

ITCS 531: Number Theory 2 - Modular arithmetic

Rob Egrot

Counting with clocks

- ▶ What time will it be in 24 hours?
- ▶ Easy to answer.
- ▶ But, there is some interesting and important mathematics behind this.
- ▶ This is a simple example of **modular arithmetic**.

From clocks to encryption

- ▶ We don't need an abstract theory to tell the time.
- ▶ But combined with prime numbers, this 'clock arithmetic' will give us RSA encryption.
- ▶ This is quite important.

Equivalence relations

- We will need a mathematical concept of ‘equivalence’.

Definition 1 (Equivalence relation)

A binary relation R on a set X is an *equivalence relation* if it has the following three properties.

1. $R(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$ (reflexive).
2. $R(x, y) \iff R(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$ (symmetric).
3. $R(x, y)$ and $R(y, z) \implies R(x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ (transitive).

Equivalence classes

- ▶ If R is an equivalence relation on X , and $x \in X$, then $\{y \in X : R(x, y)\}$ is the **equivalence class** of x .
- ▶ We often write $[x]$ for the equivalence class of x .
- ▶ We can write e.g. $[x]_R$ when we want to make it explicit.
- ▶ Equivalence relations give us a way of grouping objects that are 'essentially the same' together.
- ▶ For example, it is a principle of monetary systems that, e.g. one \$10 bill is equivalent any other \$10 bill.
- ▶ On the other hand a photo of my family is not equivalent to a photo of your family.
- ▶ However, identical copies of the same photograph will normally be equivalent.

Example: coloured balls

Example 2

- ▶ Let X be a set of balls.
- ▶ Then 'being the same colour' is an equivalence relation on X .
- ▶ Every ball is the same colour as itself (reflexive).
- ▶ If x is the same colour as y then y is obviously the same colour as x (symmetric).
- ▶ If x and y are the same colour, and also y and z are the same colour, then clearly x and z are the same colour (transitive).

Example: friends

Example 3

- ▶ 'Being friends' is not an equivalence relation on a group of people.
- ▶ We can assume that people are friends with themselves (reflexive).
- ▶ Friendship is symmetric by definition.
- ▶ However, it's not usually transitive.

Partitions

- ▶ The equivalence classes of an equivalence relation on a set divide the set into pieces.
- ▶ We can formalize this concept with another definition.

Definition 4 (Partition)

If X is a set then a *partition* of X is a set of pairwise disjoint subsets of X whose union is equal to X .

In other words, a partition of a set divides it into pieces that don't overlap at all.

Partitions and equivalence relations

- ▶ Partitions and equivalence relations are different ways of talking about the same thing.
- ▶ The next proposition expresses half of this fact:

Proposition 5

If R is an equivalence relation on X then $\{[x] : x \in X\}$ is a partition of X .

- ▶ There is also a converse (see homework).

Proof

- ▶ Let R be an equivalence relation (definition 1).
- ▶ First show $\{[x] : x \in X\}$ satisfies definition 4.
- ▶ Must show that the union of all the equivalence classes is X .
 - ▶ We have $\bigcup_{x \in X} [x] \subseteq X$ because $[x] \subseteq X$ for all x .
 - ▶ Conversely, if $y \in X$ then $y \in [y]$ by reflexivity of R .
 - ▶ So $X \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in X} [x]$ and so $\bigcup_{x \in X} [x] = X$ as required.

Proof - continued

- ▶ Now show equivalence classes are pairwise disjoint.
 - ▶ Suppose $[x] \cap [y] \neq \emptyset$.
 - ▶ Then there is $z \in X$ with $R(x, z)$ and $R(y, z)$.
 - ▶ But then $R(z, y)$, by symmetry, and so $R(x, y)$ by transitivity.
 - ▶ By symmetry again we also have $R(y, x)$.
 - ▶ Now, using transitivity and the fact that $R(x, y)$ and $R(y, x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} z \in [x] &\iff R(x, z) && \text{(by definition)} \\ &\iff R(y, z) && \text{(by transitivity with } R(x, z) \text{ and } R(y, x)) \\ &\iff z \in [y] && \text{(by definition)} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ So $[x] = [y]$.
- ▶ I.e. if $[x]$ and $[y]$ are not disjoint they are equal.

Modular equality

- ▶ We can now define modular arithmetic seriously.

Definition 6 (Modular equality)

Given $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say $x \equiv y \pmod n$ if there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x - y = kn$.

I.e. if the difference between x and y is a multiple of n .

We also write $x \equiv_n y$.

- ▶ So, for example, a 24 hour clock uses numbers modulo 24.
- ▶ If we add 24 to a number on the clock then we get back the same number.
- ▶ I.e., 14:00 is 'essentially the same' as 38:00, which is 'essentially the same' as 52:00 etc.

Modular equality and equivalence

- ▶ Modular equality is an equivalence relation.

Proposition 7

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then \equiv_n is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} .

Proof.

We must check each condition from definition 1. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1. $x - x = 0 = 0n$, so $x \equiv_n x$.
2. If $x - y = kn$ then $y - x = -kn$, and vice versa, so
 $x \equiv_n y \iff y \equiv_n x$.
3. If $x - y = kn$ and $y - z = ln$, then $x - z = kn + ln = (k + l)n$,
so $x \equiv_n y$ and $y \equiv_n z \implies x \equiv_n z$.



Properties of modular arithmetic

- ▶ Suppose the number x is 'essentially the same' as x' , and the number y is 'essentially the same' as y' .
- ▶ We expect e.g. $x + y$ to be 'essentially the same' as $x' + y'$.
- ▶ Fortunately this is true:

Proposition 8

Suppose $x \equiv_n x'$, and $y \equiv_n y'$. Then:

1. $x + y \equiv_n x' + y'$, and
2. $xy \equiv_n x'y'$.
3. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x^k \equiv_n x'^k$.

Proof.

- ▶ For (1) suppose $x - x' = kn$ and suppose $y - y' = ln$.
- ▶ Then $(x + y) - (x' + y') = (k + l)n$. I.e. $(x + y) \equiv_n x' + y'$.
- ▶ The second part will be an exercise, and (3) follows from (2).



More properties of modular arithmetic

Proposition 9

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:

- (1) $(x + y) + z \equiv_n x + (y + z)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Associativity of addition).
- (2) $(xy)z \equiv_n x(yz)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Associativity of multiplication).
- (3) $x + y \equiv_n y + x$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Commutativity of addition).
- (4) $xy \equiv_n yx$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Commutativity of multiplication).
- (5) $x(y + z) \equiv_n (xy) + (xz)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ (Distributivity).

Proof.

Because $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$, we have

$$((x + y) + z) - (x + (y + z)) = 0 = 0 \times n.$$

This proves (1), the rest is similar.



When to calculate modular values

- ▶ Combining propositions 8 and 9 we can also say e.g. that $(x + y \bmod n) + z \equiv_n x + (y + z \bmod n)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- ▶ In other words, it doesn't matter at what point we calculate remainders modulo n .
- ▶ We can wait till the end or do it as we go along.
- ▶ We will still get the same answer.

Calculations in modular arithmetic

- ▶ We can exploit properties of modular arithmetic to simplify complex seeming expressions.
- ▶ We can perform calculations with large numbers without using a computer.
- ▶ We can perform calculations with very large numbers on a computer without running out of memory.

Example 10

$$2^{345} \equiv_{31} (2^5)^{69} \equiv_{31} 32^{69} \equiv_{31} 1^{69} \equiv_{31} 1$$

- ▶ Note: It's not true that $x^y \equiv_n x^{y'}$ when $y \equiv_n y'$.
 - ▶ E.g. $5 \equiv_4 1$, but $2^5 = 32 \equiv_4 0$, and $2^1 = 2 \equiv_4 2$.

An algorithm for modular calculations

- ▶ We often want to evaluate exponentials in modular arithmetic.
- ▶ We won't always be able to make things as easy as they are in example 10.
- ▶ But we must do better than the naive approach (i.e. calculating x^y then finding the answer mod n).
- ▶ In practical applications, x^y could be too big for our computer to handle.
- ▶ Fortunately, we can break exponentials down into small parts, so the numbers never get too large.

$$\text{If } x \equiv_n x' \text{ and } (x')^{y-1} \equiv_n z, \text{ then } x^y \equiv_n zx'.$$

- ▶ I.e. to work out $x^y \bmod n$, first find $x \bmod n$, then find $x(x \bmod n) \bmod n$ etc.

Speeding things up

- ▶ Using this method the numbers never get too big.
- ▶ But we need to perform $y - 1$ multiplications, which can take a lot of time.
- ▶ We can speed up the algorithm with a trick.
- ▶ Every number can be written in binary, which represents a sum of powers of 2.
- ▶ So we can rewrite x^y so that it is a product of x to the power of various powers of 2. E.g.

$$x^{25} = x x^8 x^{16},$$

- ▶ This corresponds to the fact that 25 is 11001 in binary.

The worst case run time

- ▶ For this method, in the worst case is when the binary representation of y is a string of ones.
- ▶ If l is the length of y when written in binary, we have to perform $(l - 1) + (l - 1) = 2l - 2$ multiplications.
- ▶ This is linear in the length of the binary form of y .
- ▶ With a little thought, we can turn this idea into a neat recursive function.
- ▶ This function is practical from a computational perspective.

The final algorithm

$$\text{exp}(x, y, n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = 0 \\ (\text{exp}(x, \lfloor \frac{y}{2} \rfloor), n)^2 & \text{mod } n \text{ if } y \text{ is even} \\ x(\text{exp}(x, \lfloor \frac{y}{2} \rfloor), n)^2 & \text{mod } n \text{ if } y \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ This algorithm is not mysterious.
- ▶ The key observation is that, for $y > 0$, we have

$$x^y = \begin{cases} (x^{\frac{y}{2}})^2 & \text{when } y \text{ is even} \\ x \cdot (x^{\frac{y-1}{2}})^2 & \text{when } y \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ So, for example:

$$x^{25} = x(x^{12})^2 = x((x^6)^2)^2 = x(((x^3)^2)^2)^2 = x(((x(x)^2)^2)^2)^2 = xx^8x^{16}.$$

Example calculation

$$\begin{aligned}3^{25} \bmod 4 &= 3(3^{12} \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4 \\&= 3((3^6 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4 \\&= 3(((3^3 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4 \\&= 3(((3(3 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4 \\&= 3(((3 \cdot 3^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4 \\&= 3(((27 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4 \\&= 3((3^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4 \\&= 3(1^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4 \\&= 3(1^2 \bmod 4)^2 \bmod 4 \\&= 3(1^2) \bmod 4 \\&= 3\end{aligned}$$