

Climate Explorer European Climate Assessment & Data KNMI

search in the Climate Explorer


[Help](#) [News](#) [About](#) [Contact](#) [World weather](#) [Effects of El Niño](#) [Seasonal forecasts](#) [Climate Change Atlas](#)

Replot

Field correlations of 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean (era5_t2m_1980_2017_anom.info_-124--66E_24-49N_n_su) with CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction

Plotting with [GrADS v2.2.0...](#)


Select a time series

[Daily station data](#)
[Daily climate indices](#)
[Monthly station data](#)
[Monthly climate indices](#)
[Annual climate indices](#)
[View, upload your time series](#)

Select a field

[Daily fields](#)
[Monthly observations](#)
[Monthly reanalysis fields](#)
[Monthly and seasonal historical reconstructions](#)
[Monthly seasonal hindcasts](#)
[Monthly CMIP3+ scenario runs](#)
[Monthly CMIP5 scenario runs](#)
[Annual CMIP5 extremes](#)
[Monthly CMIP6 scenario runs](#)
[Monthly CORDEX scenario runs](#)
[Attribution runs](#)
[View, upload your field](#)


corr Jan 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean with Jan CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))
 corr Jan 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean with Jan CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, there is maybe a significant connection in the map ($p_{\text{field}} < 20.0\%$). [Details...](#)

The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 9.71%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 8.5° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 8 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $10.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 20.0\%$.


corr Feb 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean with Feb CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))
 corr Feb 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean with Feb CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, there is likely a significant connection in the map ($p_{\text{field}} < 10.0\%$). [Details...](#)


The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 19.15%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 15.5° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 2 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $5.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 10.0\%$.

corr Mar 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean with Mar CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))
 corr Mar 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean with Mar CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, there is likely a significant connection in the map ($p_{\text{field}} < 10.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 10.67%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 7.6° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 10 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $5.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 10.0\%$.


corr Apr 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean with Apr CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))
 corr Apr 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean with Apr CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, the map is indistinguishable from random noise ($p_{\text{field}} > 20.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 4.97%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 25.0° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 2 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $20.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 50.0\%$.

corr May 1980-2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24-49N mean with May CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))


corr May 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean
with May CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, there is maybe a significant connection in the map ($p_{\text{field}} < 20.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 10.84%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 25.0° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 2 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $10.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 20.0\%$.

corr Jun 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean with Jun CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))


corr Jun 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean
with Jun CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, there is likely a significant connection in the map ($p_{\text{field}} < 5.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 18.44%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 8.0° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 8 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $2.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 5.0\%$.

corr Jul 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean with Jul CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))


corr Jul 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean
with Jul CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, there is likely a significant connection in the map ($p_{\text{field}} < 10.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 16.82%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 12.7° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 4 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $5.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 10.0\%$.

corr Aug 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean with Aug
CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))


corr Aug 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean
with Aug CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, the map is indistinguishable from random noise ($p_{\text{field}} > 20.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 7.05%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 25.0° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 2 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $20.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 50.0\%$.

corr Sep 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean with Sep
CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))


corr Sep 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean
with Sep CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, there is maybe a significant connection in the map ($p_{\text{field}} < 20.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 9.30%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 25.0° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 2 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $10.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 20.0\%$.

corr Oct 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean with Oct CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))


corr Oct 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean
with Oct CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, there is maybe a significant connection in the map ($p_{\text{field}} < 20.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 9.11%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 14.4° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 2 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $10.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 20.0\%$.

corr Nov 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean with Nov CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))


corr Nov 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean
with Nov CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, the map is indistinguishable from random noise ($p_{\text{field}} > 20.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 3.73%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 25.0° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 2 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $20.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 50.0\%$.

corr Dec 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean with Dec
CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$ ([eps](#), [pdf](#))

corr Dec 1980–2017 anomalies ERA5 T2m -124--66E 24–49N mean
with Dec CRU TS4.03 cloud fraction 1980:2017 $p < 5\%$



Statistically, there is likely a significant connection in the map ($p_{\text{field}} < 5.0\%$). [Details...](#) The fraction of the map with $p < 5.00\%$ is 14.53%. With an estimated decorrelation scale of 6.6° and $(29.^\circ)^2$ with data there are about 12 degrees of freedom in the map. This gives a field significance of $2.0\% < p_{\text{field}} < 5.0\%$.

Replot

Variable: ☒ correlation [1]

☐ p-value [1]

☐ regression of series t2m on field cld [Celsius]

☐ regression of field cld on series t2m [1/Celsius]

☐ error on regression of series t2m on field cld [Celsius]

☐ error on regression of field cld on series t2m [1/Celsius]

☐ number of valid points [1]

☐ relative regression [1]

☐ error on relative regression [1]

Map type: default projection

Region: 24 °N to 49 °N, -124 °E to -66 °E in a
lat-lon plot

Contours: to mask out : $p > 5\%$ ☐
logarithmic scale

Colours:

blue-grey-red

i

Shading:

☐ shading and contours

☒ shading

☐ contours

☐ grid boxes

i

Plot options:

☐ no color bar

☐ no title on plot,

☐ no grid

☐ no

i

political boundaries

label distance × ° or

☐ no labels

Output to:

☒ browser

☐ Google Earth (kml)

☐ GIS (geotiff)

i

Replot

