

Tutorial on IDP

Ingmar Dasseville, Pieter Van Hertum, Marc Denecker

September 6, 2016

Modeling and solving in mathematics

An example domain:

*I am one year older than double the age of my son.
The sum of our ages lies between 70 and 80.*

A mathematical modeling:

- ▶ Choose symbols for abstraction:
 - ▶ x : my age
 - ▶ y : my son's age
- ▶ Express information (in equations):
 - $x - 2y = 1$
 - $x + y \geq 70$
 - $x + y \leq 80$

Now, we can solve problems with this modeling.

Modeling and solving in mathematics

An example domain:

*I am one year older than double the age of my son.
The sum of our ages lies between 70 and 80.*

A mathematical modeling:

- ▶ Choose symbols for abstraction:
 - ▶ x : my age
 - ▶ y : my son's age
- ▶ Express information (in equations):

$$x - 2y = 1$$

$$x + y \geq 70$$

$$x + y \leq 80$$

Now, we can solve problems with this modeling.

Modeling and solving in mathematics

An example domain:

*I am one year older than double the age of my son.
The sum of our ages lies between 70 and 80.*

A mathematical modeling:

- ▶ Choose symbols for abstraction:
 - ▶ x : my age
 - ▶ y : my son's age
- ▶ Express information (in equations):

$$x - 2y = 1$$

$$x + y \geq 70$$

$$x + y \leq 80$$

Now, we can solve problems with this modeling.

Modeling and solving in mathematics

An example domain:

*I am one year older than double the age of my son.
The sum of our ages lies between 70 and 80.*

A mathematical modeling:

- ▶ Choose symbols for abstraction:
 - ▶ x : my age
 - ▶ y : my son's age
- ▶ Express information (in equations):

$$x - 2y = 1$$

$$x + y \geq 70$$

$$x + y \leq 80$$

Now, we can solve problems with this modeling.

Ingredients:

- ▶ **Symbols** : mathematical variables x, y
- ▶ **Constraints** over symbols $x - 2y = 1$
- ▶ **Assignments** of values to symbols $x=3 \ y=5$
- ▶ Some assignments **satisfy** constraints, others don't.

What problem can we solve? Many!

Ingredients:

- ▶ **Symbols** : mathematical variables x, y
- ▶ **Constraints** over symbols $x - 2y = 1$
- ▶ **Assignments** of values to symbols $x=3 \ y=5$
- ▶ Some assignments **satisfy** constraints, others don't.

What problem can we solve? *Many!*

Ingredients:

- ▶ **Symbols** : mathematical variables x, y
- ▶ **Constraints** over symbols $x - 2y = 1$
- ▶ **Assignments** of values to symbols $x=3 \ y=5$
- ▶ Some assignments **satisfy** constraints, others don't.

What problem can we solve? Many!

Modeling Information; Solving problems

We can solve multiple problems with this specification:

- ▶ Search one or more satisfying assignments.
- ▶ Evaluate if assignment $x=50$ $y=26$ satisfies the equations.
- ▶ What is the solution in case $y=26$.
- ▶ Is it entailed that my son is adult?
 - ▶ Is he adult in every satisfying assignment?
- ▶ Search satisfying assignment where my age x is maximal.
- ▶ What are the possible values for x ?
- ▶ ...

precision, compactness, reuse

Modeling Information; Solving problems

We can solve multiple problems with this specification:

- ▶ Search one or more satisfying assignments.
- ▶ Evaluate if assignment $x=50$ $y=26$ satisfies the equations.
- ▶ What is the solution in case $y=26$.
- ▶ Is it entailed that my son is adult?
 - ▶ Is he adult in every satisfying assignment?
- ▶ Search satisfying assignment where my age x is maximal.
- ▶ What are the possible values for x ?
- ▶ ...

precision, compactness, reuse

Modeling Information; Solving problems

We can solve multiple problems with this specification:

- ▶ Search one or more satisfying assignments.
- ▶ Evaluate if assignment $x=50$ $y=26$ satisfies the equations.
- ▶ What is the solution in case $y=26$.
- ▶ Is it entailed that my son is adult?
 - ▶ Is he adult in every satisfying assignment?
- ▶ Search satisfying assignment where my age x is maximal.
- ▶ What are the possible values for x ?
- ▶ ...

precision, compactness, reuse

Modeling Information; Solving problems

We can solve multiple problems with this specification:

- ▶ Search one or more satisfying assignments.
- ▶ Evaluate if assignment $x=50$ $y=26$ satisfies the equations.
- ▶ What is the solution in case $y=26$.
- ▶ Is it entailed that my son is adult?
 - ▶ Is he adult in every satisfying assignment?
- ▶ Search satisfying assignment where my age x is maximal.
- ▶ What are the possible values for x ?
- ▶ ...

precision, compactness, reuse

Modeling Information; Solving problems

We can solve multiple problems with this specification:

- ▶ Search one or more satisfying assignments.
- ▶ Evaluate if assignment $x=50$ $y=26$ satisfies the equations.
- ▶ What is the solution in case $y=26$.
- ▶ Is it entailed that my son is adult?
 - ▶ Is he adult in every satisfying assignment?
- ▶ Search satisfying assignment where my age x is maximal.
- ▶ What are the possible values for x ?
- ▶ ...

precision, compactness, reuse

Modeling Information; Solving problems

We can solve multiple problems with this specification:

- ▶ Search one or more satisfying assignments.
- ▶ Evaluate if assignment $x=50$ $y=26$ satisfies the equations.
- ▶ What is the solution in case $y=26$.
- ▶ Is it entailed that my son is adult?
 - ▶ Is he adult in every satisfying assignment?
- ▶ Search satisfying assignment where my age x is maximal.
- ▶ What are the possible values for x ?
- ▶ ...

precision, compactness, reuse

Modeling Information; Solving problems

We can solve multiple problems with this specification:

- ▶ Search one or more satisfying assignments.
- ▶ Evaluate if assignment $x=50$ $y=26$ satisfies the equations.
- ▶ What is the solution in case $y=26$.
- ▶ Is it entailed that my son is adult?
 - ▶ Is he adult in every satisfying assignment?
- ▶ Search satisfying assignment where my age x is maximal.
- ▶ What are the possible values for x ?
- ▶ ...

precision, compactness, reuse

Modeling Information; Solving problems

We can solve multiple problems with this specification:

- ▶ Search one or more satisfying assignments.
- ▶ Evaluate if assignment $x=50$ $y=26$ satisfies the equations.
- ▶ What is the solution in case $y=26$.
- ▶ Is it entailed that my son is adult?
 - ▶ Is he adult in every satisfying assignment?
- ▶ Search satisfying assignment where my age x is maximal.
- ▶ What are the possible values for x ?
- ▶ ...

precision, compactness, reuse

Modeling Information; Solving problems

We can solve multiple problems with this specification:

- ▶ Search one or more satisfying assignments.
- ▶ Evaluate if assignment $x=50$ $y=26$ satisfies the equations.
- ▶ What is the solution in case $y=26$.
- ▶ Is it entailed that my son is adult?
 - ▶ Is he adult in every satisfying assignment?
- ▶ Search satisfying assignment where my age x is maximal.
- ▶ What are the possible values for x ?
- ▶ ...

precision, compactness, reuse

Now in IDP.

`http:
//dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=AgePuzzle`

General principle and terminology

- ▶ set of symbols \rightarrow Vocabulary
 - ▶ x, y
- ▶ set of constraints \rightarrow Theory
 - ▶ $x+y \geq 30$
- ▶ Assignment of values to symbols \rightarrow Structure
 - ▶ $x=53 \ y=26$
 - ▶ May be partial $y=26 \ x=?$
- ▶ Assignments that satisfy constraints \rightarrow Models

Terminology

A **modeling** is a theory.

A **model** is a structure satisfying the theory.

Modeling and Solving

- ▶ Modeling = Specification = Knowledge representation

Write a theory of which the world is a satisfying assignment

- ▶ Solving means . . .
depends on the sort of problem.

Modeling and Solving

- ▶ Modeling = Specification = Knowledge representation

Write a theory of which the world is a satisfying assignment

- ▶ Solving means ...
depends on the sort of problem.

Modeling and Solving

- ▶ Modeling = Specification = Knowledge representation

Write a theory of which the world is a satisfying assignment

- ▶ Solving means . . .
depends on the sort of problem.

A theory is not a program.

A theory is not a problem description.

A theory is a description of a class of satisfying assignments.

Modeling and Solving

In IDP :

- ▶ `modelextend(<theory>,<inputstructure>)`
- ▶ `minimise(<theory>,<inputstructure>,<term>)`
- ▶ `optimalpropagate(<theory>,<inputstructure>)`
- ▶ ...

A short list, but extremely flexible.

From linear equations to logic

- ▶ The principles remain the same.
 - ▶ Symbols, theories, structures, satisfaction.
- ▶ More complex symbols, theories, values and structures.

$$\forall d[dep]s[shift] : \#\{n[nurse] : NurseAt(n, d, s)\} > 3.$$

In words:

For all department d , shift s : the number of elements of the set of nurses n that work at d during s is greater than 3.

Coloring graphs

`http://dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=MapColoring`

- ▶ More complex symbols. . . .
 - ▶ From numerical “variables” to set, relation and function “variables”.
- ▶ More complex “constraints”.
- ▶ More domains and more complex ones.
 - ▶ From integer or real numbers to multiple and arbitrary domains.
- ▶ More complex values.
 - ▶ From numbers to sets, relations, functions.

Vocabulary

```
vocabulary V{  
    type human  
    type num isa int  
    P(num)  
    Married(human, human)  
    MySonIsAdult  
    Age(human): num  
    Boss: human  
}
```

Sorts of symbols:

- ▶ types
- ▶ (typed) relation symbols (=predicate symbols)
 - ▶ propositional symbols (no arguments)
- ▶ (typed) function symbols
 - ▶ constants (no arguments)

Terminology

A symbol for which we do not know the value:

- ▶ In mathematical modeling: a **variable**
- ▶ In logic: a **constant**

In logic, a variable is something different:

$$\forall x : \textit{Man}(x) \Rightarrow \textit{Human}(x).$$

A logical variable is more “variable” than a mathematical or constraint variable.

Terminology

A symbol for which we do not know the value:

- ▶ In mathematical modeling: a **variable**
- ▶ In logic: a **constant**

In logic, a variable is something different:

$$\forall x : \textit{Man}(x) \Rightarrow \textit{Human}(x).$$

A logical variable is more “variable” than a mathematical or constraint variable.

Terminology

A symbol for which we do not know the value:

- ▶ In mathematical modeling: a **variable**
- ▶ In logic: a **constant**

In logic, a variable is something different:

$$\forall x : \textit{Man}(x) \Rightarrow \textit{Human}(x).$$

A logical variable is more “variable” than a mathematical or constraint variable.

Structures: assignments

```
structure S:V{  
    num={2..100}  
    Node={A..D}  
    Human={Pieter;Ingmar;Marc}  
    Edge={A,B; B,C; C,D}  
    MySonIsAdult = {()}  
    Cost={ Delhaize,dreft -> 2; Colruyt, dreft -> 2}  
    Boss=Marc  
}
```

- ▶ A structure S of vocabulary V
- ▶ Lefthand side: symbol of V
- ▶ Righthand side: value of type of V

Structures express data.

Structures may be partial.

Structures need to specify a finite domain for every type.
(We are working on it)

Structures express data.

Structures may be partial.

Structures need to specify a finite domain for every type.
(We are working on it)

Structures express data.

Structures may be partial.

Structures need to specify a finite domain for every type.
(We are working on it)

Constructed types

type day constructed from

```
{ mon; tue; wed; thu; fri; sat; sun }
```

Specifies multiple things:

- ▶ type symbol `day`
- ▶ constant symbols of type `day`
- ▶ values per constant: constant and value is the same here

```
mon = mon
```

- ▶ a value for type `day`

```
day = { mon; tue; wed; thu; fri; sat; sun }
```

Theory

```
theory T: V{  
  ...  
}
```

- ▶ Theory **T** written in vocabulary **V**
- ▶ Contains formulas and definitions.

The Einstein Puzzle

`http:
//dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=Einstein`

Under “File” select “The Einstein Puzzle”.

Logical symbols

Meaning	Logical symbols	IDP-symbol
... and ...	$\dots \wedge \dots$	$\dots \& \dots$
... or ...	$\dots \vee \dots$	$\dots \dots$
If ... then ...	$\dots \Rightarrow \dots$	$\dots \Rightarrow \dots$
... if and only if ...	$\dots \Leftrightarrow \dots$	$\dots \Leftrightarrow \dots$
not ...	$\neg \dots$	$\sim \dots$
for all ...	$\forall x : \dots$	$! x : \dots$
there exists ...	$\exists x : \dots$	$? x : \dots$
there exists n ...	$\exists n x : \dots$	$?n x : \dots$
...	$\exists < n x : \dots$	$?<n x : \dots$
...	$\exists > n x : \dots$	$?>n x : \dots$

Type inference

```
type human  
type num  
Age(human,num)  
...  
 $\forall x: \exists y: \text{Age}(x,y).$ 
```

Type inference infers:

```
 $\forall x[\text{human}]: \exists y[\text{num}]: \text{Age}(x,y).$ 
```

Type inference

```
type human  
type num  
Age(human,num)  
...  
 $\forall x: \exists y: \text{Age}(x,y).$ 
```

Type inference infers:

```
 $\forall x[\text{human}]: \exists y[\text{num}]: \text{Age}(x,y).$ 
```

Aggregates

number of elements of P

$$\#\{x,y: P(x,y)\}.$$

sum of $x+y$, for all $(x,y) \in P$

$$\text{sum}\{x,y: P(x,y) : x+y\}.$$

minimum of set $\{x : Q(x) \& R(x)\}$:

$$\min\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

maximum :

$$\max\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

Nesting is allowed, as in:

$$P_{\text{nest}} = \text{sum}\{x[\text{num}] : x = \#\{y: Q(x,y)\} : x\}.$$

Aggregates

number of elements of P

$$\#\{x,y: P(x,y)\}.$$

sum of $x+y$, for all $(x,y) \in P$

$$\text{sum}\{x,y: P(x,y) : x+y\}.$$

minimum of set $\{x : Q(x) \& R(x)\}$:

$$\min\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

maximum :

$$\max\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

Nesting is allowed, as in:

$$P_{\text{nest}} = \text{sum}\{x[\text{num}] : x = \#\{y: Q(x,y)\} : x\}.$$

Aggregates

number of elements of P

$$\#\{x,y: P(x,y)\}.$$

sum of $x+y$, for all $(x,y) \in P$

$$\text{sum}\{x,y: P(x,y) : x+y\}.$$

minimum of set $\{x : Q(x) \& R(x)\}$:

$$\min\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

maximum :

$$\max\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

Nesting is allowed, as in:

$$P_{\text{nest}} = \text{sum}\{x[\text{num}] : x = \#\{y: Q(x,y)\} : x\}.$$

Aggregates

number of elements of P

$$\#\{x,y: P(x,y)\}.$$

sum of $x+y$, for all $(x,y) \in P$

$$\text{sum}\{x,y: P(x,y) : x+y\}.$$

minimum of set $\{x : Q(x) \& R(x)\}$:

$$\min\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

maximum :

$$\max\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

Nesting is allowed, as in:

$$P_{\text{nest}} = \text{sum}\{x[\text{num}] : x = \#\{y: Q(x,y)\} : x\}.$$

Aggregates

number of elements of P

$$\#\{x,y: P(x,y)\}.$$

sum of $x+y$, for all $(x,y) \in P$

$$\text{sum}\{x,y: P(x,y) : x+y\}.$$

minimum of set $\{x : Q(x) \& R(x)\}$:

$$\min\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

maximum :

$$\max\{x: Q(x) \& R(x) : x\}.$$

Nesting is allowed, as in:

$$\text{Pnest} = \text{sum}\{x[\text{num}] : x = \#\{y: Q(x,y)\} : x\}.$$

<http://dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=Agg>

Experiment with different input/output.

- ▶ Compute aggregates from structure.
- ▶ Compute structures from aggregates.
- ▶ Compute minimal structure from some aggregates.

Definitions

```
{ ! x[human]: WorkingAge(x) <-  
                                Age(x)>18 & Age(x)<65. }  
  
{  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Father(x,y).  
}  
  
{ Day(mon). ... Day(sun). }
```

- ▶ { Rules }

- ▶ One rule:

- ▶ ! x y: Atom <- Body .
- ▶ In front only ! , not ?
- ▶ Only atomic head
- ▶ <- : definitional operator
- ▶ Body is a formula.

- ▶ Atomic rules Day(mon) .

Definitions

```
{ ! x[human]: WorkingAge(x) <-  
                                Age(x)>18 & Age(x)<65. }  
  
{  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Father(x,y).  
}  
  
{ Day(mon). ... Day(sun). }
```

- ▶ { Rules }

- ▶ One rule:

- ▶ ! x y: Atom <- Body .
- ▶ In front only ! , not ?
- ▶ Only atomic head
- ▶ <- : definitional operator
- ▶ Body is a formula.

- ▶ Atomic rules Day(mon) .

Definitions

```
{ ! x[human]: WorkingAge(x) <-  
                                Age(x)>18 & Age(x)<65. }  
  
{  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Father(x,y).  
}  
{ Day(mon). ... Day(sun). }
```

► { Rules }

► One rule:

- ! x y: Atom <- Body .
- In front only ! , not ?
- Only atomic head
- <- : definitional operator
- Body is a formula.

► Atomic rules Day(mon) .

Definitions

```
{ ! x[human]: WorkingAge(x) <-  
                                Age(x)>18 & Age(x)<65. }  
  
{  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Father(x,y).  
}  
{ Day(mon). ... Day(sun). }
```

► { Rules }

► One rule:

- ! x y: Atom <- Body .
- In front only ! , not ?
- Only atomic head
- <- : definitional operator
- Body is a formula.

► Atomic rules Day(mon) .

Definitions

```
{ ! x[human]: WorkingAge(x) <-  
                                Age(x)>18 & Age(x)<65. }  
  
{  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Father(x,y).  
}  
{ Day(mon). ... Day(sun). }
```

- ▶ { Rules }

- ▶ One rule:

- ▶ ! x y: Atom <- Body .
- ▶ In front only ! , not ?
- ▶ Only atomic head
- ▶ <- : definitional operator
- ▶ Body is a formula.

- ▶ Atomic rules Day(mon) .

Definitions

```
{ ! x[human]: WorkingAge(x) <-  
                                Age(x)>18 & Age(x)<65. }  
  
{  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Father(x,y).  
}  
  
{ Day(mon). ... Day(sun). }
```

- ▶ { Rules }
- ▶ One rule:
 - ▶ ! x y: Atom <- Body .
 - ▶ In front only ! , not ?
 - ▶ Only atomic head
 - ▶ <- : definitional operator
 - ▶ Body is a formula.
- ▶ Atomic rules Day(mon) .

Never confuse a definition for a set of implications

Compare:

```
{  
!  x y:  Parent(x,y) <- Mother(x,y).  
!  x y:  Parent(x,y) <- Father(x,y).  
}
```

and

```
!  x y:  Parent(x,y) <= Mother(x,y).  
!  x y:  Parent(x,y) <= Father(x,y).
```

Suppose A is not mother nor father of B.

- ▶ definition says: A is **not** parent of B.
- ▶ implications say: nothing! A could be parent of B or not.

For a defined atom to be true, at least one case has to be the case.

Never confuse a definition for a set of implications

Compare:

```
{  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Father(x,y).  
}
```

and

```
! x y: Parent(x,y) <= Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <= Father(x,y).
```

Suppose A is not mother nor father of B.

- ▶ definition says: A is **not** parent of B.
- ▶ implications say: nothing! A could be parent of B or not.

For a defined atom to be true, at least one case has to be the case.

Never confuse a definition for a set of implications

Compare:

```
{  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <- Father(x,y).  
}
```

and

```
! x y: Parent(x,y) <= Mother(x,y).  
! x y: Parent(x,y) <= Father(x,y).
```

Suppose A is not mother nor father of B.

- ▶ definition says: A is **not** parent of B.
- ▶ implications say: nothing! A could be parent of B or not.

For a defined atom to be true, at least one case has to be the case.

Is that a big difference?

You bet!

Let the numbers speak!

`http:
//dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=DefImp`

If the number of humans is n , the definition has 1 model, the set of implications has 2^{n^2} models.

Is that a big difference?

You bet!

Let the numbers speak!

`http:
//dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=DefImp`

If the number of humans is n , the definition has 1 model, the set of implications has 2^{n^2} models.

No fixed input/output connected to definitions in FO(.).

- ▶ E.g., any of **Parent**, **Father**, **Mother** could be given in structure or not.

A definition only states a logical relationship between defined symbols and parameter symbols:

- ▶ **Parent** in terms of **Father**, **Mother**

IDP will try to satisfy it with whatever is given.

Inductive definitions

```
{  
  ! x: Reachable(A).  
  ! x: Reachable(x) <-  
      ? z: Reachable(z) & Edge(z,x).  
}
```

Compare this with inductive definitions in mathematics:

Definition

The set of reachable nodes from A are defined by induction:

- ▶ A is reachable.
- ▶ if z is reachable and there is an edge from z to x, then x is reachable.

The reachability relation is the **least** relation that satisfies those rules.

There is no fixed dataflow.

- ▶ From a known **Edge**, compute **Reachable**.
- ▶ From a known **Reachable**, compute **Edge**.
- ▶ Or both half partially known.

Below, **Reachable** is known to be the set of all nodes.

`http://dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=TransClosure`

See one inductive definition and 3 FO formulas that many think are equivalent, and convince yourself that none of them are equivalent.

http:

[//dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=DefClark](http://dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=DefClark)

Here our tour over IDP finishes.

Now, we can build software solutions with these ingredients.

Study programme selection

`https://dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/software/idp/examples/courseselection`

Interactive configuration

- ▶ a hard problem for standard software technologies
- ▶ 5 forms of inference on the same theory, to provide 5 forms of inference.

Course scheduling

`http://dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=CourseScheduling`

IDP is used in several schools already, and in our department for certain scheduling tasks.

(the web-server may not have the ressources to visualize the solution)

Planning: Hanoi

<http://dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=Hanoi>

- ▶ Run
- ▶ Click beside the tower to see the plan in action.

All visualisations were made with IDP.

Conclusion

Flexibility due to

- ▶ natural specification language
- ▶ rich expressivity
- ▶ no fixed data flow
- ▶ no fixed problem
- ▶ multiple forms of inference

Future

- ▶ Challenges everywhere (language, efficiency, other forms of inference)
- ▶ For use in software development, the main problem of IDP is communication with the “world”:
 - ▶ calling,
 - ▶ be called,
 - ▶ interactingwith other programs in other languages.

End

Simulating binary quantification

Consider:

- ▶ “Every person older than 65 is retired”
- ▶ “There exists a person older than 65 that is retired”

Note the symmetry! “every” vs “exists”

Translation in logic:

- ▶ “Every person older than 65 is retired”
`! x[person]: Age(x)>=65 => Retired(x).`
- ▶ “There exists a person older than 65 that is retired”
`? x[person]: Age(x)>=65 & Retired(x).`

Symmetry is broken:

`! x : .. => ..` versus `?x: .. & ..`

Simulating binary quantification

Consider:

- ▶ “Every person older than 65 is retired”
- ▶ “There exists a person older than 65 that is retired”

Note the symmetry! “every” vs “exists”

Translation in logic:

- ▶ “Every person older than 65 is retired”
`! x[person]: Age(x)>=65 => Retired(x).`
- ▶ “There exists a person older than 65 that is retired”
`? x[person]: Age(x)>=65 & Retired(x).`

Symmetry is broken:

`! x : .. => ..` versus `?x: .. & ..`

Unsatisfiable theories

The following example shows how to search for unsatisfiable subtheories in a theory using the command “`printcore(theory,Structure)`”.

`http:`
`//dtai.cs.kuleuven.be/krr/idp-ide/?present=PrintCore`