

Criterion E: Evaluation

Word count: 352

Throughout the application's development, I would occasionally do quality assurance (QA) tests in order to check up on the functionality of the app to make sure everything's all good and running as intended. First QA test I did was for testing the code for any errors I may have possibly missed while creating the code. For that, I made use of debugging in order to find any errors in places here and there in the code of the software itself. But coding errors were not the only thing I encountered. Sometimes, the compiler would stop functioning, though it might have had to do with how my computer has a majority of its storage space filled up, so it wouldn't be a really good idea to have that issue popping up. The second overall test I did was mid-way and deeper into the development of the software, just to make sure everything is okay. Again errors with code but very few in places this time, as well as usual compiling issues due to storage space. The final QA test done was when the product's in the finalization stage, combining the code and the design of the app into what is essentially the final result. Overall, I think it turned out neatly, though I do think some areas for improvement would definitely be doing QA check-ups on a regular basis since so far, only 2-3 were done. This could be great to keep in mind if I were to ever work as a programmer in the future and making my own projects: make check-ups of the code on a regular basis. Another thing I definitely think would have helped with the evaluation of the product would be spending more time on the tests or any sort of check-up I did for the evaluation of the product, whether it be logged in Criterion B as part of the record of tasks as a crucial check-up or not. I personally think more tests would have done a more efficient work and likely would have contributed more to the software that I was developing for the client.