ITCS 532: W5 Homework Solutions

Rob Egrot

Let $L = \{ \mathbf{code}(T) : T \text{ is a TM and } T(I) \text{ halts within 100 steps for some } I \}$. Prove that L is recursive.

- Only strings whose length is at most 100 are relevant.
- We use a Turing machine that simulates T(I) on every string whose length is at most 100, while simultaneously keeping track of the number of simulated steps.
- If T(I) halts within 100 steps then we accept. If T(I) does not halt within 100 steps we move onto the next string.
- If we get through all the strings then we reject.

Let $L = \{ \mathbf{code}(T) : T \text{ is a TM and } T(I) \text{ halts within } 4 \times \mathbf{length}(I) \text{ steps for some input } I \}$. Prove L is r.e. then prove that L is not recursive by reducing the empty tape halting problem to the decision problem corresponding to L.

To show that L is r.e. we use the fact that the set Σ^* is r.e. and assume we can generate all strings in order. We use the following algorithm:

- 1. Generate the first string *I*.
- 2. Calculate the length of *I*.
- 3. Simulate T(I) while tracking the number of simulated steps.
- 4. If T(I) halts within $4 \times \text{length}(I)$ steps then accept.
- 5. If T(I) does not halt within $4 \times \text{length}(I)$ steps then generate next I and go to step 2.

- ▶ Given an instance of ETHP T we want an algorithm that constructs a Turing machine T', such that $T(\epsilon)$ halts if and only if T'(J) halts within $4 \times \text{length}(J)$ steps for some $J \in \Sigma^*$.
- ightharpoonup Define T' to be the machine that acts as follows:
 - 1. Erase the input.
 - 2. Move tape head back to first space.
 - 3. Do what T would do.
- ► Then:

```
T is a yes instance of ETHP \Longrightarrow T(\epsilon) halts \Longrightarrow There is n such that T(\epsilon) halts in n steps \Longrightarrow T'(J) halts within 4|J| when |J|=n+2 \Longrightarrow T' is a yes instance of D_L.
```

- ▶ Why within 4|J| steps?
- 2(n+2)+1+(n+2)+1+n=2n+4+1+n+2+1+n=4n+8=4(n+2)=4|J|.
- ▶ Conversely, if $T(\epsilon)$ does not halt then T'(J) does not halt for any J, and so T' is trivially a no instance of D_L .

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{0, s\}$ where 0 is a constant, s is a unary function. Let Γ contain the following sentences:

- 1. $\forall n \neg (0 = s(n))$.
- 2. $\forall m \forall n((s(m) = s(n)) \rightarrow (m = n)).$

We want to define + to be the standard addition function. Starting with +(x,0) = x use recursion with the s function to define +(x,y) for general x and y.

- (x,0) = x
- +(x,s(y)) = s(+(x,y)).

A function $f: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is *computable* if there is a Turing machine M and an encoding system **code** : $\mathbb{N} \to \{0,1\}^*$ such that

$$M(\mathbf{code}(m, n)) = \mathbf{code}(f(m, n)) \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Define the function $b: \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ by b(m,n) is the maximum number of steps a Turing machine with m states defined over the alphabet $\{0,1\}$ can take in a halting computation on an input of length n.

Prove that b is not computable.

Suppose b is computable. Consider the following algorithm on input $\mathbf{code}(T, I)$:

- 1. Count the number of states of T. Call this x.
- 2. Calculate the length of *I*. Call this *y*.
- 3. Compute b(x, y).
- 4. Simulate T(I) while keeping track of number of simulated computation steps. If T(I) halts within b(x,y) steps then accept. If simulation passes b(x,y) steps then we can reject, as we know T(I) cannot halt after this point, by definition of b.

The above algorithm would solve the halting problem, which we know is impossible, so *b* cannot be computable.