

Project Title:

The Power of Suggestion: Do Recommender Systems Change Our Musical Preferences?

- I. Introduction
 - a. Describe “recommender systems” and context for their expansion
 - b. Overview recommender system methods and technologies
 - i. Content-based recommendations
 - ii. Collective filtering
 - iii. Hybrid systems
 - c. Introduce Music Recommender Systems (MRS) examples and its mass adoption
 - i. List platforms, number of users, and technologies used
- II. Research Problem and Significance
 - a. Restate question: do MRS affect users’ musical preferences?
 - b. Explain significance
 - i. Millions – potentially billions – of humans use MRS, yet our field has yet to fully grasp the impact of MRS
 - ii. Influencing music preferences may serve as a proxy for other types of sentiment and behavioural change, beyond existing observations in social media, politics, and retail “filter bubbles”
 - iii. Users should be aware of unintended consequences of MRS usage
- III. Existing Literature
 - a. Recap literary review sources
 - i. Discuss studies on MRS-related preference changes
 - ii. Discuss studies on MRS recommendation diversity/homogeneity
 - b. Discuss foundational research
 - i. Adomavicius et al.’s 2013 study, Do Recommender Systems Manipulate Consumer Preferences?
 1. Insightful and logical findings, based on commercial and retail data
 - ii. Adomavicius et al.’s 2021 study, Effects of Personalized and Aggregate Top-N Recommendation Lists on User Preference Ratings
 1. Relies on a ratings system that may not correlate with true user preferences
 2. Relies heavily on previous research, yet that literature is about non-music information
 3. References findings based on commercial and retail data (Adomavicius et al., 2013)
 - iii. Porcaro et al.’s 2021 review, Diversity by Design in Music Recommender Systems

1. Cites lack of literature on key topic areas
 2. Posits that MRS influence preference by diversity exclusion
 - iv. Schedl et al.'s 2018 study, Current challenges and visions in music recommender systems research
 1. Well-rounded review on MRS diversity results
 2. Alternate method of calculating and potentially implementing diversity
 - c. Review gaps identified
 - i. Foundational literature focusses on non-music recommender systems
 1. Solution: replicate experiments with MRS
 - ii. Subsequent experiments rely on rating systems prone to bias
 1. Solution: measure results in multiple, non-intrusive forms
- IV. Research Design and Methodology
 - a. Overview: use a control group and experiment group to compare MRS performance, and passive collection and analysis techniques to mitigate result bias or interference
 - b. Process:
 - i. Establish a music platform that does not use MRS
 - ii. Recruit two groups of users – experiment and control – to use the non-MRS system for twelve months (long enough to account for seasonal variables and trends)
 - iii. Establish user-by-user baselines of listening patterns according to genres, artists, and songs
 - iv. Enable MRS for experiment group for six months, whilst control continues to use non-MRS system
 - v. Remove MRS for experiment group, have control and experiment groups use non-MRS system for twelve months
 - vi. Establish user-by-user baselines, compare to initial baseline
- V. Ethical Considerations and Risk Assessment
 - a. Information collection / user data rights (GDPR compliance)
 - b. Notification and acceptance of research terms
 - c. Risk assessment
- VI. Description of Artefact
 - a. Report
 - b. Substantiating Data

VII. Timeline

- a. Pilot experiment
 - i. Phase 1: 90 days
 - ii. Phase 2: 90 days
 - iii. Phase 3: 90 days
- b. Full experiment
 - i. Phase 1: 12 months
 - ii. Phase 2: 6 months
 - iii. Phase 3: 12 months