

Report

Hyper Parameter Tuning:

Parameter Description:

```
# Hyper Parameters to config
batch_size = 128 # No of training examples in each batch
embedding_size = 128 # Dimension of the embedding vector.
skip_window = 4 # How many words to consider left and right.
num_skips = 8 # How many times to reuse an input to generate a label.

# maximum training step
max_num_steps = 200001
```

Nce Model:

1st Model :

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|--------------------|
| 128 | 4 | 8 | 200001 | 34.6% | 1.5033708277868485 |

2nd Model:

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|--------------------|
| 128 | 2 | 4 | 200001 | 34.4% | 1.4537452065239598 |

3rd Model:

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|------------------------|
| 128 | 8 | 16 | 200001 | 33.9% | 1.378338745 9615477 |

4thModel:

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|----------------------|
| 256 | 2 | 4 | 200001 | 33.9% | 1.509167802 24667 |

5th Model:

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 64 | 1 | 2 | 200001 | 34.4% | 1.279767415 623586 |

6thModel:

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|----------------------|
| 64 | 2 | 4 | 200001 | 34.8% | 1.264034066 15339 |

7th Model:

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|------------------------|
| 64 | 2 | 4 | 800001 | 34.4% | 1.463193321 3499595 |

8th Model:

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|------------------------|
| 64 | 2 | 4 | 400001 | 34.5% | 1.244216139 3751876 |

Cross Entropy Model:

1st Model:

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 128 | 4 | 8 | 200001 | 33.4% | 4.824304129 600525 |

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|----------------------|
| 128 | 2 | 4 | 200001 | 33.6% | 4.704011122 03598 |

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 128 | 8 | 16 | 200001 | 34.1% | 4.835974419 879913 |

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 64 | 2 | 4 | 800001 | 33.5% | 3.926882362 318039 |

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|-------------------|
| 64 | 8 | 16 | 400001 | 33.3% | 4.144266467761994 |

6th Model:

| Batch Size | Skip Window | Num Skips | Max Num Steps | Accuracy | Loss |
|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|-------------------|
| 256 | 8 | 16 | 200001 | 33.6% | 5.534155613517761 |

Observations:

1. For NCE model, decreasing the batch size results in good loss as well as accuracy for the word analogy task. On the other hand, if we increase the batch size, we are not seeing any improvement in the accuracy or loss. Although, decreasing the batch size improves the model, the time taken to train the model increases too. For small batch size, we are getting better results by removing repeated context in the examples as we are taking random context word for each target word.

2. Increasing the Skip Window doesn't help with the improvement in the NCE model loss. The best results we got was at skip window = 2, taking 2 words on the left and on the right. Any more than 2, the word doesn't have significant relation to the target word. Although, taking skip window = 1 did give the comparable result against the model where we took skip window = 2.

3. Increasing the iteration for NCE model didn't help much with the improvement in the accuracy for the analogy task. Although, we did see a decrease in the loss function for 4 millions iterations. Since, I was using pretrained models, where I have already run the nce loss function for a

couple of millions iterations. We didn't see much improvement in the accuracy.

4. For Cross Entropy Model, increasing the skip window from 4 to 8 got us better model than for 8. We did see an improvement in the accuracy for the task but the loss function remained same for both the configuration. Also, decreasing the skip window did decrease the loss function and an improvement in the accuracy against the default configuration but better improvement was seen at higher values.

5. Increasing the number of iterations for cross entropy model didn't help much with the accuracy of the analogy task but had a significant improvement in the loss function. Most probably, the specific set of examples gave a lower accuracy than other models. Also, increasing the batch size increased the loss function.

Learnings:

1. For Nce Model, it is better to have a small batch size and skip window for better model.
2. For Cross Entropy Model, it is better to have large skip window.
3. The decrease in loss function doesn't mean increase in the accuracy of the task.
4. The number of iterations helps in decreasing the loss function but we don't see any significant improvement in accuracy for the task by increasing the iterations to 8 millions or 4 millions.
5. The increase in batch size didn't improve the model for cross entropy.

Top 20 Similar Words :

Best Cross Entropy Model (3rd) :

Accuracy : 34.1%

| first | american | would |
|--|--|---|
| colloids, coast, main, callings, entire, report, cyphertext, triola, court, end, latter, beginning, same, until, most, best, name, original, following, last | taoist, menezes, peli, pharmacy, freedoms, reburial, european, fanfic, french, oncifelis, bezout, snowy, rascal, tyre, barzani, its, borges, eu, italian, german | shown, seen, deceptive, householder, argued, you, needing, been, believed, do, did, seems, does, should, we, said, could, will, might, must |

Best NCE Model (6th) :

Accuracy: 34.8%

| first | american | would |
|--|--|---|
| efe, moment, use, end, late, year, way, part, battle, term, same, english, next, best, original, following, name, book, second, last | although, including, anti, william, all, general, how, early, while, so, during, china, abuse, before, later, ideas, since, others, law, history | others, no, had, robert, during, william, before, abuse, does, became, so, general, since, did, links, might, see, called, t, can |

I am seeing that for both the models, there are some words coming in both of the above table.

Word Analogy Prediction file using best NCE & Cross Entropy Model:

1. nce_loss_model_analogy_prediction.txt
2. cross_entropy_analogy_prediction.txt

Noise Contrastive Estimation

We are interested in highly scalable models that can be trained on billion-word datasets with vocabularies of hundreds of thousands of words within a few days on a single core, which rules out most traditional neural language models

NCE is based on the reduction of density estimation to probabilistic binary classification. It is based on the idea is to train a logistic regression classifier to discriminate between samples from the distribution and from some noise distribution, based on the ratio of probabilities of the sample under the model and the noise distribution. The main advantage of NCE is that it allows us to fit

models that are not explicitly normalized making the training time independent of vocabulary size. The perplexity of Neural probabilistic language models trained using this approach has been shown comparable to those trained with maximum likelihood learning but at a fraction of the computational cost.

Suppose, we would like to learn the distribution of words for a specific context c , denoted by P^c . For this, we will create an auxiliary binary classification problem, treating the data from training as positive and from noise as negative P^k . We will choose unigram distribution of the training data as the noise distribution. If we assume that the noise samples are k times more frequent than data samples, the probability that the given samples came from the data is :

$$P^c(D=1|w) = P^c(w) / (P^c(w) + k * P^k(w)) = \sigma(\Delta s(w, h))$$

Where $\sigma(\Delta s(w, h))$ is the logistic function and $\Delta s(w, h) = s(w, h) - \log(k P^k(w))$ is the difference in the scores of word w under the model and the (scaled) noise distribution. The scaling factor k in front of $P_n(w)$ accounts for the fact that noise samples are k times more frequent than data samples.

We fit the model by maximizing the log-posterior probability of the correct labels D averaged over the data and noise samples:

$$\begin{aligned} J^h(\theta) &= E[\log P^h(D=1|w, \theta) + k E_{P_n}[\log P^h(D=0|w, \theta)]] \\ &= E_{P_{h,d}}[\log \sigma(\Delta s_\theta(w, h))] + k E_{P_n}[\log (1 - \sigma(\Delta s_\theta(w, h)))] , \end{aligned}$$

In practice, the expectation over the noise distribution is approximated by sampling. Thus, we estimate the contribution of a word / context pair w, h to the gradient of Eq. 8 by generating k noise samples $\{x_i\}$ and computing :

$$\frac{\partial J^{h,w}(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = (1 - \sigma(\Delta s_\theta(w, h))) \frac{\partial \log P_\theta^h(w)}{\partial \theta} - \sum_{i=1}^k [\sigma(\Delta s_\theta(x_i, h)) \frac{\partial \log P_\theta^h(x_i)}{\partial \theta}]$$

As we increase the number of noise samples k , this estimate approaches the likelihood gradient of the normalized model, allowing us to trade off computation cost against estimation accuracy.