**Artificial Intelligence**

**Project 1**

**Team 3**

**8-Puzzle (Default Board State):**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Algorithm (+Heuristic)** | **Nodes Expanded** | **Nodes Generated** | **Solution Cost** | **Time Taken (sec)** |
| **DFS** | - | - | - | - |
| **BFS** | 1271285 | 3589819 | 14 | 11.88 |
| **IDA** | 1965733 | 1965720 | 14 | 3.31 |
| **Djikstra** | 1163507 | 3131259 | 14 | 21.15 |
| **Greedy (Manhattan)** | - | - | - | - |
| **Greedy (Hamming)** | - | - | - | - |
| **A\* (Manhattan)** | 906 | 2439 | 14 | 0.02 |
| **A\* (Hamming)** | 5640 | 16626 | 14 | 0.10 |

DFS: Not Possible (Infinite Search Tree)

Greedy (Manhattan): My poor laptop (4.6Ghz with 16gb ram) cant handle it </3 -> (Heursitic bad and inf search tree)

Greedy (Hamming): My poor laptop (4.6Ghz with 16gb ram) cant handle it </3

**8-Puzzle (New Board State below):**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Algorithm (+Heuristic)** | **Nodes Expanded** | **Nodes Generated** | **Solution Cost** | **Time Taken (sec)** |
| **DFS** | - | - | - | - |
| **BFS** | 288645 | 819651 | 12 | 1.6388 |
| **IDA** | 446963 | 446952 | 12 | 0.7711 |
| **Djikstra** | 275108 | 737463 | 12 | 2.9916 |
| **Greedy (Manhattan)** | - | - | - | - |
| **Greedy (Hamming)** | - | - | - | - |
| **A\* (Manhattan)** | 68 | 183 | 12 | 0.0020 |
| **A\* (Hamming)** | 2101 | 5969 | 12 | 0.0329 |

DFS: Not Possible (Infinite Search Tree)

Greedy (Manhattan): My poor laptop (4.6Ghz with 16gb ram) cant handle it </3

Greedy (Hamming): My poor laptop (4.6Ghz with 16gb ram) cant handle it </3

New Board State:

[1,6,5,

4,0,2,

7,3,8]

**Pacman (Default Board)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Algorithm (+Heuristic)** | **Nodes Expanded** | **Nodes Generated** | **Solution Cost** | **Time Taken (sec)** |
| **DFS** | - | - | - | - |
| **BFS** | 16707 | 36555 | 14 | 0.1139 |
| **IDA** | 30677 | 30665 | 14 | 0.0567 |
| **Djikstra** | 16723 | 36711 | 14 | 0.1944 |
| **Greedy (Euclidean)** | - | - | - | - |
| **A\* (Euclidean)** | 221 | 479 | 14 | 0.0020 |

DFS: Not Possible (Infinite Search Tree)

Greedy (Euclidean): My poor laptop (4.6Ghz with 16gb ram) cant handle it </3

**Pacman (Custom Board Below)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Algorithm (+Heuristic)** | **Nodes Expanded** | **Nodes Generated** | **Solution Cost** | **Time Taken (sec)** |
| **DFS** | - | - | - | - |
| **BFS** | 145830 | 361141 | 16 | 1.4562 |
| **IDA** | 249699 | 249685 | 16 | 0.4206 |
| **Djikstra** | 197609 | 497291 | 16 | 3.5185 |
| **Greedy (Euclidean)** | - | - | - | - |
| **A\* (Euclidean)** | 181 | 411 | 16 | 0.0030 |

DFS: Not Possible (Infinite Search Tree)

Greedy (Euclidean): My poor laptop (4.6Ghz with 16gb ram) cant handle it </3

Custom Board:

[ "P--------%",

"%-%%%-%%-%",

"%---%----%",

"%-%-%%%-%%",

"%-%------%",

"%----%%%--",

"%----%---."]

**Farmer Puzzle:**

Initial state always set to [False,False,False,False], with goal state always [True,True,True,True].

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Algorithm (+Heuristic)** | **Nodes Expanded** | **Nodes Generated** | **Solution Cost** | **Time Taken (sec)** |
| **DFS** | - | - | - | - |
| **BFS** | 97 | 210 | 8 | 0.000389337540 |
| **IDA** | 186 | 180 | 8 | 0.000000000000 |
| **Djikstra** | 157 | 342 | 8 | 0.000988245010 |
| **Greedy (Hamming)** | 11 | 22 | 8 | 0.000000000000 |
| **A\* (Hamming)** | 36 | 74 | 8 | 0.000000000000 |

DFS: Not Possible (Infinite Search Tree)

Clearly, Greedy search performs the best, with the least number of nodes expanded (11), followed by A\* with 36 nodes expanded. These two algorithms outperform the others because of the use of the heuristic provided. Indicating that the heuristic is very good. In fact, we can conclude that the heuristic is more useful than the uniform cost assigned to each action, since Greedy algorithm (that only uses heuristics) out performs the rest. Although, we do notice that IDA is very quick (as fast as Greedy and A\*), it expands the most number of nodes, which means the search itself is not very efficient.

**Stone Puzzle:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Algorithm (+Heuristic)** | **Nodes Expanded** | **Nodes Generated** | **Solution Cost** | **Time Taken (sec)** |
| **DFS** | 14 | 17 | 8 | 0.0 |
| **BFS** | 30 | 31 | 8 | 0.0 |
| **IDA** | 135 | 128 | 8 | 0.000506401062 |
| **Djikstra** | 30 | 31 | 8 | 0.0 |
| **Greedy (Hamming)** | 15 | 17 | 8 | 0.0 |
| **A\* (Hamming)** | 29 | 30 | 8 | 0.000995159149 |

For this puzzle, the DFS was the most efficient search, generating and expanding the least nodes, and taking a negligible time to reach the goal state. The BFS, Uniform and Greedy search also took a negligible amount of time, but the BFS expanded and generated nearly twice the nodes that the DFS did. The slowest search was the A\* search, but the least efficient search (and second slowest) was the IDA. Generating a staggering 128 nodes and expanding 135, it is by far a beyond inefficient search. In the cases of heuristics, the heuristics were good for the greedy search, as it produced almost identical results to the DFS, only expanding one extra node, for the A\* search, however, it expanded and generated nearly double the nodes of the best performing search, the DFS.

**Travelling Salesman:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Algorithm (+Heuristic)** | **Nodes Expanded** | **Nodes Generated** | **Solution Cost** | **Time Taken (sec)** |
| **DFS** | 4 | 7 | 77 | 0.0 |
| **BFS** | 11 | 16 | 62 | 0.0 |
| **IDA** | 18 | 16 | 62 | 0.0 |
| **Djikstra** | 9 | 14 | 62 | 0.0 |
| **Greedy (Closest Neighbour)** | 4 | 7 | 62 | 0.0 |
| **A\* (Closest Neighbour)** | 6 | 10 | 62 | 0.0 |

In the case of the TSP problem, the DFS and Greedy search perform almost identically, however, the greedy search did take a different path to the solution, leading to a lower solution cost of 62 (vs 77). This could be indicative of a decent heuristic to aid the search, as the A\* search also expanded 6 nodes and generated 10 nodes, which comparatively less than the remaining searches. The IDA was the least efficient search, expanding 18 nodes and generating 16. Generally, the solution costs are the same for all the searches (apart from DFS at 77), and the time taken is negligible for all.