Lab4- Spark

CS5590 BigData Programming - Lab Assignment 4.

Team Id: 3.

Member 1: Raju Nekadi.

Class Id: 7.

Member 2: Sushma Manne

Class Id: 3.

Raju's GitHub Link:

https://github.com/rnekadi/CSEE5590_BIGDATA_PROGAMMING_Fall2018/tree/master/Lab4.

Video Link

https://youtu.be/HsXkKkyaiNw

Contribution:

Raju Nekadi: Question 1 50%

Sushma Manne: Question 2 50%.

Introduction:

In Lab4, We will the Word Count on Twitter Data on real time and machine learning algorithm on Absenteeism dataset.

Objective:

To Perform Twitter Word Count analysis.

Approaches:

Spark Streaming API consumes the tweets from Twitter. We can apply the transformation on stream data to push further downstream.

We will use the Spark Streaming to connect with Twitter.

We need to get the consumer token, access token from twitter.

Spark Streaming creates the streams of data and pass it to downstream. Then we will use map and reduce by transformation, action to perform word count.

Dataset:

Not applicable as we are consuming data directly from twitter.

Workflow:

First, of all we will create the Spark Config and Context variable and then we will pass our consumerKey, consumerSecret, accessToken, accessTokenSecret through arguments as shown below.

```
val conf = new SparkConf().setMaster("local[4]").setAppName("Spark Streaming - PopularHashTags")
val sc = new SparkContext(conf)

def main(args: Array[String]) :Unit {
    sc.setLogLevel("WARN")

    val Array(consumerKey, consumerSecret, accessToken, accessTokenSecret) = args.take(4)
    val filters = args.takeRight(args.length - 4)

    // Set the system properties so that Twitter4j library used by twitter stream
    // can use them to generat OAuth credentials
    System.setProperty("twitter4j.oauth.consumerKey", consumerKey)
    System.setProperty("twitter4j.oauth.consumerSecret", consumerSecret)
    System.setProperty("twitter4j.oauth.accessToken", accessToken)
    System.setProperty("twitter4j.oauth.accessTokenSecret", accessTokenSecret)
```

Then will create the stream of data from TwitterUtil on which apply map and reducebyKey as shown below.

```
// Set the Spark StreamingContext to create a DStream for every 5 seconds
val ssc = new StreamingContext(sc, Seconds(5))
// Pass the filter keywords as arguements

// val stream = FlumeUtils.createStream(ssc, args(0), args(1).toInt)
val stream = TwitterUtils.createStream(ssc, None, filters)

// Split the stream on space and extract hashtags
val words = stream.flatMap(status => status.getText.split(regex = " ").filter(_.startsWith("#")))

// Count each word in each batch
val pairs = words.map(word => (word, 1))
val wordCounts = pairs.reduceByKey(_ + _)

// Print the first ten elements of each RDD generated in this DStream to the console
wordCounts.print()
ssc.start()
ssc.awaitTermination()
```

Evaluation:

Spark Streaming easily handles the streaming of Twitter data and pushing down the further down to count the word in very less time.

```
Time: 1543726680000 ms
(#AndaiNikahanku10M.1)
(#UnlockedIPhoneX.1)
18/12/01 22:58:00 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726680000 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:00 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726680400 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:01 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726680800 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:01 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726681400 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:02 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726682400 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:02 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726682600 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:03 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726683200 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:03 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726683400 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:03 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726683600 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:04 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726684600 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:05 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726684800 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
Time: 1543726685000 ms
(#atrialfibrillation,1)
18/12/01 22:58:05 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726685000 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:05 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726685400 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:06 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726685800 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:07 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726687000 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:07 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726687200 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:07 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726687400 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:07 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726687600 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:09 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726688800 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
18/12/01 22:58:09 WARN BlockManager: Block input-0-1543726689400 replicated to only 0 peer(s) instead of 1 peers
Time: 1543726690000 ms
(#iPhone,1)
(#WorldAIDSDay,1)
(#Apple,1)
(#Official髭男dism,1)
```

Conclusion:

Spark Streaming handles Twitter data very swiftly.

Objective:

a.Use the following Classification Algorithms: Naïve Bayes, Decision Tree and Random Forest for the same attribute classification

b.Report the Confusion matrix, Accuracy based on FMeasure, Precision & Recall for all the algorithms

Approaches:

We have used the Absenteeism dataset and performed the Assembler libraries to extract the feature for our models. Then we have deduced the label for output target and tied to assembler features.

Workflow:

We have split the dataset into 70% and 30% ratio. Finally we evaluated the model and prediction on test data. Then we calculated confusion matrix using the above columns and find the precision and recall values.

1.Naive Bayes

```
data = data.withColumn("MOA", data["Month of absence"] - 0).withColumn("label", data['Seasons'] - 0).
    withColumn("ROA", data["Reason for absence"] - 0). \
    withColumn("distance", data["Distance from Residence to Work"] - 0). \
    withColumn("BMI", data["Body mass index"] - 0)
assem = VectorAssembler(inputCols=["label", "MOA"], outputCol='features')
data = assem.transform(data)
splits = data.randomSplit([0.7, 0.3], 1000)
train = splits[0]
test = splits[1]
# create the trainer and set its parameters
nb = NaiveBayes(smoothing=1.0, modelType="multinomial")
# train the model
model = nb.fit(train)
# select example rows to display.
predictions = model.transform(test)
evaluator = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator(labelCol="label", predictionCol="prediction",
y_true = data.select("BMI").rdd.flatMap(lambda x: x).collect()
y_pred = data.select("ROA").rdd.flatMap(lambda x: x).collect()
accuracy = evaluator.evaluate(predictions)
confusionmatrix = confusion_matrix(y_true, y_pred)
precision = precision_score(y_true, y_pred, average='micro')
recall = recall_score(y_true, y_pred, average='micro')
                                                                                              🕕 Inval
print("Naive Bayes - Test set accuracy = " + str(accuracy))
                                                                                                The d
```

2. Random Forest.

```
data = spark.read.load("/Users/sai/Documents/GitHub/ml_data/Absenteeism.csv", formal="csv", header=True, delimiter=";")
data = data.withColumn("MOA", data["Month of absence"] - 0).withColumn("label", data["Reason for absence"] - 0).withColumn("istance", data["South mass index"] - 0).withColumn("istance", data["Body mass index"] - 0).withColumn("istance"
```

3. Decision Tree.

```
data = spark.read.load("/Users/sai/Documents/GitHub/ml_data/Absenteeism.csv", format="csv", header=True
data = data.withColumn("MOA", data["Month of absence"] - 0).withColumn("label", data['Height'] - 0). \
    withColumn("ROA", data["Reason for absence"] - 0). \
    withColumn("data["DMT", data["Distance from Residence to Work"] - 0). \
    withColumn("BMI", data["Body mass index"] - 0)
#data.show()
assem = VectorAssembler(inputCols=["label", "distance"],                     <mark>outputCol=</mark>'features')
data = assem.transform(data)
# Index labels, adding metadata to the label column.
labelIndexer = StringIndexer(inputCol="label", outpu
                                                                     Col="indexedLabel").fit(data)
  We specify maxCategories so features with > 4 distinct values are treated as continuous.
featureIndexer =\
    VectorIndexer(inputCol="features", outputCol="indexedFeatures", maxCategories=4).fit(data)
 Split the data into training and test sets (30% held out for testing)
(trainingData, testData) = data.randomSplit([0.7, 0.3])
dt = DecisionTreeClassifier(labelCol="indexedLabel", featuresCol="indexedFeatures")
# Chain indexers and tree in a Pipeline
pipeline = Pipeline(stages=[labelIndexer, featureIndexer, dt])
model = pipeline.fit(trainingData)
oredictions = model.transform(testData)
# Select example rows to display.
predictions.select("prediction", "indexedLabel", "features").show(5)
 Select (prediction, true label) and compute test error
evaluator = MulticlassClassificationEvaluator(
accuracy = evaluator.evaluate(predictions)
 _true = data.select("BMI").rdd.flatMap(lambda x: x).collect()
```

Data set and Parameter:

DataSet Can be Found at https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Absenteeism+at+work .

Evaluation.

Accuracy and Error Calculated using the 3 different Classifier and picked the best possible algorithm.

Output:

The output of all 3 algorithm given below.

Naive Bayes

```
🦆 NaiveBayes 🗵
 /Users/sai/anaconda3/bin/python3.6 /Users/sai/Documents/GitHub/Lab4/NaiveBayes.py
  2018-12-02 00:36:42 WARN NativeCodeLoader:62 - Unable to load native-hadoop library for your
 Setting default log level to "WARN".
  To adjust logging level use sc.setLogLevel(newLevel). For SparkR, use setLogLevel(newLevel).
 2018-12-02 00:36:48 WARN Utils:66 - Truncated the string representation of a plan since it was
 2018-12-02 00:36:49 WARN BLAS:61 - Failed to load implementation from: com.github.fommil.net
 2018-12-02 00:36:49 WARN BLAS:61 - Failed to load implementation from: com.github.fommil.net
 Naive Bayes - Test set accuracy = 0.15458937198067632
  The Confusion Matrix for Naive Bayes Model is:
  [[0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   ...
[2 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [5 0 0 ... 0 0 0]]
  The precision score for Naive Bayes Model is: 0.02972972972973
  The recall score for Naive Bayes Model is: 0.02972972972973
  Process finished with exit code 0
```

Decision Tree

```
DecisionTree
                                        version 2.4.0
  Using Python version 3.6.5 (default, Apr 26 2018 08:42:37) SparkSession available as 'spark'.
  2018-12-02 00:37:38 WARN Utils:66 - Truncated the string representation of a plan since it was too large. The
  |prediction|indexedLabel|
                                      features
                            1.0 | [172.0,11.0] |
1.0 | [172.0,11.0] |
1.0 | [172.0,11.0] |
1.0 | [172.0,11.0] |
1.0 | [172.0,52.0] |
            1.0|
            1.0
            1.0
            1.0
            1.0|
  only showing top 5 rows
  DecisionTreeClassificationModel (uid=DecisionTreeClassifier_64dabd43913f) of depth 5 with 25 nodes
  Decision Tree - Test Accuracy = 1
Decision Tree - Test Error = 0
  The Confusion Matrix for Decision Tree Model is:
  [[0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
[0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [2 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
[5 0 0 ... 0 0 0]]
  The precision score for Decision Tree Model is: 0.02972972972972973
  The recall score for Decision Tree Model is: 0.02972972972972973
  Process finished with exit code 0
```

Random Forest

```
🦆 RandomForest :
                                version 2.4.0
 Using Python version 3.6.5 (default, Apr 26 2018 08:42:37)
 SparkSession available as 'spark'.
 2018-12-02 00:39:07 WARN Utils:66 - Truncated the string representation of a plan since
  |predictedLabel|label|
                            features
            235.0|235.0|[235.0,11.0]|
            235.0|235.0|[235.0,11.0]|
            235.0 | 235.0 | [235.0,11.0]
           235.0|235.0|[235.0,11.0]
           235.0|235.0|[235.0,11.0]
 only showing top 5 rows
 RandomForestClassificationModel (uid=RandomForestClassifier_d4ec2e77d932) with 10 trees
 Random Forest - Test Accuracy = 0.9
 Random Forest - Test Error = 0.1
 The Confusion Matrix for Random Forest Model is:
  [[0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [200...000]
   [0 0 0 ... 0 0 0]
   [5 0 0 ... 0 0 0]]
 The precision score for Random Forest Model is: 0.02972972972973
 The recall score for Random Forest Model is: 0.02972972972973
 Process finished with exit code 0
```

Conclusion:

As per Accuracy parameter Decision Tree has the highest accuracy of 98 % and the lowest error of 2%. Also the confusion matrix is plotted for all the 3 classifications and is shown in the above output images

References:

https://www.programcreek.com/scala/org.apache.spark.streaming.twitter.TwitterUtils