

1. **AR(2) Processes**

A stationary stochastic process  $\{X_t : t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  satisfies the relationship

$$X_t = \mu + 0.8(X_{t-1} - \mu) - 0.4(X_{t-2} - \mu) + W_t,$$

where  $\{W_t : t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  is a sequence of independent, zero-mean normal random variables with common variance  $\sigma^2$ .

(a) Is the model causal? Justify your answer.

(b) Is the model invertible? Justify your answer.

(c) Calculate  $\rho(1)$  and  $\rho(2)$ , the autocorrelation functions of  $\{X_t\}$  evaluated at lag 1 and 2 respectively.

(d) What are  $\phi_{11}$  and  $\phi_{22}$ , the partial autocorrelation function at lag 1 and 2 respectively? (Hint: This problem requires no computation.)

(e) What are  $\phi_{kk}$ , the partial autocorrelation function at lag  $k$ , for  $k \geq 3$ ?

In a realistic setting, we *observe* the series  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for a finite  $n$ . Suppose  $n = 300$  and the process is indeed a stationary AR(2) process. That is,

$$x_t = \mu + \phi_1(x_{t-1} - \mu) + \phi_2(x_{t-2} - \mu) + w_t,$$

where  $w_t$  are i.i.d. *observations* of  $\text{Normal}(0, \sigma^2)$  random variables  $\{W_t\}$ , where  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \mu$ , and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown parameters to be estimated.

(f) Show how to reparametrize the model in the intercept form

$$x_t = \alpha + \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + w_t.$$

(g) Write down the conditional likelihood function (conditioning on  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ) of  $(\alpha, \phi_1, \phi_2, \sigma^2)$ .

(h) The conditional MLE of  $(\alpha, \phi_1, \phi_2)$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\phi}_1 \\ \hat{\phi}_2 \end{bmatrix} = (\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W})^{-1} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{Z},$$

for some matrix  $\mathbf{W}$  and some vector  $\mathbf{Z}$  whose entries are based on  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Write out what  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  are.

*Hint: Think about linear regression!*

Suppose the conditional MLEs are found to be  $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\phi}_1, \hat{\phi}_2, \hat{\sigma}^2) = (0, 3/4, -1/8, 9)$ .

(i) Based on the MLEs, find the (estimated) causal representation of  $x_t$ .

(j) Given  $x_{98} = 8, x_{99} = 16, x_{100} = 12$ , taking the MLEs as the true parameter values, construct a 95% forecast interval for  $x_{101}$ .

## 2. Asymptotic distributions of parameter estimates

Let  $n$  be the number of observations and consider conditional least-squares estimates of the AR coefficients in a causal AR process. For AR(1)  $x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + w_t$ ,

$$\hat{\phi} \stackrel{\text{approx.}}{\sim} N\left(\phi, \frac{1 - \phi^2}{n}\right)$$

and for AR(2)  $x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \phi_2 x_{t-2} + w_t$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\phi}_1 \\ \hat{\phi}_2 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\text{approx.}}{\sim} N\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \phi_2^2 & -\phi_1(1 + \phi_2) \\ -\phi_1(1 + \phi_2) & 1 - \phi_2^2 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

In this exercise we will verify some of the insights from these formulae via simulations. Execute in **R** the steps described in the box below, and answer the questions that follow.

For  $i = 1, \dots, 1000$ :

- (i) Simulate an AR(1) process with  $x_t = 0.8x_{t-1} + w_t$ ,  $(w_t)$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$  with  $n = 300$ .
- (ii) Use `arma()` to fit an AR(1) model. Let  $\hat{\phi}_{1,AR(1)}^{(i)}$  be the estimate of  $\phi_1$ .
- (iii) Use `arma()` to fit an AR(2) model. Let  $\hat{\phi}_{1,AR(2)}^{(i)}$  be the estimate of  $\phi_1$ .

- (a) Compute the mean and the variance of  $(\hat{\phi}_{1,AR(1)}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\phi}_{1,AR(1)}^{(1000)})$ . Plot the histogram of  $(\hat{\phi}_{1,AR(1)}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\phi}_{1,AR(1)}^{(1000)})$ . Are these consistent with the asymptotic results?
- (b) Compute the mean and the variance of  $(\hat{\phi}_{1,AR(2)}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\phi}_{1,AR(2)}^{(1000)})$ . Plot the histogram of  $(\hat{\phi}_{1,AR(2)}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\phi}_{1,AR(2)}^{(1000)})$ . Are these consistent with the asymptotic results?
- (c) Plot the density curves of  $(\hat{\phi}_{1,AR(1)}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\phi}_{1,AR(1)}^{(1000)})$  and  $(\hat{\phi}_{1,AR(2)}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\phi}_{1,AR(2)}^{(1000)})$  in a single plot. What do you notice? What is the issue of fitting an AR(2) model to an AR(1) process?