

CS 511, Fall 2020, Addendum 06 (C)

Scripts for Prover9 and Mace4

Assaf Kfoury

September 23, 2020

transitivity of subset relation

- ▶ If $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq C$, then $A \subseteq C$.
- ▶ More formally, $(A \subseteq B) \wedge (B \subseteq C) \rightarrow (A \subseteq C)$.
- ▶ Formal definition of “ \subseteq ” using quantifiers and “ \in ”:

$$(A \subseteq B) \text{ iff } \forall x(x \in A \rightarrow x \in B)$$

- ▶ The following script verifies the *transitivity of the subset relation*:

```
formulas(assumptions).  
  all x all y (subset(x,y) <-> (all z (member(z,x) -> member(z,y)))).  
end_of_list.
```

```
formulas(goals).  
  all x all y all z (subset(x,y) & subset(y,z) -> subset(x,z)).  
end_of_list.
```

Schubert's *Steamroller Problem*

This was a *challenge problem* for the early ATP's in the 1980's and 1990's. It was a *challenge* because the search space exceeded the capacity of most computers at the time. It is no longer a *challenge* for current ATP's.

- 1+2. **Wolves, foxes, birds, caterpillars, and snails are animals, and there are some of each of them.**
3. *Also there are some grains, and grains are plants.*
4. **Every animal either likes to eat all plants or all animals much smaller than itself that like to eat some plants.**
5. *Caterpillars and snails are much smaller than birds, which are much smaller than foxes, which are in turn much smaller than wolves.*
6. **Wolves do not like to eat foxes or grains, while birds like to eat caterpillars but not snails.**
7. *Caterpillars and snails like to eat some plants.*
8. **Prove there is an animal that likes to eat a grain-eating animal (where a grain-eating animal is one that eats all grains).**

Schubert's *Steamroller Problem*

- ▶ **Wolves, foxes, birds, caterpillars, and snails are animals , and there are some of each of them .**

1. $\forall x \left(\text{Wolf}(x) \vee \text{Fox}(x) \vee \text{Bird}(x) \vee \text{Caterpillar}(x) \vee \text{Snail}(x) \rightarrow \text{Animal}(x) \right)$
2. $\exists x \text{ Wolf}(x) \wedge \exists x \text{ Fox}(x) \wedge \exists x \text{ Bird}(x) \wedge \exists x \text{ Caterpillar}(x) \wedge \exists x \text{ Snail}(x)$

- ▶ *Also there are some grains, and grains are plants.*

3. $\exists x \text{ Grain}(x) \wedge \forall x \left(\text{Grain}(x) \rightarrow \text{Plant}(x) \right)$

(Reminder: quantifiers bind more tightly than propositional connectives.)

Schubert's *Steamroller Problem*

- ▶ **Every animal either likes to eat all plants or all animals much smaller than itself that like to eat some plants.**

$$\begin{aligned} 4. \quad \forall x \Big(& \text{Animal}(x) \rightarrow \\ & \Big(\forall y \big(\text{Plant}(y) \rightarrow \text{Eats}(x, y) \big) \vee \\ & \quad \forall z \big(\text{Animal}(z) \wedge \text{Smaller}(z, x) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad \exists u \big(\text{Plant}(u) \wedge \text{Eats}(z, u) \big) \rightarrow \text{Eats}(x, z) \big) \Big) \Big) \end{aligned}$$

(Reminder: quantifiers bind more tightly than propositional connectives.)

Schubert's *Steamroller Problem*

- *Caterpillars and snails are much smaller than birds, which are much smaller than foxes, which are in turn much smaller than wolves.*

$$\begin{aligned} 5. \quad & \forall x \forall y \left(\text{Caterpillar}(x) \wedge \text{Bird}(y) \rightarrow \text{Smaller}(x, y) \right) \wedge \\ & \forall x \forall y \left(\text{Snail}(x) \wedge \text{Bird}(y) \rightarrow \text{Smaller}(x, y) \right) \wedge \\ & \forall x \forall y \left(\text{Bird}(x) \wedge \text{Fox}(y) \rightarrow \text{Smaller}(x, y) \right) \wedge \\ & \forall x \forall y \left(\text{Fox}(x) \wedge \text{Wolf}(y) \rightarrow \text{Smaller}(x, y) \right) \end{aligned}$$

(Reminder: quantifiers bind more tightly than propositional connectives, and “ \wedge ” binds more tightly than “ \rightarrow ”.)

Schubert's *Steamroller Problem*

- ▶ **Wolves do not like to eat foxes or grains, while birds like to eat caterpillars but not snails.**

$$\begin{aligned} 6. \quad & \forall x \forall y \left(\text{Wolf}(x) \wedge (\text{Fox}(y) \vee \text{Grain}(y)) \rightarrow \neg \text{Eats}(x, y) \right) \wedge \\ & \forall x \forall y \left(\text{Bird}(x) \wedge \text{Caterpillar}(y) \rightarrow \text{Eats}(x, y) \right) \wedge \\ & \forall x \forall y \left(\text{Bird}(x) \wedge \text{Snail}(y) \rightarrow \neg \text{Eats}(x, y) \right) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ *Caterpillars and snails like to eat some plants.*

$$7. \quad \forall x \left(\text{Caterpillar}(x) \vee \text{Snail}(x) \rightarrow \exists y \left(\text{Plant}(y) \wedge \text{Eats}(x, y) \right) \right)$$

(Reminder: quantifiers bind more tightly than propositional connectives, and “ \wedge ” and “ \vee ” bind more tightly than “ \rightarrow ”.)

Schubert's *Steamroller Problem*

- Prove there is an animal that likes to eat a grain-eating animal (where a grain-eating animal is one that eats all grains).

$$8. \quad \exists x \exists y \left(\text{Animal}(x) \wedge \text{Animal}(y) \wedge \text{Eats}(x, y) \wedge \right. \\ \left. \forall z (\text{Grain}(z) \rightarrow \text{Eats}(y, z)) \right)$$

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)