

CS 511 Formal Methods for High-Assurance Software Engineering

Homework Assignment 04 - Selected Solution

Jiawen Liu

Problem 1.

1.

$$\Phi = (\phi \vee \psi_1) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_2) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_3), \quad \Psi = \exists y (y \leftrightarrow \phi) \wedge (y \vee \psi_1) \wedge (y \vee \psi_2) \wedge (y \vee \psi_3)$$

In order to show Φ and Ψ are logically equivalent, it is equivalent to show: $\Phi \dashv\vdash \Psi$.

- $\Phi \vdash \Psi$

By assigning $\phi = \text{true}$ in Φ , i.e., $\Phi[\phi \rightarrow \text{true}]$, we have:

$$(\text{true} \vee \psi_1) \wedge (\text{true} \vee \psi_2) \wedge (\text{true} \vee \psi_3) = \text{true}.$$

By applying the \exists introduction rule on ϕ , we have Φ' as:

$$\Phi' = \exists \phi (\phi \vee \psi_1) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_2) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_3)$$

Then, we introduce $(y \leftrightarrow \phi)$ by substitute ϕ with y in Φ' , i.e., $(y \leftrightarrow \phi) \wedge \Phi'[\phi \rightarrow y]$ we can have:

$$(y \leftrightarrow \phi) \wedge \exists y (y \vee \psi_1) \wedge (y \vee \psi_2) \wedge (y \vee \psi_3),$$

which can be wrote as:

$$\exists y (y \leftrightarrow \phi) \wedge (y \vee \psi_1) \wedge (y \vee \psi_2) \wedge (y \vee \psi_3) = \Psi.$$

- $\Psi \vdash \Phi$

Since we know $\forall \phi, \phi \leftrightarrow \phi$, we can pick $y = \phi$ in Ψ as $\Psi[y \rightarrow \phi]$:

$$\exists \phi (\phi \leftrightarrow \phi) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_1) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_2) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_3).$$

Since $\phi \leftrightarrow \phi$ is always true, we ca have:

$$\exists \phi (\phi \vee \psi_1) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_2) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_3).$$

Then, we can have the predicate $\Phi = (\phi \vee \psi_1) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_2) \wedge (\phi \vee \psi_3)$.

2.

$$\Phi = \theta(\phi_1, \psi_1) \wedge \theta(\phi_2, \psi_2) \wedge \theta(\phi_3, \psi_3), \quad \Psi = \forall x \forall y (\vee_{i=1,2,3} (x \leftrightarrow \phi_i) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_i)) \rightarrow \theta(x, y)$$

In order to show Φ and Ψ are logically equivalent, it is equivalent to show: $\Phi \dashv\vdash \Psi$.

- $\Phi \vdash \Psi$

By what we proved in 1, we can introduce x, y into Φ as:

$$\exists x \exists y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_1) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_1) \wedge \theta(x, y) \wedge \theta(\phi_2, \psi_2) \wedge \theta(\phi_3, \psi_3).$$

By the \wedge e rule, we can get:

$$\exists x \exists y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_1) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_1) \wedge \theta(x, y).$$

We can prove following equation by natural deduction:

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vdash \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$$

1	$\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$	premise
x_0	2	fresh
3	$P(x_0) \wedge Q(x_0)$	assumption
4	$P(x_0)$	assumption
5	$Q(x_0)$	\wedge_2 e 3
6	$P(x_0) \rightarrow Q(x_0)$	\rightarrow i 4 – 5
7	$\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$	\forall x i2 – 4

Then, we can get:

$$\forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_1) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_1) \rightarrow \theta(x, y).$$

In the same way we can have

$$\forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_2) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_2) \rightarrow \theta(x, y).$$

$$\forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_3) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_3) \rightarrow \theta(x, y).$$

By the Introduction rule of \wedge , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_1) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_1) \rightarrow \theta(x, y) \\ &\wedge \forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_2) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_2) \rightarrow \theta(x, y) \\ &\wedge \forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_3) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_3) \rightarrow \theta(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Then, it can be rewrite as:

$$\forall x \forall y (\vee_{i=1,2,3} (x \leftrightarrow \phi_i) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_i)) \rightarrow \theta(x, y) = \Psi.$$

- $\Psi \vdash \Phi$

By rewrite Ψ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x \forall y \left(((x \leftrightarrow \phi_1) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_1)) \right. \\ &\quad \vee ((x \leftrightarrow \phi_2) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_2)) \\ &\quad \left. \vee ((x \leftrightarrow \phi_3) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_3)) \right) \rightarrow \theta(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Then it can be equivalently rewrite as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_1) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_1) \rightarrow \theta(x, y) \\ &\wedge \forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_2) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_2) \rightarrow \theta(x, y) \\ &\wedge \forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_3) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_3) \rightarrow \theta(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

By $\forall x \forall y (x \leftrightarrow \phi_1) \wedge (y \leftrightarrow \psi_1) \rightarrow \theta(x, y)$, we can get: $\theta(\phi_1, \psi_1)$. Then, we can have:

$$\theta(\phi_1, \psi_1) \wedge \theta(\phi_2, \psi_2) \wedge \theta(\phi_3, \psi_3)$$

Problem 2.

(a) Let θ_a be the transition relation where all the self loops are excluded defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_a(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & ((\neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2) \rightarrow (\neg p_3 \wedge p_4)) & (\text{from } s_1) \\ & \wedge ((\neg p_1 \wedge p_2) \rightarrow (p_3 \wedge \neg p_4)) & (\text{from } s_2) \\ & \wedge ((p_1 \wedge \neg p_2) \rightarrow ((\neg p_3 \wedge \neg p_4) \vee (p_3 \wedge p_4))) & (\text{from } s_3) \\ & ((\neg p_3 \wedge \neg p_4) \rightarrow (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2)) & (\text{to } s_1) \\ & \wedge ((\neg p_3 \wedge p_4) \rightarrow (\neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2)) & (\text{to } s_2) \\ & \wedge ((p_3 \wedge \neg p_4) \rightarrow (\neg p_1 \wedge p_2)) & (\text{to } s_3) \\ & \wedge ((p_3 \wedge p_4) \rightarrow (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2)) & (\text{to } s_4) \end{aligned}$$

Let ϕ_{a_0} be a path from $s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow s_3$:

$$\phi_{a_0}(p_1, \dots, p_6) = \text{init}(p_1, p_2) \wedge \phi_{a_0}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \wedge \theta_a(p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6)$$

$n = 1$: We have the path where all the s_1, s_2, s_3 are visited the once defined as ϕ_{a1} :

$$\phi_a(p_1, \dots, p_8) = \text{init}(p_1, p_2) \wedge \phi_{a_0}(p_1, \dots, p_6) \wedge \theta_a(p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8) \wedge \text{end}(p_7, p_8)$$

$n > 1$: We have the path where all the s_1, s_2, s_3 are visited the same times $n > 1$ defined as ϕ_a :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_a(p_1, \dots, p_{6n+2}) = & \text{init}(p_1, p_2) \wedge \phi_{a_0}(p_1, \dots, p_6) \wedge \theta_a(p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8) \\ & \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{a_0}(p_{6n-6}, \dots, p_{6n}) \wedge \theta_a(p_{6n-1}, p_{6n}, p_{6n+1}, p_{6n+2}) \wedge \text{end}(p_{6n+1}, p_{6n+2}) \end{aligned}$$

(b) (Either one of the possible path is correct)

Let θ_{b1} defined as the transition relation for the loop between s_2, s_3 :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{b1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & \wedge ((\neg p_1 \wedge p_2) \rightarrow (p_3 \wedge \neg p_4)) & (\text{from } s_2) \\ & \wedge ((p_1 \wedge \neg p_2) \rightarrow ((p_3 \wedge p_4) \vee (\neg p_3 \wedge p_4))) & (\text{from } s_3) \\ & \wedge ((\neg p_3 \wedge p_4) \rightarrow (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2)) & (\text{to } s_2) \\ & \wedge ((p_3 \wedge \neg p_4) \rightarrow (\neg p_1 \wedge p_2)) & (\text{to } s_3) \\ & \wedge ((p_3 \wedge p_4) \rightarrow (p_1 \wedge \neg p_2)) & (\text{to } s_4) \end{aligned}$$

Let ϕ_{b_0} be the path representing the loop between s_2 and s_3 :

$$\phi_{b_0}(p_1, \dots, p_6) = \theta_{b1}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \wedge \theta_{b1}(p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6)$$

Then one of the valid execution paths where the s_1 is visited just once and the s_2, s_3 are visited twice is:

$$\phi_{b1}(p_1, \dots, p_{12}) = \text{init}(p_1, p_2) \wedge \phi_{a_0}(p_1, \dots, p_6) \wedge \phi_{b_0}(p_5, \dots, p_{10}) \wedge \theta_{b1}(p_9, p_{10}, p_{11}, p_{12}) \wedge \text{end}(p_{11}, p_{12})$$

Let θ_{b2} defined as the transition relation where the s_1 is visited twice by self loop:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{b2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} & ((\neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2) \rightarrow (\neg p_3 \wedge \neg p_4)) & (\text{from } s_1) \\ & ((\neg p_3 \wedge \neg p_4) \rightarrow (\neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2)) & (\text{to } s_1) \end{aligned}$$

Then one of the valid execution paths where the s_1 is visited twice by self loop and the s_2, s_3 are visited forth is:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{b2}(p_1, \dots, p_{18}) = & \text{init}(p_1, p_2) \wedge \theta_{b2}(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \wedge \phi_{a_0}(p_3, \dots, p_8) \wedge \phi_{b_0}(p_7, \dots, p_{12}) \\ & \wedge \phi_{b_0}(p_{11}, \dots, p_{16}) \wedge \phi_{b_0}(p_{15}, \dots, p_{20}) \wedge \theta_{b1}(p_{19}, p_{20}, p_{21}, p_{22}) \wedge \text{end}(p_{21}, p_{22}) \end{aligned}$$

Since θ_a from problem (a) can represent the transition relation where s_1 can be visited second time from s_3 , then we have the last possible path as:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{b2}(p_1, \dots, p_{18}) = & \text{init}(p_1, p_2) \wedge \phi_{a_0}(p_1, \dots, p_6) \wedge \theta_a(p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8) \wedge \phi_{a_0}(p_7, \dots, p_{12}) \\ & \wedge \phi_{b_0}(p_{11}, \dots, p_{16}) \wedge \phi_{b_0}(p_{15}, \dots, p_{20}) \wedge \theta_{b_1}(p_{19}, p_{20}, p_{21}, p_{22}) \wedge \text{end}(p_{21}, p_{22}) \end{aligned}$$

This path represent s_1 is re-visited after the first time of visiting s_3 . s_1 can also be visited after looping once, twice or third times on $s_2 \rightarrow s_3$ by just adjust the order or ϕ_{a_0} and θ_a .

Problem 3.

(a)

$$\exists x \exists y \exists z. \neg(x \leftrightarrow y) \wedge \neg(y \leftrightarrow z) \wedge \neg(z \leftrightarrow x) \wedge \forall w. ((w = x) \vee (w = z) \vee (w = y))$$

(b)

$$\forall w. \exists x \exists y \exists z. ((w = x) \vee (w = z) \vee (w = y))$$

(c) $n = 1, \theta_1 = \forall w. \exists x. (w \leftrightarrow x).$

$$n = k, \theta_k = \forall w. \exists x_1, \dots, x_k. \neg(x_1 \leftrightarrow x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge \neg(x_1 \leftrightarrow x_k) \wedge \dots \wedge \neg(x_{k-1} \leftrightarrow x_k) \wedge ((w = x_1) \vee \dots \vee (w = x_k))$$

Then, we have infinite set of FO sentences which hold in a model iff the model has infinitely many distinct elements defined as θ_∞ :

$$\theta_\infty = \bigwedge_{i \geq 1} \theta_i$$

Problem 4.

(a)

$$\exists x (S \rightarrow Q(x)) \vdash S \rightarrow \exists x Q(x)$$

	1	$\exists x (S \rightarrow Q(x))$	premise
x_0	2		fresh
	3	$S \rightarrow Q(x_0)$	assumption
	4	S	assumption
	5	$Q(x_0)$	$\rightarrow e$ 3,4
	6	$\exists x Q(x)$	$\exists x i$ 5
	7	$S \rightarrow \exists x Q(x)$	$\rightarrow i$ 4–6
	8	$S \rightarrow \exists x Q(x)$	$\exists x e$ 1,2–7

(b)

$$S \rightarrow \exists x Q(x) \vdash \exists x (S \rightarrow Q(x))$$

	1	$S \rightarrow \exists x Q(x)$	premise
	2	S	assumption
	3	$Q(x)$	$\rightarrow e$ 1,2
x_0	4		fresh
	5	$Q(x_0)$	assumption
	6	$Q(x_0)$	$\exists x e$ 3,4–5
	7	$S \rightarrow Q(x_0)$	$\rightarrow i$ 2–6
	8	$\exists x (S \rightarrow Q(x))$	$\exists x i$ 7

(c)

$$\exists x P(x) \rightarrow S \vdash \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow S)$$

	1	$\exists x P(x) \rightarrow S$	premise
x_0	2		fresh
	3	$P(x_0)$	assumption
	4	$\exists x P(x)$	$\exists x i$ 3
	5	S	$\rightarrow e$ 1,4
	6	$P(x_0) \rightarrow S$	$\rightarrow i$ 3,4–5
	7	$\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow S)$	$\forall x i$ 2–6

(d)

$$\forall x P(x) \rightarrow S \vdash \exists x (P(x) \rightarrow S)$$

	1	$\forall x P(x) \rightarrow S$	premise
	2	$\neg(\exists x (P(x) \rightarrow S))$	assumption
x_0	3		fresh
	4	$\neg P(x_0)$	assumption
	5	$P(x_0)$	assumption
	6	\perp	$\neg e$ 4,5
	7	S	$\perp e$ 6
	8	$P(x_0) \rightarrow S$	$\rightarrow i$ 5 – 7
	9	$\exists x (P(x) \rightarrow S)$	$\exists x i$ 8
	10	\perp	$\neg e$ 2,9
	11	$\neg\neg P(x_0)$	$\neg i$ 4 – 10
	12	$P(x_0)$	$\neg\neg e$ 11
	13	$\forall x P(x)$	$\forall x i$ 12
	14	S	$\rightarrow e$ 1,13
	15	$P(x_0)$	assumption
	16	S	copy 14
	17	$P(x_0) \rightarrow S$	$\rightarrow i$ 15 – 16
	18	$\exists x (P(x) \rightarrow S)$	$\forall x i$ 17
	19	\perp	$\perp i$ 2,17
	20	$\neg\neg\exists x (P(x) \rightarrow S)$	$\neg i$ 2 – 19
	21	$\exists x (P(x) \rightarrow S)$	$\neg\neg e$ 20

Problem 5. <https://github.com/jiawenliu/CS511/blob/master/homework/hw4/hw4-p5.py>

Problem 6. <https://github.com/jiawenliu/CS511/blob/master/homework/hw4/hw4-p6.in>