



# Meeting to discuss about feedback from Midterm Review Presentation - 25 Mar 2023

## Meeting information

Meeting time	1:53 pm - 4:20 pm (IST)
Meeting date	25 Mar 2023
Meeting topic / objectives	Discuss about the feedback received from the coordinators during Midterm Review Presentation. Showcase and give feedback on final enhancement of Version 2.0 and plan for Version 3.0
Method	Offline meeting - Room I82 - HCMUS, HCMC, Vietnam

## Members and roles

ID	Member	Role
1	Dr. Nhan Le Thi	Supervisor
2	Tan Le Tran Ba	Interviewer
3	Nhan Nguyen Cao	Interviewer
4	Chuong Pham Dinh	Secretary
5	Trang Ho Ngoc Thao	Secretary

## Meeting actions

Action / Discussion	Person responsible	Note (if available)
Greetings	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	

Action / Discussion	Person responsible	Note (if available)
Dr. Nhan asked team about the the feedback received in the Midterm Review Presentation	1, 2, 3	Team mentioned the only feedback received from Dr. Vu during the presentation, but just to clarify the use of the word “desktop” for Desktop viewport in responsive design, not a “Desktop application”
Dr. Nhan and team discussed in further about why not many feedback was given by the coordinators after the two most recent reports	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Dr. Nhan and team agreed that there are many more things to be added to complete the flow. Team did report quite details about the flow, but it could be due to the difficulties team met with the Client side that the coordinators do not want to ask too much at the current time because there are some flows still unclear about the final evaluation.
Dr. Nhan and team discussed about the withdrawal of Dr. Liu and how the final Client feedback would be collected	1, 3, 5	Currently, the Academic Affairs is trying to organize a meeting with Dr. Nhan to discuss about that. The plan is that one of the two specialist consultants would be involved as the Client to give feedback, while Dr. Nhan would support with the evaluation about working schedule and plan through the projects. <b><i>Note: Later announced by Dr. Nhan and the Academic Affairs that Dr. Van Le Thi Tuong would be involved as the Client for the project in final assessment</i></b>

Action / Discussion	Person responsible	Note (if available)
Team asked Dr. Nhan whether the scope and features list of the project is not large enough for the requirements of R&D project	1, 3	Dr. Nhan suggested that team should not be worried about that. She used the team she supervised last year as a clear example, not many features but they were very complex to build. Dr. Nhan suggested team should focus on presenting about the journey in the final presentation, because the project had too many difficulties, changes and challenges through the way. The main focuses of R&D project also contain the ability to resolve problems besides technical ability
Dr. Nhan suggested team to focus and put a little bit high effort to the User Interface, which could attract the council, instead of having many features.	1	
Dr. Nhan mentioned the focus of the Final Defense Presentation from Dr. Vu side is usually about testing. Dr. Nhan asked team about the plan for testing phases	1, 3, 4	Team mentioned about the plan for testing, would include 3 main types of testing: Unit testing and Automation testing (Technical), User testing and Manual testing. Dr. Nhan approved the plan for testing phase
Team showed case about the changes in the latest enhancement to Version 2.0 of the project	3	

Action / Discussion	Person responsible	Note (if available)
Team demo the Home page	1, 3, 5	Dr. Nhan suggested that the background should be changed to another color. Because the main color tone for the whole home page is gray, besides human skin color, making it quite boring and sad. Dr. Nhan suggested adding a little bit more color to either the items or in the surrounding controls. She suggested using a background color that is contrasted with the human skin color and add up gradient for the floor if possible.
Team explained about the new structure of components within the home page	1, 2, 3	Dr. Nhan suggested that it is not very clear. - Having components covered up every corner of the home page is not a good idea. When user wants to select one thing, they have to drag around the page, not a good experience.
Dr. Nhan asked about the manual control panel for interactions with the 3D model	1, 2	Dr. Nhan suggested that it is very difficult to understand the functionality of the panel, especially for non-technical users. She suggested re-designing the panel to make it clearer to understand the functionality.
Dr. Nhan suggested instead of using a dropdown menu for Meridian quick selection, team should consider using a horizontal scrollable bar like in Google Maps top menu	1	Dr. Nhan added her idea about using this as a way to add more colors to the home page. Each selectable button could be colored to the same color of that meridian line in the 3D model.
Dr. Nhan and team reviewed about the uses of some colors in the meridians	1, 2, 3	Dr. Nhan suggested changing some colors to make it more contrast, and easier to view when the line crossed on the 3D model.

Action / Discussion	Person responsible	Note (if available)
Dr. Nhan also gave feedback about the overall margin and padding of the components at corners of the home page	1, 2	Dr. Nhan suggested that all components should be similar in size and margin. The search bar is stuck to the right corner with no margin, while the menu has a small margin to the left corner is not a good styling.
Team demo about the changes in items to show for each scale level	1, 3	Team noted about one bug in choosing a meridian zoomed too much. Dr. Nhan suggested that each click to the zoom panel should correspond to one level of changes in the 3D model, no middle levels are necessary.
Team demo selecting meridian and deselect meridian	1, 3	Dr. Nhan approved the steps, just added up one feedback is that team should allow deselect by clicking on the selected item.
Team demo selecting an acupuncture point and showing up all points in the same meridian	1, 3	Dr. Nhan suggested it is not a good option to hide all other points. Instead, taking into account one use case is that users may want to try to distinguish one point with the close points. So when user selects one point, besides showing the meridian and all other points on the same meridian, the model should also shows some close points in the surrounding area.
Dr. Nhan added some details about her expected flow for selecting point or meridian	1	
Team demo the Quiz feature	1, 2, 5	Dr. Nhan suggested that the layout the Quiz panel is not good. Too much space, too plain and the question text size is too small

Action / Discussion	Person responsible	Note (if available)
Dr. Nhan asked whether there would be sound effects added to the Quiz feature to entertain users	1, 3	Team added information about that. Sound effects are available but not able to showcase because monitor not connected to any speaker
Team demo the Personal Records page following up the Quiz feature	1, 3	Dr. Nhan suggested that the style of Personal Records page was too simple and too old. She suggested following some modern dashboard for a better styling, using more color.
Sum up the showcase		
Dr. Nhan asked about team's plan for the next step	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Team decided in the following weeks: - Team would fix the UI based on the received feedback and going to the third major epic of Version 3.0 - All API endpoints would be integrated to the Front-end side of the final product. - Basic configurations and plans for technical testing would be added to the code base. Dr. Nhan approved the plan
Dr. Nhan and team discussed about the planned schedule for Final Defense presentation.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Team mentioned the planned schedule for Final Capstone Defense Ceremony on the 5th of June, 2023. And as the project is VN-01, it is likely that we would be the first to present in the morning of that date. Dr. Nhan said that she would try to organize her own schedule and attend the presentation.
Conclusion and Good bye	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	

## Attendance report

Member	Joined	Leaved	In-meeting duration
--------	--------	--------	---------------------

Member	Joined	Leaved	In-meeting duration
1	1:55 pm	4:20 pm	2h 25m
2	1:53 pm	4:20 pm	2h 27m
3	1:53 pm	4:20 pm	2h 27m
4	1:53 pm	4:20 pm	2h 27m
5	1:53 pm	4:20 pm	2h 27m