strategies for player A. The approach is fundamentally the same for player B .

3.4.1 Parent Selection

We select the fittest strategies from pop_A to form the set of parent strategies parents. In our example, we select the 7 (arbitrarily chosen number) fittest strategies.

```
n_parents <- 7
n children <- 5
fitness_sorted <- sort(colMeans(fitness), decreasing = T)</pre>
fitness_parents <- head(fitness_sorted, n_parents)</pre>
# Name and fitness of the set of parent strategies
print(fitness_parents)
##
        s1
                s4
                         s5
                                 s8
                                        s10
                                                  s9
                                                          s7
## 1.2000
            0.4500 0.3750 0.1625 0.1375 -0.1750 -0.3250
                <- names(fitness_parents)
name_parents
                <- pop_A[ , name_parents]</pre>
parents
# Set of parent strategies
print(parents)
##
     s1 s4 s5 s8 s10 s9 s7
## 1 2 0
                   8
                      6
            0
              0
## 2 0
         4
            0
               8
## 3 10
        4
            2 10
                   8 4
                         6
## 4 10
        4
```

3.4.2 Children Generation

To generate child strategies, we cross over the parent strategies and introduce random mutations. In the crossover step, we randomly combine parent strategies, element by element, to generate the child strategies. In other words, the first element of a child strategy corresponds to the first element of a randomly selected parent strategy, the second element to the second element of a randomly selected parent, etc. In the mutation step, we randomly alter a small proportion the child strategies' elements.

3.4.2.1 Children Generation: Crossover

We first use the function sample() on the parent strategies' names to create pop_child which represents the population of child strategies. At this stage, pop_child's entries indicate the parent strategy from which each of its elements is inherited. Note that in sample, we set prob = exp(fitness_parents) so that children strategies are more likely to inherit their elements from fitter parent strategies.

```
Child 1 Child 2 Child 3 Child 4 Child 5
## 1 "s4"
              "s8"
                       "s10"
                                "s9"
                                          "s1"
## 2 "s5"
              "s1"
                       "s1"
                                "s1"
                                         "s1"
## 3 "s4"
              "s4"
                       "s4"
                                 "s1"
                                         "s7"
## 4 "s4"
              "s10"
                       "s9"
                                 "s8"
                                         "s1"
```

The first two elements of Child 1 come from the parent strategy s7, the third element from s9, etc.

Next, we loop through the the names of the parent strategies. In the loop, we first assign to strategy parent the parent strategy with the appropriate name. We then assign to location_parent the location of the elements of pop_child that are inherited from strategy_parent. Finally, we substitute these elements inherited from strategy_parent with the corresponding elements of strategy_parent. (Since the dimensions of pop_child and strategy_parent are different, we use rep() on the latter.)

```
for(name_parent in name_parents){
  strategy_parent <- parents[ , name_parent]</pre>
  location_parent <- pop_child == name_parent</pre>
  pop_child[location_parent] <- rep(strategy_parent, n_children)[location_parent]</pre>
}
pop_child <- array(as.numeric(pop_child),</pre>
                    dim = c(n_card, n_children),
                    dimnames = list(cards, paste("Child", 1:n_children)))
# Population of children strategies
print(pop_child)
     Child 1 Child 2 Child 3 Child 4 Child 5
##
## 1
           0
                    0
                             8
                                      6
## 2
           0
                    0
                             0
                                      0
                                              0
```

```
## 3
              4
                        4
                                  4
                                           10
                                                      6
              4
                        8
                                  2
## 4
                                            4
                                                     10
```

3.4.2.2 Children Generation: Mutations

Finally, we introduce random mutations to pop_child. We first assigne the desired mutation rate to mutation rate and use the function sample() with prob = c(mutation rate, 1 - mutation rate) to create the matrix mutation_location which indicates the location of the mutations. We then use the function sample() again to generate the vector mutation_outcome which indicates the outcome of the mutations. Finally, we substitute the elements of pop_child where a mutation occurs with the values of mutation_outcome.

```
mutation_rate <- 0.2</pre>
mutation_location <- array(sample(c(T, F), size = n_card * n_children, replace = T,
                                   prob = c(mutation_rate, 1 - mutation_rate)),
                  dim = c(n_card, n_children),
                  dimnames = list(cards, paste("Child", 1 : n_children)))
# Location of mutations
print(mutation_location)
```

```
Child 1 Child 2 Child 3 Child 4 Child 5
## 1
       FALSE
               FALSE
                       FALSE
                                FALSE
                                        FALSE
## 2
        TRUE
                TRUE
                       FALSE
                                FALSE
                                        FALSE
```

```
## 3
       FALSE
               FALSE
                        FALSE
                                FALSE
                                         TRUE
                                        FALSE
## 4
      FALSE
              FALSE
                         TRUF.
                                FALSE
                 <- sum(mutation_location)
n_{mutation}
mutation_outcome <- sample(bets, size = n_mutation, T)</pre>
# Outcome of mutations
print(mutation_outcome)
## [1] 2 6 0 10
pop_child[mutation_location] <- mutation_outcome</pre>
# Population of child strategies after mutations
print(pop child)
     Child 1 Child 2 Child 3 Child 4 Child 5
##
## 1
                   0
                           8
           2
## 2
                   6
                            0
                                    0
                                            0
## 3
           4
                                           10
                   4
                            4
                                   10
                   8
                            0
## 4
                                           10
```

3.4.3 Code

The functions generat_A and generate_B encapsulate these three steps for player A and player B. These functions are the last one we need to be able to run the GA.

```
generate_A <- function(fitness, pop, n_parents, dim_pop_A, dimname_pop_A,
                        n_strategy, bets, mutation_rate){
  # Parent Selection
  fitness_strategy <- colMeans(fitness)</pre>
  fitness_parents <- head(sort(fitness_strategy, decreasing = T), n_parents)
                   <- names(fitness_parents)
  name_parents
                   <- pop[ , name_parents]</pre>
  parents
  # Crossover
  pop <- array(sample(name parents, size = prod(dim pop A), replace = T,
                       prob = exp(fitness_parents)),
               dim = dim_pop_A, dimnames = dimname_pop_A)
  for(parent in name_parents){
    strategy_parent <- parents[ , parent]</pre>
    location_parent <- pop == parent</pre>
    pop[location_parent] <- rep(strategy_parent, n_strategy)[location_parent]</pre>
  }
  pop <- array(as.numeric(pop), dim = dim_pop_A, dimnames = dimname_pop_A)
  # Mutation
  mutation_location <- array(sample(c(T, F), size = prod(dim_pop_A), T,</pre>
                                     prob = c(mutation_rate, 1-mutation_rate)),
                              dim = dim pop A, dimnames = dimname pop A)
  n mutations
                <- sum(mutation location)
```

```
mutation_outcome <- sample(bets, size = n_mutations, replace = T)</pre>
  pop[mutation_location] <- mutation_outcome</pre>
 return(pop)
}
generate_B <- function(fitness, pop, n_parents, dim_pop_B, dimname_pop_B,
                        n_strategy, mutation_rate, cards){
  # Parent Selection
  fitness
                  <- - fitness
 fitness_strategy <- rowMeans(fitness)</pre>
 fitness_parents <- head(sort(fitness_strategy, decreasing = T), n_parents)</pre>
  name_parents
                    <- names(fitness_parents)
 parents
                    <- pop[ , , name_parents]</pre>
  # Crossover
  pop <- array(sample(name_parents, size = prod(dim_pop_B), replace = T,</pre>
                       prob = exp(fitness_parents)),
                dim = dim_pop_B, dimnames = dimname_pop_B)
  for(parent in name_parents){
    strategy_parent <- parents[ , , parent]</pre>
    location_parent <- pop == parent</pre>
    pop[location_parent] <- rep(strategy_parent, n_strategy)[location_parent]</pre>
  }
  # Mutation
  mutation_rate <- 2 * mutation_rate</pre>
  # since player B has only two actions i.e. "Call" or "Fold"
  # half of the mutations have no effect.
  mutation_location <- array(sample(c(T, F), size = prod(dim_pop_B), replace = T,
                                      prob = c(mutation_rate, 1-mutation_rate)),
                            dim = dim_pop_B, dimnames = dimname_pop_B)
  n_{mutations}
                          <- sum(mutation_location)
                          <- sample(c("Call", "Fold"), n_mutations, T)
  mutation_outcome
  pop[mutation_location] <- mutation_outcome</pre>
 pop <- clean_pop_B(pop = pop, cards = cards)</pre>
 return(pop)
```

Here is an example of the function ${\tt generate_A}$ in action.

```
n_strategy = n_strategy, bets = bets, mutation_rate = 0.05)
# Parent Strategies
print(pop_A)
                  # random bets for small cards
    s1 s2 s3 s4 s5 s6 s7 s8 s9 s10
## 1 2 8
          8
             0
                0
                    2
                      8
                         0
## 2 0 8 2
             4
                0 10
                         8
## 3 10 0 2 4
                2
                  0
                      6 10
                                8
## 4 10 4 2
             4
                    4
                         4
# Child Strategies
print(pop_A_child) # small bets for small cards.
##
    s1 s2 s3 s4 s5 s6 s7 s8 s9 s10
    0 0 10 0
                0
                    6 2
     0 4
          0
              4
                 0
                    4
                         4
                                0
## 3 10 10 4 10
                4
                   2 10
                         4 10
## 4 2 2 10 10
                4 2 2
```

4 The Genetic Algorithm in Action

Now that we have covered all the components of the GA, we can finally write the function my_GA which encapsulates them. The elements gain_A and call_B keeps track of the average gain of player A's strategies and of how often player B calls player A's bet. For the generations gen_print, we also generate four plots which give us an overview of the players' strategies. The two lower plots and the upper left plots are self explanatory. The upper right plot shows the average strategy of player B. The tone of the color indicates the average action prescribed by the strategies in player B's population: a darker color indicates that more strategies prescribe to call

```
my_GA \leftarrow function(cards = 1:10, bets = seq(0,20,2), ante = 5, n_strategy = 200,
                   n_generations = 10, prop_parents = 2/3, mutation_rate = 0.05){
  # Setup
                 <- length(cards)
  n_card
  n bet
                 <- length(bets)
                 <- n_strategy * prop_parents
  n_parents
  name_strategy <- paste("s", 1 : n_strategy, sep = "")</pre>
  dim_pop_A
                 <- c(n_card, n_strategy)
  dim_pop_B
                 <- c(n_card, n_bet, n_strategy)</pre>
  dim_mat
                 <- c(n_card, n_card)
                 <- c(n_strategy, n_strategy)</pre>
  dim_fit
  dim_output_A <- c(dim_pop_A, n_generations)</pre>
  dim_output_B <- c(dim_pop_B, n_generations)</pre>
                 <- c(dim_fit, n_generations)
  dim_fit_A
                    <- list(cards, name strategy)
  dimname_pop_A
                    <- list(cards, bets, name_strategy)
  dimname_pop_B
  dimname mat
                    <- list(cards, cards)
  dimname_fit
                    <- list(name_strategy, name_strategy)
  dimname_output_A <- list(cards, name_strategy, 1 : n_generations)</pre>
```

```
dimname_output_B <- list(cards, bets, name_strategy, 1 : n_generations)</pre>
dimname_fit_A
                <- list(name_strategy, name_strategy, 1 : n_generations)</pre>
win game
              <- array(numeric(n_card * n_card), dim = dim_mat)
upperTriangle(win_game, diag = F) <- 1</pre>
lowerTriangle(win_game, diag = F) <- -1</pre>
fitness <- array(NA, dim = dim fit, dimnames = dimname fit)
output_A <- array(NA, dim = dim_output_A, dimnames = dimname_output_A)
output_B <- array(NA, dim = dim_output_B, dimnames = dimname_output_B)
fitness_A <- array(NA, dim = dim_fit_A , dimnames = dimname_fit_A )</pre>
# Initialization
generation <- 1
pop_A <- array(sample(bets, size = prod(dim_pop_A), replace = T),</pre>
               dim = dim_pop_A, dimnames = dimname_pop_A)
pop_B <- array(sample(c("Call", "Fold"), size = prod(dim_pop_B), replace = T),</pre>
               dim = dim_pop_B, dimnames = dimname_pop_B)
pop_B <- clean_pop_B(pop_B, cards = cards)</pre>
output_A[ , , generation ] <- pop_A</pre>
output_B[ , , , generation] <- pop_B</pre>
# Loop
while(generation < n_generations){</pre>
 fitness <- confront_populations(pop_A = pop_A, pop_B = pop_B,
                                   name_strategy = name_strategy,
                                   fitness = fitness, n_card = n_card,
                                   dim_mat = dim_mat, bets = bets,
                                   ante = ante, win_game = win_game)
 pop_A <- generate_A(fitness = fitness, pop = pop_A, n_parents = n_parents,</pre>
                       dim_pop_A = dim_pop_A, dimname_pop_A = dimname_pop_A,
                       n_strategy = n_strategy, bets = bets,
                       mutation_rate = mutation_rate)
 pop_B <- generate_B(fitness = fitness, pop = pop_B, n_parents = n_parents,</pre>
                       dim_pop_B = dim_pop_B, dimname_pop_B = dimname_pop_B,
                       n_strategy = n_strategy, cards = cards,
                       mutation_rate = mutation_rate)
  # Output
 fitness_A[ , , generation ] <- fitness</pre>
 generation <- generation + 1
 output_A[ , , generation ] <- pop_A</pre>
 output_B[ , , , generation] <- pop_B</pre>
} # close for-loop
```

```
return(list(A = output_A, B = output_B,
                                                                  fit = fitness_A))
}
Finally, the GA in action:
set.seed(123)
results <- my_GA()
results_A <- results$A
results_B <- results$B</pre>
# 10 strategies of the 5th generation for player A
results A[ , 1 : 10, 5]
                            s1 s2 s3 s4 s5 s6 s7 s8 s9 s10
## 1
                           0 2 0 6 2 4 0 0 4
## 2 12 4 0 8 0 10 2 2 2
                              0 20 4 4 8 12 2 6 6
## 4
                                 4 2 4 10 6 10 2 14 16 10
                                 2 12 6 20 8 6 14 2 4
## 6
                                 2 20 2 10 16 2 14 10 10 16
                                 6 20 6 8 8 8 14 16 16
## 8 12 10 14 12 20 2 2 20 4 12
## 9 16 18 16 14 12 0 10 20 16 12
## 10 16 20 10 18 10 20 20 12 14
# 2 strategies of the 10th generation for player B
results B[ , , 25 : 26, 10]
## , , s25
##
##
                                                                                                                                6
                                                                                                                                                                 8
                                                                                                                                                                                                  10
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    12
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      14
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      16
## 1 "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Call"
## 2 "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Call"
## 3 "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
## 4 "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
## 5 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Cal
## 6 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Cal
                            "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
## 7
## 8 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Fold"
## 9 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold"
## 10 "Call" "Ca
##
                             20
## 1 "Fold"
                         "Fold"
## 2
## 3
                           "Call"
## 4
                           "Fold"
## 5 "Fold"
## 6 "Fold"
## 7
                           "Fold"
## 8 "Fold"
## 9 "Fold"
## 10 "Call"
```

```
##
## , , s26
##
##
                                                                                                          4
                                                                                                                                                                                      8
                                                                                                                                                                                                                            10
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 12
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       14
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             16
                                                                                                                                                6
## 1 "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
                             "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold"
## 3 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold" "Fold"
## 4 "Call" "Fold" "Fol
                                 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call"
## 5
                               "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call"
## 6
                                "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold"
## 8 "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold" "Fold" "Call"
                             "Call" "Fold" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Call" "Fold"
## 10 "Call" "Ca
##
## 1
                             "Call"
## 2
                              "Fold"
## 3 "Fold"
## 4
                              "Fold"
## 5
                              "Call"
## 6 "Fold"
## 7 "Fold"
## 8 "Fold"
## 9 "Fold"
## 10 "Call"
```