

Graduate Research Technical Advisement Protocol

© Reza Norouzian

Dear Graduate Student,

Thanks for your interest in sharing your research idea with me. In order to ensure the successful initiation as well as the high quality of the projects born out of your research idea, I use the following protocol.

Please note that the practical details included in this protocol are to be completed in steps! They are based on the experimental and quasi-experimental research studies commonly conducted in the field of Applied Linguistics and TESOL.

- 1) What exact topic interests you, and how did you come to become interested in it?
- 2) Is there any prior meta-analysis focused either specifically or generally on that topic?
- 3) What is/are the dependent variable(s) in detail? (e.g., if you say only “grammar”, then what specific grammatical structures; each one can be a dependent variable)
- 4) What is/are independent variable(s) in detail? (e.g., if you say only “Corrective Feedback”, then what specific types of it, and pertaining to which language feature?)
- 5) What is/are nuisance/confounding variable(s) in detail? (e.g., if you say only “amount of output students can produce”, then how do you think that affects the effect of “Corrective Feedback”? Does it increase its effect, or it decreases its effects?)
- 6) Draw a conceptual model that shows the connections between the variables in steps (3) to (5).
 - Here is an example from a former student where s/he conceptualized how written correct feedback (WCF) causes improvement in writing accuracy either directly (the direct arrow) or indirectly (via awareness or motivation). The arrows falling between WCF and awareness are the often-observed confounding variables. The dotted circle encapsulates the unobserved confounding variables (e.g., detailed family-related information).



- 7) Which of the variables in (6) are readily measurable? Which one(s) is/are not, and why?

Graduate Research Technical Advisement Protocol

© Reza Norouzian

- 8) Using your conceptual model, what RQs do you have in mind?
- 9) What research design is conducive to answering those RQs?
- 10) Per your design, run a participant planning protocol to get an estimate of how many participants you need to recruit for your study groups.
- 11) Per your design, run a cost estimate protocol to get an estimate of how much implementing your treatment and collecting data from the number participants determined in (10) approximately cost in each study group (I will help you out with the estimate).
- 12) Prepare a consent form discussing generally what your study is meant for, and the method of how participants can be assigned to a study group (e.g., purely based on randomness or by preset criteria or both), plus any possible incentives you may be able to provide to those agreeing to participate. Have the participants sign it.
- 13) Plan for the method of assigning students/classrooms/centers/schools etc. to groups per your design.
- 14) Record the number of participants assigned to each study group.
- 15) After assignment, **immediately** plan to collect as many background variables (proficiency [possibly broken down to skills], age, gender, activities that overlap with your treatment [e.g., taking another language class], L1 background etc.) from participants as possible to allow for a **rich description** of who they are and what kind other potential participants outside of the study they can represent.
- 16) Does your design allow for students of one study group to be exposed to/in contact with students of any other study group? (see [24] below)
- 17) Does your assignment plan ensure equivalence among different study groups' members on the nuisance/confounding variable(s) stated in (5)?

Graduate Research Technical Advisement Protocol

© Reza Norouzian

- 18) Define your treatment protocol in as much operational detail as possible.
- 19) Determine who will deliver your treatment protocol.
- 20) Collect background information about those delivering your treatment protocol (e.g., if teachers: their age, gender, educational qualification, years of experience)
- 21) Develop a rating scale that measures the full/faithful implementation of your treatment by those who deliver it (e.g., teachers).
- 22) Pick an adequate number of trained informants/observers to measure the implementation of your treatment using your scale in (18).
- 23) Collect background information about those measuring the implementation of your treatment using your scale in [18] (their age, gender, educational qualification).
- 24) If answer to (16) was yes, ask informants to track students' movement from one study group to any other study group to the extent possible.
- 25) Is there any reason a participant may drop out of your study specifically due to your treatment (English Language Learners avoiding a "yet another English language program" to enhance their English, those disliking writing avoiding a writing treatment etc.)?
- 26) If answer to (25) is yes, what additional incentives/measures can prevent this type of drop out?
- 27) Record the number participants dropping out of your study overall relative to those initially assigned to study groups, as well as those dropping out of each of your study groups as your study proceeds.

Further decisions from this point on are specific to each research project.

The End of the protocol