ITCS 532: Bonus question

Rob Egrot

Is HAI r.e.?

► No.

- ▶ Consider the complement of the halting problem \bar{H} .
 - Yes instance is pair (T, I) such that T(I) does not halt.
 - No instance is (T, I) such that T(I) halts.

 $ightharpoonup \bar{H}$ is not r.e., as otherwise H would be recursive (as H is r.e.).

- ▶ Given an instance (T, I) of \bar{H} , define an instance T_I of HAI.
- ▶ $T_I(J)$ erases its input then simulates T(I) for |J| steps.
- ▶ If T(I) halts within |J| steps then $T_I(J)$ loops.
- ▶ If T(I) does not halt within |J| steps then $T_I(J)$ halts.

$$(T, I)$$
 is yes of $\bar{H} \implies T(I)$ does not halt $\implies T_I(J)$ halts for all $J \implies T_I$ is yes of HAI .

$$(T,I)$$
 is no of $\bar{H} \implies T(I)$ halts (in n steps say)
$$\implies T_I(J) \text{ does not halt when } |J| > n$$

$$\implies T_I \text{ is no of } HAI.$$

▶ So $\bar{H} \leq HAI$, and if HAI were r.e. \bar{H} would be too.