	Index #1	Frequency		ı	Importance			Index #2	Issues		ı	Performance	
Equipment	Overall Weighted Average (1-5)	Frequency of Use (1-5)	30% Weight of Significance		Importance of Equipment (1-5)	70% Weight of Significance		Overall Weighted Average (1-5)	Issues Noted (1-5)	son. Weight		Performance Satisfaction (1- S)	60% Weight
Little	5.0	\$	1.5		5	3.5		4.6	- 4	1.6		5	3
Belt Sander	4.7	- 4	1.2	1	5	3.5	1	3.8	5	2	1	2	1.8
Bridgeport Milling Machine	5.0	\$	1.5	1	5	3.5	1	4.4	5	2	1	- 4	2.4
Hand Tools	5.0	\$	1.5	1	5	3.5	1	2.2	-4	1.6	1	- 1	0.6
Tubing Bender	5.0	- 1		1	5	5	1	3.8	5	2	1	2	1.8
Band Saw	5.0	5	1.5	1	5	3.5	1	4.0	4	1.6	1		2.4
Horizontal Band Saw	5.0	\$	1.5	1	5	3.5	1	4.4	5	2	1	- 4	2.4
Wind Tunnel	5.0	5	1.5	1	5	3.5	1	5.0	5	2	1	'n	3
MTS Teeting Machine	5.0	- 1		1	5	\$	1	5.0	5	2	1	5	3
MIG Welder	5.0	2		1	5	\$	1	3.0	3	12	1	- 3	1.0
Grinding Machines	5.0	2		1	5	5	1	3.8	5	2	1	2	1.8
Spot Welder	5.0	2		1	5	\$	1	4.0	- 4	1.6	1	- 4	2.4
Assorted Air Tools	3.4	2	0.6	1	- 4	2.8	1	3.2	5	2	1	2	12
TIG Welder	3.4	2	0.6	1	- 4	2.8	1	3.6	3	1.2	1		2.4
Cold Saw	3.1	1	0.3	1	- 4	2.8	1	5.0	5	2	1	5	3
Wood Chop Saw	3.1	1	0.3	1	- 4	2.8	1	5.0	5	2	1	5	3
Dell Press	3.1	- 1	0.3	1	- 4	2.8	1	4.4	S	2	1	4	2.4
Plasma Cutter	2.4	- 1	0.3	1	3	2.1	1	3.4	- 4	1.6	1	3	1.0
SSOX Welder	1.7	1	0.3	1	2	1.4	1	2.6	5	2	1	- 1	0.6
Gas Welding and Cutting	1.7	- 1	0.3	1	2	1.4	1	2.6	S	2	1	-	0.6
Metal Chop Saw 2ea	1.7	- 1	0.3	1	2	1.4	1	4.4	5	2	1	4	2.4
CMM	6.3	- 1	0.3	1	0		1	2.6	5	2	1	- 1	0.6
Metal Roller	0.3	1	0.3	1	0		1	2.6	5	2	1	1	0.6
Notching Machine	6.3	- 1	0.3	1	0		1	2.6	5	2	1	- 1	0.6
Broken Disc Sander	6.3	- 1	0.3	1	0		1	2.6	5	2	1	- 1	0.6
Broken Belt Sander	6.3	- 1	0.3	1	0		1	2.6	5	2	1	- 1	0.6
Horizontal Milling Machine	4.1									2			