Last Updated: January 19th

Question 1. Learning real predictors-linear hypotheses

In this exercise you will write simple Matlab/Octave functions to learn minimum error linear functions and test them on simulated data.

You will need to be familiar with the backslash operator for solving linear systems, as well as rand, randn, function, plot, and print. Furthermore, you will need to solve linear programs by calling the built in solvers. (In Matlab you can use linprog to solve linear programs.) Type help <topic> in Matlab/Octave if you need to learn about any function.

We will consider three ways of generating data X, y. All will involve the same target function defined by the weight vector $u = [01 \dots 1]'$ corrupted by noise. The training data will have the form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1,1} & \dots & x_{1,n-1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{t,1} & \dots & x_{t,n-1} \end{bmatrix} \qquad y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_t \end{bmatrix}$$

Data generation:

 $\begin{array}{lll} n=2 & \% & \text{dimension} \\ t=10 & \% & \text{training size} \\ u=[0; ones(n-1,1)] & \% & \text{target weights} \\ \text{sigma}=0.1 & \% & \text{noise level} \\ X=[ones(t,1) & \text{rand}(t, n-1)] & \% & \text{training pattern} \end{array}$

Generative model 1: $y = X^*u + randn(t,1)^*$ sigma

Generative model 2: $y = X^*u + randn(t,1)./randn(t,1)^*sigma$ Generative model 3: $y = X^*u + randn(t,1).^*randn(t,1)^*sigma$

(a) (1%) Write a Matlab/Octave function minL2 (X, y) which takes a $t \times n$ matrix X and $t \times 1$ vector of target values y and returns and $n \times 1$ vector of weights w2 corresponding to the minimum sum of squared errors linear function.

Note: You must implement the algorithm as shown in class. This involves solving a system of linear equations. You can do this using the "backslash" operator, but you *cannot* use the built in regress function.

Your function must be able to handle arbitrary n and t.

- (b) (1%) Write a Matlab/Octave function minL1 (X, y) which returns $n \times 1$ vector of weights w1 corresponding to the minimum *sum of absolute errors* linear function. (Note: If you are using Matlab, then you can use Matlab's built in linprog function to solve linear programs. If you are using Octave, then you can use Octave's built in glpk function to solve linear programs.)
- (c) (1%) Write a Matlab/Octave function minLoo(X, y) which returns $n \times 1$ vector of weights woo corresponding to the minimum *max of absolute errors* linear function. (Note: If you are using Matlab, then you can use Matlab's built in linprog function to solve linear programs. If you are using Octave, then you can use Octave's built in glpk function to solve linear programs.)

(d) (1%) For each of the generative models 1, 2, and 3:

A: Generate synthetic data and train. In other words, generate a random training set X, y using the model, and solve for each kind of linear function: $w2 = \min L2(X, y)$, $w1 = \min L1(X, y)$, and $woo = \min Loo(X, y)$

B: Produce a 2D plot of training data and the three hypotheses corresponding to w2, w1, and woo.

clf
plot((X(:,2)', y', 'k*')
hold
plot([0 1], [w2(1) sum(w2)], 'r-')
plot([0 1], [w1(1) sum(w1)], 'g-')
plot([0 1], [woo(1) sum(woo)], 'b-')
print -deps experiment.1.1.<m>.ps

where $m \in 1, 2, 3$ ranges over the 3 generative models.

C: Report the three different kinds of error each of the tree hypotheses obtained on the training data:

type of error				
		L_1 error	L_2 error	$L\infty$ error
type	w1			
of	w2			
function	woo			

D: Keeping w2, w1 and woo fixed, generate another set of te = 1000 test examples from the same generative model, and report the matrix of error values made by the predictors on the test data.

type of error					
	L_1 error	L_2 error	$L\infty$ error		
w1					
w2					
woo					

Hand in a plot and two tables for each generative model.

(e) (1%) For each generative model: Repeat (d) parts A, C and D 100 times and accumulate the sum of each kind of error for each kind of function in two matrices: one for the training errors and one for the testing errors. Report the *averages* for each kind of error and each kind of function in two tables (one training error and the other testing error).

Question 2. Learning real predictors – polynomial bases.

In this exercise you will write a Matlab/Octave function to compute minimum error polynomials and test it on simulated data. This will demonstrate overfitting dramatically! For this exercise it will be useful to know about the polyval and axis functions in Matlab/Octave.

We will consider two ways of generating the data, but will only consider one dimensional input so the data will (initially) have the form

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_t \end{bmatrix} \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_t \end{bmatrix}$$

Data generation: t = 10 % training size

sigma = 0.1 % noise level

x = rand(t, 1) % training patterns

Generative model 1: y = double(x > 0.5) % step function Generative model 2: y = 0.5 - 10.4*x.*(x-0.5).*(x-1) + sigma*randn(t, 1) % noisy cubic

(a) (1%) Write a Matlab/Octave function minL2poly (x, y, d) which takes a $t \times 1$ vector of training inputs x and a $t \times 1$ vector of target values y and returns a $(d+1) \times 1$ vector of weights c corresponding to the minimum sum of squared errors polynomial of degree d. That is, c should be a vector of weights $c = [c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{d+1}]'$, which will be interpreted as specifying a polynomial

$$p(x) = c_1 x^d + c_2 x^{d-1} + \dots + c_d x + c_{d+1}.$$

Note: You must implement the algoirhthm as shown in class. This involves expanding each training input x_i into a vector $(x_i^d, x_i^{d-1}, \dots, x_i, 1)$ to obtain

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^d & x_1^{d-1} & \dots & x_1 & 1 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x_t^d & x_t^{d-1} & \dots & x_t & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_t \end{bmatrix}$$

and then solving a system of linear equations to obtain c. You can do this using "backslash" operator in Matlab/Octave, but you cannot use the builtin polyfit function.

Your function should be able to handle arbitrary t and d.

- (b) (1%) For each of the generative models 1 and 2:
 - A: Generate a random training set x, y using the model, and solve for the best fit polynomials of degree 1, 3, 5, and 9: $c1 = \min L2poly(x, y, 1)$, $c3 = \min L2poly(x, y, 3)$, $c5 = \min L2poly(x, y, 5)$, $c9 = \min L2poly(x, y, 9)$.
 - B: Produce a 2D plot of the training data and the four hypotheses corresponding to c1, c3, c5, and c9.

```
clf axis([0\ 1\ -0.5\ 1.5]) hold plot(x', y', 'k*') xx = (0:1000)/1000 yy = <mean\ value\ of\ generative\ model\ at\ xx> plot(xx, yy, 'k:') plot(xx, polyval(c1, xx), 'r-') plot(xx, polyval(c3, xx), 'g-') plot(xx, polyval(c5, xx), 'b-') plot(xx, polyval(c9, xx), 'm-') print\ -deps\ experiment\ 1.2.<m>.ps
```

where $<m>\in\{1,2\}$ ranges over the 2 generative models. Here "<mean value of generative model at xx>" means plot the step function or the cubic function, without the noise, depending on which generative model is being used.

C: Report the *mean* sum of squares error (*i.e.*, the sum of squares error divided by t) that each of the four hypotheses obtained on the training data:

	L_2 error
c1	
c3	
c5	
c9	

D: Generate another set of te = 1000 tttest examples from the same generative model and report the mean sum of squares error on the *test* data (*i.e.*, the sum of squares error divided by te) in the same form as above.

Hand in a plot and two tables for each generative model.

(c) (1%) For each generative model: Repeat (b) parts A, C, and D 100 times and accumulate the sum of mean squared errors for each degree in two matrices: one for the training errors and one for the testing errors. Report the *averages* for each kind of mean error at each degree in two tables (one training error and the other testing error).

Question 3.

- (a) (1%) Prove that sum of squares error function is a convex function of weight parameter. You could either use the definition of a convex function, or show that the Hessian H is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix.
- (b) (1%) Compute the gradient $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ and Hessian $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})$ of the Rosenbrock function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2$$

where $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Show that $\mathbf{x}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is the only local minimizer of this function.