

FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF RIO GRANDE DO SUL
INFORMATICS INSTITUTE
BACHELOR OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

RAFAEL MAURICIO PESTANO

Towards a Software Metric for OSGi

Graduation Thesis

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Cláudio Fernando Resin
Geyer

Coadvisor: Prof. Dr. Didier DONSEZ

Porto Alegre
November 2014

FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF RIO GRANDE DO SUL

Reitor: Prof. Carlos Alexandre Netto

Vice-Reitor: Prof. Rui Vicente Oppermann

Pró-Reitor de Graduação: Prof. Sérgio Roberto Kieling Franco

Diretor do Instituto de Informática: Prof. Luis da Cunha Lamb

Coordenador do Curso de CIC: Prof. Raul Fernando Weber

Bibliotecária-chefe do Instituto de Informática: Beatriz Regina Bastos Haro

*“If I have seen farther than others,
it is because I stood on the shoulders of giants.”*

— SIR ISAAC NEWTON

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Acknowledgments

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	6
RESUMO	7
LIST OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	9
1 INTRODUCTION	10
1.1 Context	10
1.2 Objectives	10
1.3 Organization	11
2 STATE OF ART	12
2.1 Software Quality	12
2.1.1 Quality Measurement	12
2.1.2 Software Metric	12
2.1.3 Program Analysis	12
2.1.4 Quality Analysis Tools	13
2.2 Java and OSGi	13
3 INTRABUNDLE - AN OSGI BUNDLE INTROSPECTION TOOL	14
3.1 Implementation Overview	14
3.2 Collecting Bundle Data	14
3.3 Metrics Calculation	14
4 BUNDLE INTROSPECTION RESULTS	15
5 CONCLUSION	16
REFERENCES	17

ABSTRACT

Today's software applications are becoming more complex, bigger, dynamic and harder to maintain. One way to overcome modern systems complexities is to build modular applications so we can divide it into small blocks which collaborate to solve bigger problems, the so called *divide to conquer*. Another important aspect in the software industry that helps building large applications is the concept of software quality because it's well known that higher quality softwares are easier to maintain and evolve at long term.

The Open Services Gateway Initiative(OSGi) is the *de facto* standard for building Java modular applications but there is no automated way to measure the quality of OSGi systems. In the context of Java applications there are many well known quality metrics and tools to measure application's quality but when we move to Java modular applications where standard quality metrics does not fit or even exist, for example module dependency metrics, we run out of options.

In this work will be presented a tool called *Intrabundle* that analyses OSGi projects and measure their quality. It also proposed 6 metrics based on good practices inside OSGi world which are applied to 10 real OSGi projects that vary in size, teams and domain.

Keywords: OSGi. java. quality. metrics. modularity. intrabundle.

RESUMO

As aplicações de software hoje em dia estão cada vez mais complexas, maiores, dinâmicas e mais difíceis de manter. Uma maneira de superar as complexidades dos sistemas modernos é através de aplicações modulares as quais são divididas em partes menores que colaboram entre si para resolver problemas maiores, o famoso *dividir para conquistar*. Outro aspecto importante na indústria de software que ajuda à construir aplicações grandes é o conceito de qualidade de software já que é sabido que quanto maior a qualidade do software mais fácil de mantê-lo e evolui-lo a longo prazo será.

The Open Services Gateway Initiative(OSGi) é o *padrão de fato* para se criar aplicações modulares em java porém não existe forma automatizada de se medir a qualidade de sistemas OSGi. No âmbito de aplicações java existem diversas métricas de qualidade e ferramentas para medir a qualidade de software mas quando entramos no contexto de aplicações modulares, onde as métricas conhecidas não se encaixam ou não existem, por exemplo dependência entre módulos, ficamos sem opções.

Neste trabalho será apresentada uma ferramenta chamada *Intrabundle* que analisa projetos OSGi a mede sua qualidade. Ainda serão propostas métricas de qualidade baseadas em boas práticas conhecidas do mundo OSGi que serão aplicadas em 10 projetos reais que variam em tamanho, equipes e domínio.

Palavras-chave: OSGi. java. quality. metrics. modularity. intrabundle.

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

SMP	Symmetric Multi-Processor
NUMA	Non-Uniform Memory Access
SIMD	Single Instruction Multiple Data
SPMD	Single Program Multiple Data
ABNT	Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas

1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter will drive the reader through the context and motivation of this work followed by the objectives and later the organization of this text is presented.

1.1 Context

One of the pillars of sustainable software development is its quality which can basically be defined as functional or non-functional where the first focuses on how the software meets its specification and how it works accordingly to its requirements and the second is aimed on how well the software is structured, we can generalize the first as being *external quality* and second as *internal quality*. To measure external quality there is the need to execute the software, also known as *dynamic analysis*, either by an end user accessing the system or an automated process like for example functional testing or performance testing. There is no known way to assure functional quality without executing the software. Internal quality however can be verified by either *static analysis* that is mainly the inspection of the source code itself or by dynamic analysis which means executing the software like for example automated *whitebox testing* which is the detailed investigation of internal logic and structure of the code (KHAN and KHAN, 2012).

With good software quality in mind we take applications to another level where maintainability is increased, correctness is enhanced, defects are identified in early development stages which leads up to 100 times reduced costs (BEOHM and BASILI, 2001) and also other system characteristics like reusability, reliability and portability are benefited by higher software quality.

A well known and successful way to structure software architecture is to modularize its components. In the Java ecosystem although there is a moving to modularize the JDK and Java applications with the project Jigsaw (KRILL, P.) and also the recent *microservices* movement (KNORR, E.) for now the only practical working and well known solution for modular Java applications is OSGi, a component-based and service-oriented framework for building Java modular applications which is the *de facto* standard solution for this kind of software since early 2000's.

In the context of Java modular applications using OSGi and software quality there is no way to measure software internal quality which is the main objective of this work.

1.2 Objectives

This work is focused on *internal* OSGi projects quality mainly due to the following facts:

1. There is no known standard way neither tools to measure OSGi projects internal quality (Hamza and Sadou and Fleurquin, 2013).

2. We already have tools and approaches to measure standard projects internal and external quality.
3. For OSGi applications measuring *external quality* the classical approaches like automated testing are sufficient and widely used.

For measuring OSGi qualities first will be created the metrics based on good practices in the development of OSGi systems so in a second moment those metrics will be applied on top of real OSGi projects using a tool called *Intrabundle* which was created during this work and also will be presented here. In the end the resulting output of Intrabundle and introspected projects qualities will be analyzed to conclude if created metrics have value for measuring Java modular applications or not.

1.3 Organization

This text is organized in the following way. First chapter defines the context, motivation and objectives of this work. The second chapter will introduce the main concepts and technologies used in this work and will be divided into two main sections where the first will be focused in the area of software quality like quality measurement, quality metrics, program analysis and quality analysis tools and the second section of chapter two will present Java and OSGi, how standard Java and OSGi are different in respect to quality metrics and why we need different metrics for OSGi. The fourth chapter presents Intrabundle, an OSGi code introspection tool to measure internal quality, we will see how Intrabundle works, what kind of information it extracts and what metrics it is applying. The fifth chapter will analyze the results Intrabundle produces and validate them to decide if this work has a valid contribution or not. The last chapter will present the conclusions and future work on this subject.

2 STATE OF ART

This chapter presents an overview of the concepts and technologies that were studied and used on the development of this work. In section 2.1 - *Software Quality*, will be presented general aspects of software quality such as *Quality Measurement*, *software metrics*, *Program Analysis* and some tools that are used in this area.

Section 2.2 - *Java and OSGi* will introduce OSGi a framework for build service oriented Java modular applications as well the motivation behind this solution and why standard quality metrics aren't sufficient for this kind of application.

2.1 Software Quality

There are two main motivations to perform continuous software quality analysis that are **Risk management** and **Cost management**

- functional quality(performed via automated testing) - structural quality(**this is where our work shines**)

2.1.1 Quality Measurement

2.1.1.1 Code Based Analysis

2.1.1.2 Efficiency

2.1.1.3 Maintainability

2.1.1.4 Other kinds of software Quality Measurement

2.1.2 Software Metric

2.1.2.1 Common Software Measurements

2.1.3 Program Analysis

Program analysis is the process of automatically analyzing the behavior of computer programs. Two main approaches in program analysis are **static program analysis** and **dynamic program analysis**. Main applications of program analysis are program correctness and program optimization.

2.1.3.1 Dynamic Program Analysis

2.1.3.2 Static Program Analysis

2.1.4 Quality Analysis Tools

This section will list most used code quality analysis tools.

2.2 Java and OSGi

I the context of Java modular applications...

3 INTRABUNDLE - AN OSGI BUNDLE INTROSPECTION TOOL

3.1 Implementation Overview

3.2 Collecting Bundle Data

3.3 Metrics Calculation

4 BUNDLE INTROSPECTION RESULTS

This chapter will make a deep analysis of results and prove that my contribution is valid(or not)

5 CONCLUSION

REFERENCES

- BEOHM, B.; BASILI, V. R. Software Defect Reduction Top 10 List. **Computer**, Los Angeles, v. 34, no. 1, pp 135-137, January 2001.
- KHAN, M. E.; KHAN, F. A Comparative Study of White Box, Black Box and Grey Box Testing Techniques. **International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications**, New York, v. 3, no. 6, pp 12-15, June 2012.
- KNORR, E. What microservices architecture really means. **InfoWorld**, Available at:<<http://www.infoworld.com/article/2682502/application-development/application-development-what-microservices-architecture-really-means.html>>. Accessed in: november 2014.
- KRILL, P. Project Jigsaw delayed until Java 9. **InfoWorld**, Available at:<<http://www.infoworld.com/article/2617584/java/project-jigsaw-delayed-until-java-9.html>>. Accessed in: november 2014.
- HAMZA, S.; SADOU, S.; FLEURQUIN, R. Measuring Qualities for OSGi Component-Based Applications. **International Conference on Quality Software**, Najing, pp 25-34, July 2013.